



#### down Charm came as surprise but completed the picture





Quarks – "the building

blocks of the Universe"

The number of quarks increased with discoveries of new particles and have reached 6



For unknown reasons Nature created 3 copies (generations) of quarks and leptons





Now we have a beautiful pattern of three pairs of quarks and three pairs of leptons. They are shown here with their year of discovery.

### **Matter and Antimatter**

The first generation is what we are made of



Antimatter was created together with matter during the "Big bang"

Antiparticles are created at accelerators in ensemble with particles but the visible Universe does not contain antimatter

### Quark's Colour

Baryons are "made" of quarks



 $\Delta^{-}(d \uparrow d \uparrow d \uparrow)$   $\Omega^{-}(s \uparrow s \uparrow s \uparrow)$  $\Delta^{++}(u \uparrow u \uparrow u \uparrow)$ 

To avoid Pauli principle veto one can antisymmetrize the wave function introducing a new quantum number - "colour", so that

 $\Delta^{-} = \varepsilon^{ijk} (d_i \uparrow d_j \uparrow d_k \uparrow)$ 

### The Number of Colours



The x-section of electron-positron annihilation into hadrons is proportional to the number of quark colours. The fit to experimental data at various colliders at different energies gives

 $N_c = 3.06 \pm 0.10$ 

### **The Number of Generations**



$$N_g = 2.982 \pm 0.013$$

> Z-line shape obtained at LEP depends on the number of flavours and gives the number of (light) neutrinos or (generations) of the Standard Model

## **Quantum Numbers of Matter**



## The group structure of the SM



 $\sum_{a=1}^{N_A} \left( T^a T^{\dagger a} \right)_{ij} = \delta_{ij} C_F \quad , \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^{N_F} T^a_{ij} T^{\dagger b}_{ji} = \delta^{ab} T_F \quad , \quad \sum_{a,b=1}^{N_A} f^{abc} f^{*abd} = \delta^{cd} C_A$ a,b=1**Casimir Operators**  $C_A = N_C$  ,  $C_F = \frac{N_C^2 - 1}{2N_C}$  ,  $T_F = 1/2$ For SU(N) Γ<sub>Γ</sub>/C<sub>Γ</sub> 1.4 ALEPH 68% CL contour 95% CL contour 1.2 QCD = SU(3)SO(3),E8 ✿ massless gluino 1 0.8 SO(4) SU(2), SP(2) 0.6 G2 0.4 F6 0.2 0 OPAL 68% CL DELPHI prelim 68% CL -0.21.25 1.5 1.75 2 2.25 2.5 2.75 3 3.25 3.5 CA/CF

QCD analysis definitely singles out the SU(3) group as the symmetry group of strong interactions

# Electro-weak sector of the SM SU(2) x U(1) versus O(3) 3 gauge bosons 1 gauge boson 3 gauge bosons After spontaneous symmetry breaking one has

3 massive gauge bosons  $(W^{+}\ ,\ W^{-}\ ,\ Z^{0})\ \ and\ 1\ massless\ (\gamma)$ 



2 massive gauge bosons (W+ , W- ) and 1 massless ( $\gamma)$ 



- Discovery of neutral currents was a crucial test of the gauge model of weak interactions at CERN in 1973
- The heavy photon gives the neutral current without flavour violation

### **Gauge Invariance**



Lagrangian of the SM  $SU_{c}(3) \otimes SU_{I}(2) \otimes U_{V}(1)$  $L = L_{gauge} + L_{Yukawa} + L_{Higgs}$  $L_{gauge} = -\frac{1}{4} G^{a}_{\mu\nu} G^{a}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} W^{i}_{\mu\nu} W^{i}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu}$  $+i\overline{L}_{\alpha}\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu}L_{\alpha}+i\overline{Q}_{\alpha}\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu}Q_{\alpha}+i\overline{E}_{\alpha}\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu}E_{\alpha}$  $+i\overline{U}_{\alpha}\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu}U_{\alpha}+i\overline{D}_{\alpha}\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu}D_{\alpha}+(D_{\mu}H)^{\dagger}(D_{\mu}H)$  $L_{Yukawa} = y_{\alpha\beta}^{L} \overline{L}_{\alpha} E_{\beta} H + y_{\alpha\beta}^{D} \overline{Q}_{\alpha} D_{\beta} H + y_{\alpha\beta}^{U} \overline{Q}_{\alpha} U_{\beta} H$  $L_{Higgs} = -V = m^2 H^{\dagger} H - \frac{\lambda}{2} (H^{\dagger} H)^2 \qquad H = i\tau_2 H^{\dagger}$  $\alpha,\beta=1,2,3$  - generation index

# Fermion Masses in the SM

Direct mass terms are forbidden due to SU(2)<sub>L</sub> invariance !



### **Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking** $SU_{c}(3) \otimes SU_{r}(2) \otimes U_{r}(1) \rightarrow SU_{c}(3) \otimes U_{FM}(1)$ Introduce a scalar field with quantum numbers: (1,2,1) $H = \begin{pmatrix} H^+ \\ H^0 \end{pmatrix}$ With potential $V = -m^2 H^{\dagger} H + \frac{\lambda}{2} (H^{\dagger} H)^2$ Unstable maximum At the minimum scalar v.e.v. Stable minimum -Im $\phi$ $H = \begin{pmatrix} H^+ \\ H^0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} H^+ \\ V + \frac{S+iP}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} = \exp(i\frac{\vec{\xi}\vec{\sigma}}{2})\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ V + \frac{S}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$ pseudoscalar Gauge transformation Higgs boson $H \to H' = \exp(i\frac{\vec{\alpha}\vec{\sigma}}{2})H \xrightarrow{(\vec{\alpha}=-\vec{\xi})} H' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{h}' \\ \mathbf{v}+\frac{\mathbf{h}'}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$

The Higgs Mechanism Q: What happens with missing d.o.f. (massless goldstone bosons P,H<sup>+</sup> or  $\overline{\xi}$ )? A: They become longitudinal d.o.f. of the gauge bosons  $W_{\mu}^{i}$ , i=1,2,3  $W_{\mu} \rightarrow e^{i\alpha^{a}\sigma^{a}} W_{\mu} e^{-i\alpha^{a}\sigma^{a}} + \frac{1}{g} \partial_{\mu} \left( e^{i\alpha^{a}\sigma^{a}} \right) e^{-i\alpha^{a}\sigma^{a}}$ Longitudinal components Gauge transformation  $\alpha^a = -\xi^a$ Higgs field kinetic term  $\left|D_{\mu}H\right|^{2} = \left|\partial_{\mu}H - \frac{g}{2}W_{\mu}H - \frac{g'}{2}B_{\mu}H\right|^{2} \longleftarrow H = \begin{pmatrix}0\\V\end{pmatrix}$  $\rightarrow \frac{1}{4} (0 \text{ v}) \begin{pmatrix} gW_{\mu}^{3} + g'B_{\mu} & \sqrt{2}gW_{\mu}^{-} \\ \sqrt{2}gW_{\mu}^{+} & -gW_{\mu}^{3} + g'B_{\mu} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} gW_{\mu}^{3} + g'B_{\mu} & \sqrt{2}gW_{\mu}^{-} \\ \sqrt{2}gW_{\mu}^{+} & -gW_{\mu}^{3} + g'B_{\mu} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix}$  $\Rightarrow \frac{g^2}{2} v^2 W_{\mu}^+ W_{\mu}^- + \frac{1}{4} v^2 (-g W_{\mu}^3 + g' B_{\mu})^2 \qquad W_{\mu}^{\pm} = \frac{W_{\mu}^1 \mp W_{\mu}^2}{\sqrt{2}}$  $M_W^2 = \frac{1}{2}g^2 v^2 \qquad \tan \theta_W = g' / g$  $M_Z^2 = \frac{1}{2}(g^2 + g'^2) v^2 \qquad M_\gamma = 0$  $Z_{\mu} = -\sin\theta_{W}B_{\mu} + \cos\theta_{W}W_{\mu}^{3}$  $\gamma_u = \cos \theta_W B_u + \sin \theta_W W_u^3$ 



$$M_i^u = Diag(y_{\alpha\beta}^u)v, \ M_i^d = Diag(y_{\alpha\beta}^d)v, \ M_i^l = Diag(y_{\alpha\beta}^l)v$$

 $y_{\alpha\beta}^{N} \overline{L}_{\alpha} N_{\beta} H \rightarrow M_{i}^{v} = Diag(y_{\alpha\beta}^{N}) v$ 

Dirac neutrino mass

### The Running Couplings

**Radiative Corrections** 

 $\sim \alpha (\log \Lambda^2/p^2 + fin.part)$  UV divergence

Finite



 $\mu^{2} \frac{d\alpha}{d\mu^{2}} = \beta(\alpha), \quad \beta(\alpha) = -\mu^{2} \frac{d}{d\mu^{2}} Log Z(\Lambda/\mu)$ Running coupling

Renormalization operation

 $\overline{\alpha}_{Bare}(\Lambda) = \overline{Z(\Lambda / \mu)} \alpha_R(\mu)$ UV cutoff Renormalization scale

**Renormalization constant** 

$$Z(\Lambda / \mu) = 1 - b\alpha Log(\Lambda^2 / \mu^2) + \dots$$

Subtraction of UV div

$$\alpha Log(\Lambda^2 / p^2) - \alpha Log(\Lambda^2 / \mu^2) = \alpha Log(\mu^2 / p^2)$$

$$\alpha_{R}(\mu)$$

### **Renormalization Group**

Observable

 $R_{PT}(Q^2/\mu^2, \alpha(\mu)) = \alpha(1 + b\alpha Log(Q^2/\mu^2) + O(\alpha^2))$ 

 $\left|\mu^2 \frac{d}{d\mu^2} R = \left(\mu^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial\mu^2} + \mu^2 \frac{d\alpha}{d\mu^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha}\right) R = 0\right|$ 

RG Eq.

Solution to RG eq.

$$\beta(\alpha)$$

$$R_{RG}(Q^2/\mu^2, \alpha(\mu)) = R_{PT}(1, \overline{\alpha}(Q^2/\mu^2, \alpha))$$

$$Q^2 \frac{d\overline{\alpha}}{dQ^2} = \beta(\overline{\alpha}) \quad \longleftarrow \quad \text{Effective coupling}$$

Solution to RG eq. sums up an infinite series of the leading Logs coming from Feynman diagrams

$$R_{PT}(1,\overline{\alpha}) = \overline{\alpha}, \ \overline{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha}{1 - b\alpha Log(Q^2/\mu^2)} \approx \alpha(1 + b\alpha Log(Q^2/\mu^2) + ...)$$

### Asymptotic Freedom and Infrared Slavery



# **Comparison with Experiment**

#### Global Fit to Data

	Measurement	Pull	Pull -3 -2 -1 0 1 2 3
m <sub>z</sub> [GeV]	$91.1875 \pm 0.0021$	.05	
Г <sub>Z</sub> [GeV]	$2.4952 \pm 0.0023$	42	-
σ <sup>0</sup> hadr [nb]	$41.540 \pm 0.037$	1.62	
R <sub>i</sub>	$20.767 \pm 0.025$	1.07	_
A <sup>0,I</sup>	$0.01714 \pm 0.00095$	.75	-
A <sub>e</sub>	$0.1498 \pm 0.0048$	.38	-
A <sub>r</sub>	$0.1439 \pm 0.0042$	97	_
sin²θ <sup>lept</sup>	$0.2321 \pm 0.0010$	.70	- 1
m <sub>w</sub> [GeV]	$80.427 \pm 0.046$	.55	-
R <sub>b</sub>	$0.21653 \pm 0.00069$	1.09	_
R <sub>c</sub>	$0.1709 \pm 0.0034$	40	-
A <sup>0,b</sup>	$0.0990 \pm 0.0020$	-2.38	
A <sup>0,c</sup>	$0.0689 \pm 0.0035$	-1.51	_
A <sub>b</sub>	$0.922\pm0.023$	55	-
A <sub>c</sub>	$0.631 \pm 0.026$	-1.43	_
sin²θ <sup>lept</sup>	$0.23098 \pm 0.00026$	-1.61	
sin²θ <sub>w</sub>	$0.2255 \pm 0.0021$	1.20	
m <sub>w</sub> [GeV]	$80.452 \pm 0.062$	.81	_
m <sub>t</sub> [GeV]	$174.3\pm5.1$	01	
$\Delta \alpha_{had}^{(5)}(m_Z)$	$0.02804 \pm 0.00065$	29	•
			-3 -2 -1 0 1 2 3

Remarkable agreement of ALL the data with the SM predictions - precision tests of radiative corrections and the SM Higgs Mass Constraint



Though the values of  $\sin \vartheta w$  extracted from different experiments are in good agreement, two most precise measurements from hadron and lepton asymmetries disagree by  $3\sigma$ 

# The SM and Beyond

of generations is arbitrary

#### The problems of the SM:

- Inconsistency at high energies due to Landau poles
- Large number of free parameters

- Flavour mixing and Where is the Dark matter? breaking and where is the Dark matter? • Formal unification of Long and electroweak interactions The way beyond the SM:

- The SAME fields with NEW interactions and NEW fields
  - NEW fields with NEW interactions

Compositeness, Technicolour, preons

GUT, SUSY, String, ED



### We like elegant solutions



"Whatever happened to *elegant* solutions?"