Dense quark matter with chiral imbalance: NJL-model consideration

Tamaz Khunjua
Moscow State University
in collaboration with:
Zhokhov Roman and Konstantin Klimenko

JINR, Dubna, Russia
October 31, 2018

Introduction

Introduction

Models with four-fermion interactions

Isospin asymmetry is the well-known property of dense quark matter, which exists in the compact stars and is produced in heavy ion collisions. On the other hand, the chiral imbalance between left- and right- handed quarks is another highly anticipated phenomenon that could occur in the dense quark matter.

To investigate dense quark under these conditions we use Nambu–Jona-Lasinio (NJL) model and take into account:

- Baryon – μ_B chemical potential to investigate non-zero density
- Isospin – μ_I chemical potential to investigate non-zero isotopic imbalance
- Chiral isospin – μ_{I5} chemical potential to investigate chiral isotopic imbalance
- Non-zero bare quark mass ($m_0 \neq 0$) to promote real threshold to pion condensation phase
- Non-zero temperature ($T \neq 0$) in order to make our investigation applicable to hot dense quark matter and compare our NJL-model analysis with the known lattice results

The model and its thermodynamical potential

Lagrangian of the model

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{q}\Big[\gamma^\nu \mathrm{i}\partial_\nu - m_0 + \frac{\mu_B}{3}\gamma^0 + \frac{\mu_I}{2}\tau_3\gamma^0 + \frac{\mu_{I5}}{2}\tau_3\gamma^0\gamma^5\Big]q + \frac{G}{N_c}\Big[(\bar{q}q)^2 + (\bar{q}\mathrm{i}\gamma^5\vec{\tau}q)^2\Big]$$

Definitions

- q is the flavor doublet $q = (q_u, q_d)^T$
- q_u and q_d are four-component Dirac spinors as well as color N_c-plets[a]
- τ_k $(k = 1, 2, 3)$ are Pauli matrices
- m_0 is the diagonal matrix in flavor space with bare quark masses (from the following $m_u = m_d = m_0$)

[a] The summation over flavor, color, and spinor indices is implied

Lagrangian of the model

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{q}\Big[\gamma^\nu \mathrm{i}\partial_\nu - m_0 + \frac{\mu_B}{3}\gamma^0 + \frac{\mu_I}{2}\tau_3\gamma^0 + \frac{\mu_{I5}}{2}\tau_3\gamma^0\gamma^5\Big]q + \frac{G}{N_c}\Big[(\bar{q}q)^2 + (\bar{q}\mathrm{i}\gamma^5\vec{\tau}q)^2\Big]$$

Notations

- μ_B is a baryon number chemical potential
- μ_I is taken into account to promote non-zero imbalance between u and d quarks
- μ_{I5} is stands to promote chiral isospin imbalance between $u_{L(R)}$ and $d_{L(R)}$
- G is coupling constant

Semi-bosonized version of the Lagrangian

Let us introduce the semi-bosonized version of the Lagrangian that contains only quadratic powers of fermionic fields as well as auxiliary bosonic fields $\sigma(x)$, $\pi_a(x)$, :

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} = \bar{q}\Big[\gamma^\rho \mathrm{i}\partial_\rho - m_0 + \mu\gamma^0 + \nu\tau_3\gamma^0 + \nu_5\tau_3\gamma^0\gamma^5 - \sigma - \mathrm{i}\gamma^5\pi_a\tau_a\Big]q - \frac{N_c}{4G}\Big[\sigma\sigma + \pi_a\pi_a\Big]$$

Bosonic fields

$$\sigma(x) = -2\frac{G}{N_c}(\bar{q}q); \quad \pi_a(x) = -2\frac{G}{N_c}(\bar{q}\mathrm{i}\gamma^5\tau_a q)$$

The new notations of chemical potentials

$$\mu \equiv \frac{\mu_B}{3};\ \nu \equiv \frac{\mu_I}{2};\ \nu_5 \equiv \frac{\mu_{I5}}{2}$$

Note that the composite bosonic field $\pi_3(x)$ can be identified with the physical $\pi^0(x)$-meson field, whereas the physical $\pi^\pm(x)$-meson fields are the following combinations of the composite fields, $\pi^\pm(x) = (\pi_1(x) \pm i\pi_2(x))/\sqrt{2}$.

Calculation of the TDP

After all possible analytical calculations, we have the following form for the TDP:

$$\Omega(M,\Delta) = \frac{(M-m_0)^2+\Delta^2}{4G} - \sum_{i=1}^{4}\int_0^\Lambda \frac{p^2dp}{2\pi^2}|\eta_i| - T\sum_{i=1}^{4}\int_0^\Lambda \frac{p^2dp}{2\pi^2}\Big\{\ln(1+e^{-\frac{1}{T}(|\eta_i|-\mu)}) + \ln(1+e^{-\frac{1}{T}(|\eta_i|+\mu)})\Big\},$$

where η_i are the roots of the following polynomial:

$$\big(\eta^4-2a\eta^2-b\eta+c\big)\big(\eta^4-2a\eta^2+b\eta+c\big)=0,$$
$$a = M^2+\Delta^2+|\vec{p}|^2+\nu^2+\nu_5^2;$$
$$b = 8|\vec{p}|\nu\nu_5;$$
$$c = a^2-4|\vec{p}|^2(\nu^2+\nu_5^2)-4M^2\nu^2-4\Delta^2\nu_5^2-4\nu^2\nu_5^2.$$

$$M=\langle\sigma(x)\rangle+m_0,\quad \Delta=\langle\pi_1(x)\rangle,\quad \langle\pi_2(x)\rangle=0,\quad \langle\pi_3(x)\rangle=0.$$

Fiiting parameters

Since the NJL model is a non-renormalizable theory we have to use fitting parameters for the quantitative investigation of the system. We use the following, widely used parameters:

$$m_0 = 5,5\,\mathrm{MeV}; \qquad G = 15.03\,\mathrm{GeV}^{-2}; \qquad \Lambda = 0.65\,\mathrm{GeV}.$$

In this case at $\mu = \nu = \nu_5 = 0$ one gets for constituent quark mass the value $M = 309\,\mathrm{MeV}$.

Phases

To define the ground state of the system one should find the coordinates (M_0, Δ_0) of the global minimum point (GMP) of the TDP. We also interested in the quark number density: $n_q = -\frac{\partial\Omega(M_0,\Delta_0)}{\partial\mu}$. We have found the following phases in the system:

- $M = 0; \Delta = 0; n_q = 0$ – symmetrical phase (it could be realized only in chiral limit $m_0 = 0$)
- $M \neq 0; \Delta = 0; n_q = 0$ – chiral symmetry breaking phase (**CSB**)
- $M \neq 0; \Delta \neq 0; n_q = 0$ – pion condensation phase with zero quark density (**PC**) ($M = 0$ in chiral lim.)
- $M \neq 0; \Delta = 0; n_q \neq 0$ – chiral symmetry breaking phase with non-zero quark density ($\mathbf{CSB_d}$)
- $M \neq 0; \Delta \neq 0; n_q \neq 0$ – pion condensation phase with non-zero quark density ($\mathbf{PC_d}$)
- $M \approx m_0; \Delta = 0; n_q \neq 0$ – partially restored (**CSB**) phase with non-zero quark density ($\mathbf{CSB_{dr}}$)

Phase portraits of the model

(ν, ν_5)-phase portraits

$\mu = 0$ MeV

Chiral limit $m_0 = 0$



Physical point $m_0 \neq 0$



(ν, ν_5)-phase portraits

$\mu = 150$ MeV

Chiral limit $m_0 = 0$



Physical point $m_0 \neq 0$



(ν, ν_5)-phase portraits

$$\mu = 200 \text{ MeV}$$

Chiral limit $m_0 = 0$



Physical point $m_0 \neq 0$



Certain dual symmetry, that we have observed in the chiral limit, is broken explicitly. Nevertheless duality is still relatively instructive even at the physical point. On the other hand, the results become more physically adequate due to the threshold $\nu^c = m_\pi/2 \approx 70MeV$ to the PC phase.

ν_5 does promote $\mathrm{PC_d}$-phase

(μ, ν)-phase portraits

$\nu_5 = 0$ MeV

(μ, ν)-phase portrait



Slice at $\mu = 270$ MeV



It is evident from the figures that PC_d phase exist in the very small region of the phase portrait.

(μ, ν)-phase portraits

$\nu_5 = 200$ MeV

(μ, ν)-phase portrait



Slice at $\mu = 270$ MeV



One can see from that non-zero isospin chiral potential ν_5 does promote the PC_d phase in a wide range of the parameters.

(ν, T)-phase portraits

$\nu_5 = 200$ MeV

(ν, T)-phase portrait



Slice at $\nu = 300$ MeV



As one could expect, the system restores broken symmetries under non-zero temperature. Nevertheless, it is easy to see that PC_d phase still occupies wide range of parameters in the phase portrait

Critical temperature T_c and CEP existance

comparison with other investigation

Non-perturbative investigations

- K. Fukushima, M. Ruggieri, and R. Gatto.
  Phys. Rev., D81:114031, 2010. (PNJL-model – T_c decrease)
- M. N. Chernodub and A. S. Nedelin.
  Phys. Rev., D83:105008, 2011. (Linear σ-model – T_c decrease)
- R. Gatto and M. Ruggieri.
  Phys. Rev., D85:054013, 2012. (PNJL-model – T_c decrease)
- L. Yu, H. Liu, and M. Huang.
  Phys. Rev., D90(7):074009, 2014. (NJL-model – T_c decrease)
- S.-S. Xu, Z.-F. Cui, B. Wang, Y.-M. Shi, Y.-C. Yang, and H.-S. Zong.
  Phys. Rev., D91(5):056003, 2015. (DS approach – T_c increase)
- B. Wang, Y.-L. Wang, Z.-F. Cui, and H.-S. Zong.
  Phys. Rev., D91(3):034017, 2015. (DS approach – T_c increase)
- Z.-F. Cui, I. C. Cloet, Y. Lu, C. D. et al.
  Phys. Rev., D94:071503, 2016. (PNJL-model – T_c increase)

Lattice simulations

A. Yamamoto.
Phys. Rev., D84:114504, 2011. (Lattice – T_c increase)

V. V. Braguta, V. A. Goy, E. M. Ilgenfritz, A. Yu. Kotov, A. V. Molochkov, M. Muller-Preussker, and B. Petersson.
JHEP, 06:094, 2015. (Two color lattice – T_c increase)

V. V. Braguta, E. M. Ilgenfritz, A. Yu. Kotov, B. Petersson, and S. A. Skinderev.
Phys. Rev., D93(3):034509, 2016. (Lattice – T_c increase)

1. Don't predict critical end-point (CEP) at all;
2. T_c – increase;
3. Heavy π-meson, $m_\pi \approx 400$ MeV

The source of the ambiguity

$$\Omega(M,\Delta) = \frac{(M-m_0)^2+\Delta^2}{4G} - \sum_{i=1}^{4}\int_0^{\Lambda}\frac{p^2dp}{2\pi^2}|\eta_i| - T\sum_{i=1}^{4}\int_0^{\Lambda/\infty}\frac{p^2dp}{2\pi^2}\Big\{\ln(1+e^{-\frac{1}{T}(|\eta_i|-\mu)})+\ln(1+e^{-\frac{1}{T}(|\eta_i|+\mu)})\Big\},$$

- R. L. S. Farias, D. C. Duarte, G. Krein, and R. O. Ramos. *Phys. Rev.*, D94(7):074011, 2016. (NJL-model – compare the RS)
- L. Yu, H. Liu, and M. Huang. *Phys. Rev.*, D94(1):014026, 2016. (NJL-model – compare the RS)
- M. Ruggieri and G. X. Peng. *J. Phys.*, G43(12):125101, 2016. (QM-model – compare the RS)
- M. Frasca. *Eur. Phys. J.*, C78(9):790, 2018. (non-local NJL-model – T_c increase)

(ν, T)-phase portraits

$\nu_5 = 0$ MeV

(ν, T)-phase portrait



Lattice [1611.06758] Brandt et al.



Qualitatively comparable with the first principle lattice simulation.

(ν_5, T)-phase portraits at $\nu = 0$ MeV

(ν_5, T)-phase portrait



Critical temperature T_c



We have recently shown that introduction of the chiral chemical potential μ_5 into consideration (with the following term in the Lagrangian: $\frac{\mu_5}{2}\bar{q}\gamma^0\gamma^5 q$) leads to an additional dual-symmetry between $\mu_{I5} \longleftrightarrow \mu_5$ in the region where $\Delta = 0$. In other words, in the NJL model (1) we can certainly consider μ_{I5} as a μ_5 (only in the pure CSB phase). So we can compare our results with the known lattice calculations with μ_5 [1512.05873] (Braguta et al.).

(ν_5, T)-phase portraits at $\nu = 0$ MeV

Critical temperature T_c within NJL



Critical temperature T_c within Lattice



We have recently shown that introduction of the chiral chemical potential μ_5 into consideration (with the following term in the Lagrangian: $\frac{\mu_5}{2}\bar{q}\gamma^0\gamma^5 q$) leads to an additional dual-symmetry between $\mu_{I5} \longleftrightarrow \mu_5$ in the region where $\Delta = 0$. In other words, in the NJL model (1) we can certainly consider μ_{I5} as a μ_5 (only in the pure CSB phase). So we can compare our results with the known lattice calculations with μ_5 [1512.05873] (Braguta et al.).

Conclusions

- We investigate dual symmetry feature at the physical point under non-zero temperature
- We have shown that PC_d is robust under both non-zero quark mass and non-zero temperature
- Using duality symmetry we calculate the T_c curve.