

Correlation functions for heavy quarks in the QGP from lattice QCD calculations

Olaf Kaczmarek

University of Bielefeld

Helmholtz International Summer School "Dense Matter" Dubna 04.07.2015

Lattice calculations of hadronic correlation functions

... and how we try to

extract transport properties and spectral properties from them

1) Vector meson correlation functions for light quarks

continuum extrapolation

comparison to perturbation theory

→ Electrical conductivity

 \rightarrow Thermal dilepton rates and thermal photon rates

2) Color electric field correlation function with A.Francis, M. Laine, T.Neuhaus, H.Ohno

Heavy quark momentum diffusion coefficient κ

3) Vector meson correlation functions for heavy quarks with H-T.Ding, H.Ohno et al.

Heavy quark diffusion coefficients

Charmonium and Bottomonium dissociation patterns

with H-T.Ding, F.Meyer, et al.

with J.Ghiglieri, M.Laine, F.Meyer

Transport Coefficients are important ingredients into hydro/transport models for the evolution of the system.

Usually determined by matching to experiment (see right plot)

Need to be determined from QCD using first principle lattice calculations!

here heavy flavour:

Heavy Quark Diffusion Constant D

 [H.T.Ding, OK et al., PRD86(2012)014509]

 Heavy Quark Momentum Diffusion κ

 [OK, arXiv:1409.3724]

or for light quarks:



Electrical conductivity [A.Francis, OK et al., PRD83(2011)034504]



Motivation - Quarkonium in Heavy Ion Collisions



Charmonium+Bottmonium is produced (mainly) in the early stage of the collision

Depending on the Dissociation Temperature

- remain as bound states in the whole evolution
- release their constituents in the plasma



Motivation - Quarkonium in Heavy Ion Collisions



Charmonium+Bottmonium is produced (mainly) in the early stage of the collision

Depending on the Dissociation Temperature

- remain as bound states in the whole evolution
- release their constituents in the plasma



Motivation - Quarkonium in Heavy Ion Collisions



Heavy quark potential complex valued at finite temperature

[Y.Burnier, OK, A.Rothkopf, PRL114(2015)082001]

$$V(r) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{i\partial_t W(t, r)}{W(t, r)} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad V(r) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \int d\omega \omega e^{-i\omega t} \rho(\omega, r) / \int d\omega e^{-i\omega t} \rho(\omega, r)$$





Transport coefficients usually calculated using correlation function of conserved currents

$$G(\tau, \mathbf{p}, T) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{2\pi} \rho(\omega, \mathbf{p}, T) K(\tau, \omega, T) \qquad K(\tau, \omega, T) = \frac{\cosh\left(\omega(\tau - \frac{1}{2T})\right)}{\sinh\left(\frac{\omega}{2T}\right)}$$

Lattice observables:

$$G_{\mu\nu}(\tau, \vec{x}) = \langle J_{\mu}(\tau, \vec{x}) J_{\nu}^{\dagger}(0, \vec{0}) \rangle$$

$$J_{\mu}(\tau, \vec{x}) = 2\kappa Z_V \bar{\psi}(\tau, \vec{x}) \Gamma_{\mu} \psi(\tau, \vec{x})$$

$$G_{\mu\nu}(\tau, \vec{p}) = \sum_{\vec{x}} G_{\mu\nu}(\tau, \vec{x}) e^{i\vec{p}\vec{x}}$$

$$\Gamma_{\rm H} \underbrace{\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{q} \\ \mathbf{r}_{\rm H} \\ (0,0) \\ \mathbf{q} \\ (\tau,\mathbf{x}) \\ (\tau,\mathbf{x$$

related to a conserved current

only correlation functions calculable on lattice but

Transport coefficient determined by slope of spectral function at ω =0 (Kubo formula)

$$D = \frac{\pi}{3\chi_{00}} \lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{\rho_{ii}(\omega, \vec{p} = 0, T)}{\omega T}$$



Vector spectral function – hard to separate different scales

Different contributions and scales enter in the spectral function

- continuum at large frequencies
- possible bound states at intermediate frequencies
- transport contributions at small frequencies
- in addition cut-off effects on the lattice

notoriously difficult to extract from correlation functions



Heavy Quark Effective Theory (HQET) in the large quark mass limit

for a single quark in medium

leads to a (pure gluonic) "color-electric correlator"

[J.Casalderrey-Solana, D.Teaney, PRD74(2006)085012, S.Caron-Huot, M.Laine, G.D. Moore, JHEP04(2009)053]



Heavy quark (momentum) diffusion:

$$\kappa = \lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{2T\rho_{\rm E}(\omega)}{\omega} \qquad D = \frac{2T^2}{\kappa}$$

Heavy Quark Momentum Diffusion Constant – Perturbation Theory

can be related to the thermalization rate:

$$\eta_D = \frac{\kappa}{2M_{kin}T} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\alpha_s^{3/2}T}{M_{kin}}\right) \right)$$

NLO in perturbation theory: [Caron-Huot, G.Moore, JHEP 0802 (2008) 081]



very poor convergence

\rightarrow Lattice QCD study required in the relevant temperature region

Heavy Quark Momentum Diffusion Constant – Perturbation Theory

NLO spectral function in perturbation theory: [Caron-Huot, M.Laine, G.Moore, JHEP 0904 (2009) 053]



in contrast to a narrow transport peak, from this a smooth limit

$$\kappa/T^3 = \lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{2T\rho_{\rm E}(\omega)}{\omega}$$

is expected

qualitatively similar behavior also found in AdS/CFT [S.Gubser, Nucl.Phys.B790 (2008)175]

Heavy Quark Momentum Diffusion Constant – Lattice algorithms



[A.Francis,OK,M.Laine,J.Langelage, arXiv:1109.3941 and arXiv:1311.3759]

due to the gluonic nature of the operator, signal is extremely noisy

→ multilevel combined with link-integration techniques to improve the signal

[Lüscher,Weisz JHEP 0109 (2001)010 and H.B.Meyer PRD (2007) 101701] [Parisi,Petronzio,Rapuano PLB 128 (1983) 418, and de Forcrand PLB 151 (1985) 77]

Heavy Quark Momentum Diffusion Constant – Tree-Level Improvement

[A.Francis,OK,M.Laine,J.Langelage, arXiv:1109.3941 and arXiv:1311.3759]



normalized by the LO-perturbative correlation function:

$$G_{\rm norm}(\tau T) \equiv \frac{G_{\rm cont}^{\rm LO}(\tau T)}{g^2 C_F} = \pi^2 T^4 \left[\frac{\cos^2(\pi \tau T)}{\sin^4(\pi \tau T)} + \frac{1}{3\sin^2(\pi \tau T)} \right] \qquad C_F \equiv \frac{N_c^2 - 1}{2N_c}$$

and renormalized using NLO renormalization constants $Z(g^2)$

Heavy Quark Momentum Diffusion Constant – Tree-Level Improvement



[A.Francis,OK,M.Laine,J.Langelage, arXiv:1109.3941 and arXiv:1311.3759]

lattice cut-off effects visible at small separations (left figure)

→ tree-level improvement (right figure) to reduce discretization effects

$$G_{\rm cont}^{\rm LO}(\overline{\tau T}) = G_{\rm lat}^{\rm LO}(\tau T)$$

leads to an effective reduction of cut-off effect for all τT

Quenched Lattice QCD on large and fine isotropic lattices at T \simeq 1.4 $\rm T_c$

- standard Wilson gauge action
- algorithmic improvements to enhance signal/noise ratio
- fixed aspect ration N_s/N_t = 4, i.e. fixed physical volume (2fm)³
- perform the continuum limit, $a{\rightarrow}~0~\leftrightarrow~N_t{\rightarrow}\infty$
- determine κ in the continuum using an Ansatz for the spectral fct. $\rho(\omega)$

N_{σ}	N_{τ}	β	1/a[GeV]	$a[\mathrm{fm}]$	#Confs
64	16	6.872	7.16	0.03	172
80	20	7.035	8.74	0.023	180
96	24	7.192	10.4	0.019	160
144	36	7.544	15.5	0.013	693
192	48	7.793	20.4	0.010	223



allows to perform continuum extrapolation, $a{\rightarrow}~0~\leftrightarrow~N_t{\rightarrow}\infty$, at fixed T=1/a N_t



well behaved continuum extrapolation for $0.05 \le \tau T \le 0.5$

finest lattice already close to the continuum

coarser lattices at larger τT close to the continuum

how to extract the spectral function from the correlator?



Model spectral function: transport contribution + NNLO [Y.Burnier et al. JHEP 1008 (2010) 094)]

$$\rho_{\text{model}}(\omega) \equiv \max\left\{\rho_{\text{NNLO}}(\omega), \frac{\omega\kappa}{2T}\right\} \qquad G_{\text{model}}(\tau) \equiv \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{\pi} \rho_{\text{model}}(\omega) \frac{\cosh\left(\frac{1}{2} - \tau T\right)\frac{\omega}{T}}{\sinh\frac{\omega}{2T}}$$

some contribution at intermediate distance/frequency seems to be missing



Model spectral function: transport contribution + NNLO + correction

$$\rho_{\text{model}}(\omega) \equiv \max\left\{A\rho_{\text{NNLO}}(\omega) + B\omega^3, \frac{\omega\kappa}{2T}\right\} \quad G_{\text{model}}(\tau) \equiv \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{\pi} \rho_{\text{model}}(\omega) \frac{\cosh\left(\frac{1}{2} - \tau T\right)\frac{\omega}{T}}{\sinh\frac{\omega}{2T}}$$

used to fit the continuum extrapolated data

 \rightarrow first continuum estimate of κ :

$$\kappa/T^3 = \lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{2T\rho_{\rm E}(\omega)}{\omega} \simeq 2.5(4)$$



Model spectral function: transport contribution + NNLO + correction

$$\rho_{\text{model}}(\omega) \equiv \max\left\{A\rho_{\text{NNLO}}(\omega) + B\omega^3, \frac{\omega\kappa}{2T}\right\} \quad G_{\text{model}}(\tau) \equiv \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{\pi} \rho_{\text{model}}(\omega) \frac{\cosh\left(\frac{1}{2} - \tau T\right)\frac{\omega}{T}}{\sinh\frac{\omega}{2T}}$$

used to fit the continuum extrapolated data

 \rightarrow first continuum estimate of κ :

$$\kappa/T^3 = \lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{2T\rho_{\rm E}(\omega)}{\omega} \simeq 2.5(4)$$

 $\omega \ll T$: linear behavior motivated at small frequencies

$$\rho_{\rm ir}(\omega) = \frac{\kappa \omega}{2T}$$

 $\omega \gg T$: vacuum perturbative results and leading order thermal correction:

$$\rho_{\rm \scriptscriptstyle UV}(\omega) = \left[\rho_{\rm \scriptscriptstyle UV}(\omega)\right]_{T=0} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{g^4T^4}{\omega}\right)$$

using a renormalization scale $\bar{\mu}_{\omega} = \omega$ for $\omega \gg \Lambda_{\overline{MS}}$ leading order becomes

$$\begin{split}
\rho_{\rm UV}(\omega) &= \Phi_{UV}(\omega) \left[1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\ln(\omega/\Lambda_{MS})}\right) \right] \\
\Phi_{\rm UV}(\omega) &= \frac{g^2(\bar{\mu}_\omega)C_F\omega^3}{6\pi} \quad , \quad \bar{\mu}_\omega \equiv \max(\omega, \pi T)
\end{split}$$

here we used 4-loop running of the coupling

model the spectral function using these asymptotics with two free parameters

$$\rho_{\text{model}}(\omega) \equiv \max\left\{A\Phi_{_{\text{UV}}}(\omega), \frac{\omega\kappa}{2T}\right\}$$



$$\rho_{\rm \scriptscriptstyle UV}(\omega) = \frac{g^2(\bar{\mu}_\omega)C_F\omega^3}{6\pi}$$

already closer to the data

Model spectral function: transport contribution + UV-asymtotics

$$\rho_{\text{model}}(\omega) \equiv \max\left\{A\rho_{\text{UV}}(\omega), \frac{\omega\kappa}{2T}\right\} \qquad G_{\text{model}}(\tau) \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{\pi} \rho_{\text{model}}(\omega) \frac{\cosh\left(\frac{1}{2} - \tau T\right)\frac{\omega}{T}}{\sinh\frac{\omega}{2T}}$$



Model spectral function: transport contribution + UV-asymtotics

$$\rho_{\text{model}}(\omega) \equiv \max\left\{A\rho_{\text{UV}}(\omega), \frac{\omega\kappa}{2T}\right\} \qquad \qquad G_{\text{model}}(\tau) \equiv \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{\pi} \rho_{\text{model}}(\omega) \frac{\cosh\left(\frac{1}{2} - \tau T\right)\frac{\omega}{T}}{\sinh\frac{\omega}{2T}}$$

used to fit the continuum extrapolated data

 \rightarrow second continuum estimate of κ :

$$\kappa/T^3 = \lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{2T\rho_{\rm E}(\omega)}{\omega} \simeq 2.31(7)$$

Heavy Quark Momentum Diffusion Constant – systematic uncertainties

model corrections to ρ_{IR} by a power series in ω



analysis of the systematic uncertainties

 \rightarrow continuum estimate of κ :

$$\kappa/T^3 = \lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{2T\rho_{\rm E}(\omega)}{\omega} = 1.8...3.6$$

Conclusions and Outlook – Heavy Quark Momentum Diffusion



 \rightarrow continuum extrapolation for the color electric correlation function

extracted from quenched Lattice QCD

- using noise reduction techniques to improve signal
- and an Ansatz for the spectral function

 \rightarrow first continuum estimate for the Heavy Quark Momentum Diffusion Coefficient κ

- still based on a simple Ansatz for the spectral function
- → detailed analysis of the systematic uncertainties
 - different Ansätze for the spectral function
 - using contributions from thermal perturbation theory
 - other techniques to extract the spectral function

other Transport coefficients from Effective Field Theories?

[G.Aarts et al., JHEP11(2011)103]

In non-relativistic QCD the Lagrangian is expanded in terms of $v=|\mathbf{p}|/M$

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 + \delta \mathcal{L}$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \psi^{\dagger} \left(D_{\tau} - \frac{\mathbf{D}^2}{2M} \right) \psi + \chi^{\dagger} \left(D_{\tau} + \frac{\mathbf{D}^2}{2M} \right) \chi$$

and

$$\begin{split} \delta \mathcal{L} &= -\frac{c_1}{8M^3} \left[\psi^{\dagger} (\mathbf{D}^2)^2 \psi - \chi^{\dagger} (\mathbf{D}^2)^2 \chi \right] \\ &+ c_2 \frac{ig}{8M^2} \left[\psi^{\dagger} \left(\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{D} \right) \psi + \chi^{\dagger} \left(\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{D} \right) \chi \right] \\ &- c_3 \frac{g}{8M^2} \left[\psi^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \left(\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{D} \right) \psi + \chi^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \left(\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{D} \right) \chi \right] \\ &- c_4 \frac{g}{2M} \left[\psi^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} \psi - \chi^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} \chi \right] \end{split}$$

which is correct up to order O(v^4) [G.T.Bodwin,E.Braaten,G.P.Lepage, PRD 51 (1995) 1125]

Bottomonium - Lattice NRQCD

[G.Aarts et al., JHEP1407(2014)097]

- NRQCD is more sensitive to the bound state region
- Kernel is T-independent
- \rightarrow contributions at ω < 2M absent
- \rightarrow no small- ω contribution
- \rightarrow no information on transport properties

$$G(\tau) = \int_{-2M}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega'}{2\pi} \exp(-\omega'\tau)\rho(\omega')$$
$$\omega' = \omega - 2M$$

requires anisotropic lattices with $a_s M \gg 1$ no continuum limit in NRQCD only small energy region accessible



Lattice cut-off effects – free spectral functions

[G.Aarts et al., JHEP1407(2014)097]

gauge configurations from $n_f=2+1$

dynamical Wilson fermion action



cut-off effects and energy resolution determined by spatial lattice spacingno continuum limit in NRQCD, $a_s M \gg 1$ continuum limit straight forward, but expensiveonly small energy region accessibletransport properties accessible

[see also F.Karsch et al., PRD68 (2003) 014504]

[H.T.Ding, OK et al., arXiv:1204.4945]

gauge configurations from

quenched action

In the following: Meson Correlation Functions

$$G(\tau, \mathbf{p}, T) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{2\pi} \rho(\omega, \mathbf{p}, T) K(\tau, \omega, T) \qquad K(\tau, \omega, T)$$

Lattice observables:

$$G_{\mu\nu}(\tau, \vec{x}) = \langle J_{\mu}(\tau, \vec{x}) J_{\nu}^{\dagger}(0, \vec{0}) \rangle$$

$$J_{\mu}(\tau, \vec{x}) = 2\kappa Z_V \bar{\psi}(\tau, \vec{x}) \Gamma_{\mu} \psi(\tau, \vec{x})$$

$$G_{\mu\nu}(\tau,\vec{p}) = \sum_{\vec{x}} G_{\mu\nu}(\tau,\vec{x}) e^{i\vec{p}\vec{x}}$$



 $\frac{\cosh\left(\omega(\tau - \frac{1}{2T})\right)}{\sinh\left(\frac{\omega}{2T}\right)}$

related to a conserved current in the vector channel

Channel	Γ_H	$^{2S+1}L_J$	J^{PC}	Quarkonia
Pseudoscalar (PS)	γ_5	${}^{1}S_{0}$	0^{-+}	η_c,η_b
Vector (V)	γ_i	${}^{3}S_{1}$	1	$J/\psi, \Upsilon$
Scalar (S)	1	${}^{1}P_{0}$	0^{++}	$\chi_{c0},~\chi_{b0}$
Axialvector (AV)	$\gamma_i \gamma_5$	${}^{3}P_{1}$	1^{++}	χ_{c1}, χ_{b1}

In the following: Meson Correlation Functions

$$G(\tau, \mathbf{p}, T) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{2\pi} \rho(\omega, \mathbf{p}, T) K(\tau, \omega, T) \qquad K(\tau, \omega, T) = \frac{\cosh\left(\omega(\tau - \frac{1}{2T})\right)}{\sinh\left(\frac{\omega}{2T}\right)}$$



only correlation functions calculable on lattice but

Transport coefficient determined by slope of spectral function at ω =0 (Kubo formula)

$$D = \frac{\pi}{3\chi_{00}} \lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{\rho_{ii}(\omega, \vec{p} = 0, T)}{\omega T}$$

[H.T.Ding, OK et al., PRD86(2012)014509]

from Maximum Entropy Method analysis on a fine but finite lattice:



statistical error band from Jackknife analysis

no clear signal for bound states at and above 1.46 $T_{\rm c}$

study of the continuum limit and quark mass dependence required!

Charmonium Spectral function – Transport Peak



[H.T.Ding, OK et al., PRD86(2012)014509]

Perturbative estimate ($\alpha_s \sim 0.2$, g ~ 1.6):

LO:	$2\pi TD \simeq 71.2$
NLO:	$2\pi { m TD} \simeq 8.4$

[Moore&Teaney, PRD71(2005)064904, Caron-Huot&Moore, PRL100(2008)052301] Strong coupling limit:

$$2\pi TD = 1$$

[Kovtun, Son & Starinets, JHEP 0310(2004)064]

Charmonium Spectral function – Transport Peak



Perturbative estimate ($\alpha_s \sim 0.2$, g ~ 1.6):

LO:	$2\pi TD \simeq 71.2$
NLO:	$2\pi { m TD} \simeq 8.4$

[Moore&Teaney, PRD71(2005)064904, Caron-Huot&Moore, PRL100(2008)052301] Strong coupling limit:

$$2\pi TD = 1$$

[Kovtun, Son & Starinets, JHEP 0310(2004)064]

[H.T.Ding, H.Ohno, OK, M.Laine, T.Neuhaus, work in progress]

- standard plaquette gauge & O(a)-improved Wilson quarks
- quenched gauge field configurations
- on fine and large isotropic lattices
- $T = 0.7 1.4 T_{\rm c}$
- 2 different lattice spacing analysis of cut-off effects continuum limit (in the future)
- both charm & bottom

tuned close to their physical masses

β	$a [\mathrm{fm}]$	a^{-1} [GeV]	$\kappa_{ m charm}$	$\kappa_{ m bottom}$	$m_{J/\Psi} \; [{\rm GeV}]$	$m_{\Upsilon} \; [\text{GeV}]$
7.192	0.0190	10.4	0.13194	0.12257	3.105(3)	9.468(3)
7.793	0.00968	20.4	0.13221	0.12798	3.092(5)	9.431(5)

Experimental values: $m_{J/\Psi} = 3.096.916(11) \text{ GeV}, m_Y = 9.46030(26) \text{ GeV}$

β	N_{σ}	N_{τ}	T/T_c	# confs.
7.192	96	48	0.7	259
		32	1.1	476
		28	1.2	336
		24	1.4	336
7.793	192	96	0.7	66
		56	1.2	66
		48	1.4	217

Reconstructed correlation function

$$\begin{split} G_{\rm rec}(\tau,T;T') &\equiv \int_0^\infty d\omega \rho(\omega,T') K(\omega,\tau,T) \\ \frac{G(\tau,T)}{G_{\rm rec}(\tau,T;T')} \quad \text{equals to unity at all } \tau \\ \text{if the spectral function doesn't vary with temperature} \\ \text{S. Datta et al., PRD 69 (2004) 094507} \end{split}$$

can be calculated directly from correlation function for suitable ratios of N' $_{\tau}$ / N $_{\tau}$ without knowledge of spectral function:

$$\frac{\cosh[\omega(\tau - N_{\tau}/2)]}{\sinh[\omega N_{\tau}/2]} = \sum_{\tau'=\tau;\Delta\tau'=N_{\tau}}^{N_{\tau}'-N_{\tau}+\tau} \frac{\cosh[\omega(\tau' - N_{\tau}'/2)]}{\sinh[\omega N_{\tau}'/2]}$$
$$T = 1/(N_{\tau}a) \qquad N_{\tau}' = mN_{\tau} \qquad m = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$$
$$G_{\rm rec}(\tau, T; T') = \sum_{\tau'=\tilde{\tau};\Delta\tau'=N_{\tau}}^{N_{\tau}'-N_{\tau}+\tau} G(\tau', T')$$
$$H_{\tau} \text{L Ding et al., PBD 86 (2012) 014509}$$

Charmonium and Bottomonium correlators

$$G_{\rm rec}(\tau, T; T') \equiv \int_0^\infty d\omega \rho(\omega, T') K(\omega, \tau, T)$$



Charmonium and Bottomonium correlators – S-wave channels





different behavior in pseudo-scalar (left) and vector (right) channel strong modification at large τ in vector channel stronger for charm compared to bottom

related to transport contribution

Charmonium and Bottomonium correlators – P-wave channels

3(τ,T)/G_{rec}(τ,T;T')

1

0

0.2

τT

0.3

0.4

0.1



comparable behavior in scalar (left) and axial-vector (right) channel strong modification at large τ in both channels stronger for bottom compared to charm related to transport/constant contribution

0.5

0.2

τT

0.3

0.1

0

0.5

0.4

 $\overline{G}(\tau) \equiv G(\tau) - G(1/2T)$

mid-point subtracted correlator



 $T = 1.5T_c$, $T' = 0.73T_c$, V, mid-point subtracted



small ω region gives (almost) constant contribution to correlators effectively removed by mid-point subtraction pseudo-scalar (left) and vector (right) very comparable

need to understand cut-off effects and quark-mass effects

work is still in progress

continuum extrapolation for the quarkonium correlators still needed detailed analysis of the systematic uncertainties

extract spectral properties (on continuum extrapolated correlators) by

- comparing to perturbation theory
- Fits using Ansätze for the spectral function
- Bayesian techniques to extract the spectral function

final goal:

understand the temperature and quark mass dependence of heavy quark diffusion coefficient dissociation temperatures for different states

Spatial correlation function and screening masses

["Signatures of charmonium modification in spatial correlation functions", F.Karsch, E.Laermann, S.Mukherjee, P.Petreczky, (2012) arXiv:1203.3770]

Correlation functions along the **spatial direction**

$$G(z,T) = \int dx dy \int_0^{1/T} d\tau \langle J(x,y,z,\tau) J(0,0,0,0) \rangle$$

are related to the meson spectral function at **non-zero spatial momentum**

$$G(\mathbf{z},T) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp_z e^{ip_z z} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega \frac{\sigma(\omega, \mathbf{p}_z, T)}{\omega}$$

exponential decay defines screening mass M_{scr} : $G(z,T) \xrightarrow[z\gg1/T]{} e^{-M_{scr}z}$

bound state contribution

high-T limit (non-interacting free limit)

$$\sigma(\omega, p_z, T) \sim \delta(\omega^2 - p_z^2 - M^2)$$

 $\sigma(\omega, p_z, T) \sim \sigma_{free}(\omega, p_z, T)$

 $M_{scr} = M$ \longrightarrow indications for medium modifications/dissociation

$$- M_{scr} = 2\sqrt{(\pi T)^2 + m_c^2}$$

Spatial Correlation Function and Screening Masses

[H.T.Ding, H.Ohno, OK, M.Laine, T.Neuhaus, work in progress]



Spatial Correlation Function and Screening Masses



modifications/dissociation

[H.T.Ding, H.Ohno, OK, M.Laine, T.Neuhaus, work in progress]

Spatial correlation function and screening masses

[A.Bazavov, F.Karsch, Y.Maezawa et al., PRD91 (2015) 054503]

2+1 flavor HISQ with almost physical quark masses $48^3 \times 12$ lattices with m_l = m_s/20 and physical m_s

"ss and sc possibly dissolve close to crossover temperature" "cc in line with the sequential melting of charmonia states"

	$-\tilde{\phi}(x)$	Г	J^{PC}	$S\overline{S}$	sīc	сī
M_{-}^{S}	1	$\gamma_4\gamma_5$	0-+	$\eta_{s\bar{s}}$	D_s	η_c
$M^{\rm S}_+$	1	1	0^{++}		D_{s0}^{*}	χ_{c0}
$M_{-}^{\rm PS}$	(1)x+y+z	γ5	0-+	$\eta_{s\overline{s}}$	D_s	η_c
M^{PS}_+	(-1)	γ_4	0^{+-}	_		_
$M_{-}^{\rm AV}$	$(1)^{x}$ $(1)^{y}$	$\gamma_i\gamma_4$	1	ϕ	D_s^*	J/ψ
$M_+^{ m AV}$	(-1) , $(-1)^{5}$	$\gamma_i\gamma_5$	1^{++}	$f_1(1420)$	D_{s1}	χ_{c1}
M_{-}^{V}	$(-1)^{x+z}$ $(-1)^{y+z}$	γ_i	1	ϕ	D_s^*	J/ψ
$M^{ m V}_+$	(-1) , (-1)	$\gamma_j \gamma_k$	1+-			h_c



Taylor expansion of pressure in terms of chemical potentials related to conserved charges

$$\frac{P}{T^4} = \sum_{k,l,m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!l!m!n!} \chi^{BQSC}_{klmn}(T) \left(\frac{\mu_B}{T}\right)^k \left(\frac{\mu_Q}{T}\right)^l \left(\frac{\mu_S}{T}\right)^m \left(\frac{\mu_C}{T}\right)^n$$

generalized susceptibilities of conserved charges

$$\chi_{klmn}^{BQSC} = \frac{\partial^{(k+l+m+n)} [P(\hat{\mu}_B, \hat{\mu}_Q, \hat{\mu}_S, \hat{\mu}_C)/T^4]}{\partial \hat{\mu}_B^k \partial \hat{\mu}_Q^l \hat{\mu}_S^m \partial \hat{\mu}_C^n} \Big|_{\vec{\mu}=0}$$

are sensitive to the underlying degrees of freedom

charm contributions to pressure in a hadron gas:

$$P^C = P^C_M \cosh(\hat{\mu}_C) + \sum_{k=1,2,3} P^{C=k}_B \cosh(B\hat{\mu}_B + k\hat{\mu}_C)$$

partial pressure of open-charm mesons and charmed baryons depends on hadron spectra

$$\chi^{BC}_{mn} = B^m P^{C=1}_B + B^m 2^n P^{C=2}_B + B^m 3^n P^{C=3}_B \simeq B^m P^{C=1}_B$$
relative contribution of C=2 and C=3 baryons negligible

ratios independent of the detailed spectrum and sensitive to special sectors:

charmed baryon sector $\frac{\chi_{mn}^{BC}}{\chi_{m+1,n-1}^{BC}} = B^{-1}$ =1 when DoF are hadronic $\frac{\chi_{mn}^{BC}}{\chi_{m,n+2}^{BC}} = 1$ always

[A.Bazavov, H.T.Ding, P.Hegde, OK et al., PLB737 (2014) 210]

2+1 flavor HISQ with almost physical quark masses

 $32^3 \times 8$ and $24^3 \times 6$ lattices with m_I = m_s/20 and physical m_s and quenched charm quarks

generalized susceptibilities of conserved charges

$$\chi_{klmn}^{BQSC} = \frac{\partial^{(k+l+m+n)} [P(\hat{\mu}_B, \hat{\mu}_Q, \hat{\mu}_S, \hat{\mu}_C)/T^4]}{\partial \hat{\mu}_B^k \partial \hat{\mu}_Q^l \hat{\mu}_S^m \partial \hat{\mu}_C^n} \Big|_{\vec{\mu}=0}$$

are sensitive to the underlying degrees of freedom



→ indications that open charm hadrons start to dissolve already close to the chiral crossover

Taylor expansion of pressure in terms of chemical potentials related to conserved charges

$$\frac{P}{T^4} = \sum_{k,l,m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!l!m!n!} \chi^{BQSC}_{klmn}(T) \left(\frac{\mu_B}{T}\right)^k \left(\frac{\mu_Q}{T}\right)^l \left(\frac{\mu_S}{T}\right)^m \left(\frac{\mu_C}{T}\right)^n$$

generalized susceptibilities of conserved charges

$$\chi_{klmn}^{BQSC} = \frac{\partial^{(k+l+m+n)} [P(\hat{\mu}_B, \hat{\mu}_Q, \hat{\mu}_S, \hat{\mu}_C)/T^4]}{\partial \hat{\mu}_B^k \partial \hat{\mu}_Q^l \hat{\mu}_S^m \partial \hat{\mu}_C^n} \Big|_{\vec{\mu}=0}$$

are sensitive to the underlying degrees of freedom

charm contributions to pressure in a hadron gas:

$$P^C = P^C_M \cosh(\hat{\mu}_C) + \sum_{k=1,2,3} P^{C=k}_B \cosh(B\hat{\mu}_B + k\hat{\mu}_C)$$

partial pressure of open-charm mesons and charmed baryons depends on hadron spectra

$$\chi_{mn}^{B=1,C} = P_B^{C=1} + 2^n P_B^{C=2} + 3^n P_B^{C=3} \simeq P_B^{C=1}$$
$$\chi_k^C = P_M^C + 2^n P_B^{C=2} + 3^n P_B^{C=3} \simeq P_M^C + P_B^{C=1}$$

ratios independent of the detailed spectrum and sensitive to special sectors:

partial pressure of open-charm mesons:

open charm meson sector

$$P_M^C = \chi_2^C - \chi_{22}^{BC} = \chi_4^C - \chi_{13}^{BC}$$

$$\frac{\chi_4^C}{\chi_2^C} = 1$$

[A.Bazavov, H.T.Ding, P.Hegde, OK et al., PLB737 (2014) 210]

2+1 flavor HISQ with almost physical quark masses

 $32^3 \times 8$ and $24^3 \times 6$ lattices with m_I = m_s/20 and physical m_s and quenched charm quarks

generalized susceptibilities of conserved charges

$$\chi_{klmn}^{BQSC} = \frac{\partial^{(k+l+m+n)} [P(\hat{\mu}_B, \hat{\mu}_Q, \hat{\mu}_S, \hat{\mu}_C)/T^4]}{\partial \hat{\mu}_B^k \partial \hat{\mu}_Q^l \hat{\mu}_S^m \partial \hat{\mu}_C^n} \Big|_{\vec{\mu}=0}$$

are sensitive to the underlying degrees of freedom



 \rightarrow indications that open charm hadrons start to dissolve already close to the chiral crossover