Confinement-deconfinement transition in dense SU(2) QCD (Part II)

V. Braguta, V. Bornyakov, A. Kotov, I. Kudrov, A. Molochkov, A. Nikolaev

JINR, Dubna

12 July, 2017

Potential of static charges ($T \simeq 0$)



- We observe **deconfinement in dense medium!**

String tension



- The Cornell potential: $V(r) = A + \frac{B}{r} + \sigma r$
- The Cornell potential fit can be trusted for the $a\mu \leq 0.1$

Debye screening

$a\mu_q$	μ_q, MeV	B	$m_D a$	χ^2/dof
0.00	0.00	0.5307(89)	-0.1091(48)	10.689
0.05	135.14	0.4532(72)	-0.0380(46)	5.178
0.08	216.22	0.458(10)	0.0324(65)	3.889
0.09	243.25	0.4712(97)	0.0127(61)	3.316
0.10	270.27	0.4249(76)	0.0628(51)	2.753
0.15	405.41	0.474(13)	0.2355(81)	1.218
0.20	540.55	0.542(21)	0.390(12)	2.666
0.25	675.68	0.4662(89)	0.3645(56)	0.246
0.30	810.82	0.638(18)	0.6411(88)	0.316
0.35	945.96	0.641(21)	0.764(10)	0.135
0.40	1081.1	0.590(19)	0.8479(98)	0.153
0.45	1216.23	0.404(15)	0.742(11)	0.033
0.50	1351.37	0.2851(92)	0.5847(94)	0.047

- Debye potential $V(r) = A + \frac{B}{r} e^{-m_D r}$
- The Debye potential fit is good for the $a\mu \geq 0.25$

Spatial potential $V(r)$



Spatial string tension



- Deconfinement at $a\mu > 0.25 - 0.3$?

Conclusion:

- We observe deconfinement in dense medium
- Difficult to determine critical chemical potential
  - From Debye screening $a\mu \geq 0.25$
  - From spatial string tension $a\mu \geq 0.25 - 0.3$
- It is not possible to determine the critical chemical potential from susceptibilities

Conclusion:

- We observe deconfinement in dense medium
- Difficult to determine critical chemical potential
  - From Debye screening $a\mu \geq 0.25$
  - From spatial string tension $a\mu \geq 0.25 - 0.3$
- It is not possible to determine the critical chemical potential from susceptibilities

Is this really deconfinement rather than string breaking?

Conclusion:

- We observe deconfinement in dense medium
- Difficult to determine critical chemical potential
  - From Debye screening $a\mu \geq 0.25$
  - From spatial string tension $a\mu \geq 0.25 - 0.3$
- It is not possible to determine the critical chemical potential from susceptibilities

Is this really deconfinement rather than string breaking?

We are going to study **Abelian Monopoles**

Details of the simulation (present study):

- Tree-level improved gauge action
- $a = 0.073$ fm ($\sqrt{\sigma} = 440$ MeV)
  present study: $\sqrt{\sigma}a = 0.16$ previous study: $\sqrt{\sigma}a = 0.29$
  $\Rightarrow$ **closer to continuum limit**
- $m_\pi = 434(24)$ MeV ($m_\pi L_s \simeq 5$) new study: $m_\pi L_s \simeq 5$
  previous study: $m_\pi L_s \simeq 3$
  $\Rightarrow$ **Smaller final volume effects**
- Lattices
  - $32^3 \times 32$ ($T \simeq 0$)
  - $32^3 \times 24$ ($T \simeq 115$ MeV)
  - $32^3 \times 16$ ($T \simeq 180$ MeV)
  - $32^3 \times 8$ ($T \simeq 350$ MeV)
- Fixed λ parameter

Preliminary results!

Maximal Abelian gauge

- SU(2) QCD
  $\hat{A} = A_1\hat{\sigma}_1 + A_2\hat{\sigma}_2 + A_3\hat{\sigma}_3$, $\sigma_{1,2,3}$–Pauli matrices
- Choose $\hat{A}$ maximally diagonal:
  $\max_\Omega R(A^\Omega), \quad R(A) = -\int d^4x(A_1^2 + A_2^2)$
- $\Omega_0 = diag(e^{-i\alpha(x)}, e^{i\alpha(x)})$ does not change $R(A)$
- Gauge transformation: $A_\pm \to e^{\pm 2i\alpha} A_\pm$ $(A_\pm = A_1 \pm iA_2)$,
  $A_3 \to A_3 - \frac{1}{g}\partial\alpha$
- Substitute $\hat{A} \to A_3$
- Instead of the $SU(2)$ we study $U(1)$
- In $U(1)$ monopoles can be defined

Abelian dominance



Model of dual superconductor





Condensation of monopoles





Basic facts about Abelian monopoles:

- Percolation cluster (confinement/deconfinement transition)
- Small monopole loops (virtual particles)
- Wrapped monopole trajectories (real particles)
- Wrapped monopoles at high temperature are connected with spatial string tension

One can use Abelian monopoles to study confinement/deconfinement transition

The length of percolation cluster



- Percolation cluster disappears in the region $a\mu \in (0.2, 0.3)$
- Deconfinement transition $a\mu \in (0.2, 0.3)$

Total length of nonpercolation clusters



- Total length is practically insensitive to the value of chemical potential
- Physics at small distances does not feel density

Magnetic screening mass

- In perturbative QCD there is no magnetic screening mass
- There is nonperturbative magnetic screening mass at high temperature ($m_M \sim g^2 T$)
- One can expect that there is no magnetic mass in dense medium (D. T. Son, Phys. Rev. D59, 094019)
- The question of (non)existence of magnetic mass is important
    - $m_M \neq 0$: $\quad \Delta \sim \Lambda \exp\left(-\frac{3\pi^2\Lambda^2}{2\mu^2 g^2}\right)$
    - $m_M = 0$: $\quad \Delta \sim \mu g^{-5} \exp\left(-\frac{3\pi^2}{\sqrt{2}g}\right)$

Density of wrapped cluster



Density of wrapped cluster



- Density of wrapped clusters rises (Why?)

Density of wrapped cluster



- Density of wrapped clusters rises (Why?)
- At large temperatures monopoles are static (magnetic!)

Density of wrapped cluster



- Density of wrapped clusters rises (Why?)
- At large temperatures monopoles are static (magnetic!)
- Characteristic size of moopoles $\langle r^2 \rangle \leq 1/m_M^2$ (m_M^2 magnetic screening mass)

Density of wrapped cluster



- Density of wrapped clusters rises (Why?)
- At large temperatures monopoles are static (magnetic!)
- Characteristic size of moopoles $\langle r^2 \rangle \leq 1/m_M^2$ (m_M^2 magnetic screening mass)
- $m_M^2 = c_1 (g^2 T)^2 + c_2 (g^n \mu)^2 \Rightarrow c_2 = 0$

Density of wrapped cluster



- Density of wrapped clusters rises (Why?)
- At large temperatures monopoles are static (magnetic!)
- Characteristic size of moopoles $\langle r^2 \rangle \leq 1/m_M^2$ (m_M^2 magnetic screening mass)
- $m_M^2 = c_1 (g^2 T)^2 + c_2 (g^n \mu)^2 \Rightarrow c_2 = 0$
- There is **no magnetic mass in dense medium!**

Density of wrapped cluster



- Density of wrapped clusters rises (Why?)
- At large temperatures monopoles are static (magnetic!)
- Characteristic size of moopoles $\langle r^2 \rangle \leq 1/m_M^2$ (m_M^2 magnetic screening mass)
- $m_M^2 = c_1(g^2 T)^2 + c_2(g^n \mu)^2 \Rightarrow c_2 = 0$
- There is **no magnetic mass in dense medium!**
- Why density rises?

Density of wrapped cluster



- Density of wrapped clusters rises (Why?)
- At large temperatures monopoles are static (magnetic!)
- Characteristic size of moopoles $\langle r^2 \rangle \leq 1/m_M^2$ (m_M^2 magnetic screening mass)
- $m_M^2 = c_1(g^2 T)^2 + c_2(g^n \mu)^2 \Rightarrow c_2 = 0$
- There is **no magnetic mass in dense medium!**
- Why density rises? $m_M^2 = c_1(g^2 T)^2 \to m_M^2 = c_1(g^2(\mu) T)^2$

Density of wrapped cluster



- Density of wrapped clusters rises (Why?)
- At large temperatures monopoles are static (magnetic!)
- Characteristic size of moopoles $\langle r^2 \rangle \leq 1/m_M^2$ (m_M^2 magnetic screening mass)
- $m_M^2 = c_1 (g^2 T)^2 + c_2 (g^n \mu)^2 \Rightarrow c_2 = 0$
- There is **no magnetic mass in dense medium!**
- Why density rises? $m_M^2 = c_1 (g^2 T)^2 \to m_M^2 = c_1 (g^2(\mu) T)^2$
- **Rise of density is connected with asymptotic freedom**

Density of wrapped cluster (log scale)



- Manifistation of the deconfinement in the region $a\mu \sim 0.3$
- Decrease of the monopole density for $a\mu \geq 0.3$
- No magnetic screening mass, but there is electric screening mass $m_E^2 = c_3(g\mu)^2$
- One can expect that monopole trajectories become more static

The ratio $\frac{L}{L_4}$



- Monopole trajectories become more static



Conclusion:

- We observe few manifestations of deconfinement in the region $a\mu \in (0.2, 0.3)$
    - Disappearence of percolation cluster
    - Density of wrapped clusters
- Confirmation of zero magnetic screening mass



Conclusion:

- We observe few manifestations of deconfinement in the region $a\mu \in (0.2, 0.3)$
  - Disappearence of percolation cluster
  - Density of wrapped clusters
- Confirmation of zero magnetic screening mass

Confirmation confinement/deconfinement transition in dense medium!