

Higher-dimension invariants of $\mathcal{N}=(1, 1)$ 6D SYM from Harmonic Superspace

Evgeny Ivanov (BLTP JINR, Dubna)

The VII Round-Table Italy-Russia at Dubna:
“Hundred Years from GR’s Birth,
SUGRA gets into its Forties”

Dubna 24 - 28 November 2015

G. Bossard, E. I., A. Smilga, arXiv:1509.08027 [hep-th]

Outline

Motivations and contents

$6D$ superspaces and superfields

Higher-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ and $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ invariants

$\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ on-shell harmonic superspace

Solving $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ SYM constraints

Invariants in $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ superspace

Summary and outlook

Outline

Motivations and contents

6D superspaces and superfields

Higher-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ and $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ invariants

$\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ on-shell harmonic superspace

Solving $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ SYM constraints

Invariants in $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ superspace

Summary and outlook

Outline

Motivations and contents

6D superspaces and superfields

Higher-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ and $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ invariants

$\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ on-shell harmonic superspace

Solving $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ SYM constraints

Invariants in $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ superspace

Summary and outlook

Outline

Motivations and contents

$6D$ superspaces and superfields

Higher-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ and $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ invariants

$\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ on-shell harmonic superspace

Solving $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ SYM constraints

Invariants in $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ superspace

Summary and outlook

Outline

Motivations and contents

$6D$ superspaces and superfields

Higher-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ and $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ invariants

$\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ on-shell harmonic superspace

Solving $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ SYM constraints

Invariants in $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ superspace

Summary and outlook

Outline

Motivations and contents

$6D$ superspaces and superfields

Higher-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ and $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ invariants

$\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ on-shell harmonic superspace

Solving $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ SYM constraints

Invariants in $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ superspace

Summary and outlook

Outline

Motivations and contents

$6D$ superspaces and superfields

Higher-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ and $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ invariants

$\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ on-shell harmonic superspace

Solving $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ SYM constraints

Invariants in $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ superspace

Summary and outlook

Motivations and contents

Maximally extended gauge theories (with 16 supersymmetries) are under intensive study for the last few years:

$$\mathcal{N} = 4, 4D \implies \mathcal{N} = (1, 1), 6D \implies \mathcal{N} = (1, 0), 10D.$$

- ▶ $\mathcal{N} = 4, 4D$ SYM is UV finite and perhaps completely integrable.
- ▶ $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1), 6D$ SYM is not renormalizable by formal power counting (the coupling constant is dimensionful) but is expected to also possess various unique properties.
- ▶ In particular, it respects the so called “dual superconformal symmetry” like its $4D$ counterpart.
- ▶ It provides the effective theory descriptions of some particular low energy sectors of string theory, such as D5-brane dynamics.
- ▶ $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ SYM is anomaly free (Frampton, Kephart, 1983, *et al*), as distinct from $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ SYM.
- ▶ $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ and $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ SYM are analogs of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity (also formally non-renormalizable).

- ▶ The full effective action of D5-brane is expected to be of non-abelian Born-Infeld type, generalizing the $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ SYM action (Tseytlin, 1997).
- ▶ The newest perturbative explicit calculations in $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ SYM show a lot of cancelations of the UV divergencies which cannot be predicted in advance.
- ▶ The theory is UV-finite up to 2 loops, while at 3 loops only a single-trace counterterm of canonical dim 10 is required. The allowed double-trace counterterms do not appear (Bern *et al*, 2010, 2011; Berkovits *et al*, 2009; Bjornsson *et al*, 2011, 2012).
- ▶ New non-renormalization theorems? The maximally supersymmetric off-shell formulations are needed!
- ▶ Maximum that one can achieve - off-shell $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ SUSY. The most natural off-shell formulation of $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ SYM - in harmonic $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$, 6D superspace (Howe *et al*, 1985; Zupnik, 1986) as a generalization of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$, 4D HSS (Galperin *et al*, 1984).

- ▶ $[\mathcal{N} = (1, 0) \text{ SYM} + 6D \text{ hypermultiplet}] = [\mathcal{N} = (1, 1) \text{ SYM}]$, with the second hidden on-shell $\mathcal{N} = (0, 1)$ SUSY.
- ▶ How to construct higher-dimension $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ invariants in terms of $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ superfields?
- ▶ The “brute-force” method: To start with the appropriate dimension $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ SYM invariant and then to complete it to $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ invariant by adding the proper hypermultiplet terms. Very cumbersome technically and actually works only for the lowest-order invariants.
- ▶ The situation is simplified by the fact that for finding all admissible superfield counterterms it is enough to stay on the mass shell.
- ▶ One of the main results of our work with **Bossard** and **Smilga** is developing of the new approach to constructing higher-dimension $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ invariants based on the concept of the **on-shell** $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ harmonic superspace with the double set of the harmonic variables $u_i^\pm, u_A^\pm, i = 1, 2; A = 1, 2$ (**Bossard, Howe & Stelle, 2009**) and solving the $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ SYM constraints in terms of $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ superfields.
- ▶ The $d = 8$ and $d = 10$ invariants were explicitly constructed and an essential difference between the single- and double-trace $d = 10$ invariants was established.

6D superspaces

- ▶ The standard $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$, 6D superspace:

$$z = (x^M, \theta_i^a), \quad M = 0, \dots, 5, \quad a = 1, \dots, 4, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

with Grassmann pseudoreal θ_i^a .

- ▶ The harmonic $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$, 6D superspace:

$$Z := (z, u) = (x^M, \theta_i^a, u^{\pm i}), \quad u_i^- = (u_i^+)^*, \quad u^{+i} u_i^- = 1, \quad u^{\pm i} \in SU(2)_R/U(1).$$

- ▶ The *analytic* $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$, 6D superspace:

$$\zeta := (x_{(\text{an})}^M, \theta^{+a}, u^{\pm i}) \subset Z, \quad x_{(\text{an})}^M = x^M + \frac{i}{2} \theta_k^a \gamma_{ab}^M \theta_i^b u^{+k} u^{-l}, \quad \theta^{\pm a} = \theta_i^a u^{\pm i}.$$

- ▶ Basic differential operators in the analytic basis:

$$D_a^+ = \partial_{-a}, \quad D_a^- = -\partial_{+a} - 2i\theta^{-b} \partial_{ab},$$

$$D^0 = u^{+i} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{+i}} - u^{-i} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{-i}} + \theta^{+a} \partial_{+a} - \theta^{-a} \partial_{-a}$$

$$D^{++} = \partial^{++} + i\theta^{+a} \theta^{+b} \partial_{ab} + \theta^{+a} \partial_{-a}, \quad D^{--} = \partial^{--} + i\theta^{-a} \theta^{-b} \partial_{ab} + \theta^{-a} \partial_{+a}.$$

where $\partial_{\pm a} \theta^{\pm b} = \delta_a^b$ and $\partial^{++} = u^{+i} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{-i}}$, $\partial^{--} = u^{-i} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{+i}}$.

Basic superfields

- ▶ Analytic gauge $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ SYM connection:

$$\nabla^{++} = D^{++} + V^{++}, \quad \delta V^{++} = -\nabla^{++}\Lambda, \quad \Lambda = \Lambda(\zeta).$$

- ▶ Second harmonic (non-analytic) connection:

$$\nabla^{--} = D^{--} + V^{--}, \quad \delta V^{--} = -\nabla^{--}\Lambda.$$

- ▶ Related by the harmonic flatness condition

$$\begin{aligned} [\nabla^{++}, \nabla^{--}] = D^0 &\Rightarrow D^{++}V^{--} - D^{--}V^{++} + [V^{++}, V^{--}] = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow V^{--} = V^{--}(V^{++}, u^\pm). \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Wess-Zumino gauge:

$$V^{++} = \theta^{+a}\theta^{+b}A_{ab} + 2(\theta^+)^3_a\lambda^{-a} - 3(\theta^+)^4\mathcal{D}^{--}.$$

Here A_{ab} is the gauge field, $\lambda^{-a} = \lambda^{ai}u_i^-$ is the gaugino and $\mathcal{D}^{--} = \mathcal{D}^{ik}u_i^-u_k^-$, where $\mathcal{D}^{ik} = \mathcal{D}^{ki}$, are the auxiliary fields.

► Covariant derivatives

$$\nabla_a^- = [\nabla^{--}, D_a^+] = D_a^- + \mathcal{A}_a^-, \quad \nabla_{ab} = \frac{1}{2i} [D_a^+, \nabla_b^-] = \partial_{ab} + \mathcal{A}_{ab},$$

$$\mathcal{A}_a^-(V) = -D_a^+ V^{--}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{ab}(V) = \frac{i}{2} D_a^+ D_b^+ V^{--},$$

$$[\nabla^{++}, \nabla_a^-] = D_a^+, \quad [\nabla^{++}, D_a^+] = [\nabla^{--}, \nabla_a^-] = [\nabla^{\pm\pm}, \nabla_{ab}] = 0.$$

► Covariant superfield strengths

$$[D_a^+, \nabla_{bc}] = \frac{i}{2} \varepsilon_{abcd} W^{+d}, \quad [\nabla_a^-, \nabla_{bc}] = \frac{i}{2} \varepsilon_{abcd} W^{-d},$$

$$W^{+a} = -\frac{1}{6} \varepsilon^{abcd} D_b^+ D_c^+ D_d^+ V^{--}, \quad W^{-a} := \nabla^{--} W^{+a},$$

$$\nabla^{++} W^{+a} = \nabla^{--} W^{-a} = 0, \quad \nabla^{++} W^{-a} = W^{+a},$$

$$D_b^+ W^{+a} = \delta_b^a F^{++}, \quad F^{++} = \frac{1}{4} D_a^+ W^{+a} = (D^+)^4 V^{--},$$

$$\nabla^{++} F^{++} = 0, \quad D_a^+ F^{++} = 0.$$

► Hypermultiplet

$$q^{+A}(\zeta) = q^{iA}(x) u_i^+ - \theta^{+a} \psi_a^A(x) + \text{An infinite tail of auxiliary fields, } A = 1, 2.$$

$\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ superfield actions

- ▶ The $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ SYM action (Zupnik, 1986):

$$S^{\text{SYM}} = \frac{1}{f^2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \text{Tr} \int d^6x d^8\theta du_1 \dots du_n \frac{V^{++}(z, u_1) \dots V^{++}(z, u_n)}{(u_1^+ u_2^+) \dots (u_n^+ u_1^+)},$$
$$\delta S^{\text{SYM}} = 0 \Rightarrow F^{++} = 0.$$

- ▶ The hypermultiplet action

$$S^q = -\frac{1}{2f^2} \text{Tr} \int d\zeta^{-4} q^{+A} \nabla^{++} q_A^+, \quad \nabla^{++} q_A^+ = D^{++} q_A^+ + [V^{++}, q_A^+],$$
$$\delta S^q = 0 \Rightarrow \nabla^{++} q^{+A} = 0.$$

- ▶ The $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ superfield form of the $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ SYM action:

$$S^{(V+q)} = S^{\text{SYM}} + S^q = \frac{1}{f^2} \left(\int dZ \mathcal{L}^{\text{SYM}} - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \int d\zeta^{-4} q^{+A} \nabla^{++} q_A^+ \right),$$
$$\delta S^{(V+q)} = 0 \Rightarrow F^{++} + \frac{1}{2} [q^{+A}, q_A^+] = 0, \quad \nabla^{++} q^{+A} = 0.$$

It is invariant under the second $\mathcal{N} = (0, 1)$ supersymmetry:

$$\delta V^{++} = \epsilon^{+A} q_A^+, \quad \delta q^{+A} = -(D^+)^4 (\epsilon_A^- V^{--}), \quad \epsilon_A^\pm = \epsilon_{aA} \theta^{\pm a}.$$

Higher-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ and $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ invariants

- ▶ $d = 6$: In the pure SYM case it is unique

$$S_{SYM}^{(6)} = \frac{1}{2g^2} \text{Tr} \int d\zeta^{-4} du (F^{++})^2 \sim \text{Tr} \int d^6 x [(\nabla^M F_{ML})^2 + \dots]$$

- ▶ Does its off-shell completion to an off-shell $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ invariant exist? The answer is NO, only an expression can be found whose $\mathcal{N} = (0, 1)$ variation vanishes *on-shell*. It is unique up to a real parameter

$$\mathcal{L}^{d=6} = \frac{1}{2g^2} \text{Tr} \int dud\zeta^{(-4)} \left(F^{++} + \frac{1}{2}[q^{+A}, q_A^+] \right) \left(F^{++} + 2\beta[q^{+A}, q_A^+] \right)$$

But it vanishes on-shell by itself! We have thus shown that the non-vanishing on-shell counterterms of canonical dimension 6 are absent, and this proves *one-loop finiteness* of $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ SYM.

- ▶ $d = 8$: All $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ superfield terms of such dimension in the pure $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ SYM theory prove to vanish on the gauge fields mass shell, in accord with the old statement (Howe & Stelle, 1984). Can adding the hypermultiplet terms change this? Our analysis showed that there exist NO $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ supersymmetric off-shell invariants of the dimension 8 which would respect the on-shell $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ invariance.
- ▶ Surprisingly, the $d = 8$ superfield expression which is non-vanishing on shell and respects the on-shell $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ supersymmetry can be constructed by *giving up* the requirement of *off-shell* $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ supersymmetry.
- ▶ An example of such an invariant in $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ SYM is very simple

$$\tilde{S}_1^{(8)} \sim \text{Tr} \int d\zeta^{-4} \varepsilon_{abcd} W^{+a} W^{+b} W^{+c} W^{+d}.$$

Indeed, $D_a^+ W^{+b} = \delta_a^b F^{++}$, which vanishes on shell. Thus, W^{+a} is an analytic superfield, when disregarding the terms proportional to the equations of motion, and the above action respects $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ supersymmetry on shell. Also, double-trace on-shell invariant exists

$$\tilde{S}_2^{(8)} \sim \int d\zeta^{-4} \varepsilon_{abcd} \text{Tr}(W^{+a} W^{+b}) \text{Tr}(W^{+c} W^{+d}).$$

- ▶ Do these invariants admit $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ completions? YES, they do!

- ▶ By varying the pure $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ SYM action by the transformations of the second hidden $\mathcal{N} = (0, 1)$ supersymmetry and picking the appropriate compensating hypermultiplet terms, after rather cumbersome computations we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{(1,1)}^{+4} = \text{Tr}_{(S)} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_{abcd} W^{+a} W^{+b} W^{+c} W^{+d} + 3iq^{+A} \nabla_{ab} q_A^+ W^{+a} W^{+b} \right. \\ \left. - q^{+A} \nabla_{ab} q_A^+ q^{+B} \nabla^{ab} q_B^+ - W^{+a} [D_a^+ q_A^-, q_B^+] q^{+A} q^{+B} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{2} [q^{+C}, q_C^+] [q_A^-, q_B^+] q^{+A} q^{+B} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is analytic, $D_a^+ \mathcal{L}_{(1,1)}^{+4} = 0$, on the full shell $F^{++} + \frac{1}{2} [q^{+A}, q_A^+] = 0$, $\nabla^{++} q^{+A} = 0$, and also $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ supersymmetric. $\text{Tr}_{(S)}$ stands for the *symmetrized* trace. Also, it is possible to extend the double-trace $d = 8$ invariant in a similar way.

- ▶ Though the nontrivial on-shell $d = 8$ invariants exist, the perturbative expansion for the amplitudes for the $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ SYM theory does not involve divergences at the two-loop level. The matter is that these invariants do *not* possess the full off-shell $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ supersymmetry which the physically relevant counterterms should obey. Indeed, we have at hand the harmonic off-shell $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ superfields. On the basis of that, one can construct the $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ gauge-covariant supergraph technique such that all the amplitudes and the counterterms would enjoy $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ supersymmetry *off shell*.

$\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ on-shell harmonic superspace

- ▶ Though such $d = 8$ terms cannot appear as counterterms in $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ SYM theory, they can appear, e.g., as quantum corrections to the effective Wilsonian action. For the pure $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ SYM theory this was recently observed in [Buchbinder & Pletnev, 2015](#). It would be desirable to work out some simple and systematic way of constructing such higher-order on-shell $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ invariants. This becomes possible in the framework of the on-shell harmonic $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ superspace.
- ▶ As the first step, extend the $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ superspace to the $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ one,

$$z = (x^{ab}, \theta_i^a) \Rightarrow \hat{z} = (x^{ab}, \theta_i^a, \hat{\theta}_a^A).$$

- ▶ Then we define the covariant spinor derivatives,

$$\nabla_a^i = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i^a} - i\theta^{bi} \partial_{ab} + \mathcal{A}_a^i, \quad \hat{\nabla}^{aA} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\theta}_{Aa}} - i\hat{\theta}_b^A \partial^{ab} + \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{aA}.$$

- ▶ The constraints defining the $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ SYM theory are as follows ([Howe, Sierra, Townsend, 1983](#); [Howe, Stelle, 1984](#)):

$$\begin{aligned} \{\nabla_a^{(i}, \nabla_b^{j)}\} &= \{\hat{\nabla}^{a(A}, \hat{\nabla}^{bB)}\} = 0, \quad \{\nabla_a^i, \hat{\nabla}^{bA}\} = \delta_a^b \phi^{iA} \\ \Rightarrow \nabla_a^{(i} \phi^{j)A} &= \hat{\nabla}^{a(A} \phi^{B)j} = 0 \quad (\text{By Bianchis}). \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ As the next step, we define the $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ HSS with the double set of harmonics (Bossard, Howe & Stelle, 2009):

$$Z = (x^{ab}, \theta_i^a, u_k^\pm) \Rightarrow \hat{Z} = (x^{ab}, \theta_i^a, \hat{\theta}_b^A, u_k^\pm, u_A^\pm)$$

- ▶ Then we pass to the analytic basis and choose the “hatted” spinor derivatives short, $\nabla^{\hat{+}a} = D^{\hat{+}a} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_a^-}$. The set of constraints in the ordinary $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ superspace amounts to the following set in the $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ HSS

$$\begin{aligned} \{\nabla_a^+, \nabla_b^+\} &= 0, & \{D^{\hat{+}a}, D^{\hat{+}b}\} &= 0, & \{\nabla_a^+, D^{\hat{+}b}\} &= \delta_a^b \phi^{+\hat{+}}, \\ [\nabla^{\hat{+}\hat{+}}, \nabla_a^+] &= 0, & [\tilde{\nabla}^{++}, \nabla_a^+] &= 0, & [\nabla^{\hat{+}\hat{+}}, D^{a\hat{+}}] &= 0, & [\tilde{\nabla}^{++}, D^{a\hat{+}}] &= 0, \\ [\tilde{\nabla}^{++}, \nabla^{\hat{+}\hat{+}}] &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Here

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_a^+ &= D_a^+ + \mathcal{A}_a^+(\hat{Z}), & \tilde{\nabla}^{++} &= D^{++} + \tilde{V}^{++}(\hat{\zeta}), & \nabla^{\hat{+}\hat{+}} &= D^{\hat{+}\hat{+}} + V^{\hat{+}\hat{+}}(\hat{\zeta}), \\ \hat{\zeta} &= (x_{an}^{ab}, \theta^{\pm a}, \theta_c^{\hat{+}}, u_i^\pm, u_A^\pm). \end{aligned}$$

Solving $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ SYM constraints

- ▶ The starting point of our analysis was to fix, using the $\Lambda(\hat{\zeta})$ gauge freedom, the WZ gauge for the second harmonic connection $V^{\hat{\dagger}\hat{\dagger}}(\hat{\zeta})$ as

$$V^{\hat{\dagger}\hat{\dagger}} = i\theta_a^{\hat{\dagger}}\theta_b^{\hat{\dagger}}\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{ab} + \varepsilon^{abcd}\theta_a^{\hat{\dagger}}\theta_b^{\hat{\dagger}}\theta_c^{\hat{\dagger}}\varphi_d^A u_A^{\hat{\dagger}} + \varepsilon^{abcd}\theta_a^{\hat{\dagger}}\theta_b^{\hat{\dagger}}\theta_c^{\hat{\dagger}}\theta_d^{\hat{\dagger}}\mathcal{D}^{AB}u_A^{\hat{\dagger}}u_B^{\hat{\dagger}}$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{ab}$, φ_d^A and $\mathcal{D}^{(AB)}$ are some $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ harmonic superfields, still arbitrary at this step.

- ▶ Then the above constraints are reduced to some sets of harmonic equations which we have explicitly solved. The crucial point was the requirement that the vector $6D$ connections in the sectors of hatted and unhatted variables are identical to each other.
- ▶ As the result, we have obtained that the first harmonic connection V^{++} coincides precisely with the previous $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ one, $V^{++} = V^{++}(\zeta)$, while the dependence of all other geometric $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ objects on the variables with “hat” is strictly fixed

$$\begin{aligned} V^{\hat{\dagger}\hat{\dagger}} &= i\theta_a^{\hat{\dagger}}\theta_b^{\hat{\dagger}}\mathcal{A}^{ab} - \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon^{abcd}\theta_a^{\hat{\dagger}}\theta_b^{\hat{\dagger}}\theta_c^{\hat{\dagger}}D_d^+q^{-\hat{\dagger}} + \frac{1}{8}\varepsilon^{abcd}\theta_a^{\hat{\dagger}}\theta_b^{\hat{\dagger}}\theta_c^{\hat{\dagger}}\theta_d^{\hat{\dagger}}[q^{+\hat{\dagger}}, q^{-\hat{\dagger}}] \\ \phi^{+\hat{\dagger}} &= q^{+\hat{\dagger}} - \theta_a^{\hat{\dagger}}W^{+a} - i\theta_a^{\hat{\dagger}}\theta_b^{\hat{\dagger}}\nabla^{ab}q^{+\hat{\dagger}} + \frac{1}{6}\varepsilon^{abcd}\theta_a^{\hat{\dagger}}\theta_b^{\hat{\dagger}}\theta_c^{\hat{\dagger}}[D_d^+q^{-\hat{\dagger}}, q^{+\hat{\dagger}}] \\ &+ \frac{1}{24}\varepsilon^{abcd}\theta_a^{\hat{\dagger}}\theta_b^{\hat{\dagger}}\theta_c^{\hat{\dagger}}\theta_d^{\hat{\dagger}}[q^{+\hat{\dagger}}, [q^{+\hat{\dagger}}, q^{-\hat{\dagger}}]]. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Here, $q^{+\hat{\pm}} = q^{+A}(\zeta)u_A^{\hat{\pm}}$, $q^{-\hat{\pm}} = q^{-A}(\zeta)u_A^{\hat{\pm}}$ and $W^{+a}, q^{\pm A}$ are just the $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ superfields explored previously. In the process of solving the constraints, there appeared the analyticity conditions for q^{+A} , as well as the full set of the superfield equations of motion

$$\nabla^{++} q^{+A} = 0, \quad F^{++} = \frac{1}{4} D_a^+ W^{+a} = -\frac{1}{2} [q^{+A}, q_A^+].$$

- ▶ Also, the structure of the spinor covariant derivatives was fully fixed

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_a^+ &= D_a^+ - \theta_a^{\hat{\pm}} q^{+\hat{\pm}} + \theta_a^{\hat{\pm}} \phi^{\pm\hat{\pm}}, \\ \nabla_a^- &= D_a^- - D_a^+ V^{--} - \theta_a^{\hat{\pm}} q^{-\hat{\pm}} + \theta_a^{\hat{\pm}} \phi^{-\hat{\pm}}, \quad \phi^{-\hat{\pm}} = \nabla^{--} \phi^{\pm\hat{\pm}}. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ The basic advantage of using the constrained $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ strengths $\phi^{\pm\hat{\pm}}$ for constructing various invariants is their extremely simple transformation rules under the hidden $\mathcal{N} = (0, 1)$ supersymmetry

$$\delta \phi^{\pm\hat{\pm}} = -\epsilon_a^{\hat{\pm}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_a^{\hat{\pm}}} \phi^{\pm\hat{\pm}} - 2i \epsilon_a^{\hat{\pm}} \theta_b^{\hat{\pm}} \partial^{ab} \phi^{\pm\hat{\pm}} - [\Lambda^{(comp)}, \phi^{\pm\hat{\pm}}],$$

where $\Lambda^{(comp)}$ is some common composite gauge parameter which does not contribute under **Tr**.

Invariants in $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ superspace

- ▶ The previous single-trace $d = 8$ invariant Lagrangian admits a simple rewriting in $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ superspace

$$S_{(1,1)} = \int dud\zeta^{(-4)} \mathcal{L}_{(1,1)}^{+4}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{(1,1)}^{+4} = -\text{Tr} \frac{1}{4} \int d\hat{\zeta}^{(-4)} d\hat{u} (\phi^{+\hat{+}})^4, \quad d\hat{\zeta}^{(-4)} \sim (D^{\hat{-}})^4$$

$$\delta \mathcal{L}_{(1,1)}^{+4} = -2i\partial^{ab} \text{Tr} \int d\hat{\zeta}^{(-4)} d\hat{u} \left[\epsilon_a^{\hat{-}} \theta_b^{\hat{+}} \frac{1}{4} (\phi^{+\hat{+}})^4 \right].$$

- ▶ The double-trace $d = 8$ invariant is given by

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{(1,1)}^{+4} = -\frac{1}{4} \int d\hat{\zeta}^{(-4)} d\hat{u} \text{Tr} (\phi^{+\hat{+}})^2 \text{Tr} (\phi^{+\hat{+}})^2.$$

- ▶ Now it is easy to construct the single- and double-trace $d = 10$ invariants possibly responsible for the 3-loop counterterms

$$S_1^{(10)} = \text{Tr} \int dZ d\hat{\zeta}^{(-4)} d\hat{u} (\phi^{+\hat{+}})^2 (\phi^{-\hat{-}})^2, \quad \phi^{-\hat{-}} = \nabla^{--} \phi^{+\hat{+}},$$

$$S_2^{(10)} = - \int dZ d\hat{\zeta}^{(-4)} d\hat{u} \text{Tr} (\phi^{+\hat{+}} \phi^{-\hat{-}}) \text{Tr} (\phi^{+\hat{+}} \phi^{-\hat{-}}).$$

- ▶ These are $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ extensions of the pure $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ SYM invariants $\sim \epsilon_{abcd} \text{Tr} (W^{+a} W^{-b} W^{+c} W^{-d})$, $\sim \epsilon_{abcd} \text{Tr} (W^{+a} W^{-b}) \text{Tr} (W^{+c} W^{-d})$.

- ▶ It is notable that the single-trace $d = 10$ invariant admits a representation as an integral over the full $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ superspace

$$S_1^{(10)} \sim \text{Tr} \int dZ d\hat{Z} \phi^{+\hat{+}} \phi^{-\hat{-}}, \quad \phi^{-\hat{-}} = \nabla^{\hat{-}\hat{-}} \phi^{-\hat{+}}.$$

- ▶ On the other hand, the double-trace $d = 10$ invariant *cannot* be written as the full integral and so looks as being *UV protected*.
- ▶ This could explain why in the perturbative calculations of the amplitudes in the $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ SYM single-trace 3-loop divergence is seen, while no double-trace structures at the same order were observed (Berkovits *et al* 2009; Bjornsson & Green, 2010; Bjornsson, 2011).

- ▶ However, this does not seem to be like the standard non-renormalization theorems because the quantum calculation of $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ supergraphs should give some invariants in the off-shell $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ superspace, not in the on-shell $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ superspace. So the above property seems not enough to explain the absence of the double-trace divergences and some additional piece of reasoning is needed.
- ▶ Now there exist new methods in the $6D \mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ SYM perturbative calculations based on the notion of the so called on-shell harmonic momentum superspace (Dennen *et al*, 2010). It also involves two sets of harmonic coordinates. Perhaps it is closely related to the x -space harmonic $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ superspace approach and would help to prove that all divergent quantum corrections to $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ SYM action arise just as integrals over the whole $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ harmonic superspace.

Summary and outlook

- ▶ We applied the off-shell $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ and on-shell harmonic $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ superspaces for constructing higher-dimensional invariants in the $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ SYM and $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ SYM theories.
- ▶ The $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ SYM constraints were solved in terms of harmonic $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ superfields. This allowed us to explicitly construct the full set of the superfield dimensions $d = 8$ and $d = 10$ invariants possessing $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ on-shell supersymmetry.
- ▶ All possible $d = 6$ $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ invariants were shown to be on-shell vanishing, proving the UV finiteness of $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ SYM at one loop.
- ▶ The off-shell $d = 8$ invariants are absent. The on-shell ones are integrals over the analytic $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ subspace. Assuming that the $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ supergraphs yield integrals over the full $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ harmonic superspace, this means the absence of two-loop counterterms.
- ▶ Two $d = 10$ invariants were explicitly constructed as integrals over the whole $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ harmonic superspace. The single-trace invariant can be rewritten as an integral over the $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ superspace, while the double-trace one cannot. This property combined with an additional reasoning could explain why the double-trace invariant is UV protected.

► *Some further lines of development:*

(a) To construct the next $d \geq 12$ invariants in the $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ SYM theory with the help of the on-shell $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ harmonic superspace techniques.

(b) To apply the same method for constructing the Born-Infeld action with the manifest off-shell $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ and hidden on-shell $\mathcal{N} = (0, 1)$ supersymmetries. To check the hypothesis that such an action should coincide with the full quantum effective action of the $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ SYM theory.

(c) To develop an analogous on-shell harmonic $\mathcal{N} = 4, 4D$ superspace approach to the $\mathcal{N} = 4, 4D$ SYM theory in the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superfield formulation and apply it to the problem of constructing the relevant effective action.

(d) Applications in supergravity?

THANK YOU!