Pole and running lepton masses in QED : results and by-products

Andrei L. Kataev (INR, Moscow)

Abstract

Three discovered charged leptons have pole masses $m_e = 0.510998910 \pm 13 \times 10^{-9}$ MeV (CODATA-06), $m_{\mu} = 105.6583668 \pm 38 \times 10^{-9}$ MeV (CODATA-06) and $m_{\tau} = 1776.69^{+0.17}_{-0.19} \pm 0.15$ MeV from e^+e^- Novosibirsk data for threshold $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ (KEDR- collab (11)-still preliminary). We study questions:

1. Definitions of running lepton masses in the MS-schemeespecially important for τ -lepton mass due to interest in $\Gamma(H \to \tau^+ \tau^-)$ - how many order $O(\alpha)$ -corrections important? Indeed, the mass of τ -lepton is comparable with the mass of charm quark, where the concept of running is considered. Why running lepton masses in QED ?

a) Not often considered. Interesting to use say for τ -lepton.

b) $H \to \tau^+ \tau^-$ - important decay mode: $H \to \tau^+ \tau^- \to l + jets$ in W-boson fusion may be detectable at LHC if $M_H = 115$ -135 GeV and L=60 fb^{-1} (CMS-collab note referred by **N.Krasnikov (07)**at 13th Lomonosov Conf. talk). Up to $M_H \approx 150$ GeV $Br(H \to \tau^+ \tau^-) > Br(H \to c\overline{c})$ (see e.g. **Kataev,Kim (09)**:)

Pole-mass approach RG approach 0.6 Born ∧⁽⁵⁾_{MS}=250 MeV 0.55 0.8 0.5 0.7 0.45 0.6 0.4 0.5 0.35 150 M_H 0.3 100 Fig.1 100 Fig.2 150 M, $Br(H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$ 10 102 $(m_{\tau} - p\sigma e)$ like in some other codes $\Gamma_{H_{c\bar{c}}} = 3G_F \sqrt{2}/8\pi M_H \overline{m}_c^2(M_H) C_H(\alpha_s)$ with running quark mass. We used $\Gamma_{H\tau^-\tau^+} = G_F \sqrt{2}/8\pi M_H m_{\tau}^2$

At present $C_H(\alpha_s)$ is known up to $O(\alpha_s^4)$ -terms (**Baikov**, **Chetyrkin, Kuhn (06)**. As was shown by **Kataev, Kim (07)**-13 Lomonosov Conf, **Kataev, Kim (08)**- ACAT08- Erice and **Bakulev, Mikhaiklov, Stefanis (11)** Tevatron and LHC experimental precision do not need at present the inclusion of $O(\alpha_s^4)$ - they may be important in case of finding Higgs-boson.

Therefore it is appropriate to study the similar approximation for $\Gamma_{H\tau^-\tau^+}$. In the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ -scheme its $O(\alpha^3)$ -approximation takes the following form

 $\Gamma_{H\tau^{-}\tau^{+}} = \Gamma_{\tau}^{0} (\frac{\overline{m}_{\tau}(M_{H})}{m_{\tau}})^{2} [1 + a(M_{H})s_{1} + a(M_{H})^{2}s_{2} + a(M_{H})^{3}s_{3} + a(M_{H})^{2}a_{s}(M_{H})\delta^{QCD}] ,$ $a(M_{H}) = \frac{\alpha_{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(M_{H})}{\pi}, \ \overline{m}_{\tau}(M_{H}) \text{ - are QED parameters (they are related to the on-shell α and m_{τ}- see below}, a_{s}(M_{H}) = \frac{\alpha_{\overline{\mathrm{s}}}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(M_{H})}{\pi} \text{ is the QCD parameter.}$

Evaluation of running τ -lepton mass: $\overline{m}_{\tau}(M_H) \to \overline{m}_{\tau}(m_{\tau}) \to m_{\tau}$:

$$\overline{m}_{l}(M_{H}) = \overline{m}_{l}(m_{l}) \exp\left[-\int_{a(M_{H})}^{a(m_{l})} \frac{\gamma_{m}^{QED}(x)}{\beta^{QED}(x)} dx\right]$$
$$= \overline{m}_{l}(m_{l}) \left(\frac{a(M_{H})}{a(m_{l})}\right)^{2\gamma_{0}/\beta_{0}} \left(\frac{AD(a(M_{H}))}{AD(a(m_{l}))}\right)$$
(1)

where AD(a) are defined up to $O(\alpha^3)$ -corrections, which depend from first 4 terms of the RG-functions

 $\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \ln \mu^2} = \beta_{\bar{M}S}^{QED}(a) = \beta_0 a^2 + \beta_1 a^3 + \beta_2 a^4 + \beta_3 a^5 + \beta_4 a^6$ $\frac{\partial \ln \bar{m}_l}{\partial \ln \mu^2} = \gamma_{\bar{m}}^{QED}(a) = -\gamma_0 a - \gamma_1 a^2 - \gamma_2 a^3 - \gamma_3 a^4 - \gamma_4 a^5$

 β_3 known from Gorishny et al (91); 1-fermion loop contribution to β_4 from Baikov, Chetyrkin and Kuhn (07); QED γ_3 Chetyrkin (97) agrees with QED limit of Vermaseren, Larin, van Ritbergen (97) QCD γ_3 ; β_4 , γ_4 are added for theoretical reasons. Next- relation $\overline{m}_{\tau}(m_{\tau}) \to m_{\tau}$ A.L.Kataev, G.Parente and V.T. Kim (11)-work in progress btained from analytical result of Melnikov, van Ritbergen (00), which agrees with semi-analytical result of Chetyrkin, Steinhauser(00).

 $\overline{m}_{\tau}(m_{\tau}) = m_{\tau} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\alpha(\mu)}{\pi}\right) + \left(\frac{\alpha(\mu)}{\pi}\right)^2 2.46 + 1.92\left(\frac{\alpha(m_{\mu})}{\pi}\right)^3\right]; \ \mu = m_{\tau}$

Hadronic vacuum polarization contributions may be important!

$$\overline{m}_{\mu}(m_{\mu}) = m_{\mu} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\alpha(\nu)}{\pi}\right) + \left(\frac{\alpha(\nu)}{\pi}\right)^2 0.9 + 3.17 \left(\frac{\alpha(\nu)}{\pi}\right)^3\right], \text{ (the scale } \nu = m_{\mu}\right)$$

$$\overline{m}_e(m_e) = m_e \left[1 - \left(\frac{\alpha(\nu)}{\pi}\right) - \left(\frac{\alpha(\nu)}{\pi}\right)^2 0.7 + 4.36 \left(\frac{\alpha(\nu)}{\pi}\right)^3\right], \text{ (the scale } \nu = m_e \right)$$

b) It is enough to take into account one term in PT (others are rather small). In the coefficient function- the same:

Indeed, in the \overline{MS} -scheme QED coefficients of the coefficient function read

$$\begin{split} s_1 &= d_1^E = \frac{17}{4} \approx 4.25 \quad s_2 = d_2^E - \gamma_0 (\beta_0 + 2\gamma_0) \pi^2 / 3 \\ d_2^E &= \frac{691}{64} - \frac{9}{4} \zeta_3 - [\frac{65}{16} - \zeta_3] (N_L + 1 + 3\sum_F Q_F^2) \text{ (at } Q_F = 0 \\ d_2^E &= -.49 \text{ (in case of } \tau \text{) } 2.37 \text{ (in case of } \mu) \\ s_3 &= d_3^E - [d_1 (\beta_0 + \gamma_0) (\beta_0 + 2\gamma_0) + \beta_1 \gamma_0 + 2\gamma_1 (\beta_0 + 2\gamma_0)] \pi^2 / 3 \\ \delta^{QCD} &= \delta^E - \beta_1^{QED - QCD} \gamma_0 \pi^2 / 3 \\ d_3^E &= \frac{23443}{768} - \frac{239}{16} \zeta_3 + \frac{45}{8} \zeta_5) - [\frac{88}{3} - \frac{65}{4} \zeta_3 - \frac{3}{4} \zeta_4 + 5\zeta_5] (N_L + 1 + 3\sum_F Q_F^4) \\ + [\frac{15511}{3888} - \zeta_3] (N_L + 1 + 3\sum_F Q_F^2)^2 \quad (d_3^E = -2.03 \quad for \quad \tau) \\ \delta^E &= [\frac{15511}{2916} - \frac{4}{3} \zeta_3] 4 \sum_F Q_F^2 \approx 12.95 \text{ (In Euclidean region } (\alpha/\pi)^2 \alpha_s / \pi \text{-coefficient is larger- but overall contribution is smaller than 1-loop term.} \end{split}$$

These results are obtained from Gorishny et al (90-91) and Chetyrkin (97)

Relation between on-shell and running *b*-quark mass: **Kataev,Kim (11)**

$$\frac{\mathbf{m}_{b}}{\overline{m_{b}}(m_{b})} = 1 + \frac{4}{3}a_{s}(m_{b})) + 12a_{s}(m_{b})^{2} + 131a_{s}(m_{b})^{3} + 1101a_{s}(m_{b})^{4}$$

The estimate a_s^4 was ontained using Chetyrkin,Kniehl and Sirlin (97) application of the Kataev, Starshenko (95) PMS/ECH -inspired studies.

$$\begin{split} M_q &= \overline{m}_q(\overline{m_q}) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n a^n(\overline{m}_q(\overline{m}_q^2)) \\ M_q &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\overline{m}_q(\overline{m}_q) - i\varepsilon}^{-\overline{m}_q(\overline{m}_q) + i\varepsilon} ds' \int_0^{\infty} ds \frac{T(s)}{(s+s')^2} \\ f_0^E &= t_0, f_1 = t_1^M \quad f_2^E = t_2^M + \frac{\pi^2}{6} t_0 \gamma_0 (\beta_0 + \gamma_0) \\ f_3^E &= t_3^M + \frac{\pi^2}{3} \left\{ t_1 (\beta_0 + \gamma_0) \left(\beta_0 + \frac{\gamma_0}{2} \right) + t_0 \left[\frac{\beta_1 \gamma_0}{2} + \gamma_1 (\beta_0 + \gamma_0) \right] \right\} \\ \text{Effects of analytical continuation! Are they observed in the analytical α_s^3 -result? Partial cancellation with typical to on-shell ζ_2 -effects !$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\frac{m}(M)}{M} &= 1 - \frac{4}{3} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right) + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^2 \left(N_L \left(\frac{71}{144} + \frac{\pi^2}{18}\right)\right) \\ &- \frac{3019}{288} + \frac{1}{6}\zeta_3 - \frac{\pi^2}{9} \log 2 - \frac{\pi^2}{3}\right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^3 \left(N_L^2 \left(-\frac{2353}{23328} - \frac{7}{54}\zeta_3 - \frac{13}{324}\pi^2\right)\right) \\ &+ N_L \left(\frac{246643}{23328} + \frac{241}{72}\zeta_3 + \frac{11}{81}\pi^2 \log 2 - \frac{2}{81}\pi^2 \log^2 2\right) \\ &+ \frac{967}{648}\pi^2 - \frac{61}{1944}\pi^4 - \frac{1}{81}\log^4 2 - \frac{8}{27}a_4\right) \\ &- \frac{9478333}{93312} + \frac{1439}{432}\zeta_3\pi^2 - \frac{61}{27}\zeta_3 - \frac{1975}{216}\zeta_5 \\ &+ \frac{587}{162}\pi^2 \log 2 + \frac{22}{81}\pi^2 \log^2 2 - \frac{644201}{38880}\pi^2 + \frac{695}{7776}\pi^4 \\ &+ \frac{55}{162}\log^4 2 + \frac{220}{27}a_4 \end{aligned}$$

CONCLUSION: For

1) For careful study of $\Gamma_{H\tau^-\tau^+}$ is worth to take into account 2-loop running of mass and 1 -loop coefficient function to get the precision, available for $\Gamma(H \to b\overline{b})$ at α_s^3 -level **Kataev**, **Kim (09)**, **Bakulev et al- (08-09)**

2) QED structure of PT- no sign alternation- at least in the \overline{MS} -schemde !

3) In the relation bewteen QCD on-shell and running mass do esist effects of analytical continuation- are they summable?