


INTERNATIONAL BOGOLYUBOV CONFERENCE


PROBLEMS OF THEORETICAL AND MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS

dedicated to the 110th anniversary of N.N. Bogolyubov's birth
September 9-13, 2019

Moscow-Dubna, Russia



BOGOLYUBOV'S R-OPERATION IN NON-RENORMALIZABLE THEORIES



D.KAZAKOV

BLTP JINR



In collaboration with L.Bork, A.Borlakov, D.Tolkachev and D.Vlasenko

Motivation:

- The Standard Model is renormalizable
- Gravity is not renormalizable

Non-renormalizable theories are not accepted due to:

- UV divergences are not under control - infinite number of new types of divergences
- The amplitudes increase with energy (in PT) and violate unitarity

Suggestion (novel approach to NR interactions):

- To replace the multiplicative renormalization procedure by a new one, where the renormalization constant Z is replaced by an operator \hat{Z} , which depends on kinematics
- To sum up the leading asymptotics in all orders of PT (generalized RG) and to study the high-energy behavior

Renormalization

Bogolyubov-Parasiuk Theorem: In any local quantum field theory to get the UV finite S-matrix one has to introduce local counter terms to the Lagrangian in each order of perturbation theory - R-operation

$$\mathcal{L} \Rightarrow \mathcal{L} + \Delta\mathcal{L}$$

In renormalizable case this is equivalent to the operation of multiplication by a renormalization constant Z

Consider 2->2 scattering amplitude on shell

$$\Gamma_4(s, t, u) = \Gamma_4^{tree} \bar{\Gamma}_4(s, t, u)$$

$$\bar{\Gamma}_4 = 1 + \lambda \dots + \lambda^2 \dots$$

Renormalization (dimensional regularization)

$$\bar{\Gamma}_4 = Z_4(\lambda) \bar{\Gamma}_4^{bare} |_{\lambda_{bare} \rightarrow \lambda Z_4},$$

$$\lambda_{bare} = \mu^\epsilon Z_4(\lambda) \lambda$$

BPHZ R-operation

$$RG = (1 - K)R'G$$

$$Z = 1 - \sum_i KR'G_i$$

Renormalization

In non-renormalizable case the BP theorem is still valid and the counter terms are also local (at maximum are polynomial over momenta)

Kazakov,18

- Multiplication operation is replaced by acting of an operator $Z \rightarrow \hat{Z}$

\hat{Z} is a function (polynomial) of momenta (s,t,u for the 4-point case)

- When acting on the diagram the \hat{Z} factor has to be inserted inside the diagram and integrated over the internal loop

Example (taken from D=8 YM theory)

Exactly follows the BPHZ R-operation

$$\hat{Z} = 1 + g^2 \frac{st}{\epsilon} \quad \Rightarrow \quad g^2 s t \quad \square \quad \Rightarrow \quad g^2 \left(s \triangle + t \nabla \right)$$

Either s or t are to be inserted into the loop and integrated

BPHZ R-operation

$$\mathcal{R}'G_n = \frac{A_n^{(n)} (\mu^2)^{n\epsilon}}{\epsilon^n} + \frac{A_{n-1}^{(n)} (\mu^2)^{(n-1)\epsilon}}{\epsilon^n} + \dots + \frac{A_1^{(n)} (\mu^2)^\epsilon}{\epsilon^n} + \text{lower pole terms}$$

$A_k^{(n)} (\mu^2)^{k\epsilon}$ terms appear after subtraction of (n-k) loop counter terms

Statement: $R'G_n$ is local, i.e. terms like $\log^k \mu^2 / \epsilon^m$ should cancel for any k and m

Consequence: $A_n^{(n)} = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{A_1^{(n)}}{n}$


$$KR'G_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{A_k^{(n)}}{\epsilon^n} \right) \equiv \frac{A_n^{(n)'}}{\epsilon^n} \quad A_n^{(n)'} = (-1)^{n+1} A_n^{(n)} = \frac{A_1^{(n)}}{n}.$$

$A_1^{(n)}$ is the contribution to the leading pole in n-loops from the diagrams appearing in due course of R-operation after subtraction of (n-1) loop counter terms

The leading divergences are governed by 1 loop diagrams!

Two loop example

 ϕ^4



$$= \left(\frac{A_2^{(2)}}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{A_1^{(2)}}{\epsilon} \right) \left(\frac{\mu^2}{s} \right)^{2\epsilon}$$

$$\mathcal{R}' \left[\text{triangle with bubble} \right] = \left[\text{triangle with bubble} \right] - \left[\text{tadpole} \right] \left[\text{bubble} \right] = \left(\frac{A_2^{(2)}}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{A_1^{(2)}}{\epsilon} \right) \left(\frac{\mu^2}{s} \right)^{2\epsilon} - \frac{A_1^{(1)}}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{s} \right)^\epsilon \frac{A_1^{(1)}}{\epsilon}$$

$$= \frac{A_2^{(2)}}{\epsilon^2} - \frac{(A_1^{(1)})^2}{\epsilon^2} + \underbrace{2 \frac{A_2^{(2)}}{\epsilon} \log(\mu^2/s) - \frac{(A_1^{(1)})^2}{\epsilon} \log(\mu^2/s)}_{\text{non-local terms to be cancelled}} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(A_1^{(1)})^2}{\epsilon^2} + \dots$$

non-local terms to be cancelled

Leading divergence is given by the one-loop term

$$A_2^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} (A_1^{(1)})^2$$

- ϕ_D^4
- These statements are universal and are valid in non-renormalizable theories as well.
 - The only difference is that the counter term $A_1^{(1)}$ depends on kinematics and has to be integrated through the remaining one-loop graph.
 - As a result $A_2^{(2)}$ is not the square of $A_1^{(1)}$ anymore but is the integrated square (see below).
 - This last statement is the general feature of any QFT irrespective of renormalizability

The Recurrence Relation

$$n \text{ (oval)} A_n = -2 \text{ (triangle)} A_{n-1} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \text{ (oval)} A_k \text{ (circle)} A_{n-1-k}$$

- This is the general recurrence relation that reflects the locality of the counter terms in any theory
- In renormalizable theories A_n is a constant and this relation is reduced to the algebraic one
- In non-renormalizable theories A_n depends on kinematics and one has to integrate through the one loop diagrams

Taking the sum $\sum_n A_n (-z)^n = A(z)$ one can transform the recurrence relation

into integro-diff equation

$$\frac{d}{dz} A(z) = -1 - 2 \int_{\Delta} A(z) - \int_{\circlearrowleft} A^2(z)$$

This is the generalized RG equation valid in any (even non-renormalizable) theory!

The Scalar theory example

$$\phi_D^4$$

$$D = 4, 6, 8, 10$$

$$[\lambda] = 2 - D/2$$

Kazakov, 19

2->2 scattering amplitude on shell


$$m = 0$$

$$s + t + u = 0$$

$$\Gamma_4(s, t, u) = \lambda(1 + \Gamma_s(s, t, u) + \Gamma_t(s, t, u) + \Gamma_u(s, t, u))$$


PT:

$$\Gamma_s = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-z)^n S_n, \quad \Gamma_t = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-z)^n T_n, \quad \Gamma_u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-z)^n U_n, \quad z \equiv \frac{\lambda}{\epsilon}$$



PT expansion (only s-channel is shown)

Recurrence Relations for the Leading Poles



$$\begin{aligned}
 nS_n(s, t, u) &= \frac{s^{D/2-2}}{\Gamma(D/2-1)} \int_0^1 dx [x(1-x)]^{D/2-2} (S_{n-1}(s, t', u') + T_{n-1}(s, t', u') + U_{n-1}(s, t', u')) \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{s^{D/2-2}}{\Gamma(D/2-1)} \int_0^1 dx [x(1-x)]^{D/2-2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \sum_{p=0}^{(D/2-2)k} \sum_{l=0}^p \frac{1}{p!(p+D/2-2)!} \times \\
 &\times \frac{d^p}{dt'^l du'^{p-l}} (S_k + T_k + U_k) \frac{d^p}{dt'^l du'^{p-l}} (S_{n-k-1} + T_{n-k-1} + U_{n-k-1}) s^p [x(1-x)]^p t^l u^{p-l}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$t' = -xs, u' = -(1-x)s$$

Differential Equation

Summing up the recurrence relation $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-z)^n$ one gets the diff equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\frac{d\Gamma_s(s, t, u)}{dz} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma(D/2 - 1)}{\Gamma(D - 2)} s^{D/2-2} & \Gamma_s(z = 0) &= 0 \\
 + \frac{s^{D/2-2}}{\Gamma(D/2 - 1)} \int_0^1 dx [x(1-x)]^{D/2-2} & [\Gamma_s(s, t', u') + \Gamma_t(s, t', u') + \Gamma_u(s, t', u')] & \Big|_{\substack{t' = -xs, \\ u' = -(1-x)s}} & \\
 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{s^{D/2-2}}{\Gamma(D/2 - 1)} \int_0^1 dx [x(1-x)]^{D/2-2} & \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^p \frac{1}{p!(p + D/2 - 2)!} \times & \\
 \times \left(\frac{d^p}{dt'^l du'^{p-l}} (\Gamma_s + \Gamma_t + \Gamma_u) \Big|_{\substack{t' = -xs, \\ u' = -(1-x)s}} \right)^2 & s^p [x(1-x)]^p t^l u^{p-l} & &
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d\Gamma_s(s, t, u)}{d \log \mu^2} &= -\frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{s^{D/2-2}}{\Gamma(D/2 - 1)} \int_0^1 dx [x(1-x)]^{D/2-2} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^p \frac{1}{p!(p + D/2 - 2)!} \times \\
 & \times \left(\frac{d^p \bar{\Gamma}_4(s, t', u')}{dt'^l du'^{p-l}} \Big|_{\substack{t' = -xs, \\ u' = -(1-x)s}} \right)^2 s^p [x(1-x)]^p t^l u^{p-l}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Gamma_s(\log \mu^2 = 0) = 0$$

Solution of RG Equation

$$D = 4$$

$$s \sim t \sim u \sim E^2$$

$$\frac{d\bar{\Gamma}_4}{d \log \mu^2} = -\lambda \frac{3}{2} \bar{\Gamma}_4^2, \quad \bar{\Gamma}_4(\log \mu^2 = 0) = 1 \quad \rightarrow \quad \bar{\Gamma}_4 = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{3}{2} \lambda \log(\mu^2 / E^2)}$$

General Solution for any D

$$\bar{\Gamma}_4(s, t, u) = \mathcal{P} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma(D/2-1)}{\Gamma(D-2)} \lambda (s^{D/2-2} + t^{D/2-2} + u^{D/2-2}) \log(\mu^2 / E^2)}$$

\mathcal{P} is the symbol of ordering in a sense of recurrence relation

$$\Gamma_4(s, t, u) = \mathcal{P} \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda A_1^{(1)} \log(\mu^2 / E^2)} = \mathcal{P} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-\lambda)^n \log^n(\mu^2 / E^2) (A_1^{(1)})^n$$

$$\mathcal{P}(A_1^{(1)})^n = \int_0^1 dx \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}(A_1^{(1)})^k} A_1^{(1)} \overleftarrow{\mathcal{P}(A_1^{(1)})^{n-1-k}},$$

High Energy Behaviour of the scattering amplitude in ϕ_D^4 theory

$$\Gamma_4(s, t, u) = \mathcal{P} \frac{\lambda}{1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma(D/2-1)}{\Gamma(D-2)} \lambda (s^{D/2-2} + t^{D/2-2} + u^{D/2-2}) \log(\mu^2/E^2)}$$

$$s \sim t \sim u \sim E^2$$

$D = 4$ $3/2 > 0$ As a result one has a Landau pole as $E \rightarrow \infty$

$D = 6$ $s + t + u = 0$ All the leading divergences (logs) cancel in all loops

One can explicitly check that S_2 given above vanishes

$D = 8$ $s^2 + t^2 + u^2 > 0$ has a Landau pole as $E \rightarrow \infty$

$D = 10$ $s^3 + t^3 + u^3 = 3stu > 0$ $s > 0, t, u < 0$ has a Landau pole as $E \rightarrow \infty$

Conclusion: ϕ_D^4 has a Landau pole as $E \rightarrow \infty$

Maximal SUSY theories in various dimensions

D=4 N=4

D=6 N=2

D=8 N=1

D=10 N=1

- Partial or total cancellation of UV divergences (all bubble and triangle diagrams cancel)
- First UV divergent diagrams at $D=4+6/L$
- Conformal or dual conformal symmetry
- Common structure of the integrands

Bern, Dixon & Co 10
 Drummond, Henn,
 Korchemsky, Sokatchev 10
 Arkani-Hamed 12

D=4 N=8 Supergravity

- On-shell finite up to 7 loops
- Similar to higher dim SYM

Object: Helicity Amplitudes on mass shell
 with arbitrary number of legs and loops

The case: Planar limit $N_c \rightarrow \infty$, $g_{YM}^2 \rightarrow 0$ and $g_{YM}^2 N_c$ - fixed

The aim: to get all loop (exact) result

Study of higher dim SYM gives insight into quantum gravity

Perturbation Expansion for the 4-point Amplitudes for any D


T. Dennen Yu-yin Huang 10 ,
S.Caron-Huot D.O'Connell 10

$$A_4/A_4^{tree}$$

No bubbles
No Triangles

First UV div at
 $L=[6/(D-4)]$ loops

IR finite



Universal expansion for any D in maximal SYM due to Dual conformal invariance

Recursion relations and RG equations

Leading logs

$$s \rightarrow \infty, t \rightarrow \infty$$

UV divergences

D=6 N=2

$$M_4(s, t) = 1 + \Sigma_s(s, t) + \Sigma_t(s, t) \quad \Sigma_s = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} (-z)^n S_n, \quad \Sigma_t = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} (-z)^n T_n$$

s-channel term $S_n(s, t)$ t-channel term $T_n(s, t)$ $T_n(s, t) = S_n(t, s)$

Exact relation for all diagrams

Bork, Kazakov, Kompaneets, Vlasenko, 13

$$nS_n(s, t) = -2s \int_0^1 dx \int_0^x dy (S_{n-1}(s, t') + T_{n-1}(s, t')) \quad n \geq 4$$

$$t' = t(x - y) - sy$$

$$S_3 = -s/3, \quad T_3 = -t/3$$

Diff equation

Generalized RG equation


$$z \equiv \frac{g^2}{\epsilon} \leftrightarrow g^2 \log(\mu^2)$$

$$z \frac{d}{dz} \Sigma_s(s, t, z) = sz - 2\Sigma_s(s, t, z) + 2sz \int_0^1 dx \int_0^x dy (\Sigma_s(s, t', z) + \Sigma_t(s, t', z))|_{t'=xt+yu}$$

Solution of RG equation

D=6 N=2

Horizontal ladder + tennis court



Ladder



Lddder 2

$$\Sigma_L(s, z) = \frac{2}{s^2 z^2} \left(e^{sz} - 1 - sz - \frac{s^2 z^2}{2} \right)$$

$$\Sigma_{L2} = \frac{1}{2s^2 z^2} \left[27 \left(e^{z/3} - 1 - \frac{z}{3} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{z^2}{9} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{z^3}{27} \right) \left(1 + 2 \frac{t}{s} \right) - \left(e^z - 1 - sz - \frac{1}{2} z^2 - \frac{1}{6} z^3 \right) \right]$$

In general case - numerical solution similar to the ladder approximation

$$\Sigma_s + \Sigma_t \sim e^{(s+t)z}$$

$$s + t = -u > 0, \quad \Sigma \rightarrow \infty$$

$$z \rightarrow \infty$$

$$s + u = -t > 0, \quad \Sigma \rightarrow \infty$$

$$t + u = -s < 0, \quad \Sigma \rightarrow \text{const}$$

D=8 N=1

Recursion relations and RG equations

Bork, Kazakov, Tolkachev, Vlasenko, 14

Leading logs

$s \rightarrow \infty, t \rightarrow \infty$

UV divergences

$$\begin{aligned}
 nS_n(s, t) &= -2s^2 \int_0^1 dx \int_0^x dy y(1-x) (S_{n-1}(s, t') + T_{n-1}(s, t'))|_{t'=tx+yu} \\
 + s^4 \int_0^1 dx x^2(1-x)^2 &\sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \sum_{p=0}^{2k-2} \frac{1}{p!(p+2)!} \frac{d^p}{dt'^p} (S_k(s, t') + T_k(s, t')) \times \\
 &\times \frac{d^p}{dt'^p} (S_{n-1-k}(s, t') + T_{n-1-k}(s, t'))|_{t'=-sx} (tsx(1-x))^p
 \end{aligned}$$

Diff equation

$$S_1 = \frac{1}{12}, T_1 = \frac{1}{12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d}{dz} \Sigma(s, t, z) &= -\frac{1}{12} + 2s^2 \int_0^1 dx \int_0^x dy y(1-x) (\Sigma(s, t', z) + \Sigma(t', s, z))|_{t'=tx+yu} \\
 -s^4 \int_0^1 dx x^2(1-x)^2 &\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!(p+2)!} \left(\frac{d^p}{dt'^p} (\Sigma(s, t', z) + \Sigma(t', s, z))|_{t'=-sx} \right)^2 (tsx(1-x))^p.
 \end{aligned}$$

Solution of RG equation

D=8 N=1

Borlakov, Kazakov, Tolkachev, Vlasenko, 16

Horizontal ladder



Diff equation

$$\frac{d}{dz} \Sigma_A = -\frac{1}{3!} + \frac{2}{4!} \Sigma_A - \frac{2}{5!} \Sigma_A^2 \quad z = g^2 s^2 / \epsilon$$

$$\Sigma_A(z) = -\sqrt{5/3} \frac{4 \tan(z/(8\sqrt{15}))}{1 - \tan(z/(8\sqrt{15}))\sqrt{5/3}} = \sqrt{10} \frac{\sin(z/(8\sqrt{15}))}{\sin(z/(8\sqrt{15}) - z_0)}$$

$$\Sigma(z) = -(z/6 + z^2/144 + z^3/2880 + 7z^4/414720 + \dots)$$

$$z_0 = \arcsin(\sqrt{3/8})$$

infinite number of poles

In general case - numerical solution similar to the ladder approximation possessing infinite number of poles in both directions

Resume

Resume

- **The UV divergences in non-renormalizable theories are local and can be removed by local counter terms like in renormalizable ones**

Resume

- **The UV divergences in non-renormalizable theories are local and can be removed by local counter terms like in renormalizable ones**
- **The main difference is that the renormalization constant Z depends on kinematics and acts like an operator rather than simple multiplication**

Resume

- 📌 **The UV divergences in non-renormalizable theories are local and can be removed by local counter terms like in renormalizable ones**
- 📌 **The main difference is that the renormalization constant Z depends on kinematics and acts like an operator rather than simple multiplication**
- 📌 **Based on locality of the counter terms due to the Bogoliubov-Parasiuk theorem one can construct the recurrence relations that define all loop divergences starting from one loop**

Resume

- 📌 **The UV divergences in non-renormalizable theories are local and can be removed by local counter terms like in renormalizable ones**
- 📌 **The main difference is that the renormalization constant Z depends on kinematics and acts like an operator rather than simple multiplication**
- 📌 **Based on locality of the counter terms due to the Bogoliubov-Parasiuk theorem one can construct the recurrence relations that define all loop divergences starting from one loop**
- 📌 **The recurrence relations can be converted into the generalized RG equations just like in renormalizable theories**

Resume

- 📌 **The UV divergences in non-renormalizable theories are local and can be removed by local counter terms like in renormalizable ones**
- 📌 **The main difference is that the renormalization constant Z depends on kinematics and acts like an operator rather than simple multiplication**
- 📌 **Based on locality of the counter terms due to the Bogoliubov-Parasiuk theorem one can construct the recurrence relations that define all loop divergences starting from one loop**
- 📌 **The recurrence relations can be converted into the generalized RG equations just like in renormalizable theories**
- 📌 **The RG equations allow one to sum up the leading (subleading, etc) divergences in all loops and define the high-energy behaviour**