# XII WORKSHOP ON HIGH ENERGY SPIN PHYSICS

Dubna, Russia, 04.09.2007

New results on exclusive  $\rho_{\cdot}^{0}$  and  $\phi$  meson production at

- Objectives: Generalized Parton Distributions
- Total and Longitudinal Cross Sections of  $ho^0$  and  $\phi$
- $\rho^0$  and  $\phi$  Meson Spin Density Matrix Elements
  - Longitudinal-to-Transverse Cross-Section Ratios
  - Kinematic dependences
  - Hierarchy of Helicity Amplitudes
  - Unnatural Parity Exchange
- Beam and target polarization asymmetries
- Summary and Outlook

#### Alexander Borissov, DESY, on behalf of HERMES Collaboration



#### Test of GPDs via Exclusive Vector Meson Production



#### **Properties of** $\rho^0$ and $\phi$ meson data:

- different pQCD production mechanisms:
  - only two-gluon exchange for  $\phi,$
  - both two-gluon and quark exchanges for  $ho^0$
  - $\rightarrow$  GPDs as a flavor filter
- quark exchange mediated by
  - vector or scalar meson:  $\rho^0$ ,  $\omega$ ,  $a_2$ (natural parity:  $J^P = 0^+, 1^-$ )  $\rightarrow$  unpolarized GPDs: H, E
  - pseudoscalar or axial meson:  $\pi$ ,  $a_1$ ,  $b_1$ (unnatural parity  $J^P = 0^-, 1^+$ )  $\rightarrow$  polarized GPDs:  $\tilde{H}, \tilde{E}$

#### **Experimental observables:**

- total and logitudinal cross sections  $\sigma_{tot}, \sigma_L$
- Spin Density Matrix Elements (SDMEs):  $r^{\alpha}_{\lambda\rho\lambda'_{\rho}} \sim \rho(V) = \frac{1}{2}T\rho(\gamma)T^{+}$

vector meson spin-density matrix  $\rho(V)$  via photon matrix  $\rho(\gamma)$  and helicity amplitude  $T_{\lambda_V\lambda_\gamma}$ 

- *s*-channel helicity conservation (SCHC)? i.e. helicity of  $\gamma^*$  = helicity of  $\rho^0$
- Extracted from SDMEs natural and unnatural parity helicity amplitudes and its ratios
- Beam and target polarization asymmetries

#### $\Rightarrow$ Comparison with GK model of GPDs: *talk of S.V.Goloskokov*, arXiv:0708.3569 hep-ph 27.08.07

#### **Exclusive** $\rho^0$ and $\phi$ Meson Production



Kinematics:

- $\nu = 5 \div 24 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $< \nu > = 13.3 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $Q^2 = 0.5 \div 7.0 \text{ GeV}^2$ ,  $< Q^2 > = 2.3 \text{ GeV}^2$
- $W = 3.0 \div 6.5 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $\langle W \rangle = 4.9 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $x_{Bj} = 0.01 \div 0.35$ ,  $\langle x_{Bj} \rangle = 0.07$
- $t' = 0 \div 0.4 \text{ GeV}^2$ ,  $< t' > = 0.13 \text{ GeV}^2$

## $ho^0$ Total and Longitudinal Cross Sections, application of GPDs



- The QCD factorization theorem is proven for the longitudinal part of the cross section J.Collins,L.L.Frankfurt,M.Strikman Phys.Rev.D**56**,2982 (1997);
  - assuming SCHC:  $\sigma_L = \frac{R}{1+\epsilon R} \sigma_{tot}$ , where  $R = \sigma_L / \sigma_T = \frac{r_{00}^{04}}{\epsilon(1-r_{00}^{04})}$ 
    - SDME  $r_{00}^{04}$  is measured from the fit of angular distributions (explained below)
    - longitudinal-to-transverse ratio of virtual photon fluxes

$$\epsilon = \frac{1 - y - \frac{Q^2}{E^2}}{1 - y + \frac{y^2}{2} + \frac{Q^2}{E^2}} \approx 0.8$$

 $\sigma_L$  for the tests of GPDs

- $\rightarrow$  HERMES data in the transition region
- $\rightarrow$  which production mechanisms are involved?

## $\rho^0$ Total and Longitudinal Cross Sections, and GK Model



 $\rightarrow$  which production mechanisms are involved?

two-gluon exchange,two-gluon+sea interference,quark exchange,sum Band represents uncertainties in  $\sigma_L$  from Parton Distributions

 $\Rightarrow$  Quark exchange is important for HERMES, i.e. at  $W \leq 5$  GeV

 $\phi$  Total and Longitudinal Cross Sections, and GK model



#### - Two-gluon exchange is sufficient to describe $\sigma_L$ in $\phi$ -meson production

#### **Longitudinal Cross Section Ratios:** $\sigma_{L(\phi)}/\sigma_{L(\rho^o)}$

Asymptotic SU(4) pQCD predicts:  $\rho^o: \omega: \phi: J/\Psi = 9:1:2:8$ 

S.V.Goloskokov, P.Kroll, Eur. Phys.J.~C~42, 2005;~hep-ph/0611290



W=75 GeV (H1,ZEUS), W=5 GeV (HERMES)

 $\rightarrow$  Remarkable agreement of calculations with W-dependence of  $\sigma_{L(\phi)}/\sigma_{L(\rho^o)}$  ratio

# $\rho^0$ & $\phi$ -meson Spin Density Matrix Elements (SDMEs)

- $\gamma^* + N \rightarrow \rho^0(\phi) + N'$  is perfect to study the spin structure of production mechanism:
  - spin state of  $\gamma^*$  is known
  - $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$  decay is self-analysing
- SDMEs:  $r^{\alpha}_{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda'_{\rho}} \sim \rho(V) = \frac{1}{2}T_{\lambda_{V}\lambda_{\gamma}}\rho(\gamma)T^{+}_{\lambda_{V}\lambda_{\gamma}}$ spin-density matrix of the vector meson  $\rho(V)$  in terms of the photon matrix  $\rho(\gamma)$ and helicity amplitude  $T_{\lambda_{V}\lambda_{\gamma}}$ 
  - presented according K.Schilling and G.Wolf (Nucl. Phys. B61 (1973) 381)
    - lpha=04,1-3,5-8 long. or trans. photon,  $\lambda_
      ho=-1,0,1$  polarization of  $ho^0(\phi)$
  - measured experimentally at 5 < W < 75 GeV (HERMES,COMPASS,H1,ZEUS)
  - compared with ones calculated in GK GPD model at W = 5 GeV,  $Q^2 = 3$  GeV<sup>2</sup> (*talk of S.V. Goloskokov*, S.V.Goloskokov, P.Kroll arXiv:0708.3569 [hep-ph] 27.08.07; Eur.Phys.J. C 50,829 (2007) hep-ph/0601290; Eur.Phys.J. C 42,281 (2005) hep-ph/0501242)
  - provide access to *helicity amplitudes*  $T_{\lambda_V \lambda_\gamma}$ , which are:
    - $\ast\,$  extracted experimentally from SDMEs
    - \* calculated from GPDs

## $\implies$ Constraints and detailed tests of GPDs

#### Fit of Angular Distributions Using Max. Likelihood Method in MINUIT



• Simulated Events: matrix of fully reconstructed MC events at initial uniform angular distribution

• Binned Maximum Likelihood Method:  $8 \times 8 \times 8$  bins of  $\cos(\Theta)$ ,  $\phi$ ,  $\Phi$ . Simultaneous fit of 23 SDMEs  $r_{ij}^{\alpha} = W(\Phi, \phi, \cos \Theta)$  for data with negative and positive beam helicity ( $\langle |P_b| \rangle = 53.5\%$ ,  $\Psi = \Phi - \phi$ )

#### $\implies$ Full agreement of fitted angular distributions with data

 $W(\cos\Theta,\phi,\Phi) = W^{unpol} + W^{long.pol},$ 

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{W}^{unpol}(\cos\Theta,\phi,\Phi) = \frac{3}{8\pi^2} \bigg[ \frac{1}{2} (1-r_{00}^{04}) + \frac{1}{2} (3r_{00}^{04}-1)\cos^2\Theta - \sqrt{2}\mathrm{Re}\{r_{10}^{04}\}\sin 2\Theta\cos\phi - r_{1-1}^{04}\sin^2\Theta\cos 2\phi \\ & -\epsilon\cos 2\Phi \Big(r_{11}^1\sin^2\Theta + r_{00}^1\cos^2\Theta - \sqrt{2}\mathrm{Re}\{r_{10}^1\}\sin 2\Theta\cos\phi - r_{1-1}^1\sin^2\Theta\cos 2\phi \Big) \\ & -\epsilon\sin 2\Phi \Big(\sqrt{2}\mathrm{Im}\{r_{10}^2\}\sin 2\Theta\sin\phi + \mathrm{Im}\{r_{1-1}^2\}\sin^2\Theta\sin 2\phi \Big) \\ & + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)}\cos\Phi \Big(r_{11}^5\sin^2\Theta + r_{00}^5\cos^2\Theta - \sqrt{2}\mathrm{Re}\{r_{10}^5\}\sin 2\Theta\cos\phi - r_{1-1}^5\sin^2\Theta\cos 2\phi \Big) \\ & + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)}\sin\Phi \Big(\sqrt{2}\mathrm{Im}\{r_{10}^6\}\sin 2\Theta\sin\phi + \mathrm{Im}\{r_{1-1}^6\}\sin^2\Theta\sin 2\phi \Big) \bigg], \\ & \mathsf{W}^{long.pol.}(\cos\Theta,\phi,\Phi) = \frac{3}{8\pi^2}P_{beam} \bigg[ \sqrt{1-\epsilon^2} \Big(\sqrt{2}\mathrm{Im}\{r_{10}^3\}\sin 2\Theta\sin\phi + \mathrm{Im}\{r_{1-1}^2\}\sin^2\Theta\sin 2\phi \Big) \\ & + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}\cos\Phi \Big(\sqrt{2}\mathrm{Im}\{r_{10}^7\}\sin 2\Theta\sin\phi + \mathrm{Im}\{r_{1-1}^7\}\sin^2\Theta\sin 2\phi \Big) \\ & + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}\sin\Phi \Big(r_{11}^8\sin^2\Theta + r_{00}^8\cos^2\Theta - \sqrt{2}\mathrm{Re}\{r_{10}^8\}\sin 2\Theta\cos\phi - r_{1-1}^8\sin^2\Theta\cos 2\phi \Big) \bigg] \end{split}$$

#### $\rho^0$ 23 Spin Density Matrix Elements

at  $0 < t' < 0.4~{
m GeV}^2$  and  $1 < Q^2 < 5~{
m GeV}^2$ 



• SDMEs:  $r^{\alpha}_{\lambda\rho\lambda'_{
ho}}\sim 
ho(V)= rac{1}{2}T
ho(\gamma)T^+$ 

 $\implies$  Beam-polarization dependent SDMEs measured for the first time

•  $q\bar{q}$ -exchange with isospin 1 can be observed in case of difference between proton and deuteron data,

 $\implies$  No significant difference between proton and deuteron, as well as for  $\phi$  meson SDMEs

#### • SCHC?

 $\implies \text{Enlarged SDMEs are violating} \\ \text{SCHC} (2 \div 5 \sigma). \quad \text{Indication on} \\ \text{hierarchy of non-zero spin-flip amplitudes:} \\ T_{01}, T_{10}, T_{1-1} \\ \end{cases}$ 

#### SDMEs According to Hierarchy of Amplitudes with(out) Helicity Flip: $\rho^0 \phi$



 $\implies \phi$  meson SDMEs are consistent with SCHC,  $|T_{00}| \sim |T_{11}|$ 

#### **Equations for SDMEs Ordered According Helicity Transfer Amplitudes**

A:  $\gamma_L^* \to \rho_L^0$  and  $\gamma_T^* \to \rho_T^0$  $r_{00}^{04} = \widetilde{\sum} \{\epsilon |T_{00}|^2 + |T_{01}|^2 + |U_{01}|^2 / \} / N_{\text{full}},$  $r_{1-1}^{1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum \{ |T_{11}|^{2} + |T_{1-1}|^{2} - |U_{11}|^{2} - |U_{1-1}|^{2} \} / N_{full},$  $\operatorname{Im}\{r_{1-1}^2\} = \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\sum} \{-|T_{11}|^2 + |T_{1-1}|^2 + |U_{11}|^2 - |U_{1-1}|^2\} / N_{\text{full}},$ **B** : interference of  $\gamma_{\rm L}^* \to \rho_{\rm L}^0$  and  $\gamma_{\rm T}^* \to \rho_{\rm T}^0$  $\operatorname{Re}\{r_{10}^5\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \widetilde{\sum} \operatorname{Re}\{2T_{10}T_{01}^* + (T_{11} - T_{1-1})T_{00}^*\} / N_{\text{full}},$  $\operatorname{Im}\{r_{10}^{6}\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum \operatorname{Re}\{2U_{10}U_{01}^{*} - (T_{11} + T_{1-1})T_{00}^{*}\} / N_{\text{full}},$  $\operatorname{Im}\{r_{10}^{7}\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \sum \operatorname{Im}\{2U_{10}U_{01}^{*} + (T_{11} + T_{1-1})T_{00}^{*}\} / N_{\text{full}},$  $\operatorname{Re}\{r_{10}^{8}\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \widetilde{\sum} \operatorname{Im}\{-2T_{10}T_{01}^{*} + (T_{11} - T_{1-1})T_{00}^{*}\} / N_{\text{full}},$  $\mathbf{C}: \gamma^*_{\mathrm{T}} \to \rho^0_{\mathrm{L}}$  $\operatorname{Re}\{r_{10}^{04}\} = \sum \operatorname{Re}\{\epsilon T_{10}T_{00}^* + \frac{1}{2}T_{01}(T_{11} - T_{1-1})^* + \frac{1}{2}U_{01}(U_{11} + U_{1-1})^*\} / N_{\text{full}},$  $\operatorname{Re}\{r_{10}^1\} = \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\sum} \operatorname{Re}\{-T_{01}(T_{11} - T_{1-1})^* + U_{01}(U_{11} + U_{1-1})^*\} / N_{\text{full}},$  $\mathrm{Im}\{r_{10}^2\} = \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\sum}\mathrm{Re}\{T_{01}(T_{11} + T_{1-1})^* - U_{01}(U_{11} - U_{1-1})^*\} / N_{\mathrm{full}}\,,$  $r_{00}^5 = \sqrt{2} \sum Re\{T_{01}T_{00}^*\}/N_{full}$  $r_{00}^{1} = \widetilde{\sum} \{-|T_{01}|^{2} + |U_{01}|^{2}\}/N_{\text{full}},$  $\operatorname{Im}\{r_{10}^3\} = -\frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\sum} \operatorname{Im}\{T_{01}(T_{11} + T_{1-1})^* + U_{01}(U_{11} - U_{1-1})^*\} / N_{\text{full}},$  $r_{00}^8 = \sqrt{2} \sum Im\{T_{01}T_{00}^*\}/N_{full},$  $\mathbf{D}:\gamma_{\mathrm{L}}^{*}\to\overline{\rho_{\mathrm{T}}^{0}}$  $r_{11}^5 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum \operatorname{Re}\{T_{10}(T_{11} - T_{1-1})^* + U_{10}(U_{11} - U_{1-1})^*\} / N_{\text{full}},$  $r_{1-1}^{5} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \widetilde{\sum} \operatorname{Re} \{-T_{10}(T_{11} - T_{1-1})^{*} + U_{10}(U_{11} - U_{1-1})^{*}\} / N_{\text{full}},$  $\operatorname{Im}\{r_{1-1}^{6}\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \widetilde{\sum} \operatorname{Re}\{T_{10}(T_{11} + T_{1-1})^{*} - U_{10}(U_{11} + U_{1-1})^{*}\} / N_{\text{full}},$  $\operatorname{Im}\{r_{1-1}^{7}\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \widetilde{\sum} \operatorname{Im}\{T_{10}(T_{11} + T_{1-1})^{*} - U_{10}(U_{11} + U_{1-1})^{*}\} / N_{\text{full}},$  $r_{11}^8 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum Im \{T_{10}(T_{11} - T_{1-1})^* + U_{10}(U_{11} - U_{1-1})^*\} / N_{full},$  $r_{1-1}^8 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum Im \{ T_{10} (T_{11} - T_{1-1})^* - U_{10} (U_{11} - U_{1-1})^* \} / N_{full},$  $\mathbf{E}: \gamma^*_{\mathrm{T}} \to \rho^0_{-\mathrm{T}}$  $r_{1-1}^{04} = \sum \operatorname{Re}\{-\epsilon |T_{10}|^2 + \epsilon |U_{10}|^2 + T_{1-1}T_{11}^* - U_{1-1}U_{11}^*\} / N_{\text{full}},$  $r_{11}^1 = \sum Re\{T_{1-1}T_{11}^* + U_{1-1}U_{11}^*\}/N_{full},$  $\mathrm{Im}\{r_{1-1}^3\} = -\widetilde{\sum}\mathrm{Im}\{T_{1-1}T_{11}^* - U_{1-1}U_{11}^*\} / N_{\mathrm{full}},$ where  $N_{full}$  is normalized total  $ho^0$  production cross section

#### $\rho^0$ Longitudinal-to-Transverse Cross-Section Ratio



 $\implies$  HERMES  $\rho^0$  data on  $R^{04}$  are suggestive to R(W)-dependence

#### $\phi$ Longitudinal-to-Transverse Cross-Section Ratio



 $\implies R^{04}$  for  $\phi$  meson at HERMES is in fair agreement with world data

 $R^{04}$  of  $\rho^0$  and  $\phi$ -meson Compared with GK Model Calculations



blue line W=90 GeV, squares: H1, ZEUS, red line W=10 GeV, diamond: COMPASS, black line W=5 GeV, circle: HERMES, corrected to subtract UPE contribution for  $\rho^0$ 

 $\implies$   $R^{04}(W)$ -dependence confirmed by calculations

 $Q^2$ -dependence of HERMES  $\rho^0$  SDMEs at W=5 GeV on proton and deuteron compared with H1 and ZEUS Data at W=75 GeV



in addition to  $Q^2$ -dependence

#### $\rho^0$ SDMEs Compared with GK Model Calculations



$$1 - r_{00}^{04} \propto r_{1-1}^1 \propto -Im\{r_{1-1}^2\} \propto |T_{11}|^2$$
  
i.e. amplitudes for  $\gamma_L^* 
ightarrow 
ho_L^0$ ,  $\gamma_T^* 
ightarrow 
ho_T^0$ 

- W=90 GeV
- W=10 GeV, diamond: COMPASS
- W=5 GeV, circle: HERMES

 $\implies$  Fair agreement with data, as well as for the same SDMEs of  $\phi$  meson production



Re  $r_{10}^5$  and Im  $r_{10}^6$  correspond to interference of  $\gamma_L^*, \rho_T^0$  amplitudes

⇒ data provide phase difference for p:  $\delta_{LT} = 28.1 \pm 2.8_{stat} \pm 3.7_{syst}$  degrees d:  $\delta_{LT} = 30.2 \pm 2.0_{stat} \pm 3.7_{syst}$  degrees, while from the handbag apprroach  $\delta_{LT} = 3.1$  degrees at W=5 GeV

#### **Observation of Unnatural Parity Exchange (UPE) in** $\rho^0$ **Leptoproduction**

- Natural-parity exchange: interaction is mediated by a particle of 'natural' parity: vector or scalar meson:  $J^P = 0^+, 1^-$  e.g.  $\rho^0, \omega, a_2$
- Unnatural parity exchange is mediated by pseudoscalar or axial meson:  $J^P = 0^-, 1^+$ , e.g.  $\pi, a_1, b_1 \rightarrow$  only quark-exchange conribution
- UPE amplitudes correspond to the contributions of polarized GPDs:  $\tilde{E}, \tilde{H}$
- No interference between NPE and UPE contributions on unpolarized target
- Extracted from SDMEs:

 $\begin{array}{l} U2 + iU3 \propto (U_{11} + U_{1-1}) * U_{10} \\ U2 = r_{11}^5 + r_{1-1}^5 \\ \texttt{p:} \ U2 = -0.012 \pm 0.006_{stat} \pm 0.012_{syst} \\ \texttt{d:} \ U2 = -0.008 \pm 0.0046_{stat} \pm 0.010_{syst} \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} U3 = r_{11}^5 + r_{1-1}^5 \\ {\rm p:} \ U3 = -0.020 \pm 0.050_{stat} \pm 0.007_{syst} \\ {\rm d:} \ U3 = -0.021 \pm 0.038_{stat} \pm 0.011_{syst} \end{array}$ 



•  $U1 \propto \epsilon |U_{10}|^2 + 2|U_{11} + U_{1-1}|^2$  $U1 = 1 - r_{00}^{04} + 2r_{1-1}^{04} - 2r_{11}^1 - 2r_{1-1}^1$ 

p:  $U1 = 2|U_{11}|^2 = 0.132 \pm 0.026_{st} \pm 0.053_{syst}$ d:  $U1 = 0.094 \pm 0.020_{st} \pm 0.044_{syst}$ p+d:  $U1 = 0.109 \pm 0.037_{tot}$ 

 $\implies$  Indication on hierarchy of  $ho^0$  UPE amplitudes:  $|U_{11}| \gg |U_{10}| \sim |U_{01}|$ 

#### ...Only Natural Parity Exchange in $\phi$ Meson Leptoproduction



 $U1 = 0.02 \pm 0.07_{stat} \pm 0.16_{syst}$  $U2 = -0.03 \pm 0.01_{stat} \pm 0.03_{syst}$  $U3 = -0.05 \pm 0.11_{stat} \pm 0.07_{syst}$ 

 $\implies$  no UPE for  $\phi$  meson production, as expected

#### **Unnatural Parity Exchange contribution in GK model**



- extreme assumption for valence quarks:  

$$\tilde{r}$$

$$H_{val}^u = H_{val}^u$$
 and  $H_{val}^d = -H_{val}^d$ 

- $\sigma_U \approx 0.013$  for gluons and sea contribution
- $\sigma_U$  small for H1 and ZEUS  $\rho^0$  data as gluon and sea contribution dominate
- $\sigma_U$  small for  $\phi$  at HERMES as gluon contribution dominate

...Better precision of  $|U_{11}|^2$  measurement at  $Q^2 pprox 3~{
m GeV}^2$  is planned

#### $\rho^o$ Double Spin Asymmetry and Unnatural Parity Exchange

$$A_1^{\rho} = \frac{\sigma_{1/2} - \sigma_{3/2}}{\sigma_{1/2} + \sigma_{3/2}} = \frac{A_{LL}}{D} - \eta \sqrt{R_{\rho}}$$

 $D\approx 0.40$  photon depolarization factor  $\eta\approx 0.06$  kinematical factor,  $R_\rho=\sigma_L/\sigma_T$ 

 $A_{LL} = \frac{1}{p_B p_T} \frac{N^{\uparrow \Downarrow} L^{\uparrow \Downarrow} - N^{\uparrow \Uparrow} L^{\uparrow \Uparrow}}{N^{\uparrow \Downarrow} L^{\uparrow \Downarrow} + N^{\uparrow \Uparrow} L^{\uparrow \Uparrow}} \approx \frac{A_1^{\rho}}{2.5}$ 

 $N^{\uparrow\Downarrow}$  for  $\rho^o$  measured with antiparallel target helicity relative lepton helicity, L luminosity

- A<sup>ρ</sup><sub>1</sub> due to the linear contribution of unnatural parity amplitudes process mediated by di-quark objects:
   H.Fraas, Nucl. Phys. B113, 532, (1976);
   N.I.Kochelev et al, Phys.Rev. D67 (2003) 074014
- i.e. interference effect for  $A_{LL}$



HERMES collab., Phys.Lett.B 513 (2001) 301-310, and Eur.Phys.J. C 29, 171 - 179 (2003)

 $(A_1^{\phi} ext{ consistent with zero})$ 

 $\rho^o$  Double Spin Asymmetry in GK model



calculated at  $W=5~{\rm GeV}$ 



cf. talk of V.Korotkov

In GK model (arXiv:0708.3569)

- $A_{UT}$  requires the proton helicity flip amplitudes  $M^N_{
  ho^0 p', \gamma^* p} \propto e_u E^u_{val} e_d E^d_{val}$
- GK model handbag calculations for HERMES provide

$$A_{UT} = 4 \frac{Im\{M_{+-,++}^{N}M_{+-,++}^{N}\} + \epsilon Im\{M_{0-,0+}^{N}M_{0+,0+}^{N}\}}{\sigma(\rho)}$$

$$A_{UT} = 0.02 \pm 0.01$$

•  $A_{UT}$  small for  $\phi$  at HERMES

#### **Summary**

• HERMES data are unique due to the sensitivity to *both quark and two-gluon exchange processes* at sufficiently large W and  $Q^2$  for the comparison with GPD handbag diagram based calculations:



- *First comprehensive comparision* of data on vector meson production with GK model calculations is in fair agreement for:
  - longitudinal and total cross sections of  $\rho^0$  and  $\phi$  mesons
  - values of SDMEs and hierarchy of corresponding amplitudes
  - violation of SCHC in  $\rho^0$  prioduction
  - W-dependence of  $ho^0$  and  $\phi$  SDMEs and  $\sigma_L/\sigma_T$  ratios
- Constraints of HERMES data in GPDs are for:
  - phase difference in the interference of  $\gamma_L^* \to \rho_L^0 \& \gamma_T^* \to \rho_T^0$  transitions
  - $\tilde{H}_{val}^{u,d}$  contribution in Unnatural Parity Exchange amplitude and  $A_{LL}^{\rho}$
  - $E_{val}^{u,d}$  contribution in  $A_{UT}^{\rho^0}$  asymmetry

### Outlook

- Target-polarization dependent SDMEs are under analysis in M.Diehl representation (DESY-07-049, Apr 2007, e-Print: arXiv:0704.1565 [hep-ph])
- More data from 2006-2007 at Luminosity  $\sim 1.3$  fb<sup>-1</sup> will be available soon:



### **BACKUP SLIDES !!!**



 $\implies$  Calculations for W = 5 GeV are fairly compartible with data

#### $\phi$ -meson 23 Spin Density Matrix Elements



• SDMEs:  $r^{\alpha}_{\lambda\rho\lambda'_{\rho}} \sim \rho(V) = \frac{1}{2}T\rho(\gamma)T^{+}$   $\implies$  Beam-polarization dependent SDMEs measured for the first time

#### • SCHC?

→ SDMEs are consistent with SCHC: non-zero elements only in yellow bands

 proton and deuteron data combined, checked that no significant difference between proton and deuteron SDMEs



Difference between Im  $r_{10}^7$  and Re  $r_{10}^8$  of about 3  $\sigma$  is seen only in preliminary proton data and treated as a possible statistical fluctuation of Im  $r_{10}^7$ . These elements are completely compartible in deuteron data with Re  $r_{10}^8$  on proton.

## $Q^2$ and t'-Dependences of $\rho^0$ SDMEs



#### $Q^2$ and t'-Dependences of $\phi$ -meson SDMEs



#### $ho^o$ and $\phi$ Longitudinal Cross Sections, and VGG Model

first approach: GPD calculations of M.Vanderhaeghen, P.A.M. Guichon, M. Guidal, Phys.Rev.Let. 80 5064, (1998); Phys.Rev.D 60 094017 (1999)



 $\rightarrow$  Domination of quark exchange for  $\rho^o$  and two-gluon for  $\phi$  from VGG model

#### $Q^2$ -Dependence of SDMEs Compared with Calculations



Reasonable agreement for a majority of SDMEs of 12 elements. To be compared with calculations, for example: (S.V.Goloskokov and P.Kroll, Eur.Phys.J. C **42** 2005 281)

$$T_{01} \sim T \to L : \qquad \mathcal{H}^{V} \propto \frac{\sqrt{-t}}{Q}$$
$$\mathsf{T}_{11} \sim T \to T : \qquad \mathcal{H}^{V} \propto \frac{\langle k_{\perp}^{2} \rangle^{1/2}}{Q}$$
$$\mathsf{T}_{10} \sim L \to T : \qquad \mathcal{H}^{V} \propto \frac{\sqrt{-t}}{Q} \frac{\langle k_{\perp}^{2} \rangle^{1/2}}{Q}$$
$$\mathsf{T}_{1-1} \sim T \to -T : \qquad \mathcal{H}^{V} \propto \frac{-t}{Q^{2}} \frac{\langle k_{\perp}^{2} \rangle^{1/2}}{Q}$$

**HERMES** Detector is Two Identical Halves of Forward Spectrometer

- Beam:  $P=27.56~{\rm GeV/c},~50...100~{\rm mA},~{\rm longitudinal~polarization}\sim 55\%,$  accuracy of 2%
- Target: <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>2</sup>H gases, integrated over polarization states



• Acceptance:  $40 < \Theta < 220$  mrad,  $|\Theta_x| < 170$  mrad,  $40 < |\Theta_y| < 140$  mrad

## Longitudinally Polarized $e^{+(-)}$ Beam at HERA

P = 27.56 GeV/c, current 50...100 mA, polarization of about 55%, measured with accuracy of 2%



#### **Internal Storage Cell Gas Target**

polarized: ~  $10^{14}$  nucl/cm<sup>2</sup>, longitudinal polarization ~ 98(92)%: <sup>1</sup>H, (<sup>2</sup>H); transverse ~ 76%: <sup>1</sup>H unpolarized: ~  $5 \cdot 10^{15}$  nucl/cm<sup>2</sup>: <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>2</sup>H, <sup>4</sup>He, <sup>14</sup>N, <sup>20</sup>Ne, <sup>84</sup>Kr, <sup>131</sup>Xe





#### **Deep Inelastic Scattering: Important Variables and Kinematic Distributions**



- $Q^2 \stackrel{lab}{=} 4EE' \sin^2(\Theta/2)$
- $\nu \stackrel{lab}{=} E E'$
- $x_{Bj} \stackrel{lab}{=} Q^2/2M\nu$
- $W^2 \stackrel{lab}{=} M^2 + 2M\nu Q^2$





HERMES collab., Phys.Lett.B 513 (2001) 301-310; Eur.Phys.J. C 29, 171 - 179 (2003)



- radius of the nucleus:  $r_{14_N}\simeq 2.5~{
  m fm}$
- coherence length: distance traversed by qq



- transverse size of the qq wave packet  $r_{q\bar{q}}\sim 1/< Q^2>\simeq 0.4~{\rm fm}< r_p=1~{\rm fm}$
- formation length: distance needed for qq to develop into hadron:

$$\begin{split} l_{form} &= \frac{2 \cdot \nu}{m_{V'}^2 - m_V^2} = 1.3 \div 6.3 \text{ fm} \\ &< l_{form} >= 3.47 \text{ fm} \end{split}$$

 $ightarrow 
ho^0$  absorbtion at  $l_c \gtrless r_{14_N}$ ightarrow 2-dimensional analysis of  $Q^2$ ,  $l_c$  dependences

#### **Coherent Length Effect**



panel. (T.Falter, W.Cassing, K.Gallmeister and U.Mosel, nucl-th/0309057).



- $\rightarrow$  Size of virtual photon controlled via  $Q^2$
- $\rightarrow$  No strong  $W{-}{\rm dependence}$

#### **Color Transparency Effect**

(HERMES collab., Phys.Rev.Let.,**90**,5,052501,2003) The QCD factorization theorem rigorously not possible without the onset of the color transparency:

 $\rightarrow r(qq)$  decreases with the increase of  $Q^2 \rightarrow Tr^A(Q^2, l_{coh}) = \sigma^A_{(in)coh} / \sigma^H$  grows with  $Q^2$ 

At fixed  $l_{coh}$ :



Agreement with theoretical calculations where positive slope of  $Q^2$ -dependence was derived from the onset of the color transparency effect (B.Z. Kopeliovich et al, Phys.Rev. C, **65**, 035201, 2002)