

Brane mechanism of spontaneously generated gravity

A. A. Zheltukhin

^a Kharkov Inst. of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, 61108, Ukraine

^b Nordita, KTH Royal Inst. of Technology and Stockholm University,
SE 106 91 Stockholm, Sweden

Bogolyubov Conference-2019, September 9 - 13

- 1 Spontaneously broken symmetries and diff. geometry of hyper-worldsheets
- 2 Dirac branes as minimal h-ws, Cartan multiplets and R squared gravity
- 3 Spontaneously generated gravity and non-minimal hyper-worldsheets
- 4 New models of R squared gravity from p-branes
- 5 Summary

Spontaneously broken symmetries and diff. geometry of hyper-worldsheets

Phenomenological Lagrangians and nonlinear realizations:

E. Cartan, "Riemannian Geometry in an Orthogonal Frame". World Scientific, Singapore, 2001.

L.P. Eisenhart, "Riemannian Geometry", Pub. Comp. of Foreign. Literat., Moscow, 1948.

S. Weinberg, "Dynamical approach to current algebras" Phys. Rev. Lett. 18, 188 (1967).

D.V. Volkov, "Phenomenological lagrangian of interaction for goldstone particles". Kiev preprint ITF-69-75 (1969); Phys. of Elem. Part. At. Nucl. 4, 3 (1973).

S. Coleman, J. Wess and B. Zumino, "Structure of Phenomenological Lagrangians.I" Phys. Rev. 177, 2239 (1969);

C. Callan et al., "Structure of Phenomenological Lagrangians.II" Phys. Rev. 177, 2247 (1969).

Application of differential geometry of embedded surfaces to strings:

F. Lund and T. Regge, "Unified Approach to Strings and Vortices with Soliton Solutions", Phys. Rev. D 14, 1524 (1976).

R. Omnes, "A New Geometric Approach to the Relativistic Strings",
Nucl. Phys. B 149, 269 (1979).

B.M. Barbashov and V.V. Nesterenko, "Introduction to the Relativistic String
Theory", World Scientific Pub Co Inc, 1990;

Reformulation of the geometric approach in terms of gauge theory fields:

M.A. Semenov-Tyan-Shanskii and L.D. Faddeev, "To the theory of nonlinear chiral
fields", Vest. St. Petersburg Univ. 13, 81 (1977).

A.A. Zheltukhin, "Classical Relativistic String as an exactly Solvable Sector of
 $SO(1,1) \times SO(2)$ Gauge Model", Phys. Lett. 116B, 147(1982); "Branes as solutions
of gauge theories in gravitational field", Eur. Phys. J. C 74:3048 (2014); A.A.Z.
"Gauge theory approach to branes and spontaneous symmetry breaking", Rev.
Math. Phys. 29 (2017) no.03, 1750009 (many refs. can be found there).

R squared theory of gravity, branes and cosmology:

A. A. Starobinsky, "A new type of isotropic cosmological models without
singularity", Physics Letters B. 91, (1980) 99102.

E. S. Fradkin and A. A. Tseytlin, "Renormalizable asymptotically free quantum
theory of gravity," Phys. Lett. B 104, (1981) 377.

K. S. Stelle, "Abdus Salam and quadratic curvature gravity: Classical solutions",
Int.J.Mod.Phys. A32 (2017) no.09, 1741012.

S. Adler, "Order-R Vacuum Action Functional in Scalar-Free Unified Theories with Spontaneous Scale Breaking", Phys. Rev. Lett. 44, (1980) 1567.

A. Zee, "Spontaneously generated gravity", Phys. Rev. D 23, (1980) 858-866.

V. De Alfaro, S. Fubini, G. Furlan, "Gauge Theories and Strong Gravity", Nuovo Cimento 50, (1979) A 523.

B. Zwiebach, "Curvature squared terms and string theories". Phys. Lett. B 156, (1985) 315

V. A. Rubakov, M. E. Shaposhnikov, "Do we live inside a domain wall?", Phys. Lett. B 125, 136-138 (1983)

G. Dvali, G. Gababadze, M. Porrati, "4D Gravity on a Brane in 5D Minkowski Space", arXiv:hep-th/0005016.

M. Rinaldi, L. Vanzo, "Inflation and reheating in theories with spontaneous scale invariance symmetry breaking", Phys. Rev. D 94(2), (2016) 024009.

J. Gomis, K. Kamimura, P. West, The construction of brane and superbrane actions using non-linear realizations. Class. Quant. Grav. 23, (2006) 7369-7381

M.J. Duff, "Thirty years of Erice on the brane". arXiv:1812.11658 [hep-th].

The report is based on the paper:

A.A. Zheltukhin, "p-Branes with AdS(p+1) vacuum as models of R² gravity" Eur.Phys.J. C79 (2019) no.7, 633.

Dirac branes as minimal h-ws, Cartan multiplets and R squared gravity

The Dirac action for p -branes in $\mathbf{R}^{1,D-1}$

$$S = T_p \int d^{p+1} \xi \sqrt{|g|}. \quad (1)$$

The induced metric on hyper-ws Σ_{p+1} swept by brane world vector $\mathbf{x}(\xi^\mu)$

$$g_{\mu\nu} := \partial_\mu \mathbf{x} \partial_\nu \mathbf{x},$$

The nonlinear wave EOM: $\square^{(p+1)} \mathbf{x} := \nabla^\mu \nabla_\mu \mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \partial_\mu (\sqrt{|g|} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \mathbf{x})$.

The moving frame $\mathbf{n}_A(\mathbf{x})$: $\mathbf{n}_A(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{n}_B(\mathbf{x}) = \eta_{AB}$, ($A = 0, 1, \dots, D-1$).

The Cartan invariant differential forms ω^A and ω_A^B :

$$d\mathbf{x} = \omega^A (d\xi^A) \mathbf{n}_A, \quad d\mathbf{n}_A = -\omega_A^B (d\xi^B) \mathbf{n}_B. \quad (2)$$

Projection of EOM on ords $\mathbf{n}_a(\xi) \perp$ to Σ_{p+1} results in the minimality conds.

$$Sp(l^a) := g^{\mu\nu} l_{\mu\nu}^a = 0, \quad (a = p+1, \dots, D-p-1) \quad (3)$$

where $l_{\mu\nu}^a$ is the second fundam. form of h-ws Σ_{p+1}

$$l_{\mu\nu}^a := \mathbf{n}^a \partial_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{x} \equiv \mathbf{n}^a \nabla_\mu \partial_\nu \mathbf{x}. \quad (4)$$

We use (ω^A, ω_A^B) as new dynamical variables instead of the D-hedron $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}_A)$.
 The Maurer-Cartan eqs.

$$d \wedge \omega_A + \omega_A^B \wedge \omega_B = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$d \wedge \omega_A^B + \omega_A^C \wedge \omega_C^B = 0 \rightarrow F_A^B = 0 \quad (6)$$

are integrab. conds. of Eqs. (2). Hyper-ws Σ_{p+1} spontaneously breaks Poincare symmetry of $\mathbf{R}^{1,D-1}$: $ISO(1, D-1) \rightarrow ISO(1, p-1) \times SO(D-p-1)$.

The frame \mathbf{n}_A splits into two subsets: $\mathbf{n}_A = (\mathbf{n}_i, \mathbf{n}_a)$,

where \mathbf{n}_i , $(i, k = 0, 1, \dots, p)$ are tangent and $\mathbf{n}_a \perp$ to Σ_{p+1} .

The rest symmetry formed by tangent Lorentz rotations in Σ_{p+1} and rotations in the $(D-p-1)$ -dim. subspace \perp to Σ_{p+1} .

The Nambu-Goldstone bosons are effectively described by the Cartan multiplets of the right gauge group $SO(1, D-1)$

$$\omega_A^B(d\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} A_i^k(d\xi) & W_i^b(d\xi) \\ W_a^k(d\xi) & B_a^b(d\xi) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7)$$

The diag. submatrices $A_{\mu i}{}^k d\xi^\mu$ and $B_{\mu a}{}^b d\xi^\mu$ form gauge fields in the fund. reps. of $SO(1, p)$ and $SO(D - p - 1)$ subgroups. $W_{\mu i}{}^b d\xi^\mu$ form a charged vector multiplet in the bi-fund. rep. of $SO(1, p) \times SO(D - p - 1)$ with the covar. derivative

$$(D_\mu W_\nu)_i{}^a = \partial_\mu W_{\nu i}{}^a + A_{\mu i}{}^k W_{\nu k}{}^a + B_\mu{}^a{}_b W_{\nu i}{}^b. \quad (8)$$

The forms ω^A for the global translations of $\mathbf{R}^{1, D-1}$ are $\delta_m^A dx^m$.

Forms referred to a moving frame on Σ_{p+1} are projections of $d\mathbf{x}$ on $\mathbf{n}_A(\xi)$

$$\omega^A = d\mathbf{x}(\xi)\mathbf{n}^A(\xi) \equiv dx^m n_m{}^A(\xi). \quad (9)$$

PDE's (9) represent N-G translation modes $x^m(\xi)$ through $\omega_m^A(\xi)$ In view of orthogonality $\mathbf{n}_a(\xi)d\mathbf{x}(\xi) = 0$ we have

$$\omega^a(d\xi) = 0 \rightarrow d\mathbf{x}(\xi) = \omega^j(d)\mathbf{n}_j(\xi). \quad (10)$$

Then $ds^2 = d\mathbf{x}^2$ on Σ_{p+1} takes the form

$$ds^2 = \omega_i \omega^i = \omega_\mu^j \omega_{i\nu}^j d\xi^\mu d\xi^\nu \equiv g_{\mu\nu}(\xi) d\xi^\mu d\xi^\nu. \quad (11)$$

This shows that $\omega_\lambda^j(\xi)$ is the vielbein of Σ_{p+1}

$$g_{\mu\nu} := \omega_\mu^i \eta_{ik} \omega_\nu^k, \quad \omega_\mu^i \omega_k^\mu = \delta_k^i. \quad (12)$$

Solution of M-C Eqs. (5) yields the tetrade postulate

$$D_{[\mu}^{\parallel} \omega_{\nu]}^i \equiv \partial_{[\mu} \omega_{\nu]}^i + A_{[\mu}^i{}_{k} \omega_{\nu]}^k = 0, \quad (13)$$

which expresses $A_{\mu}^i{}_{k}$ through ω_{μ}^i together with the constraints

$$\omega_{[\mu}^i W_{\nu]ia} = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad W_{\mu i}{}^a = -I_{\mu\nu}{}^a \omega_i^{\nu}, \quad (14)$$

where $I_{\mu\nu}^a = I_{\nu\mu}^a$ is the second fundamental form of Σ_{p+1} . As a result, $A_{\mu}^i{}_{k}$ and its strength $F_{\mu\nu}{}^{ik}$ are expressed through $\Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^{\rho}$ and the Riemann tensor $R_{\mu\nu}{}^{\gamma\lambda}$

$$A_{\mu}^{ik} = \omega_{\rho}^i \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{\rho} \omega^{\lambda k} + \omega_{\lambda}^i \partial_{\mu} \omega^{\lambda k}, \quad (15)$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}{}^{ik} = \omega_{\gamma}^i R_{\mu\nu}{}^{\gamma\lambda} \omega_k^{\lambda}. \quad (16)$$

Then M-C Eqs. (6) are transformed into the Gauss-Ricci-Peterson-Codazzi eqs.

$$R_{\mu\nu}{}^{\gamma\lambda} = I_{[\mu}{}^{\gamma a} I_{\nu]\lambda a}, \quad (17)$$

$$H_{\mu\nu a}{}^b := (\partial_{[\mu} B_{\nu]} + [B_{\mu}, B_{\nu}])_a{}^b, \quad H_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab} = I_{[\mu}{}^{\gamma a} I_{\nu]\gamma}{}^b, \quad (18)$$

$$\nabla_{[\rho}^{\perp} I_{\mu]\nu}{}^a = 0. \quad (19)$$

These eqs. and Eqs. (3) yield a complete set of data describing fundamental branes in terms of the Cartan multiplets of the gauge group $SO(D - p - 1)$.

The $SO(D - p - 1)$ and diff invariant action of p-branes sweeping a minimal hyper ws Σ_{p+1}^{min} and consistent with Eqs. (17-19) is given by

$$S_{Dir} = \frac{1}{k_p^2} \int d^{p+1} \xi \sqrt{|g|} \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} Sp(H_{\mu\nu} H^{\nu\mu}) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mu}^{\perp} l_{\nu\rho a} \nabla^{\perp(\mu} l^{\nu)\rho a} - \nabla_{\mu}^{\perp} l^{\mu}_{\rho a} \nabla_{\nu}^{\perp} l^{\nu\rho a} + V_{Dir}(l) \right\}. \quad (20)$$

The diff invariant potential $V_{Dir}(l)$ encoding self-interaction of the N-G multiplet $l_{\mu\nu}^a$ in the gravitational *background* $g_{\mu\nu}(\xi^{\rho})$ is

$$V_{Dir} = -\frac{1}{2} Sp(l_a l_b) Sp(l^a l^b) + Sp(l_a l_b l^a l^b) - Sp(l_a l^a l_b l^b) + c_p, \quad (21)$$

where c_p is an integration constant.

To derive V_{Dir} we used the Bianchi identities

$$[\nabla_{\gamma}^{\perp}, \nabla_{\nu}^{\perp}] l^{\mu\rho a} = R_{\gamma\nu}{}^{\mu}{}_{\lambda} l^{\lambda\rho a} + R_{\gamma\nu}{}^{\rho}{}_{\lambda} l^{\mu\lambda a} + H_{\gamma\nu}{}^a{}_b l^{\mu\rho b} \quad (22)$$

for the metric and Y-M covariant derivative

$$\nabla_{\mu}^{\perp} l_{\nu\rho}{}^a := \partial_{\mu} l_{\nu\rho}{}^a - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} l_{\lambda\rho}{}^a - \Gamma_{\mu\rho}^{\lambda} l_{\nu\lambda}{}^a + B_{\mu}^{ab} l_{\nu\rho b}. \quad (23)$$

The Euler-Lagrange PDEs have a unique solution describing p -branes provided that the Ricci-Codazzi eqs.(18-19) were chosen as the *Cauchy initial data*.

The latter turned out to be invariants of the evolution prescribed by S_{Dir} .

The Gauss eqs. (17) are treated as the evolution PDEs for $g_{\mu\nu}$. They are consistent with the used variational principle since they have selected V_{Dir} .

Then the EOM become equivalent to the identities

$$\nabla^{\perp\mu}\mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} = 0, \quad \nabla^{\perp\mu}\nabla_{[\mu}^{\perp}l_{\nu]}^a = 0 \quad (24)$$

produced by the covariant differentiation of the Ricci-Codazzi eqs.

They can be equivalently written in the form of the generalized Maxwell-Y-M and Newton eqs. in the gravit. field defined by Gauss eqs. (17)

$$\nabla_{\nu}^{\perp}H_{ab}^{\nu\mu} = j_{ab}^{\mu}, \quad j_{ab}^{\mu} = Sp(l_{[a}^{\perp}\nabla^{\perp}l_{b]}^{\mu}), \quad \nabla_{\mu}^{\perp}j_{ab}^{\mu} = 0, \quad (25)$$

$$\nabla_{\mu}^{\perp}\nabla^{\perp\mu}l^{\nu\rho a} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial V_{Dir}}{\partial l_{\nu\rho a}} \equiv (2l_b^a l^b - l^a l_b^b - l_b^b l^a)^{\nu\rho} - l_b^{\nu\rho} Sp(l^b l^a). \quad (26)$$

We conclude that S_{Dir} (20) with the chosen potential V_{Dir} (21) reformulates the Dirac p -brane dynamics in terms of the Cartan multiplets.

The potential term V_{Dir} can be represented in the form

$$V_{Dir} = -\frac{1}{4}R_{\mu\nu\gamma\lambda}R^{\mu\nu\gamma\lambda} - \frac{1}{2}R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4}H_{\mu\nu ab}H^{\nu\mu ab} + c_p. \quad (27)$$

Eq. (27) was derived using Eqs. (17-18) and (3). They yield the relations

$$\frac{1}{2}R_{\mu\nu\gamma\lambda}R^{\mu\nu\gamma\lambda} = Sp(I_a I_b)Sp(I^a I^b) - Sp(I_a I_b I^a I^b), \quad (28)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}H_{\mu\nu}^{ab}H_{ab}^{\mu\nu} = Sp(I_a I_b I^a I^b) - Sp(I_a I^a I_b I^b), \quad Sp I^a = 0. \quad (29)$$

These relations were combined with the quadratic reps of the Ricci tensor $R_{\mu\nu}$ and the scalar curvature R of the *minimal* hyper w-s Σ_{p+1}^{min}

$$R_{\mu\nu} = -(I^a I_a)_{\mu\nu}, \quad R = -Sp(I^a I_a). \quad (30)$$

The potential (27) contains the curvature squared terms considered in f(R) gravity. In the codimension 1, i.e. when $D = p + 2$, $B_\mu^{ab} \equiv 0$ since $a = b = p + 1$ and

S_{Dir} (20) is reduced to the action

$$S_{D=p+2} = -\frac{1}{k_p^2} \int d^{p+1} \xi \sqrt{|g|} \left(\frac{1}{2} \nabla_\mu l_{\nu\rho} \nabla^\mu l^{\nu\rho} - \nabla_\mu l_\rho^\mu \nabla_\nu l^{\nu\rho} + \frac{1}{2} (Sp(l^2))^2 - c_p \right), \quad (31)$$

where $l_{\lambda\rho} \equiv l_{\lambda\rho(p+1)} = -l_{\lambda\rho}^{(p+1)}$ and the metric covariant derivative ∇_μ is

$$\nabla_\mu l_{\nu\rho} := \partial_\mu l_{\nu\rho} - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda l_{\lambda\rho} - \Gamma_{\mu\rho}^\lambda l_{\nu\lambda} \quad (32)$$

Eqs. (27-30) shows that V_{Dir} in $S_{D=p+2}$ can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{2} (Sp(l^2))^2 = \frac{1}{2} R^2 \rightarrow V_{Dir} = -\frac{1}{2} (Sp(l^2))^2 + c_p = -\frac{1}{2} R^2 + c_p. \quad (33)$$

Eqs. (25-26) are reduced to the eqs.

$$\square l_{\nu\rho} = l_{\nu\rho} Sp(l^2) \equiv R l_{\nu\rho}, \quad Spl = 0, \quad (34)$$

where $\square \equiv \nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu$ is the D'Alembert-Beltrami operator for tensor fields on Σ_{p+1}^{min} .

This correspondence between Dirac p -branes and R^2 models does not generate the Hilbert-Einstein gravity.

For 3-branes the H-E term is forbidden in view of the scale symmetry of R^2 action (31) with a cosmological constant $c_3 = 0$.

Indeed, for $p = 3$ the coupling k_p is dimensionless because $[k_p] = [T_p]^{\frac{3-p}{2(p+1)}}$.

Spontaneously generated gravity and non-minimal hyper-worldsheets

The dilatation symmetry of 3-brane action is realized by the transf-s:

$$\xi'^{\mu} = e^{-\lambda} \xi^{\mu}, \quad g'_{\mu\nu}(\xi') = g_{\mu\nu}(\xi), \quad l'_{\mu\nu}(\xi') = e^{\lambda} l_{\mu\nu}(\xi) \quad (35)$$

This action is also invariant under global Weyl transf-s:

$$\xi'^{\mu} = \xi^{\mu}, \quad g'_{\mu\nu}(\xi') = e^{2\alpha} g_{\mu\nu}(\xi), \quad l'_{\mu\nu}(\xi') = e^{\alpha} l_{\mu\nu}(\xi) \quad (36)$$

These laws show that an abelian subgroup U_+ of $U(1) \times U(1)$ formed by $\alpha = \lambda$:

$$\xi'^{\mu} = e^{-\lambda} \xi^{\mu}, \quad g'_{\mu\nu}(\xi') = e^{2\lambda} g_{\mu\nu}(\xi), \quad l'_{\mu\nu}(\xi') = e^{2\lambda} l_{\mu\nu}(\xi) \quad (37)$$

yields a diff trans-on of 3-brane h-ws. So, diff-s protect U_+ symmetry.

The diff. invariant Spl creates a 1-dim. repres-n. of the Weyl and dilat. symm-s

$$Spl'(\xi') = e^{\lambda} Spl(\xi), \quad Spl'(\xi') = e^{-\alpha} Spl(\xi) \quad (38)$$

Then the condition $Spl = 0$ does not break the scale symmetry.

To create the H-E term this symmetry should be broken, e.g. as: $Spl = \text{constant}$.

Thus, we arrive at the idea of spontaneously generated gravity studied by Adler and Zee which is explained by the example of 4-dim. scale-invariant action

$$A = \int d^4x \sqrt{|g|} \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} \varphi^2 R + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mu} \varphi \nabla^{\mu} \varphi - V(\varphi) \right] \quad (39)$$

including a scalar field φ and a dimensionless constant α .

$V(\varphi, g)$ is assumed to have a deep minimum at $\varphi_0 = v$ which provides vev v for φ . The expansion around the minimum generates the H-E term with the Newton constant $G_N \approx \frac{1}{\alpha v^2}$.

So, the scale symmetry of (39) is spontaneously broken that results in a 4-dim. gravity in the low energy limit.

On the contrary, in the early universe, v as a function of the temperature, is expected to vanish resulting in a scale-invariant R^2 action. However, this model prevents appearance of a cosmol. const. arising in such cases. Replacement of φ by a scalar $\bar{\psi}\psi$ proposed by Adler does not improve the situation.

3-brane brane action (31) quadratic in curvature includes a cosmol. const. c_p . It encodes an R^2 action with zero vev for the field Spl

$$\phi := Spl \rightarrow \langle \phi \rangle_0 = 0. \quad (40)$$

Thus, the restoration of the H-E term in brane action makes us search for a deformation of V_{Dir} to a new potential U which has its extremal at $I_{0\mu\nu} \neq 0$:

$$Spl_0 \equiv \langle \phi \rangle_0 = \mu, \quad (41)$$

where the constant μ has the dimension $[\mu] = [L^{-1}]$.

This generates a fundamental mass scale similarly to the Higgs effect in QFT.

To find a deformed diff invariant quartic potential U we explore the action

$$S = \frac{1}{k_p^2} \int d^{p+1} \xi \sqrt{|g|} \left(\frac{1}{2} \nabla_\mu l_{\nu\rho} \nabla^{[\mu} l^{\nu]\rho} - \nabla_\mu l_\rho^\mu \nabla_\nu l^{\nu\rho} - U(l) \right) \quad (42)$$

defined on a h-ws with codim 1, and obtain the following EOM

$$\frac{1}{2} \nabla_\mu \nabla^{[\mu} l^{\nu]\rho} = -[\nabla^\mu, \nabla^{(\nu]} l_{\mu}^{\rho)} - \frac{\partial U}{\partial l_{\nu\rho}}. \quad (43)$$

The h-ws metric $g_{\mu\nu}(\xi)$ in (42) is treated as a background field since its evolution is encoded by the embedding conditions, given by the Gauss's Theorema Egregium (17). For hypersurfaces Σ_{p+1} of codim 1 it takes the form

$$R_{\mu\nu\gamma\lambda} = -l_{\mu\nu} l_{\gamma\lambda} + l_{\nu\gamma} l_{\mu\lambda}. \quad (44)$$

The Gauss eqn. (44) combined with the Bianchi identities

$$[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu] l^{\rho\sigma} = R_{\mu\nu}{}^{\gamma\lambda} l^{\lambda\rho} + R_{\mu\nu}{}^{\rho\lambda} l^{\gamma\lambda}. \quad (45)$$

permits to write the commutator in the r.h.s. of (43) as

$$-\frac{1}{2} [\nabla^\mu, \nabla^{(\nu]} l_{\mu}^{\rho)} = (l^2)^{\nu\rho} Sp l - l^{\nu\rho} Sp(l^2), \quad (46)$$

where $Sp(l^2) := l_{\mu\rho} l_\nu^\rho g^{\mu\nu}$. Then EOM (43) is transformed to the PDE

$$\frac{1}{4} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla^{[\mu} l^{|\nu]\rho]} = (l^2)^{\nu\rho} Sp l - l^{\nu\rho} Sp(l^2) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial l_{\nu\rho}}. \quad (47)$$

A general homogenous quartic polynomial invariant under diffeomorphisms is

$$U = \frac{2}{3} Sp l Sp(l^3) - \frac{1}{2} (Sp(l^2))^2 + b_2 Sp(l^2) (Sp l)^2 + b_4 (Sp l)^4 + b'_4 Sp(l^4). \quad (48)$$

It contains arbitrary dimensionless parameters b_2, b_4, b'_4 , and its l-derivative is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial l_{\nu\rho}} &= Sp l (l^2)^{\nu\rho} - [Sp(l^2) - b_2 (Sp l)^2] l^{\nu\rho} + 2b'_4 (l^3)^{\nu\rho} \\ &+ \left[\frac{1}{3} Sp(l^3) + b_2 Sp(l^2) Sp l + 2b_4 (Sp l)^3 \right] \frac{\partial Sp l}{\partial l_{\nu\rho}}. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

For simplicity we choose an extension of V_{Dir} with $b_2 = b_4 = b'_4 = 0$:

$$U \rightarrow V := \frac{2}{3} Sp l Sp(l^3) - \frac{1}{2} (Sp(l^2))^2 + c_p. \quad (50)$$

Then EOM (47) reduces to the eqn.

$$\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla^{[\mu} l^{|\nu]\rho]} = -\frac{2}{3} Sp(l^3) \frac{\partial Sp l}{\partial l_{\nu\rho}}. \quad (51)$$

Eq. (51) is the Euler-Lagrange eqn. given by S (42) with $V(l)$ substituted for $U(l)$

$$S = \frac{1}{k_p^2} \int d^{p+1} \xi \sqrt{|g|} \left(\frac{1}{2} \nabla_\mu l_{\nu\rho} \nabla^{(\mu} l^{\nu)\rho} - \nabla_\mu l^\mu_\rho \nabla_\nu l^{\nu\rho} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{2}{3} Sp l Sp(l^3) + \frac{1}{2} Sp(l^2) Sp(l^2) - c_p \right). \quad (52)$$

Extremals $l_o^{\nu\rho}$ are defined by Eq. (49) with zero b . They are roots of the eqn.

$$(l_o^2)^{\nu\rho} Sp l_o - l_o^{\nu\rho} Sp(l_o^2) = 0 \quad (53)$$

which can equivalently be represented as

$$l'_{o\alpha} (l_o^{\alpha\rho} Sp l_o - g^{\alpha\rho} Sp(l_o^2)) = 0. \quad (54)$$

Supposing that the matrice $l'_{o\alpha}$ is non-degenerate we obtain solution of (54):

$$l_{o\mu\nu} = \frac{Sp l_o}{p+1} g_{\mu\nu}, \quad \det l'_{o\nu} \neq 0, \quad (55)$$

where $l'_{o\nu} \equiv g^{\mu\gamma} l_{o\gamma\nu}$. Eq. (55) generates the recurrent relations:

$$(l_o^n)_{\mu\nu} = \left(\frac{Sp l_o}{p+1} \right)^n g_{\mu\nu}, \quad \rightarrow \quad Sp(l_o^n) = (p+1) \left(\frac{Sp l_o}{p+1} \right)^n. \quad (56)$$

We find that extremal (55) breaks neither the Weyl nor the dilatation symmetries. However, we have not yet taken into account that extremals must obey the P-C embedding conds. (19) encoding the brane sector of sol-s of (47).

For h-ws of codim 1 the P-C eqs. are given by

$$\nabla_{[\mu} l_{\nu]\rho} = 0 \quad \longrightarrow \quad \nabla^\rho l_{\rho\nu} = \nabla_\nu S p l \equiv \partial_\nu S p l. \quad (57)$$

The substitution of extremal solution (55) in the second of Eqs. (57) gives

$$\nabla^\rho l_{\rho\nu} = \partial_\nu S p l_o \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{p+1} \partial_\nu S p l_o = \partial_\nu S p l_o \quad \rightarrow \quad S p l_o = \mu, \quad (58)$$

where μ is a constant. So, we obtain the desired extremal (41)

$$l_{o\mu\nu} = \frac{\mu}{p+1} g_{\mu\nu} \quad \rightarrow \quad S p l_o \equiv \langle \phi \rangle_o = \mu. \quad (59)$$

It is evident that this extremal gives a particular solution of EOM (51), because it vanishes its l-h and r-h sides

$$\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla^{[\mu} l_o^{(v)\rho]} = -\frac{2}{3} Sp(3) \frac{\partial Spl}{\partial l_{\nu\rho}} |_{Spl=\mu} = 0. \quad (60)$$

The Gauss map (44) of the Riemannian tensor of the vacuum h-ws Σ_{p+1}^o

$$R_{O\mu\nu\gamma\lambda} = -l_{O\mu\gamma} l_{O\nu\lambda} + l_{O\nu\gamma} l_{O\mu\lambda}. \quad (61)$$

yields its explicit expression

$$R_{O\mu\nu\gamma\lambda} = -\left(\frac{\mu}{p+1}\right)^2 (g_{\mu\gamma} g_{\nu\lambda} - g_{\nu\gamma} g_{\mu\lambda}). \quad (62)$$

It shows that the h-ws Σ_{p+1}^o has the negative constant curvature $R_o = g^{\mu\nu} R_{O\mu\nu}$

$$R_{O\mu\nu} = -\frac{p}{(p+1)^2} \mu^2 g_{\mu\nu}, \quad R_o = -\frac{p}{p+1} \mu^2. \quad (63)$$

Resume: the Weyl and scale invariant 3-brane action (52) with the potential

$$V_3 = \frac{2}{3} SplSp(l^3) - \frac{1}{2} (Sp(l^2))^2, \quad c_3 = 0 \quad (64)$$

has the classical vacuum solution breaking the above rigid symmetries.

Models of R squared gravity from p-branes

To discuss gravity models encoded by p-branes we use the compact notations

$$\phi := SpI, \quad \theta_n := Sp(I^n), \quad (n = 2, 3, 4) \quad (65)$$

in which the iscusssed potential takes the form

$$V_p = \frac{2}{3}\phi\theta_3 - \frac{1}{2}(\theta_2)^2 - c_p. \quad (66)$$

The Gauss map (44) permits to express curvature invariants through homogenous polynomials constructe from traces of the tensor $I_{\mu\nu}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}R_{\mu\nu\gamma\lambda}R^{\mu\nu\gamma\lambda} &= -\theta_4 + (\theta_2)^2, \quad (67) \\ R_{\mu\nu} &= (I^2)_{\mu\nu} - \phi I_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} = \theta_4 - 2\phi\theta_3 + \theta_2\phi^2, \\ R &= \theta_2 - \phi^2 \rightarrow R^2 = (\theta_2)^2 - 2\theta_2\phi^2 + \phi^4. \end{aligned}$$

The additional relation

$$R^{\mu\nu}I_{\mu\nu}\phi = \phi\theta_3 - \theta_2\phi^2 \quad (68)$$

represents the first term in V as

$$\phi\theta_3 = R^{\mu\nu}I_{\mu\nu}\phi + (R + \phi^2)\phi^2. \quad (69)$$

The latter expression combined with the reps: $(\theta_2)^2 = (R + \phi^2)^2$ for the second term in V_p yields the R^2 gravity interaction lagrangian

$$-V_p = \frac{1}{2}R^2 + \frac{1}{3}R\phi^2 - \frac{2}{3}R_{\nu\lambda}l^{\lambda\nu}\phi - \frac{1}{6}\phi^4 + c_p. \quad (70)$$

For $p = 3, c_3 = 0$ Eq. (70) gives the Weyl and scale invariant lagrangian realizing the Adler-Zee mechanism of spontaneously induced gravity due to the presence of the critical point $\langle \phi \rangle_0 = \mu$.

The model (70) generalizes the known models describing inflation and reheating in the presence of scalar field φ similar to the Brans-Dicke one.

The latter scalar is changed by the massless tensor field $l_{\mu\nu}$, and its trace $\phi \equiv Spl$ has non-zero vev $\langle Spl \rangle_0 = \mu$.

So, implementation of the massless tensor perturbations $l_{\mu\nu}$, associated with brane matter, supplies new tensor-tensor models of R^2 gravity which can be used for analyzing the current experiments. Note that scale-invariant models fit the experimental data from Planck (see P. A. R. A. et.al (Planck Collaboration), Planck 2015 results. XX. Constraint on inflation". arXiv: 1502.02114 [astro-ph].)

There is alternative way to express the first term in V as

$$\frac{2}{3}\phi\theta_3 = -\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}R_{\mu\nu\gamma\lambda}R^{\mu\nu\gamma\lambda} + R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu}\right) + \frac{1}{3}\left((\theta_2)^2 + \theta_2\phi^2\right). \quad (71)$$

This reps yields the following R^2 gravity lagrangian accompanied with scalar ϕ

$$V_p = -\frac{1}{6}L_{GB} - R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{6}\phi^4 - c_p, \quad (72)$$

where L_{GB} is the Gauss-Bonnet term in $(p+1)$ -dim. space-time associated with the h-ws Σ_{p+1}

$$L_{GB} := R_{\mu\nu\gamma\lambda}R^{\mu\nu\gamma\lambda} - 4R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + R^2 \quad (73)$$

which is known topological invariant for $p=3$, but is a dynamical term for $p>3$. Thus, for $p=3$, $c_3=0$ expression (72) gives the Weyl and scale invariant lagrangian of R^2 gravity

$$-V_3 = R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{6}\phi^4, \quad (74)$$

where the tensor field $l_{\mu\nu}$ is presented by only its invariant trace $\phi \equiv l_{\mu\nu}g^{\mu\nu}$.

Summary

1. The tensor dynamical variables $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $l_{\mu\nu}$, originating from the Gauss-Cartan geometric approach to embedded hypersurfaces, are used to reformulate p-brane description. This reveals the geometric structure of their non-linearities.
2. It is shown that the interaction potential of the hyper-ws multiplet $l_{\mu\nu}$ encodes scale-invariant models of R squared gravity. This potential has the extremal $l_{o\mu\nu} = \frac{\mu}{p+1} g_{\mu\nu}$ spontaneously breaking the Weyl and scale global symmetries.
3. On this extremal the trace $Sp l \equiv g^{\mu\nu} l_{\mu\nu}$ has the vev $Sp l_o = \mu$. The extremal h-ws has the constant curvature $R_o = -\frac{p}{p+1} \mu^2$.
4. These results yield brane realization of the Adler-Zee mechanism of spontaneously generated gravity arising from breaking of the scale symmetry. This proposes new tensor-tensor models of R^2 gravity alternative to the well-known scalar-tensor models.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!