

# CGAs and Invariant PDEs

Francesco Toppan

TEO, CBPF (MCTI)  
Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Forum Brazil/JINR, Dubna, June 2015

## Based on:

N. Aizawa, Z. Kuznetsova and F. T., **JMP** 56, 031701 (2015).

F.T., **JoP: CS** 597, (2015) 012071.

Extra material (N. Aizawa, Z. Kuznetsova, F.T., in preparation).

## Symmetries of PDEs:

$$\Omega = \partial_t + a\partial_x^2 - aV(x),$$

$$\Omega\Psi(x, t) = 0.$$

The invariant condition

$$\Omega\delta\Psi(x, t) = 0,$$

$$\delta\Psi(x, t) = f(x, t)\Psi_t(x, t) + g(x, t)\Psi_x(x, t) + h(x, t)\Psi(x, t)$$

implies

$$f_x = 0,$$

$$f_t - 2g_x + af_{xx} = 0,$$

$$g_t + a(2aVf_x + 2h_x + g_{xx}) = 0,$$

$$agV_x + h_t + 2a^2V_xf_x + aV(f_t + af_{xx}) + ah_{xx} = 0.$$

## Maximal number of symmetry generators (non semi-simple) Schrödinger algebra:

- i)  $V(x) = x^0$  (**free** particle case),
- ii)  $V(x) = x^1$  (solved by **Airy** functions),
- iii)  $V(x) = x^2$  (**harmonic oscillator** case).

6-generator algebras  $z_{\pm 1}, z_0, w_{\pm}, c$ , with dimensions

$$[z_{\pm 1}] = \pm 1, \quad [w_{\pm}] = \pm \frac{1}{2}, \quad [z_0] = [c] = 0.$$
$$([t] = -1, \quad [x] = -\frac{1}{2})$$

$$\{z_0, z_{\pm 1}\} \in \mathfrak{sl}(2), \quad \{w_{\pm}, c\} \in \mathfrak{h}(1),$$

$$\mathfrak{sch}(1) = \mathfrak{sl}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{h}(1).$$

## Linear Schrödinger Equation:

$$\begin{aligned}z_+ &= t^2 \partial_t + (tx - \frac{1}{2}t^3) \partial_x + (\frac{1}{2}t - \frac{i}{8}t^4 + \frac{3i}{2}t^2x - \frac{i}{2}x^2), \\z_0 &= t\partial_t + (\frac{1}{2}x - \frac{3}{2}t^2) \partial_x + \frac{3i}{2}tx - \frac{i}{4}t^3 + \frac{1}{4}, \\z_- &= \partial_t - t\partial_x + ix - \frac{i}{2}t^2, \\w_+ &= t\partial_x - ix + \frac{i}{2}t^2, \\w_- &= \partial_x + it, \\c &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}[z_0, z_{\pm}] &= \pm z_{\pm}, \\[z_+, z_-] &= -2z_0, \\[z_0, w_{\pm}] &= \pm \frac{1}{2}w_{\pm}, \\[z_{\pm}, w_{\mp}] &= \mp w_{\pm}, \\[w_+, w_-] &= ic.\end{aligned}$$

## Non-vanishing on-shell relations

$$\begin{aligned}[z_+, \Omega] &= -2t\Omega, \\ [z_0, \Omega] &= -\Omega.\end{aligned}$$

$\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  algebra produced by the  $\Omega_0, \Omega_{\pm 1}$  invariant PDEs:

$$\Omega_{-1} = \Omega, \quad [z_+, \Omega_{-1}] = \Omega_0, \quad [z_+, \Omega_0] = \Omega_{+1}, \quad ([z_+, \Omega_{+1}] = 0)$$

$$\Omega_{-1} = \Omega = iz_- + \frac{1}{2}w_-^2, \quad \Omega_0 = -2t\Omega_{-1}, \quad \Omega_{+1} = -2t^2\Omega_{-1}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\Omega_0, \Omega_{\pm 1}] &= \mp 2i\Omega_{\pm 1}, \\ [\Omega_+, \Omega_-] &= 2i\Omega_0.\end{aligned}$$

**The Hamiltonian is**

$$H = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 + x = -\frac{1}{2}w_-^2 + iw_+.$$

Free case:

$$z_{+1} = \partial_t,$$

$$z_0 = t\partial_t + \frac{1}{2}x\partial_x + \frac{1}{4},$$

$$z_{-1} = t^2\partial_t + tx\partial_x - \frac{ix^2}{4} + \frac{1}{2}t,$$

$$w_+ = \partial_x,$$

$$w_- = t\partial_x - \frac{ix}{2},$$

$$c = 1.$$

## Harmonic oscillator:

$$\begin{aligned}z_{+1} &= e^{2it} \left( \partial_t + ix\partial_x + \frac{i}{2} - ix^2 \right), \\z_0 &= \partial_t, \\z_{-1} &= e^{-2it} \left( \partial_t - ix\partial_x - \frac{i}{2} - ix^2 \right), \\w_+ &= e^{it} (\partial_x - x), \\w_- &= e^{-it} (\partial_x + x), \\c &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> order differential operators:

$$\begin{aligned}w_{+1} &= \{w_+, w_+\}, \\w_0 &= \{w_+, w_-\}, \\w_{-1} &= \{w_-, w_-\}.\end{aligned}$$

### Algebra-superalgebra duality:

- the non-simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{esch}$  with 9 generators  $\{z_{\pm 1}, z_0, w_{\pm}, w_{\pm 1}, w_0, c\}$  and
- the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{ssch} = S_0 \oplus S_1$ , with 7 even  $(z_{\pm 1}, z_0, w_{\pm 1}, w_0, c \in S_0)$  and 2 odd generators ( $w_{\pm} \in S_1$ ).

In  $\mathfrak{ssch}$  we have the anti-commutators

$$\{w_+, w_+\} = w_{+1}, \quad \{w_+, w_-\} = w_0, \quad \{w_-, w_-\} = w_{-1}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}x^0 : \quad \Omega &= z_{+1} + \frac{1}{2}aw_{+1}, \\x^1 : \quad \Omega &= z_{-1} + \frac{a}{2}w_{-1}, \\x^2 : \quad \Omega &= z_0 + \frac{a}{2}w_0.\end{aligned}$$

$V(x) = x^0$  example: all commutators with  $\Omega$  are vanishing, apart

$$\begin{aligned}[z_0, \Omega] &= -z_{+1} - \frac{a}{2}w_{+1}, \\[z_{-1}, \Omega] &= -2z_0 - aw_0.\end{aligned}$$

Representation-dependent formulas for the commutators above:

$$\begin{aligned}[z_0, \Omega] &= -\Omega, \\[z_{-1}, \Omega] &= -2t\Omega.\end{aligned}$$

Representation-dependent commutators (on-shell condition):

$$[g, \Omega] = f_g \cdot \Omega.$$

# $d = 1$ $\ell = \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{N}_0$ Centrally Extended CGAs

$$\mathfrak{cg}\mathfrak{a}_\ell = \mathfrak{sl}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{h}(\ell + \frac{1}{2}).$$

Features:

- Spin  $\ell$  representation of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ .
- $\ell + \frac{1}{2}$  copies of Heisenberg algebras.
- $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading.
- Schrödinger algebra recovered at  $\ell = \frac{1}{2}$ .

## Generators:

$\mathfrak{sl}(2) : z_\pm, z_0,$

central charge:  $c$ ,

creation/annihilation operators:  $w_j$  with  $j = -\ell, \ell + 1, \dots, \ell$ .

## Inverse problem: diff. realizations are given. Are there invariant PDEs?

Differential realizations from

$$e^{\mathcal{G}_-} e^{\mathcal{G}_0} e^{\mathcal{G}_+} |I.w.r>$$

Invariant PDEs:

- Verma modules (difficult),
- on-shell condition (easy).

# $d = 1$ $\ell = \frac{3}{2}$ - deformation of the free system:

$$\bar{z}_+ = \partial_\tau,$$

$$\bar{z}_0 = -2i\tau\partial_\tau - ix\partial_x - 3iy\partial_y - 2i,$$

$$\bar{z}_- = -4\tau^2 - 4(\tau x - \frac{3}{\gamma}y)\partial_x - 12\tau y\partial_y - 8(\tau - ix^2),$$

$$\bar{w}_{+3} = \partial_y,$$

$$\bar{w}_{+1} = -2i\tau\partial_y + \frac{2i}{\gamma}\partial_x,$$

$$\bar{w}_{-1} = -4\tau^2\partial_y + \frac{8}{\gamma}\tau\partial_x - \frac{8i}{\gamma}x,$$

$$\bar{w}_{-3} = 8i\tau^3\partial_y - \frac{24i}{\gamma}\tau^2\partial_x - 48(\frac{1}{\gamma}\tau x + \frac{1}{\gamma^2}y),$$

$$\bar{c} = 1.$$

## Algebra:

$$[\bar{z}_0, \bar{z}_{\pm}] = \pm 2i\bar{z}_{\pm},$$

$$[\bar{z}_+, \bar{z}_-] = -4i\bar{z}_0,$$

$$[\bar{z}_0, \bar{w}_k] = i\frac{k}{2}\bar{w}_k,$$

$$[\bar{z}_{\pm}, \bar{w}_k] = (k \mp 3)i\bar{w}_{k\pm 2},$$

$$[\bar{w}_{|k|}, \bar{w}_{-|k|}] = (3 - 2k)\frac{16}{\gamma^2}c.$$

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Omega}_{+1} &= i\partial_\tau - i\gamma\partial_y + \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 = i\bar{z}_+ - \bar{H}_+ = i\bar{z}_+ + \frac{\gamma^2}{16} (\{\bar{w}_{+3}, \bar{w}_{-1}\} - \{\bar{w}_{+1}, \bar{w}_{+1}\}), \\ \bar{\Omega}_0 &= -2i\tau\bar{\Omega}_{+1} = i\bar{z}_0 - \bar{H}_0 = i\bar{z}_0 + \frac{\gamma^2}{32} (\{\bar{w}_{+3}, \bar{w}_{-3}\} - \{\bar{w}_{+1}, \bar{w}_{-1}\}), \\ \bar{\Omega}_{-1} &= -4\tau^2\bar{\Omega}_{+1} = i\bar{z}_- - \bar{H}_- = i\bar{z}_- + \frac{\gamma^2}{16} (\{\bar{w}_{+1}, \bar{w}_{-3}\} - \{\bar{w}_{-1}, \bar{w}_{-1}\}).\end{aligned}$$

Non-vanishing (on-shell invariant) commutators involving the  $\bar{\Omega}$ 's:

$$\begin{aligned}[\bar{z}_0, \bar{\Omega}_{+1}] &= 2i\bar{\Omega}_{+1}, \\ [\bar{z}_-, \bar{\Omega}_{+1}] &= 4i\bar{\Omega}_0 = 8\tau\bar{\Omega}_{+1}, \\ [\bar{z}_+, \bar{\Omega}_0] &= -2i\bar{\Omega}_{+1} = \tau^{-1}\bar{\Omega}_0, \\ [\bar{z}_-, \bar{\Omega}_0] &= 2i\bar{\Omega}_{-1} = 4\tau\bar{\Omega}_0, \\ [\bar{z}_+, \bar{\Omega}_{-1}] &= -4i\bar{\Omega}_0 = 2\tau^{-1}\bar{\Omega}_{-1}, \\ [\bar{z}_0, \bar{\Omega}_{-1}] &= -2i\bar{\Omega}_{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}[\bar{\Omega}_0, \bar{\Omega}_{\pm 1}] &= \mp 2\bar{\Omega}_{\pm 1}, \\ [\bar{\Omega}_{+1}, \bar{\Omega}_{-1}] &= 4\bar{\Omega}_0.\end{aligned}$$

$d = 1$   $\ell = \frac{3}{2}$  - deformation of the “oscillator” system:

$$\begin{aligned}z_0 &= \partial_t, \\z_+ &= e^{2it}(\partial_t + ix\partial_x + 3iy\partial_y + ix^2 + 2i), \\z_- &= e^{-2it}(\partial_t - ix\partial_x - 3iy\partial_y + \frac{12}{\gamma}y\partial_x + 7ix^2 + \frac{12}{\gamma}xy - 2i), \\w_{+3} &= e^{3it}\partial_y, \\w_{+1} &= e^{it}(\partial_y + \frac{2i}{\gamma}\partial_x + \frac{2i}{\gamma}x), \\w_{-1} &= e^{-it}(\partial_y + \frac{4i}{\gamma}\partial_x - \frac{4i}{\gamma}x), \\w_{-3} &= e^{-3it}(\partial_y + \frac{6i}{\gamma}\partial_x - \frac{18i}{\gamma}x - \frac{48i}{\gamma^2}y), \\c &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{+1} &= e^{2it}\Omega_0 = iz_+ - H_+ = iz_+ + \frac{\gamma^2}{16} (\{w_{+3}, w_{-1}\} - \{w_{+1}, w_{+1}\}), \\
\Omega_0 &= i\partial_t + \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 - \frac{1}{2}x^2 - 3y\partial_y - i\gamma x\partial_y - \frac{3}{2} = \\
&= iz_0 - H_0 = iz_0 + \frac{\gamma^2}{32} (\{w_{+3}, w_{-3}\} - \{w_{+1}, w_{-1}\}), \\
\Omega_{-1} &= e^{-2it}\Omega_0 = iz_- - H_- = iz_- + \frac{\gamma^2}{16} (\{w_{+1}, w_{-3}\} - \{w_{-1}, w_{-1}\}).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[z_0, \Omega_{+1}] &= 2i\Omega_{+1}, \\
[z_-, \Omega_{+1}] &= 4i\Omega_0 = 4ie^{-2it}\Omega_{+1}, \\
[z_+, \Omega_0] &= -2i\Omega_{+1} = -2ie^{2it}\Omega_0, \\
[z_-, \Omega_0] &= 2i\Omega_{-1} = 2ie^{-2it}\Omega_0, \\
[z_+, \Omega_{-1}] &= -4i\Omega_0 = -4ie^{2it}\Omega_{-1}, \\
[z_0, \Omega_{-1}] &= -2i\Omega_{-1}; \\
[\Omega_0, \Omega_{\pm 1}] &= \mp 2\Omega_{\pm 1}, \\
[\Omega_{+1}, \Omega_{-1}] &= 4\Omega_0.
\end{aligned}$$

## Connection of the two systems:

$g \mapsto \bar{g}$  via similarity transform. and  $t \mapsto \tau = \frac{i}{2}e^{-2it}$  redefinition of time.

To the “oscillatorial” D-module rep  $z_{\pm} = e^{\pm 2it}(\partial_t + X_{\pm})$ ,  $z_0 = \partial_t$   
we apply the similarity transformation

$$\begin{aligned} g \mapsto \bar{g} &= e^S g e^{-S}, \quad (e^S = e^{S_2} e^{S_1}), \\ S_1 &= tX_+, \\ S_2 &= \frac{1}{2}x^2 \end{aligned}$$

**Explanation:**  $z_+ \mapsto \hat{z}_+ = e^{S_1} z_+ e^{-S_1} = e^{2it} \partial_t = \partial_{\tau}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{+1} \mapsto \hat{\Omega}_{+1} &= e^{S_1} \Omega_{+1} e^{-S_1} = i e^{2it} \partial_t - \hat{H}_{+1}, \\ \hat{H}_{+1} &= e^{2it} \left( iX_+ + e^{tX_+} H_0 e^{-tX_+} \right). \end{aligned}$$

**Magic identity:**  $[X_+, H_0] = 2iK_+$ ,  $[X_+, K_+] = -2iK_+ \Rightarrow$   
 $\Rightarrow iX_+ + H_0 + K_+ = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{H}_{+1}$  does not depend on time.

# The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{coupled } (\gamma \neq 0) : \quad \mathbf{Free}_\gamma^{0,\pm 1}(\tau) \quad \xleftarrow{\mathbf{s}} \quad \mathbf{Osc}_\gamma^{0,\pm 1}(t) \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow^r \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow^r \\ \text{decoupled } (\gamma = 0) : \quad \mathbf{Free}^{0,\pm 1}(\tau) \quad \xleftarrow{\mathbf{s}} \quad \mathbf{Osc}^{0,\pm 1}(t) \end{array}$$

- left: equations from the “free”  $D$ -module rep.
- right: equations obtained from the “oscillator”  $D$ -module rep.

**Each three invariant PDEs ( $\deg 0, \pm 1$ ) are mapped into each other.**

**Left:** Schrödinger-type invariant PDE corresponds to  $\deg 1$  and possesses a continuous spectrum.

**Right:** Schrödinger-type invariant PDE corresponds to  $\deg 0$  and possesses a discrete spectrum.

Vertical arrows:  $g \mapsto RgR^{-1}$ ,  $R = e^{\alpha y \partial_y}$ .

Therefore  $\gamma \rightarrow e^{-\alpha}\gamma$ . The  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$  limit is singular.

## Four Schrödinger-type equations:

- deformed oscillator:

$$\Omega_0(\gamma)\Psi(t, x, y) = 0 \quad \equiv \quad (i\partial_t + \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 - \frac{1}{2}x^2 - 3y\partial_y - i\gamma x\partial_y - \frac{3}{2})\Psi(t, x, y);$$

- decoupled oscillator:

$$\Omega_0\Psi(t, x, y) = 0 \quad \equiv \quad (i\partial_t + \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 - \frac{1}{2}x^2 - 3y\partial_y - \frac{3}{2})\Psi(t, x, y);$$

- free equation:

$$\overline{\Omega}_1\Psi(\tau, x, y) = 0 \quad \equiv \quad (i\partial_\tau + \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2)\Psi(\tau, x, y) = 0;$$

- deformed free equation:

$$\overline{\Omega}_1(\gamma)\Psi(\tau, x, y) = 0 \quad \equiv \quad (i\partial_\tau + \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 + i\gamma x\partial_y)\Psi(\tau, x, y) = 0.$$

## Fundamental domain: $\gamma \in ]0, +\infty[$

- Left PDEs: hermitian.
- Right PDEs: non-hermitian.

All operators  $K = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 + \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \omega y\partial_y - i\gamma x\partial_y + C$ ,  $\forall C$  and  $\forall \gamma \neq 0$  correspond to  $\ell = \frac{3}{2}$  CGA invariant PDE if

$$\omega = \pm \frac{1}{3}, \pm 3$$

The  $\omega \leftrightarrow -\omega$  change of sign explained by the hermitian conjugation.

The  $\omega \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{\omega}$  transformation explained by  $x \leftrightarrow y$  exchange.

Explicit check: to get  $z_+ = e^{i\lambda t}\partial_t + \dots$ , the following necessary (and sufficient) condition has to be satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(\omega^2 + 1 - \frac{5}{2}\lambda^2) &= 0, \\ -3\lambda^2 + 3\lambda^4 + 2\lambda\omega + 4\lambda^3\omega - \lambda^2\omega^2 - 2\lambda\omega^3 &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

All three equations in 1 + 1 invariant under the Schrödinger algebra are recovered as contractions of the  $\ell = \frac{3}{2}$  invariant PDEs.

## Symmetries of two decoupled oscillators

Without loss of generality,  $\omega \geq 1$ , for

$$\Omega = i\partial_t + \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 - \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \omega y\partial_y.$$

At generic  $\omega$ , nine invariant operators can be encountered at degree  $0, \pm\frac{1}{2}, \pm\frac{\omega}{2}, \pm 1$  ( $\bar{d}$  is the degree operator):

$$\bar{z}_\pm = e^{\pm 2it}(\partial_t \pm ix\partial_x + i\omega y\partial_y + ix^2 \pm \frac{i}{2}),$$

$$\bar{z}_0 = \partial_t + i\omega y\partial_y,$$

$$\bar{d} = -\frac{i}{2}\partial_t,$$

$$\bar{c} = 1,$$

$$\bar{w}_\omega = e^{i\omega t}\partial_y,$$

$$\bar{w}_1 = e^{it}(\partial_x + x),$$

$$\bar{w}_{-1} = e^{-it}(\partial_x - x),$$

$$\bar{w}_{-\omega} = e^{-i\omega t}y.$$

The 9-generator algebra closes the  $\mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus (\mathfrak{sch}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{h}(1))$  algebra.

## Enhanced symmetry at the critical values $\omega = 1$ and $\omega = 3$ .

- $\omega = 3$ : three extra generators  $\bar{r}_{-j}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , of degree  $-j$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{r}_{-1} &= e^{-2it}y(\partial_x + x), \\ \bar{r}_{-2} &= e^{-4it}y(\partial_x - x), \\ \bar{r}_{-3} &= e^{-6it}y^2.\end{aligned}$$

- $\omega = 1$ : three extra generators at degree 0 and  $-1$ :

$$\begin{aligned}q_1 &= y(\partial_x + x), \\ q_2 &= e^{-2it}y^2, \\ q_3 &= e^{-2it}y(\partial_x - x).\end{aligned}$$

In both cases, we obtain a (different) 12-generator closed symmetry algebra.

## The contraction algebra

In the  $\gamma \rightarrow 0$  limit, a contraction algebra is recovered by rescaling the generators ( $g \mapsto \tilde{g} = \gamma^s g$ ), where

$$s = 0 : z_0, z_+, w_3, c,$$

$$s = 1 : z_-, w_1, w_{-1},$$

$$s = 2 : w_{-3}.$$

$$\tilde{z}_+ = e^s \bar{z}_+ e^{-s} = e^{2it} (\partial_t + ix\partial_x + 3iy\partial_y + ix^2 + 2i),$$

$$\tilde{z}_0 = e^s (az_0 + bd) e^{-s} = \partial_t,$$

$$\tilde{z}_- = e^s (12i\bar{r}_{-1}) e^{-s} = 12ie^{-2it} y(\partial_x + x),$$

$$\tilde{w}_{+3} = e^s \bar{w}_{+3} e^{-s} = e^{3it} \partial_y,$$

$$\tilde{w}_{+1} = e^s (-2\bar{w}_{+1}) e^{-s} = -2e^{it} y(\partial_x + x),$$

$$\tilde{w}_{-1} = e^s (-4\bar{w}_{-1}) e^{-s} = -4e^{-it} y(\partial_x - x),$$

$$\tilde{w}_{-3} = e^s (48\bar{w}_{-3}) e^{-s} = 48e^{-3it} y,$$

$$\tilde{c} = e^s \bar{c} e^{-s} = 1.$$

The contraction algebra is  $\mathfrak{e}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{h}(2)$ .

# Cryptohermiticity

Two types of operators, same CCR, but different conjugation properties

$$K(\bar{\gamma}) = a^\dagger a + 3b^\dagger b + \frac{1}{2} + \bar{\gamma}(a + a^\dagger)b.$$

$$[a, a^\dagger] = [b, b^\dagger] = 1$$

The operator  $K(\bar{\gamma})$  acts on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , spanned by the (unnormalized) states  $|n, m\rangle = (a^\dagger)^n(b^\dagger)^m|vac\rangle$ , where  $|vac\rangle \equiv |0, 0\rangle$  is the Fock vacuum ( $a|vac\rangle = b|vac\rangle = 0$ ).

Excitation mode creation  $[K(\bar{\gamma}), A_\lambda] = \lambda A_\lambda$ .

For any  $\bar{\gamma} \neq 0$ ,  $\lambda = \pm 3, \pm \frac{1}{3}$ :

$$A_{-3} = b,$$

$$A_{-1} = a + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\gamma}b,$$

$$A_{+1} = a^\dagger - \frac{1}{4}\bar{\gamma}b,$$

$$A_{+3} = b^\dagger - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\gamma}a^\dagger - \frac{1}{4}\bar{\gamma}a + \frac{1}{24}\bar{\gamma}^2b.$$

## Non-vanishing commutators

$$[A_{-i}, A_j] = \delta_{ij}, \quad (i, j = 1, 3).$$

The non-hermitian operator  $K(\bar{\gamma})$  commutes with the “non-hermitian analog of the Number operator”,  $N(\bar{\gamma})$ .

$$\begin{aligned} K(\bar{\gamma}) &= 3A_3A_{-3} + A_1A_{-1} + \frac{1}{2}, \\ N(\bar{\gamma}) &= A_3A_{-3} + A_1A_{-1}, \\ [K(\bar{\gamma}), N(\bar{\gamma})] &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The Fock vacuum  $|vac\rangle$  satisfies

$$a|vac\rangle = b|vac\rangle = 0, \quad A_{-1}|vac\rangle = A_{-3}|vac\rangle = 0.$$

The Hilbert space  $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  can be spanned by both sets of (unnormalized) states,

$$\begin{aligned} |n, m\rangle &= (a^\dagger)^n(b^\dagger)^m|vac\rangle, \\ |\bar{n}, \bar{m}\rangle &= A_1^n A_3^m|vac\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $|vac\rangle = |0, 0\rangle = |\bar{0}, \bar{0}\rangle$ .

The spectrum of  $K(\bar{\gamma})$ ,  $N(\bar{\gamma})$  is real, discrete and bounded. It coincides with the spectrum of the Hamiltonian and Number operator of the decoupled harmonic oscillators.

$|\bar{n}, \bar{m}\rangle$  is an eigenvector for  $K(\bar{\gamma})$ ,  $N(\bar{\gamma})$ . The respective eigenvalues are  $n + 3m + \frac{1}{2}$  and  $n + m$ .

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right) : |\bar{0}, \bar{0}\rangle = |0, 0\rangle = |\text{vac}\rangle,$$

$$\left(\frac{3}{2}, 1\right) : |\bar{1}, \bar{0}\rangle = |1, 0\rangle,$$

$$\left(\frac{5}{2}, 2\right) : |\bar{2}, \bar{0}\rangle = |2, 0\rangle,$$

$$\left(\frac{7}{2}, 1\right) : |\bar{0}, \bar{1}\rangle = |0, 1\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\gamma}|1, 0\rangle,$$

$$\left(\frac{7}{2}, 3\right) : |\bar{3}, \bar{0}\rangle = |3, 0\rangle,$$

$$\left(\frac{9}{2}, 2\right) : |\bar{1}, \bar{1}\rangle = |1, 1\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\gamma}|2, 0\rangle - \frac{1}{4}\bar{\gamma}|0, 0\rangle,$$

$$\left(\frac{9}{2}, 4\right) : |\bar{4}, \bar{0}\rangle = |4, 0\rangle .$$

Since the operators are non-hermitian, their eigenvectors are non-orthogonal.

**Physical consequence.** Let us suppose we prepare a system in a given common eigenvector of  $K(\bar{\gamma})$ ,  $N(\bar{\gamma})$ , let's say the state  $|\bar{1}, \bar{1}\rangle$ . We can compute for instance compute the probability that in a measurement the state can be found in the vacuum state. A simple quantum mechanical computation gives for this probability  $p = |\langle_N |\bar{1}, \bar{1} | \bar{0}, \bar{0} \rangle_N|^2$  ( $|\bar{0}, \bar{0}\rangle_N$ ,  $|\bar{1}, \bar{1}\rangle_N$  are the normalized states). We obtain

$$p = \frac{\bar{\gamma}^2}{16 + 9\bar{\gamma}^2}.$$

This probability is restricted in the range  $0 \leq p < \frac{1}{9} < 1$ . The parameter  $\bar{\gamma}^2$  has measurable consequence.

Any  $\ell = \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{N}_0$ :

$$i\partial_\tau \Psi(\tau, \mathbf{x}_j) = \mathbf{H}^{(\ell)} \Psi(\tau, \mathbf{x}_j),$$

for the  $\ell$ -oscillator

$$\mathbf{H}^{(\ell)} = -\frac{1}{2m}\partial_{\mathbf{x}_1}^2 + \frac{m}{2}\mathbf{x}_1^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-\frac{1}{2}} ((2j+1)\mathbf{x}_{j+1}\partial_{\mathbf{x}_{j+1}} - i\gamma_j \mathbf{x}_j \partial_{\mathbf{x}_{j+1}}) + C$$

Spectrum:

$$E_{\vec{n}} = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell+\frac{1}{2}} \omega_j n_j + \omega_0, \quad \text{with} \quad \omega_j = (2j-1).$$

Energy modes: 1, 3, 5, 7, ...

For  $\ell = \frac{5}{2}$ :  $\pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 5$ ; sign flipping for generic  $\ell$ ? Pais-Uhlenbeck Hamiltonian:  $\omega_1, -\omega_2, \omega_3, -\omega_4, \dots$  ( $\omega_j \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\omega_{j+1} > \omega_j$ ).

## Spectrum generating off-shell invariant algebra:

$B(0, n) = \text{osp}(1|2n)$  superalgebra in terms of  $n$  bosonic generators,

$$n = \ell + \frac{1}{2}.$$

- First-order differential operators  $w_j$   
( $n$  copies of creation/annihilation operators).
- Second-order differential operators  $\{w_i, w_j\} = w_{i,j}$   
closing the  $sp(2\ell + 1)$  algebra, while  $w_{i,j}, w_j$  close  $\text{osp}(1|2\ell + 1)$ .

In the  $\ell \rightarrow \infty$  limit we obtain  $sp(\infty)$ .

The spectrum is recovered from  $\text{osp}(1|2n)$  l.w.r. .

## Conclusions

Boring extensions: centrally extended CGAs  $\ell \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $d > 1$ : several copies of the  $d = 1$  systems at given  $\ell$ .

New Features: the exceptional centrally extended CGAs at  $d = 2$  and  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$  ( $\ell = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ).

### Future investigations:

- connection with higher spin theory,
- non-linear Equations invariant under CGAs,
- Virasoro-Galilei algebra (BMS, asymptotic symmetries and soft theorems),
- ...

Thanks for the attention!