# CONSTRAINTS ON THE EQUATION OF STATE FROM NEUTRON STAR OBSERVATIONS

# DR. MORGANE FORTIN <fortin@camk.edu.pl> N. Copernicus Astronomical Center, Polish Academy of Sciences - Warsaw

Helmholtz International Summer School Dubna - July 18, 2017

<span id="page-0-0"></span>

# **III Sciences**

**EDITION** 



ı.

5

**SCIENCES** Vidéos Supplément partenaire : Les Prix EDF Pulse Affaire de logique Astronomie Cervesu Géophysique

# Dubna: chez les chasseurs russes des nouveaux atomes

Quatre nouveaux éléments, les plus lourds jamais produits, viennent d'être officiellement baptisés. A Dubna, le temple soviétique de la science explore depuis soixante ans les confins de la matière.

LE MONDE SCIENCE ET TECHNO | 10.07.2017 à 17h47 • Mis à jour le 11.07.2017 à 09h30

Par Vahé Ter Minassian (Dubna (Russie), envoyé spécial)

■ Réagir ★ Ajouter ● 图

Au Centre international des conférences de Dubna, petite cité de 70 000 habitants aux allures de ville de vacances sur les rives du canal de la Volga, à 120 kilomètres de Moscou, les festivités du « banquetanniversaire » des soixante ans du Laboratoire Fleroy des réactions nucléaires (FLNR) battent leur plein. La vodka aidant, le brouhaha des conversations a rapidement augmenté. Et bientôt, en suivre une devient excessivement difficile. Sans regrets inutiles : il est déià évident qu'on ne



Visite de la demeure romaine découverte à Auch

#### Sur les sites du groupe Le Monde.



Dix ans après la crise financière, la finance mondiale renoue avec les... Le Monde



Plerre Vimont : « Le nationalisme turc permet au réalme de trouver une forme... [Neutron stars: general aspects](#page-3-0)

[Constraints from mass measurements](#page-22-0)

[Constraints from radius measurements](#page-26-0)

Others...

MORGANE FORTIN (CAMK) C[ONSTRAINTS ON THE EQUATION OF STATE FROM NEUTRON STAR OBSERVATIONS](#page-0-0)

# <span id="page-3-0"></span>[Neutron stars: general aspects](#page-3-0)

# Discovery of neutron stars (NSs)

Yakovlev et al., arXiv:1210.0682 (2012); Haensel et al.'s book (2007)

From theoretical predictions . . .

- ► Feb. 1931: anticipation of the idea of NSs by Lev Landau.
- ▶ Jan. 1932: experiments by Chadwick and discovery of the neutron.
- ◮ Dec. 1933: Baade & Zwicky: "*supernovæ represent the transitions from ordinary stars to neutron stars, which in their final stages consist of extremely closely packed neutrons*".

. . . to observations

- ► 1967: observation by chance by Bell (Hewish's graduate student) of very stable radio pulses with  $P = 1.3373012$  s. The source is called "pulsar" meaning "Pulsating Source of Radio".
- ▶ 1974: Nobel Prize to Hewish (only) for the discovery of pulsars.
- $\blacktriangleright$  May 1968 : Gold, Nature : pulsar = rotating NS.



#### Lighthouse model

Period of the pulses = spin period *P* of the pulsar. All PSRs are NSs but not all NSs are seen as PSRs.

MORGANE FORTIN (CAMK) C[ONSTRAINTS ON THE EQUATION OF STATE FROM NEUTRON STAR OBSERVATIONS](#page-0-0)

# Discovery of neutron stars (NSs)

Yakovlev et al., arXiv:1210.0682 (2012); Haensel et al.'s book (2007)

From theoretical predictions . . .

- ► Feb. 1931: anticipation of the idea of NSs by Lev Landau.
- ▶ Jan. 1932: experiments by Chadwick and discovery of the neutron.
- ◮ Dec. 1933: Baade & Zwicky: "*supernovæ represent the transitions from ordinary stars to neutron stars, which in their final stages consist of extremely closely packed neutrons*".

. . . to observations

- ► 1967: observation by chance by Bell (Hewish's graduate student) of very stable radio pulses with  $P = 1.3373012$  s. The source is called "pulsar" meaning "Pulsating Source of Radio".
- ▶ 1974: Nobel Prize to Hewish (only) for the discovery of pulsars.
- $\blacktriangleright$  May 1968 : Gold, Nature : pulsar = rotating NS.



#### Lighthouse model

Period of the pulses = spin period *P* of the pulsar. All PSRs are NSs but not all NSs are seen as PSRs.

MORGANE FORTIN (CAMK) C[ONSTRAINTS ON THE EQUATION OF STATE FROM NEUTRON STAR OBSERVATIONS](#page-0-0)

# What is a neutron star?

#### **Origin**

Remnant from the gravitational collapse of a ∼ 10 M<sup>⊙</sup> star during a Type II, Ib, Ic supernova event.

#### **Properties**

- $\triangleright$  mass *M* ~ 1.4 M<sub>☉</sub> (M<sub>☉</sub> = 10<sup>30</sup> kg),
- ◮ radius *R* ∼ 10 km,
- ◮ compactness *GM Rc*<sup>2</sup> <sup>∼</sup> <sup>0</sup>.2,
- ► average density  $\bar{\rho} \sim 10^{18}$  kg m<sup>-3</sup>.

 $\Rightarrow$  relativistic objects sustained by the strong interaction.

#### Crab Nebula hosting a pulsar



Credits : NASA/ESA.

#### **Observations**

 $\sim$  3000 NSs from radio to  $\gamma$ -rays, a majority as radio pulsars.

 $\sim$  5% of them in a binary with a companion star.

NSs undergo a regular spin-down ie. an increase *P*˙ of their spin period *P* :





## Several types of emission

- PSR: radio or  $\gamma$ -ray pulsars,
- ▶ INS: X-ray pulses, no radio pulses,
- ▶ AXP/SGR: bursts observed in X- or  $\gamma$ -rays,
- $\blacktriangleright$  RRAT: radio bursts.

# Toy model

Magnetic dipole :

 $\blacktriangleright$  spin-down due to emission of electromagnetic radiation.

*P* − *P*˙ diagram. Data from ATNF pulsar catalog.

NSs undergo a regular spin-down ie. an increase *P*˙ of their spin period *P* :



# Several types of emission

- PSR: radio or  $\gamma$ -ray pulsars,
- ▶ INS: X-ray pulses, no radio pulses,
- ► AXP/SGR: bursts observed in X- or  $\gamma$ -rays,
- ▶ RRAT: radio bursts.

# Toy model

Magnetic dipole :

- $\blacktriangleright$  spin-down due to emission of electromagnetic radiation.
- $\blacktriangleright$  estimate of the magnetic field :

$$
B=\left(\frac{3c^3I}{8\pi^2R^6}P\dot{P}\right)^{1/2}
$$

*P* − *P*˙ diagram.  $I = 10^{45}$  g cm<sup>2</sup>,  $R = 10$  km.

NSs undergo a regular spin-down ie. an increase *P*˙ of their spin period *P* :



# Several types of emission

- PSR: radio or  $\gamma$ -ray pulsars,
- ▶ INS: X-ray pulses, no radio pulses,
- ◮ AXP/SGR: bursts observed in X- or  $\gamma$ -rays,
- ▶ RRAT: radio bursts.

# Toy model

Magnetic dipole :

- $\blacktriangleright$  spin-down due to emission of electromagnetic radiation.
- $\blacktriangleright$  estimate of the magnetic field :

$$
B=\left(\frac{3c^3I}{8\pi^2R^6}P\dot{P}\right)^{1/2}
$$

• estimate of the age : 
$$
\tau = \frac{P}{2P}
$$

*P* − *P* diagram.  $I = 10^{45}$  g cm<sup>2</sup>,  $R = 10$  km.

NSs undergo a regular spin-down ie. an increase *P*˙ of their spin period *P* :



# *P* − *P*˙ diagram.  $I = 10^{45}$  g cm<sup>2</sup>,  $R = 10$  km.

## Several types of emission

- $\blacktriangleright$  PSR: radio or  $\gamma$ -ray pulsars,
- ▶ INS: X-ray pulses, no radio pulses,
- ► AXP/SGR: bursts observed in X- or  $\gamma$ -rays,
- $\blacktriangleright$  RRAT: radio bursts.

# Toy model

Magnetic dipole :

- $\blacktriangleright$  spin-down due to emission of electromagnetic radiation.
- $\blacktriangleright$  estimate of the magnetic field :

$$
B=\left(\frac{3c^3I}{8\pi^2R^6}P\dot{P}\right)^{1/2}
$$

- **Exercise** estimate of the age :  $\tau = \frac{P}{2P}$
- $\blacktriangleright$  (model-dependent) death line : below the line, electromagnetic emission stops.

MORGANE FORTIN (CAMK) C[ONSTRAINTS ON THE EQUATION OF STATE FROM NEUTRON STAR OBSERVATIONS](#page-0-0)



### Two main types of pulsars



*P* − *P*˙ diagram.  $I = 10^{45}$  g cm<sup>2</sup>,  $R = 10$  km.



### *P* − *P*˙ diagram.  $I = 10^{45}$  g cm<sup>2</sup>,  $R = 10$  km.

### Two main types of pulsars



#### Normal pulsars

NSs born fastly rotating, spun down by the radio emission until they cross the death line.



### *P* − *P*˙ diagram.  $I = 10^{45}$  g cm<sup>2</sup>,  $R = 10$  km.

### Two main types of pulsars



#### Normal pulsars

NSs born fastly rotating, spun down by the radio emission until they cross the death line.



$$
P - P \text{ diagram.}
$$
  

$$
I = 10^{45} \text{ g cm}^2, R = 10 \text{ km.}
$$

### Two main types of pulsars



### Normal pulsars

NSs born fastly rotating, spun down by the radio emission until they cross the death line.

#### Millisecond pulsars

Old pulsars rejuvenated by the accretion of matter from a binary companion.



# **Structure**



Nuclear saturation density:  $n_0 = 0.16$  fm<sup>-3</sup>

# Problem

NS matter not accessible in terrestrial laboratories . . .

## Envelope

 $\blacktriangleright$  Plasma whose composition determines the spectrum of the NS emission.

## Crust

- $\blacktriangleright$  Gas of electrons,
- $\blacktriangleright$  lattice of neutron-rich ions,
- ◮ at larger densities free neutrons (superfluid?).

# Nuclei in lab. vs. NS crust



# **Structure**



Nuclear saturation density:  $n_0 = 0.16$  fm<sup>-3</sup>

# $2 =$

- $\blacktriangleright$  nucleons.
- ► hyperons (baryons with a least one *s* quark),
- ◮ quark matter (deconfined *d*, *u* and *s*),
- pion or kaon condensation, ...

# Envelope

 $\blacktriangleright$  Plasma whose composition determines the spectrum of the NS emission.

#### **Crust**

- $\blacktriangleright$  Gas of electrons,
- lattice of neutron-rich ions,
- $\blacktriangleright$  at larger densities free neutrons (superfluid?).

#### Outer core

- $\blacktriangleright$  Free neutrons and protons (superfluid?),
- $\blacktriangleright$  electrons.
- $\blacktriangleright$  muons.

#### Inner core

 $\blacktriangleright$  ?

# Mystery : equation of state (EoS)

- $\blacktriangleright$  Describes the composition and properties of NS matter;
- $\blacktriangleright$  *P(n)* with *P* the pressure and *n* the baryon density.

### NS matter

Many-body system of stronglyinteracting particles  $(e, p, n, \mu,$ more?) at zero temperature (thermal energy ≪ nucleon Fermi energy).

Two approaches:

- $\blacktriangleright$  phenomelogical models with effective interactions with parameters adjusted to nuclear and astrophysical quantities,
- $\blacktriangleright$  ab-initio approaches: 'solving' the many body problem starting with 2 (and 3)-body interactions.

#### Mass-radius diagram



#### General relativity constraint

GR imposes that the radius of a neutron star is larger than the Schwarzschild radius:

$$
R>2\frac{GM}{c^2}.
$$

#### Mass-radius diagram



#### General relativity constraint

GR imposes that the radius of a neutron star is larger than the Schwarzschild radius:

$$
R>2\frac{GM}{c^2}.
$$

Finite pressure constraint

For a uniform density profile inside a neutron star, finite pressure imposes:

$$
R>\frac{9}{4}\frac{GM}{c^2}.
$$

#### Mass-radius diagram



#### General relativity constraint

GR imposes that the radius of a neutron star is larger than the Schwarzschild radius:

$$
R>2\frac{GM}{c^2}.
$$

#### Finite pressure constraint

For a uniform density profile inside a neutron star, finite pressure imposes:

$$
R>\frac{9}{4}\frac{GM}{c^2}.
$$

#### Causality constraint

Subluminal speed of sound implies:

$$
R>3\frac{GM}{c^2}.
$$

#### Mass-radius diagram



#### General relativity constraint

GR imposes that the radius of a neutron star is larger than the Schwarzschild radius:

$$
R>2\frac{GM}{c^2}.
$$

#### Finite pressure constraint

For a uniform density profile inside a neutron star, finite pressure imposes:

$$
R>\frac{9}{4}\frac{GM}{c^2}.
$$

Causality constraint

Subluminal speed of sound implies:

$$
R>3\frac{GM}{c^2}.
$$

#### Mass-radius diagram

An EoS + Tolman and Oppenheimer & Volkoff (TOV) equations for hydrostatic equilibrium in  $GR = a$ specific mass-radius relation.



# Key point

How to constrain the EoS and thus the properties of the nuclear interaction at large densities thanks to NS observations ?

# <span id="page-22-0"></span>[Constraints from mass measurements](#page-22-0)

# **Mass**

# See eg. Özel & Freire, ARAA (2016)

Keplerian orbital elements

- $\triangleright$  orbital period,
- $\blacktriangleright$  time of periastron passage,
- $\blacktriangleright$  eccentricity,
- $\blacktriangleright$  projected semi-major axis,
- $\blacktriangleright$  angle of periastron;
- $\Rightarrow$  mass function  $f_1(M, m_c, i)$ .

# + 2 additional quantities

- ► Post Keplerian parameters:
	- precession of periastron,
	- $\triangleright$  orbital decay,
	- Einstein delay,
	- Shapiro delay;
- ► Spectroscopy:
	- $\triangleright$  orbital velocity,
	- $\blacktriangleright$  H lines in the white dwarf atmosphere;
- $\blacktriangleright$  Eclipse modeling.



<https://stellarcollapse.org/nsmasses>

# Maximum mass

### **Theory**

- $\blacktriangleright$  each EoS has a maximum mass *M*max;
- $\blacktriangleright M_{\max} \geq M_{\max}^{\text{obs}}$ .

#### Mass-radius diagram



# Maximum mass

### **Theory**

- $\blacktriangleright$  each EoS has a maximum mass  $M_{\rm max}$ ;
- $\blacktriangleright M_{\max} \geq M_{\max}^{\text{obs}}$ .

#### PSR J1614-2230

Fonseca et al., ApJ (2016) Shapiro delay parameters:

 $M_{\rm max}^{\rm obs} = 1.928 \pm 0.017~{\rm M}_\odot$  .

#### PSR J0348+0432

Antoniadis et al., Science (2013) WD spectroscopy:

$$
\textit{M}_{\rm max}^{\rm obs}=2.01\pm0.04~\text{M}_\odot.
$$

#### Mass-radius diagram



EoSs for nucleonic matter (blue), exotic matter (pink) and strange quark matter (green).

# <span id="page-26-0"></span>[Constraints from radius measurements](#page-26-0)

# Isolated NSs

## Thermal emission

Modeling of the X-ray spectra using atmosphere models.

Determination of the radius observed at infinity :

$$
R_{\infty} = \frac{R}{\sqrt{1 - 2GM/(Rc^2)}}
$$

Cas A NS (Ho & Heinke, Nature 2009)



No pulsation  $\rightarrow$  emitting region = whole NS.  $\rightarrow$  NS with a C atmosphere.

# Isolated NSs

### Thermal emission

Modeling of the X-ray spectra using atmosphere models.

Determination of the radius observed at infinity :

$$
R_{\infty} = \frac{R}{\sqrt{1 - 2GM/(Rc^2)}}
$$

Limitations:

- $\blacktriangleright$  unknown chemical composition of the envelope,
- $\blacktriangleright$  distance to the source,
- ► magnetic field *B*,

 $\blacktriangleright$  ...

#### Cas A NS (Ho & Heinke, Nature 2009)



# Isolated NSs

#### Thermal emission

Modeling of the X-ray spectra using atmosphere models.

Determination of the radius observed at infinity :

$$
R_{\infty} = \frac{R}{\sqrt{1 - 2GM/(Rc^2)}}
$$

Limitations:

- $\blacktriangleright$  unknown chemical composition of the envelope,
- $\blacktriangleright$  distance to the source,
- ► magnetic field *B*,

◮ . . .

#### Cas A NS (Ho & Heinke, Nature 2009)



# Quiescent thermal emission of accreting NSs



# **Properties**

- $\blacktriangleright$  Low *B*
- $\blacktriangleright$  accreted atmosphere  $\rightarrow$  H, He
- $\triangleright$  if NS in a globular cluster, distance accurately known.

### **Results**

- ► NGC 6397: H atmosphere vs. He atmosphere Heinke et al., MNRAS (2014)
- ► QXT-1: based on 6 objects, only H atmosphere Guillot & Rutledge, ApJ (2014)
- $\triangleright$  QXT-1+He: possibility of He atmosphere for NGC 6397

#### **Limitations**

- ► H or He atmosphere?
- $\blacktriangleright$  Large uncertainty in the interstellar absorption  $(N_H$  parameter).
- ▶ Undetected hot spots (Elshamouty et al., ApJ 2016)
- $\blacktriangleright$  Lack for precise distance measurements. Athena and Gaia may help.



# X-bursts from accreting NSs



Photospheric radius expansion bursts

Strong enough to lift up the outer layers of the NS.

# 4U 1724-307



#### Limitations

eg. Steiner et al., EPJA (2016) Suleimanov et al., EPJA (2016) Özel et Freire, ARAA (2016)

 $\blacktriangleright$  uncertainties in the modelling of the burst, the burst selection, and the composition of the atmosphere.

### SAX J1810.8-2609

Suleimanov et al., MNRAS (2017)



MORGANE FORTIN (CAMK) C[ONSTRAINTS ON THE EQUATION OF STATE FROM NEUTRON STAR OBSERVATIONS](#page-0-0)

# Modeling the X-ray pulse profile of . . .

radio millisecond pulsars

PSR J0437−4715 (Bogdanov, ApJ 2013)

- $\blacktriangleright$  pulsations due to magnetic polar caps
- + mass known from radio observations:  $M = 1.76 \pm 0.2$  M<sub>①</sub>.
- $\rightarrow$  *R* > 12.29 km (2 $\sigma$ )
- ◮ new mass measurement from Reardon et al., MNRAS (2016):  $M = 1.44 \pm 0.07$  M<sub>①</sub>

# accreting millisecond X-ray pulsars

e.g. SAX J1808.4-3658 (Morsink & Leahy, ApJ 2011)

 $\blacktriangleright$  pulsations due to accretion onto the NS magnetic poles

#### Limitations

Özel et Freire, ARAA (2016)

- $\blacktriangleright$  hot spot modeling (shape)
- $\blacktriangleright$  geometry of the system



MORGANE FORTIN (CAMK) C[ONSTRAINTS ON THE EQUATION OF STATE FROM NEUTRON STAR OBSERVATIONS](#page-0-0)

# Modeling the X-ray pulse profile of . . .

radio millisecond pulsars

PSR J0437−4715 (Bogdanov, ApJ 2013)

- $\blacktriangleright$  pulsations due to magnetic polar caps
- + mass known from radio observations:  $M = 1.76 \pm 0.2$  M<sub>①</sub>.
- $\rightarrow$  *R* > 12.29 km (2 $\sigma$ )
- ◮ new mass measurement from Reardon et al., MNRAS (2016):  $M = 1.44 \pm 0.07$  M<sub>⊙</sub>

# accreting millisecond X-ray pulsars

e.g. SAX J1808.4-3658 (Morsink & Leahy, ApJ 2011)

 $\blacktriangleright$  pulsations due to accretion onto the NS magnetic poles

#### Limitations

Özel et Freire, ARAA (2016)

- $\blacktriangleright$  hot spot modeling (shape)
- geometry of the system





THE EQUATION OF STATE FROM NEUTRON STA MORGANE FORTIN (CAMK) C[ONSTRAINTS ON THE EQUATION OF STATE FROM NEUTRON STAR OBSERVATIONS](#page-0-0)

# **Radius**

# Fitting the spectrum of

- $\blacktriangleright$  X-ray emission from radio millisecond pulsars (RP-MSP);
- $\blacktriangleright$  the quiescent thermal emission of accreting NSs (QXT);
- $\blacktriangleright$  X-bursts from accreting NSs (BNS).



# **Summary**

Based on most recent publications. Adapted from Fortin et al. A&A (2015)

- ▶ RP-MSP: Bodganov, ApJ (2013)
- ▶ BNS-1: Nättilä et al. arXiv:1509.06561
- ▶ BNS-2: Güver & Özel, ApJ (2013)
- ▶ QXT-1: Guillot & Rutledge, ApJ (2014)
- ▶ BNS+QXT: Steiner et al., ApJ (2013)

### Conclusion

- ► inconsistency (see QXT-1 and RP-MSP),
- $\blacktriangleright$  many remaining uncertainties in the modelling,
- $\blacktriangleright$  inclusion of rotation: effect  $\sim$  10%.

# Current consensus

 $R = 9 - 14$  km.

# **Radius**

# Fitting the spectrum of

- $\blacktriangleright$  X-ray emission from radio millisecond pulsars (RP-MSP);
- $\blacktriangleright$  the quiescent thermal emission of accreting NSs (QXT);
- $\triangleright$  X-bursts from accreting NSs (BNS).



# **Summary**

Based on most recent publications. Adapted from Fortin et al. A&A (2015)

- ▶ RP-MSP: Bodganov, ApJ (2013)
- ◮ BNS-1: Nättilä et al. arXiv:1509.06561
- ▶ BNS-2: Güver & Özel, ApJ (2013)
- ▶ QXT-1: Guillot & Rutledge, ApJ (2014)
- ▶ BNS+QXT: Steiner et al., ApJ (2013)

# Conclusion

- inconsistency (see  $QXT-1$  and RP-MSP),
- many remaining uncertainties in the modelling,
- $\blacktriangleright$  inclusion of rotation: effect  $\simeq$  10%.

# Current consensus

 $R = 9 - 14$  km.

# **Perspectives**

# **NICER**

- ▶ Neutron star Interior Composition ExploreR Mission
- ▶ NASA project
- ▶ On the ISS
- ◮ Launch on June 3
- $\blacktriangleright$  First light yesterday
- ◮ Rotating hot spots from non-accreting MSPs
- ► *M R* constraints with a precision of  $\sim$  5% for  $\sim$  3 NS.



### Athena

- ▶ Advanced Telescope for High ENergy Astrophysics
- ► ESA project
- $\blacktriangleright$  L2 point
- $\blacktriangleright$  in 2028
- $\blacktriangleright$  X-ray emission from MSPs;
- $\blacktriangleright$  quiescent thermal emission of accreting NSs;
- ▶ PRE bursts from accreting NSs.

# *M* − *R* measurements

- $\blacktriangleright$  rule out EoS
- ▶ reconstruct the EoS (see H. Grigorian's talk).

# <span id="page-37-0"></span>Others...