

# $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM low-energy effective action in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ harmonic superspace

Igor B. Samsonov

INFN, Padova, Italy

SQS'11, Dubna, 22 July 2011

## References

D.V. Belyaev, I.B. Samsonov, JHEP 1104 (2011) 112, arXiv:1103.5070

D.V. Belyaev, I.B. Samsonov, arXiv:1106.0611

## The Problem

$\mathcal{N} = 4$   $d = 4$  gauge multiplet:  $\Phi = (X_A, \Psi_\alpha^N, A_m)$ ,

- $X_A$ ,  $A = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$  six real scalars,
- $\Psi_\alpha^N$ ,  $N = 1, 2, 3, 4$  four Weyl spinors
- $A_m$  one vector field with Maxwell field strength  $F_{mn}$

## Low-energy effective action

$$\Gamma = \Gamma[\Phi]$$

- In **Coulomb branch**,  $\langle X_A \rangle \neq 0$   
 $\Rightarrow$  gauge symmetry  $SU(n)$  is broken down spontaneously to  $[U(1)]^{n-1}$
- Low-energy effective action depends only on the massless fields,  
 $\Phi = (X_A, \Phi_\alpha^N, A_m)$  – massless fields
- We are interested in  $\Gamma[\Phi]$  **on-shell**, i.e., on classical equations of motion,

$$\square X_A = 0, \quad \not{\partial} \Psi^N = 0, \quad \partial^m F_{mn} = 0$$

## The Problem

Look for  $\Gamma[\Phi]$  within the derivative expansion,

$$\Gamma[\Phi] = \Gamma_0 + \Gamma_2 + \Gamma_4 + \Gamma_6 + \dots$$

$$\Gamma_0 = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}(\Phi) \equiv 0 \quad \text{no effective potential}$$

$$\Gamma_2 = S_2 = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}_2(\partial_m \Phi \partial^m \Phi) \quad \text{free classical action}$$


$$\Gamma_4 = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}_4(\partial^m \Phi \partial^n \Phi \partial^r \Phi \partial^s \Phi) \quad \text{first non-trivial quantum contribution}$$

## Our aim


Find an expression for  $\Gamma_4[\Phi]$  in some superspace, which


- 1 Depends on **all** fields  $X_A, \Psi^N, A_m$
- 2 Contains no more than four derivatives of these fields
- 3 Is explicitly  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetric
- 4 is at least scale invariant (may be superconformal)


## Different superspace approaches


-   $\mathcal{N} = 0$  No full expression for  $\Gamma_4$  is known; only some terms were found, e.g.,

$$F^4/X^4 \equiv \frac{1}{(8\pi)^2} \int d^4x \frac{(F_{mn}F^{nk}F_{kl}F^{lm} - \frac{1}{4}F_{mn}F^{mn})^2}{(X^A X^A)^2}$$

-   $\mathcal{N} = 1$  No full expression for  $\Gamma_4$  is known; only some terms were found

-   $\mathcal{N} = 2$  The complete expression for  $\Gamma_4$  was obtained by Buchbinder and Ivanov in 2002

-   $\mathcal{N} = 3$  Nothing about the effective action is known

-   $\mathcal{N} = 4$  The expression for  $\Gamma_4$  is obtained in our works

$\mathcal{N} = 2$  harmonic superspace

- $q_a^+$  hypermultiplet
- $W$  vector multiplet

 $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM free (abelian) action

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d\zeta^{(-4)} q_a^+ D^{++} q^{+a} + \frac{1}{4} \int d^4x d^4\theta W^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int d^4x d^4\bar{\theta} \bar{W}^2$$

Hidden  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetry

$$\begin{aligned} \delta W &= \bar{\epsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}a} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^- q_a^+, & \delta \bar{W} &= \epsilon^{\alpha a} D_{\alpha}^- q_a^+ \\ \delta q_a^+ &= \epsilon_a^{\beta} D_{\beta}^+ W + \bar{\epsilon}_a^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^+ \bar{W} \end{aligned}$$

Non-holomorphic potential [Dine and Seiberg 1997; Seiberg 1998]

$$\int d^4x d^8\theta \ln W \ln \bar{W}$$

Unique  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetric generalization of this expression is

$$\Gamma_4 = c \int d^4x d^8\theta du \left[ \ln W \ln \bar{W} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2(n+1)} \left( -\frac{q^{+a} q_a^-}{W \bar{W}} \right)^n \right]$$

- This is **full** expression for the four-derivative part of the effective action, i.e., it contains all component fields and is  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetric
- This expression was obtained latter in [Buchbinder and Pletnev 2004-2006] using direct quantum computations in the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  harmonic superspace
- The coefficient  $c = 1/(8\pi)^2$  in the case of gauge group  $SU(2)$  spontaneously broken down  $U(1)$
- In components it contains, in particular, the  $F^4/X^4$  term in the  $SO(6)$  covariant form

Our aim:

To find an analog of this action in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  **harmonic** superspace

Standard  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  superfield strengths  $W^{IJ} = -W^{JI}$ ,  $I, J = 1, 2, 3, 4$

$$D_{\alpha}^I W^{JK} + D_{\alpha}^J W^{IK} = 0, \quad \bar{W}_{IJ} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{IJKL} W^{KL} \quad (1)$$

### SU(4) harmonics [Hartwell, Howe 1995]

- Introduce harmonic variables  $u_I^{(p,q,r)}$  which belong to a coset  $SU(4)/H$  where  $H$  is a subgroup of  $SU(4)$ , e.g.,  $U(1) \times U(1) \times U(1)$
- Rotate the  $SU(4)$  indices of  $W^{IJ}$  with these harmonics,  $W^{IJ} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{(p,q,r)}$
- For these rotated superfield strengths  $\mathcal{W}^{(p,q,r)}$  obtain full set of constraints which are equivalent to (1)
  - ⇒ Grassmann analyticity
  - ⇒ Harmonic analyticity
- Under these constraints, all auxiliary fields vanish while the physical fields obey the free equations of motion

$$\square X_A = 0, \quad \not{\partial} \Psi^N = 0, \quad \partial^m F_{mn} = 0$$

$$D_\alpha^I W^{JK} + D_\alpha^J W^{IK} = 0, \quad \bar{W}_{IJ} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{IJKL} W^{KL}$$

## USp(4) harmonics variables [Buchbinder, Lechtenfeld, Samsonov 2008]

- Introduce the harmonic variables  $u_I^{(\pm,0)}$ ,  $u_I^{(0,\pm)}$  which belong to the coset USp(4)/[U(1)×U(1)]
- Contract the superfield strengths with harmonics, e.g.,

$$\mathcal{W} = W^{IJ} (u_I^{(+,0)} u_J^{(-,0)} + u_I^{(0,+)} u_J^{(0,-)})$$

Obtain six independent superfields,

$$W^{IJ} \rightarrow (\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}', \mathcal{W}^{(+,+)}, \mathcal{W}^{(-,-)}, \mathcal{W}^{(+,-)}, \mathcal{W}^{(-,+)})$$

- Rewrite the initial constraints in terms of these new superfields.
  - ⇒ Grassmann analyticity
  - ⇒ Harmonic analyticity
- These constraints eliminate all auxiliary fields from  $\mathcal{W}$  and put the physical component field on shell.



Most general four-derivative action with  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  chargeless superfield

$$\int d^4x d^8\theta du H(\mathcal{W})$$

$H(\mathcal{W})$  is some function of  $\mathcal{W}$  without derivatives

Note that  $d^8\theta \sim (D)^8 \sim (\partial_m)^4$

Require scale invariance:

- $d^4x d^8\theta$  is dimensionless  $\Rightarrow H(\mathcal{W})$  is dimensionless, but  $[\mathcal{W}] = 1$
- Hence,  $H(\mathcal{W}) = H(\mathcal{W}/\Lambda)$ ,  $[\Lambda] = 1$ ,  $\Lambda = \text{const}$
- Scale invariance imply

$$\Lambda \frac{d}{d\Lambda} H(\mathcal{W}/\Lambda) = \text{const} \quad \Rightarrow \quad H(\mathcal{W}/\Lambda) = c \ln \frac{\mathcal{W}}{\Lambda}$$

Our main result

$$\Gamma_4 = c \int d^4x d^8\theta du \ln \frac{\mathcal{W}}{\Lambda}$$

SU(4) index  $I \longrightarrow (i, a) - \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2)$  indices

$$W^{IJ} \longrightarrow (W^{(ij)}, W^{(ab)})$$

- Introduce the SU(2) harmonic variables  $u_i^\pm$  and  $v_a^\pm$  which belong to two SU(2)
- Contract the superfield strengths with harmonics, e.g.,

$$\mathcal{W} = W^{ij} u_i^+ u_j^- - W^{ab} v_a^+ v_b^-$$

Obtain six independent superfields,

$$W^{IJ} \rightarrow (\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}', \mathcal{W}^{(++),0}, \mathcal{W}^{(--),0}, \mathcal{W}^{(0,++)}, \mathcal{W}^{(0,--)})$$

- Rewrite the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM constraints in terms of these new superfields.
  - ⇒ Grassmann analyticity
  - ⇒ Harmonic analyticity
- These constraints eliminate all auxiliary fields from  $\mathcal{W}$  and put the physical component field on shell.
- Scale invariant action

$$\Gamma_4 = c \int d^4x d^8\theta dudv \ln \frac{\mathcal{W}}{\Lambda}$$

There are three different forms of  $\Gamma_4$ :

- 1 Buchbinder-Ivanov action, has  $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$  explicit R-symmetry
- 2 Our action in  $USp(4)$  harmonic superspace
- 3 Our action in  $SU(2) \times SU(2)$  harmonic superspace

## Question:

Is there a superspace which makes manifest full  $SU(4)$  R-symmetry in the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM effective action?

## Our answer:

No. In any four-dimensional superspace only a subgroup of  $SU(4)$  can be manifest in the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM effective action

The  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM effective action contains the **Wess-Zumino term** for the six scalar fields [Tseytlin, Zarembo 2000]

$$S_{WZ} = -\frac{1}{60\pi^2} \varepsilon^{MNKLP} \varepsilon^{ABCDEF} \int d^5x \frac{X_A \partial_M X_B \partial_N X_C \partial_K X_D \partial_L X_E \partial_P X_F}{|X|^6}$$

- The Wess-Zumino action has manifest **SO(6) symmetry** only in the five-dimensional formulation.
- It can be rewritten in the four-dimensional form as soon as the WZ action is the integral of the **exact differential form**

$$S_{WZ} = \int_{M_5} \Omega_5 = \int_{M_5} d\omega_4 = \int_{\partial M_5} \omega_4$$

The form  $\omega_4$  is invariant only under one of the following three subgroups of SO(6):

- 1 SO(5)  $\simeq$  USp(4) ( $\mathcal{N} = 4$  USp(4) harmonic superspace action)
- 2 SO(4)  $\times$  SO(2)  $\simeq$  SU(2)  $\times$  SU(2)  $\times$  U(1) ( $\mathcal{N} = 2$  Buchbinder-Ivanov action)
- 3 SO(3)  $\times$  SO(3)  $\simeq$  SU(2)  $\times$  SU(2) ( $\mathcal{N} = 4$  bi-harmonic superspace action)

## Results:

- 1 The four-derivative part  $\Gamma_4$  of the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM effective action is constructed in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  harmonic superspace in manifestly  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetric form
- 2 Although the effective action has  $SO(6)$  R-symmetry, only one of the three subgroups  $SO(5)$ ,  $SO(4) \times SO(2)$  or  $SO(3) \times SO(3)$  can be manifest in a four-dimensional formulation.

## Open problems:

- $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM effective action in  $\mathcal{N} = 0, 1, 3$  superspaces
- $F^6$  and other higher-order contributions to the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM action and its comparison with the D3 brane action in the  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  background