Finite temperature phase transition in Lattice QCD with two flavors

V. Bornyakov IHEP, Protvino and ITEP, Moscow

Dubna 23.09.10

V. Bornyakov (IHEP)

Finite temperature LQCD

14.06.10 1 / 17

DIK and QCDSF collaborations

- VB, R. Horsley (Edinburgh), V. Mitryushkin (Dubna),
- Y. Nakamura (Regensburg), M. Polikarpov (ITEP, Moscow),
- P. Rakow (Liverpool), G. Schierholz (DESY)

ArXiv:0910.2392

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

Outline



2 Simulation details and results



Introduction

Goals:

- precise value of T_c
- nature of the phase transition

Some notations:

$$\begin{split} & \text{Temperature definition} \\ & T = \frac{1}{aN_t}, \quad a \text{ - lattice spacing} \\ & \beta = 6/g^2 \\ & N_f = 2 \text{ QCD} - m_u = m_d, \ m_s = \infty, \ \dots \\ & N_f = 2 + 1 \text{ QCD} - m_u = m_d, \ m_s > m_u, \ m_c = \infty, \ \dots \end{split}$$

(日) (四) (王) (王) (王) (王)

Introduction

Present situation is rather controversial

– RBC/Bielefeld collaboration, improved staggered fermions, $N_f=2+1,$ N_t up to 8

$$T_c(\text{deconf}) = T_c(\text{CSB}) = 196(3) \text{ MeV}$$

- Wuppertal group, improved staggered fermions, $N_f=2+1, \, N_t$ up to 16 $T_c({\rm deconf}){=}151(6){\rm MeV}, \quad T_c({\rm CSB}){=}176(7)~{\rm MeV}$
- WHOT-QCD collaboration, improved Wilson fermions, $N_f = 2, N_t = 6$ $T_c(\text{deconf}) = 150 \text{ to } 180 \text{ MeV},$
- DIK and QCDSF collaborations, improved Wilson fermions, $N_f=2,\ N_t$ up to 12

$$T_c(\text{deconf}) = T_c(\text{CSB}) = 174(3)(6) \text{ MeV}$$

– Preliminary results: tmfT collaboration, twisted mass fermion action, $N_f = 2$ Munster University group, Improved Wilson fermions, $N_f = 2$

V. Bornyakov (IHEP)



V. Bornyakov (IHEP)

Finite temperature LQCI

14.06.10 6 / 17

Order of transition in the limit of massless quarks

Pisarski, Wilczek, 1984 $N_f = 2$ – second order in 3d O(4) class of universality $N_f = 3$ – first order

O(4) scaling was observed for Wilson (Iwasaki et al, 1997) and improved Wilson (Ali Khan et al, 2001) only recently for improved staggered fermions (Ejiri et al, 2009) there are claims about signals of 1st order transition (Cossu et al, 2007)

(日) (四) (王) (王) (王)

Simulation details and results

- $N_f = 2$ lattice QCD
- Wilson gauge field action
- Improved Wilson fermionic action

$$S_F = S_F^{(0)} - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \kappa \, g \, c_{sw} a^5 \sum_s \bar{\psi}(s) \sigma_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}(s) \psi(s)$$

- $-\textit{ N}_{t} \times \textit{N}_{s}^{3} = 8 \times 16^{3}, 10 \times 24^{3}, 12 \times 24^{3}, 12 \times 32^{3}, 14 \times 40^{3}$
- $-0.6 < r_0 m_\pi < 2.9$
- $r_0 m_{\pi}$ and r_0/a obtained by interpolation/extrapolation of results by QCDSF-UKQCD

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

New way to compute chiral condensate susceptibility- Maxwell relation

$$\frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \ln Z \Big|_{\hat{m}} = -6P + 2 \frac{\partial \hat{m}_c}{\partial \beta} \hat{\sigma} - 2 \frac{\partial c_{SW}}{\partial \beta} \hat{\delta}, \qquad (1)$$
$$\frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{m}} \ln Z \Big|_{\beta} = 2\hat{\sigma}, \qquad (2)$$

$$\frac{1}{V}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\beta\partial\hat{m}}\ln Z = 2\left.\frac{\partial\hat{\sigma}}{\partial\beta}\right|_{\hat{m}} = -6\left.\frac{\partial P}{\partial\hat{m}}\right|_{\beta} + 2\left.\frac{\partial\hat{m}_c}{\partial\beta}\left.\frac{\partial\hat{\sigma}}{\partial\hat{m}}\right|_{\beta} - 2\left.\frac{\partial c_{SW}}{\partial\beta}\left.\frac{\partial\hat{\delta}}{\partial\hat{m}}\right|_{\beta} \quad (3)$$

(注) 注 つへで 14.06.10 9 / 17

chiral condensate susceptibility

 $\chi_{\sigma} = \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial P}{\partial \hat{m}},$

where

$$\mu^{-1} = 3 \left(\left. \frac{\partial \hat{m}_{c}}{\partial \beta} + \frac{\partial \hat{m}}{\partial \beta} \right|_{\hat{\sigma}} \right)^{-1}$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆□ > ◆□ > ●



16³8

40³14

V. Bornyakov (IHEP)

Finite temperature LQCD

14.06.10 11 / 17

Polyakov loop

$$L = \frac{1}{N_s^3} \sum_{\vec{x}} \operatorname{Re} L(\vec{x}), \ L(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{Tr} \prod_{x_4=1}^{N_t} U_4(x).$$
(4)

and its susceptibility

$$\chi_L \equiv N_s^3 \langle L^2 \rangle_c \,, \, \langle L^2 \rangle_c = \left(\langle L^2 \rangle - \langle L \rangle^2 \right) \tag{5}$$

 $\operatorname{Correlator}$

$$\langle L\sigma \rangle_{c} = \langle L\sigma \rangle - \langle L \rangle \langle \sigma \rangle,$$
 (6)

(ロ) (四) (日) (日) (日)

			$r_0 m_{\pi}^{T_c}$		
β	V	$r_0 T_c(m)$			<i></i>
			χ_L	χ_{σ}	$\langle L\sigma \rangle_{c}$
5.25	24 ³ 8	0.735(3)	3.18(4)	3.17(4)	3.33(7)
5.20	16 ³ 8	0.682(7)	2.73(6)	2.78(6)	2.81(7)
5.20	24 ³ 10	0.545(6)	1.59(8)	1.59(16)	1.55(14)
5.29	24 ³ 12	0.517(2)	1.49(8)	1.40(9)	1.3(1)
5.25	32 ³ 12	0.490(2)	1.00(11)	1.05(8)	1.05(7)
5.25	40 ³ 14	0.420(2)		0.59(6)	

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > ・ 三 ・ のへで



V. Bornyakov (IHEP)

↓<</th>
≥

</t



 $r_0 T_c(r_0 m_\pi) = r_0 T_c(0) + c_m \cdot (r_0 m_\pi)^d$ (7) with d = 1.07 predicted by O(4) scaling

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト

14.06.10 15 / 17

at the physical pion mass

 $r_0 T_c = 0.408(5) \longrightarrow T_c = 172(3)(6) \text{ MeV}$

 $r_0 = 0.467 \text{ fm}$

・ロ・ ・四・ ・ヨ・ ・ヨ・

Conclusions and outlook

- – New method to compute χ_{σ} has been used
- – Numerical value for T_c at the physical point is in agreement with staggered fermions result for $T_c(deconf)$
- – Peaks in χ_{σ} , χ_L , $\langle L_{\sigma} \rangle_c$ coinside, implying $T_c(deconf) = T_c(CSB)$
- – Agreement with O(4) scaling in $T_c(m)$
- – Direct computation of χ_{σ} is desirable
- \bullet $2+1~\rm QCD$ simulations are planned