Mini-Workshop on "Lattice and Functional Techniques for Exploration of Phase Structure and Transport Properties in Quantum Chromodynamics", Dubna, July 10 - 14, 2017

Topology in hot QCD with a dynamical charm (and axion physics)

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

M.P. Lombardo

in collaboration with

A. Trunin, F. Burger, E.-M. Ilgenfritz, M. Muller-Preussker †

Temperatures:

150 MeV < T < 500 MeV

..and beyond

Quark Gluon Plasma:
E.-M. Ilgenfritz's talk.



Time from Big Bang

Temperatures

150 MeV < T < 500 MeV

..and beyond

Temperature and Time from BigBang are linked by the Equation of State



Quark Gluon Plasma:
E.-M. Ilgenfritz's talk.



The Equation of State of the Quark Gluon Plasma paves the way to Cosmology

Cold Dark Matter candidates might have been created after the inflation

Several CDM candidates are highly speculative - but one, **the axion**, is

Theoretically well motivated in QCD
Amenable to quantitative estimates once QCD topological properties are known:

$$m_a(T) = \sqrt{\chi(T)}/f_a$$

Post-inflationary axions



The two faces of QCD topology

Window to Axions

Friday's talk

Property of Quark Gluon Plasma

Today's talk

QCD topology, long standing focus of strong interaction:

-learning about the structure of the (s)QGP
-fundamental symmetries, strongCP problem —> axions
-hampered by technical difficulties

Recent developments:

-methodological progress: gradient flow, chiral fermions
-first results for dynamical fermions at high temperature:

Trunin *et al.* **J.Phys.Conf.Ser. 668 (2016) no.1, 012123**
Bonati *et al.* **JHEP 1603 (2016) 155**
Borsany *et al.* **Nature 539 (2016) no.7627, 69-71**
Petreczky *et al.* **Phys.Lett. B762 (2016) 498-505**
Burger *et al.* **Nucl. Phys. A, in press**
Taniguchi *et al.* **Phys.Rev. D95 (2017) no.5, 054502**

This talk (Trunin *et al.*, Burger *et al.*)

+ work in progress

Outline

- Lattice setup -

- Results:

  χ_{top} *- Gluonic operator and gradient flow*

  χ_{top} *- Fermionic operator*

-Comments/outlook

Our setup at a glance

Talk by E.M. Ilgenfritz

Hot QCD and
Nf 2+1+1 twisted mass
Wilson fermions

up,down
in the isospin limit

Physical strange

Physical charm

Why Nf = 2 + 1 + 1? Why Wilson twisted?

QCD Symmetries, lattice and the real world



c,b,t do not participate in the chiral dynamics around the critical temperature. Lattice simulations **around Tc** are then performed with up,down,strange quarks – Nf = 2+1



	SU(N)XSU(N)	$U_A(1)$
Staggered	Remnant U(1)	Broken
Wilson	Broken	Broken
Domain Wall	Exact (for L →∞)	Exact (for L →∞)
Overlap	Exact	Exact
Wilson twisted	As good as staggered	Broken

NB: Doubling

Good compromise

Why Nf = 2 +1 +1 ?

Tc ≈200MeV

340 –380 MeV RHIC AuAu 200 GeV	420-480 MeV LHC 2.76 TeV	500- 600MeV LHC hot spots 2.76 TeV	→	1 GeV LHC 7 TeV

Quark Gluon Plasma @ Colliders



Analytic studies suggest that a dynamical charm becomes relevant above 400 MeV, well within the reach of LHC

Laine Schroeder 2006

Setup

Fixed varying scale

For each lattice spacing we explore a range of temperatures 150MeV — 500 MeV by varying Nt

We repeat this for three different lattice spacings following ETMC T=0 simulations.

$T = 0$ (ETMC) nomenclature	β	a [fm] [6]	N_σ^3	N_τ	T [MeV]	# confs.
A60.24	1.90	0.0936(38)	24^3	5	422(17)	585
				6	351(14)	1370
				7	301(12)	341
				8	263(11)	970
				9	234(10)	577
				10	211(9)	525
				11	192(8)	227
				12	176(7)	1052
			32^3	13	162(7)	294
				14	151(6)	1988
B55.32	1.95	0.0823(37)	32^3	5	479(22)	595
				6	400(18)	345
				7	342(15)	327
				8	300(13)	233
				9	266(12)	453
				10	240(11)	295
				11	218(10)	667
				12	200(9)	1102
				13	184(8)	308
				14	171(8)	1304
				15	160(7)	456
				16	150(7)	823
D45.32	2.10	0.0646(26)	32^3	6	509(20)	403
				7	436(18)	412
				8	382(15)	416
				10	305(12)	420
				12	255(10)	380
				14	218(9)	793
				16	191(8)	626
			40^3	18	170(7)	599
			48^3	20	153(6)	582

Four pion masses

Number of flavours	$m_{\pi^\pm}$
$N_f = 2+1+1$	210
	260
	370
	470
$N_f = 2$	360
	430

Advantages: we rely on the setup of ETMC T=0 simulations. Scale is set once for all.

Disadvantages: mismatch of temperatures - need interpolation before taking the continuum limit

Results I

Gluonic (butterfly) operator
+
Gradient Flow Method

Q Massimo D'Elia's talk

$$\mathcal{L}_\theta = \frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu}(x) F^a_{\mu\nu}(x) - i\theta \boxed{\frac{g^2}{64\pi^2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^a_{\mu\nu}(x) F^a_{\rho\sigma}(x)},$$

$$\exp[-VF(\theta)] = \int [dA] \exp\left(-\int d^4x \mathcal{L}_\theta\right)$$

Gradient flow

Lüscher, Lüscher Weisz

Evolve the link variables in a fictitious flow time:

$$\dot{V}_{x,\mu}(t) = -g_0^2 \left[\partial_{x,\mu} S_{\rm Wilson}(V(t))\right] V_{x,\mu}(t),$$

Monitor $\langle E \rangle = \frac{1}{2N_\tau N_\sigma^3} \sum_{x,\mu,\nu} {\rm Tr}[F_{\mu\nu}(x) F^{\mu\nu}(x)]$ as a function of t

Stop flowing when $t^2 \langle E \rangle \big|_{t=t_0} = 0.3$

Observables $< O(t) >$ renormalized at $\mu = 1/\sqrt{8t}$

Continuum limit of $< O(t) >$ is independent on the chosen reference value

Caveat: note comments by Kanaya et al.

Distribution of the topological charge $P(Q)$

cluster around integers as cooling proceeds

(results for a = 0.06 fm)

T=153 MeV



T=253 MeV





$P(Q)$ and $F(\theta)$

Taylor expansion, and cumulants of the topological charge distribution

$$e^{-F(\theta)} = \langle e^{i\theta Q} \rangle$$

$$P_\nu = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} e^{-i\theta\nu} e^{-F(\theta)} \qquad Q = \nu$$

$$C_n = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{1}{V} \frac{d^{2n}}{d\theta^{2n}} F(\theta)\Big|_{\theta=0} \equiv < Q^{2n} >_{conn}$$

$$F(\theta) = V \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\theta^{2n}}{(2n)!} C_n$$

$$P_\nu = \frac{e^{-\frac{\nu^2}{2\sigma^2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \left[1 + \frac{1}{4!} \frac{\tau}{\sigma^2} \mathrm{He}_4 \left(\nu/\sigma\right) \right]$$

$\sigma^2 = VC_1$ and $\tau = C_2/C_1$ $\quad$ $P(Q)$ is Gaussian for $V \to \infty$

$F(\theta)$ is 'hidden' in $P(Q)$'s cumulants

In practice only the first two cumulants are accessible:

$$F(\theta, T) = 1/2\chi(T)\theta^2 s(\theta, t)$$

$$s(\theta, T)1 + b_2(T)\theta^2 + \ldots$$

$$b_2 = -\frac{<Q^4>-3<Q^2>^2}{12<Q^2>}$$



Taylor coefficients of $F(\theta, T)$

DIGA — at very high temperature — predicts

$$F(\theta, T) - F(0, T) = \chi(T)(1 - cos(\theta)) \longrightarrow b_2 = -1/12$$

Flowing towards the plateau

$$t^2 < E > |_{t=t_x, x=0-6} = (0.3, 0.66, 0.1, 0.15, 0.2, 0.25, 0.45)$$



We focus on t(0.3) = t0 and t(0.66)= t1

On finer lattices, plateau is almost reached:

Gradient method coincides with cooling





Results for the topological susceptibility for $M_\pi = 270$ MeV





Continuum limit:

- in principle independent on flow limit
- we need to interpolate results at fixed scale to match T

$$\chi(T, m_\pi) = \lim_{a\to 0} \chi^{1/4}(T, a, m_\pi, t_x)$$

$$\chi^{1/4}(T, a, m_\pi, t_x) = \chi^{1/4}(T, m_\pi) + a^2 k(T, t_x)$$

Continuum results for $m_\pi = 370$ MeV



Used:
Bezier interp.

Very slow decay:
Nearly linear,
or power-like
with a
tiny exponent:

$$\chi(T)^{0.25} \simeq aT^{-0.26} \simeq T, T > 200 \text{ MeV}$$

Detailed analysis for T > 200 MeV (use approx. linearity) - **1**

(In)dependence of continuum limit on flow's limit: 0.3 OK



Detailed analysis for T > 200 MeV - **2**

Interpolation ok.



Detailed analysis for T > 200 MeV - **3**

A mass rescaling appears to work nicely

Bonati et al. 2016



However: there is no mass dependence..



Possible explanation : strong scaling violations

Comparison with BNL results

numerical data courtesy *S. Sharma*



Indication of corrections to a^2 scaling on our two coarser lattices

Comparison with BNL results (contn'd)

numerical data courtesy *S. Sharma*





Consistent trend for other temperatures: on our finer lattice the corrections to a^2 scaling seem moderate

Instanton potential - cumulants' ratio b2

DIGA predicts

$$F(\theta, T) - F(0, T) = \chi(T)(1 - cos(\theta)) \longrightarrow b_2 = -1/12$$



b2 = -1/12

DIGA limit for T > 350 MeV



Consistent with Bonati et al.

Fermionic operator

$$n_L - n_R = Q_{top}$$

$$m \int d^4x \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \psi = Q_{top}$$

Topological and chiral susceptibility

Kogut, Lagae, Sinclair 1999

HotQCD, 2012

$$\chi_{top} =< Q_{top}^2 > /V = m_l^2 \chi_{5,disc}$$



$$\chi_\pi - \chi_\delta = \chi_{\rm disc} = \chi_{5,\rm disc} \,, \qquad \text{for} \quad T \geq T_c \,, \; m_l \to 0 \,.$$

$$\chi_{top} =< Q_{top}^2 > /V = m_l^2 \chi_{disc}$$

$$T > T_{U(1)_A} \simeq T_c$$

Chiral susceptibility





Within errors, no discernable spacing dependence



T > Tc: $\chi_{top}^{1/4}$
100
10
$(m_l^2 \chi disc)^{0.25}$ [MeV]
150
200
250
300
350
400
450
500
T [MeV]
pion 260 MeV
pion 370 MeV
pion 470 MeV



T > Tc: $\chi_{top}^{1/4}$
$(m_l^2 \chi_{disc})^{0.25}$ [MeV]
100
10
150
200
250
300
350
400
450
500
T [MeV]
pion 260 MeV
pion 370 MeV
pion 470 MeV
$\chi_{top}^{0.25}$ Borsanyi et al, continuum
$\chi_{top}^{0.25}$ Bonati et al, a = 0.057 fm
$\chi_{top}^{0.25}$, Bonati et al, continuum

Results for physical pion mass



Comparison with BNL results including fermionic results

numerical data courtesy *S. Sharma*





dotted lines to guide the eye

Effective exponent : $$d(T) = -T\frac{d}{dT}\ln\chi^{0.25}(T)$$

$$\chi^{0.25}(T) = aT^{-d(T)}$$



Possibly consistent with instant -dyon? Shuryak 2017



Faster decrease before DIGA sets in

Effective exponent :

$$\chi_{top}^{1/4} = aT^{-d(T)}$$

Same DIGA onset seen in b2 ≈ 350 MeV





Effective exponent d(T):

Comparisons with other results :

$$\chi_{top}^{1/4} = aT^{-d(T)}$$





HISQ, 1606.03145
BW,stout, 1606.07494
tM-Wilson, 1705.01847
Slide from S. Sharma
xQCD2017
$\chi_t^{1/4}$ (MeV)
T (MeV)
0
5
10
15
20
25
30
35
300
400
500
600
700
800

Summary and open points I

-*Gluonic operator with gradient flow method:*

Strong lattice artifacts for a > 0.06 fm. The results for a = 0.06 compare well with BNL results, where a^2 corrections are still visible. No reliable continuum limit for the topological susceptibility.

b2 is approaching the DIGA value for T > 300 MeV on all the lattices, possibly due to a cancellation of lattice artifacts

-*Fermionic operator:*

Residual lattice artifacts below statistical errors, allowing a continuum limit estimate. The results for T> 300 are broadly consistent with others once rescaled to the physical pion mass, and confirm the DIGA behavior

We observe a faster decrease closer to Tc, in agreement with recent instanton-dyons predictions. This feature has not been seen in other studies

Summary and open points II

-What next for Topology and QGP phenomenology

- All in all, there is an emerging evidence that the QGP behaves as a DIGA for T > 300 MeV, but such evidence only comes from the exponent and b2.Can this agreement be accidental?

The behavior around Tc is still under scrutiny, and should be clarified to better understand the approach to DIGA, and the nature of the medium produced at the LHC.

-What next for the lattice

Twisted mass Wilson fermions seem to perform well for topology: very little spacing effects for the fermionic operator, access to the cumulants even on coarse lattices.

Needless to say, simulations for smaller masses, and finer lattices would be most useful, and in view of the positive features of these fermions very worthwhile.The disconnected susceptibilities should be measured as well.

- *Dirac Spectrum analysis, FRG calculations (exp. for U(1) axial symmetry?)???*