### Connecting Nuclear Physics

to QCD with the lattice

André Walker-Loud

Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research

Dubna International Advanced School of Theoretical Physics Helmholtz International Summer School

Dubna, Russia, August 25-September 6, 2014

↔140 Пёмидовіко

Притыкино

ELMHOLT

### Lattice QCD, Hadron Structure and Hadronic Matter

#### Outline

**D** Lecture 1: Introduction and Motivation

• Lecture 2: Baryon Chiral Perturbation Theory

• Lecture 3: Two hadrons in a finite Euclidean Volume

Lecture 4: Matrix Elements (reaction rates) and some results

**O** *QCD is The fundamental theory of the strong interactions* 

$$E_{N,Z,S}^{(i)} = \Lambda_{QCD} \times f_{N,Z,S}^{(i)} \left( \frac{m_u}{\Lambda_{QCD}}, \frac{m_d}{\Lambda_{QCD}}, \frac{m_s}{\Lambda_{QCD}}, \frac{e^2}{4\pi} \right)$$
these energy levels range from a few KeV to  
MeV to many GeV

 $\mathbf{o}$ 

We would like to understand the spectrum and transitions in nuclear physics directly from QCD

There are well known fine-tunings in nature that have a significant impact on our existence
 M<sub>n</sub> - M<sub>p</sub>, B<sub>d</sub>, triple alpha process and <sup>12</sup>C, ...
 How sensitive are these fine-tunings to variations of fundamental parameters in the Standard Model?

How sensitive is the Universe as we know it to variations in these fundamental parameters?



need a solution to QCD

What is the weak fusion rate  $p + p \rightarrow d + \nu_e + e^+$ 

as a function of parameters in the Standard Model?

What is the composition and equation of state of dense nuclear matter in neutron stars?

• These are examples of understanding QCD to connect interesting nuclear physics to the fundamental theory

There is another very compelling reason - depending on your taste - you will find it more or less compelling (or the same).

• With the discovery of the Higgs boson, the Standard Model (SM) is now complete

• However, the LHC has turned up no hints of any physics beyond the Standard Model (BSM)

Further, there is almost NO terrestrial experimental hints for any physics BSM
the exceptions: muon anomalous magnetic moment proton radius puzzle

muon anomalous magnetic moment the numerical size of the discrepancy between theory and experiment is the size of a one-loop SM correction This makes it difficult to understand this coming from high-energy BSM physics - as there is no room in any other SM comparison for a correction the size of oneloop (Z. Ligeti @ LBNL)

could the BSM physics come from weakly coupled light degrees of freedom?

proton radius puzzle

the discrepancy between the quoted value of the proton charge radius  $\langle r_E^2 \rangle \equiv -6 \frac{\partial G_E(Q^2)}{\partial Q^2} \Big|_{Q^2=0}$ 

*measured in muonic-hydrogen and e-p scattering is* ~7 sigma!

The determinations of this quantity have been put under extreme scrutiny - while the resolution is still a mystery - it is fair to say many people working on this subject suspect the systematics in e-p are underestimated

high-energy physics colliders are one way to search for BSM physics - but it is not clear this will be possible in the near future

this helps emphasize the important role low-energy precision nuclear physics can play in searching for new physics (in addition to muon g-2 and proton size)

While we have no direct confirmation of any BSM physics - we have very strong indirect evidence:

The SM describes only  $\sim 5\%$  of the mass of the Universe  $\sim 27\%$  of the mass of the Universe is believed to be Dark Matter  $\sim 68\%$  of the mass of the Universe is believed to be Dark Energy



(picture out of date)

The assumed existence of Dark Matter (DM) comes from several sources:

Velocity curves of rotational galaxies require significantly more gravitating mass than observed



The assumed existence of Dark Matter (DM) comes from several sources:

N-body simulations of galaxy formation (assuming cold-dark matter) DM gives rise to observed structure of galaxies and galaxy clusters (simulations without DM do not)



The assumed existence of Dark Matter (DM) comes from several sources:

Bullet-Cluster: two colliding galaxies gravitational lensing shows COM moved right through collision and is not observable while visible matter "collided"



The assumed existence of Dark Matter (DM) comes from several sources:

These three observations, in particular the bullet cluster, are very difficult to explain with modified gravity. Cold Dark Matter is the simplest explanation consistent with all observations



What do we know about Dark Matter?

DM interacts very weakly, if at all, with the SM except through gravity DM is weakly self-interacting: DM exists in halos rather than disks (matter accumulates to a disk through collisions) DM is cold (non-relativistic) since it clumps



There are several significant experimental efforts underway to try and directly detect Dark Matter - through elastic collisions with matter

These detectors all use nuclei to search for elastic recoil - to interpret constraints/ observations we must understand QCD and possible interactions with DM

LUX exclusion plot [arXiv:1405.5906]



To the best of our knowledge, the SM matter in the Universe is comprised entirely of matter and not anti-matter

A measure of the excess matter in the Universe is given by the primordial ratio of the number of baryons to photons - from the CMB, we know this number to be

$$\eta \equiv \frac{X_N}{X_\gamma} \simeq 6.2 \times 10^{-10}$$

However, the SM is nearly symmetric in matter and anti-matter. While this observed asymmetry is small, it is larger than predicted by the SM, assuming the Universe began in a matter/ anti-matter symmetric state

To produce a matter/anti-matter asymmetry, we need the three Sakharov conditions:

- baryon number violation
- C-symmetry and CP-symmetry violation
- interactions out of thermal equilibrium

CP violation implies permanent electric dipole moments (EDMs) for SM fermions. There are significant experimental efforts to search for permanent electric dipole moments in electrons, protons, neutrons, deuterium, ... Hg, Pa, Ra

If we assume the BSM physics is heavy, and can be integrated out, this leaves several higher-dimensional operators that generate CP-violating operators, in example quark bi-linear operators, 4-quark operators, and gluonic operators.

In order to relate constraints/measurements on permanent EDMs in nucleons/nuclei to BSM physics, we must be able to solve QCD!







## **QCD to the rescue** Dark Energy

Accelerated Expansion









creation of new, ultradense states of nuclear matter

#### Role of QCD in the Evolution of the Universe

### From Quarks to Protons and Neutrons $T \simeq 1$ trillion K $(10^{12} \text{ K})$ $t \simeq 30$ micro seconds $(3.0 \times 10^{-5} s)$



QCD: computed by hot-QCD and Budapest-Wuppertal Lattice Collaborations



LHC @ CERN primary effort is to find the Higgs Boson, responsible for mass of quarks

Will also probe conditions similar to big bang



LHC @ CERN primary effort is to find study the Higgs Boson, responsible for mass of quarks

# Will also probe conditions similar to big bang



ANAL STREET, ST







### LHC @ CERN primary effort is to find study the Higgs Boson, responsible for mass of quarks



 $m_u\simeq 2~{
m MeV}$  $m_d\simeq 5~{
m MeV}$  $m_p\simeq 938~{
m MeV}$ 



### LHC @ CERN primary effort is to find study the Higgs Boson, responsible for mass of quarks

$$\begin{array}{c} m_u \simeq 2 \ \mbox{MeV} \\ m_d \simeq 5 \ \mbox{MeV} \\ m_p \simeq 938 \ \mbox{MeV} \\ m_{u,d} \rightarrow 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} m_p \simeq 900 \ \mbox{MeV} \\ m_p \simeq 900 \ \mbox{MeV} \\ \mbox{QCD glue!} \\ \mbox{(universe would be very different)} \end{array}$$


# Big Bang Nucleosynthesis $T\simeq 1$ trillion K ightarrow 1 billion K $t\simeq 3 imes 10^{-5}s ightarrow 3$ min



We would have expected the very early universe to be matter/antimatter symmetric, and thus to annihilate completely into radiation as the universe cooled But we -- matter -- exist

answer most likely from beyond Standard Model Physics

# Why is there matter?



# when systems cool, they settle into the lowest energy state mass/energy n p

 $\tau_n \sim 15 \min$ 

# when systems cool, they settle into the lowest energy state

### $\tau_n \sim 15 \min$

what prevented this from destroying all the neutrons?

if nothing else were to happen in the next few minutes, our universe would be full of only Hydrogen

# when systems cool, they settle into the lowest energy state



## Answer: formation of nuclei

a system with protons and neutrons can collapse to a compact bound state, the deuteron: the attractive binding of a neutron and proton allows neutrons to survive when embedded in nuclei

# The deuterium "bottleneck"



The deuterium "bottleneck" is broken, neutrons flow into He



He stability:  $\uparrow,\downarrow$  protons and  $\uparrow,\downarrow$  neutrons can be packed together



The early universe contains 75% H and 25% <sup>4</sup>He by mass fraction ("all" deuterium converted to <sup>4</sup>He)

this picture very sensitive to binding energy of deuterium which is finely tuned (most nuclei have ~8 MeV binding per nucleon)!

$$B_d = 2.22$$
 MeV

# What if

- $B_d \ll 2.22 \text{ MeV}$  more finely tuned all neutrons decay - no helium mostly hydrogen stars?
- $B_d \gg 2.22 \text{ MeV}$  natural scenario all neutrons captured in deuterium and helium - no hydrogen no stars like ours!

# Turns out BBN abundances are also very sensitive to

$$m_n - m_p \propto \begin{cases} m_d - m_u \\ e^2/4\pi \end{cases}$$



How does QCD impact light element synthesis in the early Universe? (Will come back to this later)

# proton-neutron scattering at low energies $^3S_1:a\simeq 5.5$ fm $^1S_0: a \simeq -24$ fm deuteron low-energy scattering wave-function length, "a" $-V_0$ a $\boldsymbol{a}$ $-V_0$ $R_{NN}\sim 1.4$ fm

Fine tuning gives small deuteron binding energy Solving QCD can help us determine the nature of this fine tuning

# Finely tuned interactions (like in AMO systems)



# Energy Budget of the Universe



If Dark Matter couples to the scalar current of the nucleon (eg via Higgs) Spin Independent cross section

$$\sigma \propto |f|^2 \qquad f = \frac{2}{9} + \frac{7}{9} \sum_{q=u,d,s} f_q$$

$$f_q \equiv \frac{\langle N | m_q \bar{q}q | N \rangle}{m_N}$$

see eg. Cheung, Hall, Pinner, Ruderman arXiv:1211.4873

with enhancement of A<sup>2</sup> for nucleus (Xenon)

scalar current difficult to measure experimentally

Dark Matter

 $f_{u,d}$  estimated from pionnucleon scattering

 $f_s$  uncertainty dominates estimates of cross section

> Ellis, Olive, Savage Phys.Rev. D77 (2008)

If Dark Matter couples to the scalar current of the nucleon (eg via Higgs) Spin Independent cross section

$$\sigma \propto |f|^2 \qquad f = \frac{2}{9} + \frac{7}{9} \sum_{q=u,d,s} f_q$$

$$f_q \equiv \frac{\langle N | m_q \bar{q} q | N \rangle}{m_N}$$

see eg. Cheung, Hall, Pinner, Ruderman arXiv:1211.4873 figure adapted from arXiv:1211.4873 thanks to J. Ruderman and collaborators

**Dark Matter** 



# Feynman-Hellman Theorem

$$H = H_0 + \lambda H_1 \quad \longrightarrow \quad \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial \lambda} = \langle n | \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda} | n \rangle$$

In our lattice QCD calculations, we can study the quark mass dependence of the nucleon and infer these matrix elements

$$m_q \frac{\partial m_N}{\partial m_q} = \langle N | m_q \bar{q} q | N \rangle$$

By understanding the quark mass dependence of the nucleon - we can determine these important matrixelements

# Solar Fusion



 $T \simeq 20 \ {
m K}$  $t \simeq 200 \ {
m Million}$  years One needs neutrons and protons to make new nuclei.

Small stars burn protons only, manufacturing the needed neutrons



This is how our Sun generates its energy

80% of all stars generate their energy by hydrogen burning

At its very center the Sun generates 275 watts/m<sup>3</sup> - similar to the energy generated by a compost (garbage) heap (of the same size)!

And this is why the Sun has burned for 4.6 b.y., and will burn for 5 b.y. more, fortunately -- a very big, very slow reactor

 $p + p \rightarrow d + \nu_{e} + e^{+}$ 

This fundamental reaction can not be measured! (Coulomb Repulsion)

We believe we know the value, but based upon model calculations or Effective Field Theory with limited constraints

Soon, with numerical QCD, we will be able to calculate this from first principles

Large stars use He and neutrons to build new nuclei.

Higher temperatures and higher densities are needed.

The Big Bang could not do this because the density was too low.



# even more finely tuned







He, C, O, ... Si burning produces energy until Iron (Fe)





core collapse supernova, shock-wave-aided ejection of mantel



# Supernova

# "We are all made of star stuff" Carl Sagan 1934-1996

much coming from the ejecta of supernova

# Neutron Star

both supernova and neutron stars they leave behind depend on properties of very dense nuclear matter - a QCD problem

# Pauli Exclusion Principle











Energy level spacing depends on 3-body interactions Recent measurement of a 2 solar mass neutron star Demorest et al. Nature 467 1081 (2010) has re-invigorated interest in hyper-nuclear matter at high densities





# Conclusions

• Understanding nuclear physics from the fundamental theory of strong interactions, QCD, is exciting and important for these and other reasons:

• Quantitative connection between QCD and the rich nuclear phenomenology

Understanding precision low-energy nuclear physics to constrain the SM and searches for BSM physics

The growth of computing power and algorithms means that TODAY is the beginning of a renaissance in nuclear physics where these exciting things are just becoming possible!

