# Final-state QED radiation in single Z and W production

Renat Sadykov and Andrej Arbuzov

5th Helmholtz International Summer School - Workshop Calculations for Modern and Future Colliders August 1st, 2012, Dubna, Russia

## Outline

- Motivation
- Results for neutral current
- Results for charged current
- Summary

## Motivation

• The effect of final-state QED radiation in Drell-Yan processes is large (up to 200% for invariant mass distribution in single Z production). The standard tool for simulation of QED FSR in ATLAS is PHOTOS program.

Our goas are:

- to perform the comparison between SANC and PHOTOS in the single and multiple photon mode for FSR radiation in Drell-Yan like processes both for neutral (NC) and charged (CC) currents
- to check if QED FSR is properly installed in the two programs
- to tune a separation of the FSR QED corrections from a complete EW NLO corrections in CC case

# Realization of FSR in PHOTOS and SANC

- In PHOTOS the bremsstrahlung corrections to decays of W and Z bosons is calculated separately from other effects. In standard Monte-Carlo simulation the PHOTOS is interfaced to PYTHIA program through HepMC interface
- In SANC the complete EW corrections at one-loop is calculated for single W and Z production. The FSR QED corrections can be separated from the rest of EW corrections.

# Setup for numerical comparison

We use CTEQ6L1 pdf set with running scale  $Q^2 = s$ . The C++ version of PHOTOS programs was used together with Pythia8 program which provide the Born-level events in HEPevt format that subsequently passed to PHOTOS for addition of photon radiation off final leptons. PHOTOS was running in single and multiple photon mode with matrix-element corrections turned on.

We use the following notations for QED corrections:

• effect of single-photon radiation:

$$\delta = \frac{\mathcal{O}(\alpha)FSR - Born}{Born}$$

• effect of multi-photon radiation:

$$\delta_{h.o.} = \frac{h.o.FSR - \mathcal{O}(\alpha)FSR}{Born}$$

# Setup for NC

CM energy:  $\sqrt{s_0} = 7$  TeV, PDF set: CTEQ6L1 — LO with LO  $\alpha_s$ , factorization scale:  $Q^2 = \hat{s} = s_0 x_1 x_2$ , EW scheme:  $G_{\mu}$ , input parameters:  $M_Z = 91.1876$  GeV,  $\Gamma_Z = 2.4952$  GeV, cuts:

$$|\eta(\ell^+)| < 10, \quad |\eta(\ell^-)| < 10,$$
  
 $p_T(\ell^+) > 0.1 \text{ GeV}, \quad p_T(\ell^-) > 0.1 \text{ GeV},$   
70 Gev  $< M(\ell^+\ell^-) < 110 \text{ GeV},$ 

the minimum allowed value of photon energy in real emission is determined by the auxiliary parameter  $\epsilon$ , so that  $E_{\gamma} > \epsilon \sqrt{\hat{s}}/2$ .

For electrons we have an option when electron and photon momenta are combined into an effective electron momentum if  $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta \eta(e, \gamma))^2 + (\Delta \phi(e, \gamma))^2} < 0.1$ .

## NC. Born



## NC. Single-photon mode. Muons



## NC. Single-photon mode. Bare electrons



## NC. Single-photon mode. Recombined electrons



## NC. Multi-photon mode. Muons



#### NC. Multi-photon mode. Bare electrons



# Setup for CC

CM energy:  $\sqrt{s_0} = 7$  TeV, PDF set: CTEQ6L1 — LO with LO  $\alpha_s$ , factorization scale:  $Q^2 = \hat{s} = s_0 x_1 x_2$ , EW scheme:  $G_{\mu}$ , input parameters:  $M_W = 80.403$  GeV,  $\Gamma_W = 2.141$  GeV, cuts:

$$egin{aligned} &|\eta(\ell^-)| < 10, \ & p_{\mathcal{T}}(\ell^-) > 0.1 \,\, ext{GeV}, \quad p_{\mathcal{T}}(ar{
u}_\ell) > 0.1 \,\, ext{GeV}. \end{aligned}$$

the minimum allowed value of photon energy in real emission is determined by the auxiliary parameter  $\epsilon$ , so that  $E_{\gamma} > \epsilon \sqrt{\hat{s}}/2$ .

# Tuning of SANC FSR scale

The total  $W \rightarrow u + d$  decay width

$$\Gamma_W^{PW+QED} = \Gamma^{LO}(\delta^{PW} + \delta^{QED}).$$

- QED/EW separation is not gauge-invariant
- 6 QED diagrams with virtual photons and 3 with real photons:

$$\delta^{QED} = rac{lpha}{\pi} \left[ Q_W^2 \left( rac{11}{6} - rac{\pi^2}{3} 
ight) + (Q_u^2 + Q_d^2) \left( rac{11}{8} - rac{3}{4} \log rac{M_W^2}{\mu^2} 
ight) 
ight]$$

- Why ud-channel?  $\delta^{\textit{QED}}$  contains only charges squared
- Natural and *u*, *d* symmetric expression. Clear *ISR/FSR* separation
- Setting  $\mu = M_W \exp(-\frac{11}{12})$  annulates the FSR in  $\delta^{QED}$  as PHOTOS does

## CC. Born



# CC. Single-photon mode. Muons



## CC. Single-photon mode. Recombined electrons



# CC. Multi-photon mode. Muons



## CC. Multi-photon mode. Bare electrons



## CC. Multi-photon mode. Recombined electrons



## Summary

- PHOTOS was running in the single (for which it was not designed) and multiple photon mode.
- We found a good agreement between SANC and PHOTOS (within 0.02%) in single photon mode for both neutral and charged currents (for the same values of  $\epsilon$  parameter).
- The comparison for multiple-photon mode was also performed for NC and CC. The results agree within 0.1% for mouns and bare electrons. For recombined electrons there is a 0.5% disagreement for  $p_T$  and  $m_T$  distributions.
- PHOTOS can be used for simulation chains at LHC aiming at 0.5% precision tag for bremsstrahlung in single Z or W production.