#### Quantum Integrable Systems and Yang-Baxter Equations.

#### A. P. Isaev<sup>1</sup>

#### <sup>1</sup>Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR, Dubna, Russia

Helmholtz International Summer School "Cosmology, Strings and New Physics" September 2 - 14, 2013, Dubna, Russia

1/17

# Introduction

#### Yang-Baxter Equations.

- Integrable systems
- Factorizable scattering and Yang-Baxter Equations.
- Zamolodchikov algebra
- Quantum Integrable Models.

2/17

# 1. Introduction

- In modern theoretical physics, the ideas of symmetry and invariance play a very important role. Symmetry transformations form groups, and therefore the most natural language for describing symmetries in physics is the group theory language.
- About 30 years ago, in the study of quantum integrable systems (*R. Baxter, A.B.Zamolodchikov and Al.B.Zamolodchikov, A.A.Belavin, E.K.Sklyanin, L.A. Takhtajan, L.D.Faddeev, M.Jimbo, P.P.Kulish, N.Reshetikhin, and many others*), in particular in the framework of the quantum inverse scattering method (*E.K.Sklyanin, L.A.Takhtajan, L.D.Faddeev*), new algebraic structures arose, the generalizations of which were later called quantum groups (*V.G.Drinfeld*). Yang-Baxter equations became a unifying basis of all these investigations.

- Although quantum groups are deformations of the usual groups, they nevertheless still possess several properties that make it possible to speak of them as "symmetry groups". Moreover, one can claim that the quantum groups serve as symmetries and provide integrability in exactly solvable quantum systems (Yangian symmetries are the symmetries of that type).
- Quantum Group structures and in particular Yang-Baxter equations appear in 1D and 2D quantum integrable systems (spin chains, 2D quantum (conformal) field theories, multy-particle systems like Toda chains and Calodgero-Moser systems, ...).

. . . . . . .

# 1. Introduction

 Quantum Groups (Yangians) and integrable structures were observed in 4D quantum (conformal) field theories.

**1.** Analytical evaluations of Feynman diagrams and related statistical models (*A.B. Zamolodchikov (1980)*)

**2.** Evaluations of the anomalous dimensions in 4D supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories use the methods developed for investigations of quantum integrable systems

(L.N. Lipatov; L.D. Faddeev and G.P. Korchemsky; V.Kazakov;

J. Minahan and K. Zarembo; N. Beisert and M. Staudacher; a.o.)

**3.** Alday-Gaiotto-Tachikawa correspondence between 4D supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories and 2D conformal field theories (A.Belavin and collaborators, A.Morozov, A.Mironov a.o.).

#### 2. Integrable systems

As a rule, for integrable dynamical systems the equations of motion are written as zero-curvature condition

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - M(\theta) , \ L(\theta) \Big] = \mathbf{0} , \qquad (1)$$

where *t* - time,  $\theta$  - spectral parameter, and Lax pair (*L*, *M*) are operators (matrices in general) which depend on phase space coordinates of the system. For integrable field theories in d=(1+1) space-time with coordinates (x,t), operators *L* and *M* are also differential operators which depend on  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \equiv \partial_x$ . **Example.** KdV equation

$$\partial_t V(x,t) = rac{1}{4} \partial_x^3 V(x,t) + rac{3}{2} V(x,t) V'(x,t) \ ,$$

where  $V'(x, t) = \partial_x V(x, t)$ , is written as (1) if we take Lax pair:

$$M = \partial_x^3 + \frac{3}{4} V' + \frac{3}{2} V \partial_x , \quad L(\theta) = \partial_x^2 + V + \theta$$

Zero-curvature condition can be written as

 $\partial_t L(\theta) = [M(\theta), L(\theta)] \Rightarrow$ 

 $\partial_t \operatorname{Tr}(L^k) = \operatorname{Tr}([M, L^k]) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow$ 

 $I_k = \text{Tr}(L^k(\theta))$  are integrals of motion of the system. In other words the integrals of motion (IM) are eigenvalues of the operator  $L(\theta)$ .

For d=(1+1) integrable quantum relativistic field theories one obtains infinite number of IM  $\Rightarrow$  all particles save their momenta after scattering  $\Rightarrow$  factorizing scattering



 $p_0^2 - p_1^2 = m^2 \Rightarrow (p_0 + p_1) = e^{\theta} m, \ (p_0 - p_1) = e^{-\theta} m \Rightarrow$  $p_0 = m \operatorname{ch}(\theta), \ p_1 = m \operatorname{sh}(\theta)$ 

The scattering of two particles with 2-momenta  $\vec{p}$  and  $\vec{q}$  is described by the two-particle S-matrix which depends on the invariant

 $(\vec{p},\vec{q}) = m^{2}(\mathrm{ch}(\theta)\mathrm{ch}(\theta') - \mathrm{sh}(\theta)\mathrm{sh}(\theta')) = m^{2}\mathrm{ch}(\theta - \theta')$ 

#### 2. Yang-Baxter Equations

For two-particle S matrix (a single act of scattering) we have

$$S_{i_1,j_2}^{n_1k_2}(\theta-\theta') = \begin{array}{c} i_1 & j_2 \\ k_2 & n_1 \end{array}$$

arrowed lines show trajectories of particles;  $\theta_{1,2}$ - rapidities;  $i_1, n_1, ... = 1, ..., N$ - colors. For 3-particle scattering and corresponding *S* matrix we have:



#### 2. Yang-Baxter Equations

In concise matrix notations YBE is written as

$$S_{23}(\theta - \theta') S_{13}(\theta) S_{12}(\theta') = S_{12}(\theta') S_{13}(\theta) S_{23}(\theta - \theta')$$
.

With the additional conditions of unitarity

$$S_{12}(\theta) S_{21}(-\theta) = I_{12} \iff S_{k_1 k_2}^{i_1 i_2}(\theta) S_{\ell_2 \ell_1}^{k_2 k_1}(-\theta) = \delta_{\ell_1}^{i_1} \delta_{\ell_2}^{i_2},$$

and crossing symmetry

$$S_{12}(\theta) = (S_{21}(i\pi - \theta))^{t_1}$$
,

the YB equations uniquely determine factorizable *S* matrices (with a minimal set of poles) describing the scattering of particle-like excitations in (1 + 1)-dimensional integrable relativistic models. The matrix  $S_{j_1j_2}^{i_1i_2}(\theta)$  is the *S* matrix which describes the scattering of two neutral particles with isotopic spins  $i_1$  and  $i_2$  into two particles with spins  $j_1$  and  $j_2$ . The spectral parameter  $\theta$  is nothing but the difference of the rapidities of these particles.

Factorizable scattering on a line can be described by means of a Zamolodchikov algebra with generators  $\{A^{i}(\theta)\}$  (i = 1, ..., N) and defining relations

$$egin{aligned} \mathsf{A}^{i_1}( heta)\,\mathsf{A}^{i_2}( heta') &= \mathsf{S}^{i_1\,i_2}_{k_1k_2}( heta- heta')\,\mathsf{A}^{k_2}( heta')\,\mathsf{A}^{k_1}( heta) &\Rightarrow \ \mathsf{A}^{1araa}( heta)\,\mathsf{A}^{2araa}( heta') &= \mathsf{S}_{12}( heta- heta')\,\mathsf{A}^{2araa}( heta')\,\mathsf{A}^{1araa}( heta) \,. \end{aligned}$$

For example: unitarity condition is obtained as

$$\begin{split} A^{1\rangle}(\theta) A^{2\rangle}(\theta') &= S_{12}(\theta - \theta') A^{2\rangle}(\theta') A^{1\rangle}(\theta) = \\ &= S_{12}(\theta - \theta') S_{21}(\theta' - \theta) A^{1\rangle}(\theta) A^{2\rangle}(\theta') \;. \end{split}$$

Yang-Baxter equations appears as associativity condition

$$\left(\mathsf{A}^{1\rangle}(\theta)\,\mathsf{A}^{2\rangle}(\theta')\right)\mathsf{A}^{3\rangle}(\theta'') = \mathsf{A}^{1\rangle}(\theta)\left(\mathsf{A}^{2\rangle}(\theta')\,\mathsf{A}^{3\rangle}(\theta'')\right)\,,$$

for permutation:

$$\begin{split} A^{1\rangle}(\theta) A^{2\rangle}(\theta') A^{3\rangle}(\theta'') &\to A^{3\rangle}(\theta'') A^{2\rangle}(\theta') A^{1\rangle}(\theta) \\ \left( A^{1\rangle}(\theta) A^{2\rangle}(\theta') \right) A^{3\rangle}(\theta'') &= S_{12}(\theta - \theta') A^{2\rangle}(\theta') \left( A^{1\rangle}(\theta) A^{3\rangle}(\theta'') \right) \\ &= S_{12}(\theta - \theta') S_{13}(\theta - \theta'') \left( A^{2\rangle}(\theta') A^{3\rangle}(\theta'') \right) A^{1\rangle}(\theta) = \\ &= S_{12}(\theta - \theta') S_{13}(\theta - \theta'') S_{13}(\theta' - \theta'') A^{3\rangle}(\theta'') A^{2\rangle}(\theta') A^{1\rangle}(\theta) \,. \end{split}$$

#### 3. Quantum Integrable Models

# Any solution of the Yang-Baxter equations define quantum integrable system!

Introduce the transfer matrix (L - length of the chain)

$$t(\theta) = \left(\underbrace{S_{j_k j_1}^{i_k i_1}(\theta) S_{j_k j_2}^{j_k i_2}(\theta) \cdots S_{j_k j_L}^{s_k i_L}(\theta)}{T_{12...L}(\theta)}\right) = Tr_k \left(\underbrace{S_{k1}(\theta) S_{k2}(\theta) \cdots S_{kL}(\theta)}{T_{k; 12...L}(\theta)}\right) \in End(\underbrace{V_N \otimes \cdots \otimes V_N}{L}).$$

$$1 \stackrel{1}{\longrightarrow} 2 \stackrel{1}{\longrightarrow} 3 \stackrel{1}{\longrightarrow} \cdots \stackrel{1}{\longrightarrow} 4 \stackrel{1}{\longrightarrow} \theta$$

$$1 \stackrel{1}{\longrightarrow} 0 \stackrel{1}{\longrightarrow} 0 \stackrel{1}{\longrightarrow} 0 \stackrel{1}{\longrightarrow} 0$$

$$1 \stackrel{1}{\longrightarrow} 2 \stackrel{1}{\longrightarrow} 0 \stackrel{1}{\longrightarrow} 0 \stackrel{1}{\longrightarrow} 0$$

$$1 \stackrel{1}{\longrightarrow} 0 \stackrel{1}{\longrightarrow} 0 \stackrel{1}{\longrightarrow} 0$$

#### 3. Quantum Integrable Models

Transfermatrix  $t(\theta)$  gives a commuting family of operators ("quantum integrals of motion"):

 $[t(\theta), t(\theta')] = 0.$ 

Proof is based on key relation (RTT-relation):

 $R_{km}(\theta - \theta') T_k(\theta) T_m(\theta') = T_m(\theta') T_k(\theta) R_{km}(\theta - \theta') .$ 

Here  $R_{km}(\theta) \sim S_{km}(\theta)$ ; we call rapidity  $\theta$  – spectral parameter. Using the operators  $t(\theta)$  a set of integrals of motion can be constructed

$$\mathcal{I}_n = \frac{d^n}{d\theta^n} \ln\left(t(\theta) t(0)^{-1}\right) |_{\theta=0}$$

and we identify the local Hamiltonian with

$$H \equiv \mathcal{I}_1 = \frac{d}{d\theta} \ln \left( t(\theta) t(0)^{-1} \right) \mid_{\theta=0}.$$

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト ・

**Example:** GL(N)- (and GL(N|M)-) invariant R (or S) matrix. Introduce permutation operator  $P \in End(V_N \otimes V_N)$ :

$$\boldsymbol{P}\cdot(\boldsymbol{v_1}\otimes\boldsymbol{v_2})=\boldsymbol{v_2}\otimes\boldsymbol{v_1}\;,\;\;\forall\boldsymbol{v_1},\boldsymbol{v_2}\in\boldsymbol{V_N}\;.$$

Let  $(e_1, \ldots, e_N)$  be basis vectors in  $V_N$ . Then

$$P \cdot \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_r = \mathbf{e}_k \otimes \mathbf{e}_\ell \ P_{ir}^{k\ell} = \mathbf{e}_r \otimes \mathbf{e}_i \ \Rightarrow \ P_{ir}^{k\ell} = \delta_r^k \ \delta_i^\ell \ .$$

In supersymmetric case we have super-permutation matrix

$$\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_r = \mathbf{e}_k \otimes \mathbf{e}_\ell \ \mathbf{P}_{ir}^{k\ell} = (-1)^{(r)(i)} \mathbf{e}_r \otimes \mathbf{e}_i \ \Rightarrow \ \mathbf{P}_{ir}^{k\ell} = (-1)^{(r)(i)} \delta_r^k \ \delta_i^\ell \ .$$

For comparison we discuss the unit operator I which acts as following

$$I \cdot (v_1 \otimes v_2) = v_1 \otimes v_2 , \quad \forall v_1, v_2 \in V_N .$$

$$I \cdot \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_r = \mathbf{e}_k \otimes \mathbf{e}_\ell \ I_{ir}^{k\ell} = \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_r \ \Rightarrow \ I_{ir}^{k\ell} = \delta_i^k \ \delta_r^\ell \ .$$

Any operator  $R(\theta) \in \text{End}(V_N \otimes V_N)$  which is invariant under GL(N) transformations

$$\begin{array}{ll} (T \otimes T) \ R(\theta) = R(\theta) \ (T \otimes T) \ \forall T \in GL(N) \ \Rightarrow \\ T_1 \ T_2 \ R_{12}(\theta) \ (T_1 \ T_2)^{-1} = R_{12}(\theta) \ , \end{array}$$

is represented as  $R(\theta) = a(\theta)I + b(\theta)P$ . Such operator solves YB equations only if it is proportional to the Yangian R-matrix  $R = \theta I + P$ , or in matrix representation

$$\mathcal{R}_{\ell_n\ell_k}^{i_ni_k}(\theta) = \theta \left| \begin{matrix} i_n & i_k \\ \ell_n & l_k \end{matrix} + \begin{matrix} i_n & i_k \\ \ell_n & \ell_k \end{matrix} = \left( \theta \ \delta_{\ell_n}^{i_n} \delta_{\ell_k}^{i_k} + \delta_{\ell_k}^{i_n} \delta_{\ell_n}^{i_k} \right) \Rightarrow \right.$$

 $\Rightarrow R_{nk}(\theta) = (\theta I_{n,k} + P_{n,k}) .$ 

For GL(N|M) invariant *R*-matrix we have

$$R_{nk}(\theta) = (\theta \ I_{n,k} + \mathbf{P}_{n,k}) \ .$$

$$t(\theta) = \operatorname{Tr}_{k} \left( R_{k1}(\theta) R_{k2}(\theta) \cdots R_{kL}(\theta) \right) .$$
  

$$t(0) = \operatorname{Tr}_{k} \left( P_{k1} \cdots P_{kL} \right) = \operatorname{Tr}_{k} \left( P_{12} \cdots P_{1L} P_{k1} \right) = P_{12} \cdots P_{1L} .$$
  

$$H = \partial_{\theta} t(\theta) t(0)^{-1} \Big|_{\theta=0} = \sum_{r=1}^{L} \operatorname{Tr}_{k} \left( P_{k1} \cdots P_{k,r-1} P_{k,r+1} \cdots P_{kL} \right) t(0)^{-1} =$$
  

$$= \sum_{r=1}^{L} \operatorname{Tr}_{k} \left( P_{k1} \cdots P_{k,r-1} P_{k,r+1} \cdots P_{kL} \right) t(0)^{-1} =$$
  

$$= \sum_{r=1}^{L} \operatorname{Tr}_{k} \left( P_{12} \cdots P_{1,r-1} P_{1,r+1} \cdots P_{1L} P_{k1} \right) t(0)^{-1} =$$
  

$$= \operatorname{Tr}_{k} (P_{k2} \cdots P_{k,L}) P_{1,L} \cdots P_{12} + \sum_{r=2}^{L} P_{12} \cdots P_{1,r-1} P_{1,r-1} \cdots P_{12} =$$
  

$$= P_{1,2} + P_{2,3} + \cdots + P_{L-1,L} + P_{L,1} = H .$$

is the Hamiltonian for GL(N) periodic spin chain of length *L*. For N = 2 this is the Hamiltonian for the XXX Heisenberg spin chain.

16/17

#### A.P. Isaev,

Quantum groups and Yang-Baxter equations, EChAYa, **26** No.5 (1995) 1204; preprint MPIM (Bonn), MPI 2004-132 (2004), (http://www.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/html/preprints/preprints.html)