

A. Smilga

Hyper-Kähler and HKT manifolds through  
supersymmetric glasses

Dubna, SQS-2017

A review.

Also [F. Delduc + S. Fedoruk + E. Ivanov +  
A.S., in progress]

# DE RHAM COMPLEX

(1933)

$p$ -forms

$$A^{(p)} = A_{M_1 \dots M_p} dx^{M_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{M_p}$$

Exterior derivative

$$B^{(p+1)} = dA^{(p)} = \partial_N A_{M_1 \dots M_p} dx^N \wedge dx^{M_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{M_p}$$

- nilpotent:  $d^2 = 0$

Its conjugate

$$d^\dagger = *d*, \quad d^\dagger A^{(p)} = C^{(p-1)}, \quad (d^\dagger)^2 = 0$$

$$(*A^{(p)} = B^{(N-p)} \quad \text{— duality})$$

Algebra

$$d^2 = (d^\dagger)^2 = 0, \quad dd^\dagger + d^\dagger d = \Delta^{\text{cov}}$$

# SUPERSYMMETRIC INTERPRETATION

[Witten, 1982]

•  $p$ -forms  $\rightarrow$  wave functions  $\Psi(x^M, \psi^M)$  expanded in  $\psi^M$ .

$$d \rightarrow Q, \quad d^\dagger \rightarrow \bar{Q}, \quad \Delta^{\text{cov}} \rightarrow H.$$

## Supercharges

$$Q = -i\psi^M \partial_M$$

$$\bar{Q} = \bar{\psi}^M \left[ -i\partial_M + i(\partial_M g_{NP}) \psi^N \bar{\psi}^P \right]$$

with

$$\partial_M \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x^M}, \quad \bar{\psi}^M = g^{MQ} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^Q}.$$

Allows for **superspace** description

**Real superfields** (1,2,1)

$$X^M(t; \theta, \bar{\theta}) = x^M + \theta \bar{\psi}^M + \psi^M \bar{\theta} + F^M \theta \bar{\theta}$$

**Action**

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int dt d\theta d\bar{\theta} g_{MN}(X) DX^M \bar{D}X^N$$

$$\text{with } D = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + i\bar{\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad \bar{D} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}} - i\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$$

In components:

$$L = \frac{1}{2} g_{MN} \dot{x}^M \dot{x}^N + \text{fermionic terms.}$$

- Invariant under **SUSY** transformations,

$$\delta x^M = \bar{\epsilon} \bar{\psi}^M - \epsilon \psi^M, \quad \delta \psi^M = \bar{\epsilon} (i\dot{x}^M + F^M)$$

## COMPLEX MANIFOLDS

An **almost complex manifold** is an even-dimensional manifold involving a **complex structure** tensor  $I_{MN}$  with the properties

$$I_{MN} = -I_{NM}, \quad I_N^P I_P^M = -\delta_N^M$$

- An almost complex manifold is complex iff

$$\nabla_{[M} I_{N]P} = I_M^Q I_N^S \nabla_{[Q} I_{S]P}$$

(*vanishing of the **Nijenhuis tensor***)

- Then complex coordinates  $z^m$  can be globally defined.

$$ds^2 = h_{m\bar{n}} dz^m d\bar{z}^{\bar{n}}$$

with Hermitian  $h_{m\bar{n}}$ .

# MORE COMPLICATED COMPLEXES $\leftrightarrow$ EXTENDED SUPERSYMMETRIES

**Definition:**

A *Kähler manifold* is a complex manifold with

$$\nabla_P I_{MN} = \partial_P I_{MN} - \Gamma_{PM}^S I_{SN} - \Gamma_{PN}^S I_{MS} = 0.$$

- The Kähler form  $I = I_{MN} dx^M \wedge dx^N$  is closed,  $dI = 0$ .

## de Rham-Kähler complex

- Introduce  $\partial, \bar{\partial}$  - holomorphic and antiholomorphic exterior derivatives.

They satisfy the algebra

$$\partial^2 = (\partial^\dagger)^2 = \bar{\partial}^2 = (\bar{\partial}^\dagger)^2 = 0,$$

$$\{\partial, \bar{\partial}^\dagger\} = \{\bar{\partial}, \partial^\dagger\} = 0, \quad \{\partial, \partial^\dagger\} = \{\bar{\partial}, \bar{\partial}^\dagger\} = \Delta.$$

## Supersymmetric interpretation

$$\partial, \partial^\dagger \rightarrow Q_1, Q_1^\dagger; \quad \bar{\partial}, \bar{\partial}^\dagger \rightarrow Q_2, Q_2^\dagger; \quad \Delta \rightarrow H.$$

$\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetry

## Superfield formulation

In terms of (1,2,1) superfields:

Extra “hidden” symmetry

$$\delta X^M = I^M_N (\epsilon \bar{D} - \bar{\epsilon} D) X^N$$

of the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  action

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int dt d\theta d\bar{\theta} g_{MN}(X) DX^M \bar{D}X^N$$

In terms of (2,4,2) superfields:

- Introduce extended  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  superspace  $(t; \theta_\alpha, \bar{\theta}^\alpha)$ .
- Introduce *chiral* superfields  $Z^m$  ( $\bar{D}^\alpha Z^m = 0$ ).

In chiral basis,

$$Z^m = z^m + \sqrt{2}\theta_\alpha \psi^{m\alpha} + \theta^2 F^m$$

The action:

$$S = \int dt d^4\theta \mathcal{K}(Z^m, \bar{Z}^{\bar{m}}),$$

where  $\mathcal{K}$  — the **Kähler potential**.

- $h_{m\bar{n}} = \partial_m \bar{\partial}_{\bar{n}} \mathcal{K}$ ; **Any** Kähler metric can be obtained this way.

- Generic  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  models may include gauge fields.
- Bi-Kähler manifolds. [Gates + Hull + Rocek, 1984; Fedoruk+A.S., 2016]



# HYPER-KÄHLER MANIFOLDS

**Definition:** A HK manifold is a manifold admitting three different covariantly constant complex structures  $I^{(1,2,3)} \equiv \{I, J, K\}$  satisfying the quaternionic algebra

$$I^a I^b = -\delta^{ab} + \epsilon^{abc} I^c .$$

• It has dimension  $4n$ . Locally, one can always choose coordinates where

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \text{diag}(\mathcal{J}, \dots, \mathcal{J}), & J &= \text{diag}(\mathcal{J}, \dots, \mathcal{J}), \\ K &= \text{diag}(\mathcal{K}, \dots, \mathcal{K}) \end{aligned}$$

with self-dual

$$(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K})_{MN} \equiv \eta_{MN}^{a=1,2,3} \quad (\text{'t Hooft notation}).$$

$$\mathcal{K} = \eta_{MN}^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{etc.}$$

**Theorem:** [well known]

1. The holonomy group of an HK manifold of real dimension  $4n$  is  $Sp(2n) \subset SU(2n) \subset SO(4n)$ .
2. A manifold with holonomy group  $Sp(2n)$  is HK.

**Explication:**

- Choose  $(I^a)_{MN} = \text{diag}(\eta_{MN}^a, \dots, \eta_{MN}^a)$ .
- Introduce  $\Sigma_M = \text{diag}(\sigma_\mu, \dots, \sigma_\mu)$  and  $\Sigma_M^\dagger = \text{diag}(\sigma_\mu^\dagger, \dots, \sigma_\mu^\dagger)$

with

$$(\sigma_\mu)_{aj} = (\vec{\sigma}, i)_{aj} \ , \quad (\sigma_\mu^\dagger)^{ja} = (\vec{\sigma}, -i)^{ja} .$$

- Define

$$g_{aj,bk} = (\Sigma_M)_{aj} (\Sigma_N)_{bk} g^{MN}$$

Then in the flat case,  $g_{aj,bk} = \Omega_{ab} \epsilon_{jk}$

( $\Omega = \text{diag}(i\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_2)$  — **symplectic form**)

- Indices are raised and lowered by  $\Omega^{ab} = \Omega_{ab}$  and  $\epsilon^{jk} = \epsilon_{jk}$ .

• One can show that the curvature 2-form of an HK manifold is  $R_{aj,bk} = \epsilon_{jk}(\tilde{R})_{(ab)}$ .

Ergo  $(\tilde{R})_a^b \in sp(2n)$ .

• Inverse is also true.

## $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supersymmetry

- 3 complex structures  $\rightarrow$  3 extra “hidden” complex supersymmetries

$$\delta X^M = (I^a)^M{}_N (\epsilon_a \bar{D} - \bar{\epsilon}_a D) X^N \quad (1)$$

for the action

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int dt d\theta d\bar{\theta} g_{MN}(X) DX^M \bar{D}X^N. \quad (2)$$

**Theorem:** [Alvarez-Gaumé + Freedman, 1981]

*The action (2) enjoys extra symmetries (1) iff the three matrices  $I^a$  have all the properties of quaternionic covariantly constant complex structures and hence the manifold is hyper-Kähler.*

## Superfield description

- **Ordinary**  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  superspace:  $(t; \theta_{\alpha j}, \bar{\theta}^{\alpha j})$ ,  $\alpha, j = 1, 2$ .

- **Harmonic**  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  superspace:  $(t; \theta_{\alpha}^+, \bar{\theta}^{+\alpha}, \theta_{\alpha}^-, \bar{\theta}^{-\alpha})$ ,  $\theta_{\alpha}^{\pm} = \theta_{\alpha j} u^{\pm j}$  ( $u_j$  are the **harmonics**;  $u^{+j} u_j^- = 1$ ).

- **Analytic** harmonic superspace:  $\zeta \equiv (t; \theta_\alpha^+, \bar{\theta}^{+\alpha})$ .

- Introduce  $2n$  G-analytic superfields of harmonic charge  $+1$ :

$$Q^{+a}(\zeta, u) = X^{+a}(t, u) + \dots + (\theta^+)^2 (\bar{\theta}^+)^2 P^{-3a}(t, u)$$

(**harmonic charge** is an eigenvalue of  $D^0 = u^{+j} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{+j}} - u^{-j} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{-j}}$ )

- The lowest term in the  $u$ -expansion of  $X^{+a}$  is related to the coordinates on the manifold:  $X^{+a} = x^{ja} u_j^+ + \dots$

## Superfield action

[Galperin, Ivanov, Ogievetsky, Sokatchev, 1986)]

$$S = \int dt d^4\theta^+ [\Omega^{ab} Q_a^+ D^{++} Q_b^+ - 2\mathcal{L}^{+4}(Q^+, u)]$$

with

$$D^{++} = u^{+j} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{-j}} - 2i\theta^{+\alpha} \bar{\theta}_\alpha^+ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$

- $\mathcal{L}^{+4}$  is an *arbitrary* function of harmonic charge +4.

## Equations of motion

$$D^{++} Q^{+a} = \Omega^{ab} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{+4}}{\partial Q^{+b}}.$$

- Their solution allows one to exclude auxiliary fields and derive the metric.

**Theorem** [GIOS, 1988]:

*Any HK metric is obtained from an appropriate  $\mathcal{L}^{+4}$ .*

[proven by explicitly resolving the constraints  $R_{AB} \in sp(2n)$ ].

- Constraints are **complicated**. Can be analytically resolved only in rare cases.

**Example 1.** TAUB-NUT :  $\mathcal{L}^{+4} = (Q_1^+)^2(Q_2^+)^2$

- At the end of the (rather long) day one can derive

$$ds^2 = \left(1 + \frac{a}{r}\right) d\vec{x}^2 + \frac{a^2}{1 + \frac{a}{r}} (d\Psi + \cos\theta d\phi)^2$$

**Example 2.** Eguchi-Hanson:  $\mathcal{L}^{+4} = (u_1^+)^2(u_2^+)^2/(Q^{+a}u_a^-)^2$

gives

$$ds^2 = \frac{dr^2}{\left[1 - \left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^4\right]} + r^2 \left\{ \sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2 + \sigma_z^2 \left[1 - \left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^4\right] \right\}$$

## BI-HKT AND HKT MODELS

- They may be expressed in terms of N=1 (1,1,0) superfields

$$\mathcal{X}^M = x^M + \theta\psi^M$$

with real  $\theta$  and real  $\psi^M$ .

- Half as much fermionic variables!

Consider a supersymmetric action

$$S = -\frac{i}{2} \int dt d\theta g_{MN}(\mathcal{X}) \dot{\mathcal{X}}^M D\mathcal{X}^N - \frac{1}{12} \int dt d\theta C_{SPM} D\mathcal{X}^S D\mathcal{X}^P D\mathcal{X}^M, \quad (3)$$

with

$$D = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + i\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$$



It gives the component Lagrangian

$$L = \frac{1}{2}g_{MN}\dot{x}^M\dot{x}^N - \frac{i}{2}g_{MN}\left(\dot{\psi}^M + \hat{\Gamma}_{PS}^M\dot{x}^P\psi^S\right)\psi^N - \frac{1}{12}\partial_K C_{SPM}\psi^K\psi^S\psi^P\psi^M,$$

where

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{PS}^M = \Gamma_{PS}^M + \frac{1}{2}g^{MQ}C_{QPS}.$$

- $C_{QPS}$  is the torsion.

- Let the dimension of the manifold be  $4n$ .

**Theorem** [Gibbons+ Papadopoulos + Stelle, 1997]

*The action (3) is invariant with respect to three extra real supersymmetries*

$$\delta\mathcal{X}^M = \epsilon_a (I^a)^M{}_N D\mathcal{X}^N$$

*iff  $I^a$  are integrable complex structures satisfying certain extra conditions. One of them is the Clifford algebra*

$$I^a I^b + I^b I^a = -2\delta_{ab}.$$

## CKT is bi-HKT !

- Consider 3 complex structures  $I^a$  satisfying the Clifford algebra

$$I^a I^b + I^b I^a = -2\delta^{ab}.$$

- $I^a I^b \neq \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{abc} I^b I^c$

**Theorem:** [known to mathematicians]

*The closure of multiplication algebra based on  $I^a$  represents a direct sum of two quaternion algebras  $\mathcal{H}_+ + \mathcal{H}_-$ .*

**Proof:**

- Consider

$$J^a = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{abc} I^b I^c, \quad \Delta = -I^1 J^1 = -I^2 J^2 = -I^3 J^3$$

- Convince yourselves that the algebras generated by  $\{\Delta_+, I_+^a\}$  and  $\{\Delta_-, I_-^a\}$ , where  $I_\pm^a = (I^a \pm J^a)/2$  and  $\Delta_\pm = (1 \pm \Delta)/2$  are closed and are quaternionic.

**Theorem:** [Fedoruk + A.S., 2016]

*The bi-HKT complex structures can be locally chosen as*

$$\begin{aligned} I^1 &= \text{diag}(\underbrace{\mathcal{J}, \dots, \mathcal{J}}_{n^*}, \underbrace{-\mathcal{J}, \dots, -\mathcal{J}}_{m^*}), \\ I^2 &= \text{diag}(\underbrace{\mathcal{J}, \dots, \mathcal{J}}_{n^*}, \underbrace{-\mathcal{J}, \dots, -\mathcal{J}}_{m^*}), \\ I^3 &= \text{diag}(\underbrace{\mathcal{K}, \dots, \mathcal{K}}_{n^*}, \underbrace{-\mathcal{K}, \dots, -\mathcal{K}}_{m^*}). \end{aligned}$$

*with*

$$\mathcal{K} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{etc.}$$

- Two sectors.
- *Possibility to include gauge fields*

## A particular case - HKT manifolds

[ Howe + Papadopoulos, 1996 ]

- HKT — “hyper-Kähler with torsion” (in fact, not **HK** and not even **K**)

### Remark:

- For a generic complex manifold, it is still true that  $\tilde{\nabla}_P I_{MN} = 0$ , if  $\tilde{\nabla}_P$  includes **torsions**,

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{NK}^M = \Gamma_{NK}^M + \frac{1}{2}g^{ML}C_{LNK},$$

$C_{LNK} = -C_{LKN}$ . Different choices for  $\tilde{\nabla}_P$  are possible.

- There is only one such connection with totally antisymmetric  $C_{LNK}$ . It is called the **Bismut connection**. Explicitly,

$$C_{LNK}^{\text{Bis}} = I_L^P I_N^R I_K^T (\nabla_P I_{RT} + \nabla_R I_{TP} + \nabla_T I_{PR}) .$$

**Definition:**

A *HKT manifold* is a  $4n$ -dimensional manifold with three quaternionic complex structures, with the latter being covariantly constant with respect to the *Bismut* connection (required to be *one and the same* for all three complex structures)

- **The simplest example:** conformally flat 4-dim manifold

$$ds^2 = \frac{(dx^M)^2}{f^2}$$

(e.g. the **Hopf manifold**  $S^3 \times S^1$ ).

**Theorem:**

A  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetric bi-HKT manifold with the complex structures satisfying the quaternionic algebra is HKT.

## Superfield description

[Delduc + Ivanov, 2012]

- Consider  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  superspace  $(t; \theta_j, \bar{\theta}^j)$  and harmonic analytic superspace  $\zeta = (t; \theta^+, \bar{\theta}^+)$ .
- Take  $2n$  (“short”) superfields

$$q^{+a}(\zeta, u) = f^{+a}(t, u) + \theta^+ \chi^a(t, u) + \bar{\theta}^+ \bar{\chi}^a(t, u) + \theta^+ \bar{\theta}^+ A^{-a}(t, u).$$

- Impose **nonlinear constraints**

$$D^{++} q^{+a} = \mathcal{L}^{+3a}(q^+, u)$$

with arbitrary  $\mathcal{L}^{+3a}$ .

- Write the action

$$S = \int dt du d^4\theta \mathcal{L}(q^{+a}, q^{-b}, u^\pm),$$

where  $q^{-a} = D^{--} q^{+a}$

**Theorem:**

*This system defines an HKT supersymmetric  $\sigma$  model.*

**Proof :** (i) By explicit calculations of the metric and connections [Delduc+Ivanov];

(ii) From the fact that complex structures are quaternionic.

**Conjecture:**

*Any HKT metric can be derived with an appropriate choice of  $\mathcal{L}^{+3a}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$ .*

A particular case: HK

**Theorem:**

*Let*

$$\mathcal{L}^{+3a} = \Omega^{ab} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{+4}}{\partial q^{+b}}, \quad \mathcal{L} = q^{+a} q_a^- .$$

*This system defines a generic HK supersymmetric  $\sigma$  model.*



A particular case:

$$\mathcal{L}^{+3a} = \Omega^{ab} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{+4}}{\partial q^{+b}}, \quad \text{arbitrary } \mathcal{L}.$$

- A family of HKT models derived from a “stem” HK model.

- For  $\mathcal{L}^{+3a} = 0$ , one obtains the HKT models described by linear  $(4, 4, 0)$  multiplets.

[Ivanov+Lechtenfeld, 2003; Fedoruk + A.S., 2015]

The “stem” HK metric is flat in this case.

## Nontrivial $\mathcal{L}^{+3a}$

- The only known explicit example:  
[Delduc+ Valient, 1993]

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_1^{+3} &= (q_1^+)^2(q_2^+)(1 - i\rho), \\ \mathcal{L}_2^{+3} &= -(q_1^+)(q_2^+)^2(1 + i\rho).\end{aligned}$$

- $\rho = 0 \Rightarrow$  TAUB-NUT.
- Group manifolds? [ $SU(3)$  etc.]

## Obata connection

### Definition:

$$D_M^{Obata}(I^a)_{NP} = 0; \text{ no torsion}$$

- Coincides with the Levy-Civita connection for the HK manifolds.
- Generically,  $D_M^{Obata}g_{NP} \neq 0$ . Hence, vector lengths are not preserved during parallel transport. A noncompact holonomy group.
- The Obata connection depends only on  $\mathcal{L}^{+3a}$  and is the same for all HKT manifolds belonging to one and the same HK family.

### Conjecture:

*Any HKT supersymmetric sigma model with Obata-flat metric is expressible in terms of linear multiplets.*