

Stringy Differential Geometry, beyond Riemann

Supersymmetries & Quantum Symmetries - SQS'2011, Dubna

Jeong-Hyuck Park

Sogang University, Seoul

Prologue

- In Riemannian geometry, the fundamental object is the metric, $g_{\mu\nu}$.
- String theory puts $g_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$ and ϕ on an equal footing.
- This may suggest the existence of a veiled unifying description of them, beyond Riemann.

Prologue

- In Riemannian geometry, the fundamental object is the metric, $g_{\mu\nu}$.
- String theory puts $g_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$ and ϕ on an equal footing.
- This may suggest the existence of a veiled unifying description of them, beyond Riemann.

Prologue

- In Riemannian geometry, the fundamental object is the metric, $g_{\mu\nu}$.
- String theory puts $g_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$ and ϕ on an equal footing.
- This may suggest the existence of a veiled unifying description of them, beyond Riemann.

Talk is based on works

in collaboration with Imtak Jeon and Kanghoon Lee

- **Differential geometry with a projection:
Application to double field theory**

JHEP 1104:014 (2011), arXiv:1011.1324

- **Double field formulation of Yang-Mills theory**

Phys. Lett. B 701:260 (2011), arXiv:1102.0419

- **Stringy differential geometry, beyond Riemann**

arXiv: 1105.6294

Symmetry

- guides the structure of Lagrangians.
- organizes the physical laws into simple forms.
- for example, in Maxwell theory,
 - U(1) gauge symmetry forbids $m^2 A_\mu A^\mu$
 - Lorentz symmetry unifies the original 4 eqs into 2.

Symmetry

- guides the structure of Lagrangians.
- organizes the physical laws into simple forms.
- for example, in Maxwell theory,
 - U(1) gauge symmetry forbids $m^2 A_\mu A^\mu$
 - Lorentz symmetry unifies the original 4 eqs into 2.

Symmetry

- guides the structure of Lagrangians.
- organizes the physical laws into simple forms.
- for example, in Maxwell theory,
 - U(1) gauge symmetry forbids $m^2 A_\mu A^\mu$
 - Lorentz symmetry unifies the original 4 eqs into 2.

Symmetry

- guides the structure of Lagrangians.
- organizes the physical laws into simple forms.
- for example, in Maxwell theory,
 - U(1) gauge symmetry forbids $m^2 A_\mu A^\mu$
 - Lorentz symmetry unifies the original 4 eqs into 2.

Essence of Riemannian geometry

- Diffeomorphism: $\partial_\mu \longrightarrow \nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + \Gamma_\mu$
- $\nabla_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda\rho} (\partial_\mu g_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu g_{\mu\rho} - \partial_\rho g_{\mu\nu})$
- Curvature: $[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu] \longrightarrow R_g$.

Essence of Riemannian geometry

- Diffeomorphism: $\partial_\mu \longrightarrow \nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + \Gamma_\mu$
- $\nabla_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\rho}(\partial_\mu g_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu g_{\mu\rho} - \partial_\rho g_{\mu\nu})$
- Curvature: $[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu] \longrightarrow R_g$.

Essence of Riemannian geometry

- Diffeomorphism: $\partial_\mu \longrightarrow \nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + \Gamma_\mu$
- $\nabla_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\rho}(\partial_\mu g_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu g_{\mu\rho} - \partial_\rho g_{\mu\nu})$
- Curvature: $[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu] \longrightarrow R_g$.

Closed string

- $g_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$, ϕ are on an equal footing completing the massless sector.
- Low energy effective action of them:

$$S_{\text{eff.}} = \int dX^D \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} (R_g + 4\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi - \frac{1}{12}H_{\lambda\mu\nu}H^{\lambda\mu\nu})$$

- Diffeomorphism and one-form gauge symmetry are manifest

$$x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu + \delta x^\mu, \quad B_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow B_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu\Lambda_\nu - \partial_\nu\Lambda_\mu.$$

Closed string

- $g_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$, ϕ are on an equal footing completing the massless sector.
- Low energy effective action of them:

$$S_{\text{eff.}} = \int dx^D \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \left(R_g + 4\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{12} H_{\lambda\mu\nu} H^{\lambda\mu\nu} \right)$$

- Diffeomorphism and one-form gauge symmetry are manifest

$$x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu + \delta x^\mu, \quad B_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow B_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu \Lambda_\nu - \partial_\nu \Lambda_\mu.$$

Closed string

- $g_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$, ϕ are on an equal footing completing the massless sector.
- Low energy effective action of them:

$$S_{\text{eff.}} = \int dx^D \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \left(R_g + 4\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{12} H_{\lambda\mu\nu} H^{\lambda\mu\nu} \right)$$

- Diffeomorphism and one-form gauge symmetry are manifest

$$x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu + \delta x^\mu, \quad B_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow B_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu \Lambda_\nu - \partial_\nu \Lambda_\mu.$$

Closed string

- $g_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$, ϕ are on an equal footing completing the massless sector.
- Low energy effective action of them:

$$S_{\text{eff.}} = \int dx^D \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \left(R_g + 4\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{12} H_{\lambda\mu\nu} H^{\lambda\mu\nu} \right)$$

- Though not manifest, this enjoys T-duality which mixes $\{g_{\mu\nu}, B_{\mu\nu}, \phi\}$
Buscher

Closed string

- $g_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$, ϕ are on an equal footing completing the massless sector.
- Low energy effective action of them:

$$S_{\text{eff.}} = \int dx^D \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \left(R_g + 4\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{12} H_{\lambda\mu\nu} H^{\lambda\mu\nu} \right)$$

- Though not manifest, this enjoys T-duality which mixes $\{g_{\mu\nu}, B_{\mu\nu}, \phi\}$
Buscher

- Redefine the dilaton,

$$e^{-2d} = \sqrt{-g}e^{-2\phi}$$

- Set a $2D \times 2D$ symmetric matrix,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{-1} & -g^{-1}B \\ Bg^{-1} & g - Bg^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}$$

- A, B, \dots : $2D$ -dimensional vector indices.

- T-duality is realized by an $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ rotation,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} \longrightarrow L_A^C L_B^D \mathcal{H}_{CD}, \quad d \longrightarrow d,$$

where

$$L \in \mathbf{O}(D, D).$$

- $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ metric,

$$\mathcal{J}_{AB} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

freely raises or lowers the $2D$ -dimensional vector indices.

Double Field Theory (DFT)

- Hull and Zwiebach, later with Hohm

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{DFT}} = \int d\mathbf{y}^{2D} e^{-2d} \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}, d),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}, d) = & \mathcal{H}^{AB} (4\partial_A \partial_B d - 4\partial_A d \partial_B d + \frac{1}{8} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_B \mathcal{H}_{CD} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{BD}) \\ & + 4\partial_A \mathcal{H}^{AB} \partial_B d - \partial_A \partial_B \mathcal{H}^{AB}. \end{aligned}$$

- Spacetime is formally doubled, $y^A = (\tilde{x}_\mu, x^\nu)$.
- Yet,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}_\mu} \equiv 0.$$

Double Field Theory (DFT)

- Hull and Zwiebach, later with Hohm

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{DFT}} = \int d\mathbf{y}^{2D} e^{-2d} \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}, d),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}, d) = & \mathcal{H}^{AB} (4\partial_A \partial_B d - 4\partial_A d \partial_B d + \frac{1}{8} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_B \mathcal{H}_{CD} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{BD}) \\ & + 4\partial_A \mathcal{H}^{AB} \partial_B d - \partial_A \partial_B \mathcal{H}^{AB}. \end{aligned}$$

- Spacetime is formally doubled, $y^A = (\tilde{x}_\mu, x^\nu)$.
- Yet,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}_\mu} \equiv 0.$$

Double Field Theory (DFT)

- Hull and Zwiebach, later with Hohm

$$S_{\text{DFT}} = \int dy^{2D} e^{-2d} \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}, d),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}, d) = & \mathcal{H}^{AB} (4\partial_A \partial_B d - 4\partial_A d \partial_B d + \frac{1}{8} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_B \mathcal{H}_{CD} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{BD}) \\ & + 4\partial_A \mathcal{H}^{AB} \partial_B d - \partial_A \partial_B \mathcal{H}^{AB}. \end{aligned}$$

- Spacetime is formally doubled, $y^A = (\tilde{x}_\mu, x^\nu)$.
- More covariantly,

$$\partial_A \partial^A \Phi = 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{x}_\mu \partial x^\mu} \Phi \equiv 0, \quad \partial_A \Phi_1 \partial^A \Phi_2 \equiv 0.$$

Double Field Theory (DFT)

- Hull and Zwiebach, later with Hohm

$$S_{\text{DFT}} = \int dy^{2D} e^{-2d} \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}, d),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}, d) = & \mathcal{H}^{AB} (4\partial_A \partial_B d - 4\partial_A d \partial_B d + \frac{1}{8} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_B \mathcal{H}_{CD} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{BD}) \\ & + 4\partial_A \mathcal{H}^{AB} \partial_B d - \partial_A \partial_B \mathcal{H}^{AB}. \end{aligned}$$

- Spacetime is formally doubled, $y^A = (\tilde{x}_\mu, x^\nu)$.
- More covariantly,

$$\partial_A \partial^A \Phi = 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{x}_\mu \partial x^\mu} \Phi \equiv 0, \quad \partial_A \Phi_1 \partial^A \Phi_2 \equiv 0.$$

Double Field Theory (DFT)

- Closed string

$$X_L(\sigma^+) = \frac{1}{2}(x + \tilde{x}) + \frac{1}{2}(p + w)\sigma^+ + \dots,$$

$$X_R(\sigma^-) = \frac{1}{2}(x - \tilde{x}) + \frac{1}{2}(p - w)\sigma^- + \dots.$$

- Under T-duality,

$$X_L + X_R \longrightarrow X_L - X_R,$$

such that

$$(x, \tilde{x}, p, w) \longrightarrow (\tilde{x}, x, w, p).$$

- Level matching condition for the massless sector,

$$p \cdot w \equiv 0 \iff \partial_A \partial^A = 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{x}_\mu \partial x^\mu} \equiv 0.$$

Double Field Theory (DFT)

- Closed string

$$X_L(\sigma^+) = \frac{1}{2}(x + \tilde{x}) + \frac{1}{2}(p + w)\sigma^+ + \dots,$$

$$X_R(\sigma^-) = \frac{1}{2}(x - \tilde{x}) + \frac{1}{2}(p - w)\sigma^- + \dots.$$

- Under T-duality,

$$X_L + X_R \longrightarrow X_L - X_R,$$

such that

$$(x, \tilde{x}, p, w) \longrightarrow (\tilde{x}, x, w, p).$$

- Level matching condition for the massless sector,

$$p \cdot w \equiv 0 \iff \partial_A \partial^A = 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{x}_\mu \partial x^\mu} \equiv 0.$$

Double Field Theory (DFT)

- Upon the level matching constraint,

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{DFT}} \implies \mathcal{S}_{\text{eff.}} = \int dx^D \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \left(R_g + 4(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{12} H^2 \right).$$

Double Field Theory (DFT)

- Thus, in the DFT formulation of the effective action by Hull, Zwiebach and Hohm, the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality structure is manifest.
- *What about the diffeomorphism and the one-form gauge symmetry?*

Double Field Theory (DFT)

- Thus, in the DFT formulation of the effective action by Hull, Zwiebach and Hohm, the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality structure is manifest.

- *What about the diffeomorphism and the one-form gauge symmetry?*

Diffeomorphism & one-form gauge symmetry

- Introduce a unifying parameter,

$$X^A = (\Lambda_\mu, \delta x^\nu)$$

- Unifying transformation rule, upon the level matching constraint,

$$\delta_X \mathcal{H}_{AB} \equiv X^C \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{AB} + 2\partial_{[A} X_{C]} \mathcal{H}^C_B + 2\partial_{[B} X_{C]} \mathcal{H}_A^C,$$

$$\delta_X (e^{-2d}) \equiv \partial_A (X^A e^{-2d}).$$

- In fact, these coincide with the generalized Lie derivative,

$$\delta_X \mathcal{H}_{AB} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X \mathcal{H}_{AB}, \quad \delta_X (e^{-2d}) = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X (e^{-2d}) = -2(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X d) e^{-2d}.$$

Diffeomorphism & one-form gauge symmetry

- Introduce a unifying parameter,

$$X^A = (\Lambda_\mu, \delta x^\nu)$$

- Unifying transformation rule, upon the level matching constraint,

$$\delta_X \mathcal{H}_{AB} \equiv X^C \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{AB} + 2\partial_{[A} X_{C]} \mathcal{H}^C_B + 2\partial_{[B} X_{C]} \mathcal{H}_A^C,$$

$$\delta_X (e^{-2d}) \equiv \partial_A (X^A e^{-2d}).$$

- In fact, these coincide with the generalized Lie derivative,

$$\delta_X \mathcal{H}_{AB} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X \mathcal{H}_{AB}, \quad \delta_X (e^{-2d}) = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X (e^{-2d}) = -2(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X d) e^{-2d}.$$

Generalized Lie derivative

- Definition, Siegel, Courant, Grana ...

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X T_{A_1 \dots A_n} := X^B \partial_B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \omega \partial_B X^B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n (\partial_{A_i} X_B - \partial_B X_{A_i}) T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1}{}^B{}_{A_{i+1} \dots A_n}.$$

- *cf.* ordinary one,

$$\mathcal{L}_X T_{A_1 \dots A_n} := X^B \partial_B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \omega \partial_B X^B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{A_i} X_B T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1}{}^B{}_{A_{i+1} \dots A_n}.$$

- Commutator of the generalized Lie derivatives,

$$[\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X, \hat{\mathcal{L}}_Y] \equiv \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{[X, Y]_{\mathcal{C}}},$$

where $[X, Y]_{\mathcal{C}}$ denotes the Courant bracket,

$$[X, Y]_{\mathcal{C}}^A := X^B \partial_B Y^A - Y^B \partial_B X^A + \frac{1}{2} Y^B \partial^A X_B - \frac{1}{2} X^B \partial^A Y_B.$$

Generalized Lie derivative

- Definition, Siegel, Courant, Grana ...

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X T_{A_1 \dots A_n} := X^B \partial_B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \omega \partial_B X^B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n (\partial_{A_i} X_B - \partial_B X_{A_i}) T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1}{}^B A_{i+1} \dots A_n}.$$

- *cf.* ordinary one,

$$\mathcal{L}_X T_{A_1 \dots A_n} := X^B \partial_B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \omega \partial_B X^B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{A_i} X_B T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1}{}^B A_{i+1} \dots A_n}.$$

- Commutator of the generalized Lie derivatives,

$$[\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X, \hat{\mathcal{L}}_Y] \equiv \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{[X, Y]_{\mathcal{C}}},$$

where $[X, Y]_{\mathcal{C}}$ denotes the Courant bracket,

$$[X, Y]_{\mathcal{C}}^A := X^B \partial_B Y^A - Y^B \partial_B X^A + \frac{1}{2} Y^B \partial^A X_B - \frac{1}{2} X^B \partial^A Y_B.$$

Generalized Lie derivative

- Definition, Siegel, Courant, Grana ...

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X T_{A_1 \dots A_n} := X^B \partial_B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \omega \partial_B X^B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n (\partial_{A_i} X_B - \partial_B X_{A_i}) T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1}{}^B A_{i+1} \dots A_n}.$$

- *cf.* ordinary one,

$$\mathcal{L}_X T_{A_1 \dots A_n} := X^B \partial_B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \omega \partial_B X^B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{A_i} X_B T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1}{}^B A_{i+1} \dots A_n}.$$

- Commutator of the generalized Lie derivatives,

$$[\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X, \hat{\mathcal{L}}_Y] \equiv \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{[X, Y]_{\mathbf{C}}},$$

where $[X, Y]_{\mathbf{C}}$ denotes the Courant bracket,

$$[X, Y]_{\mathbf{C}}^A := X^B \partial_B Y^A - Y^B \partial_B X^A + \frac{1}{2} Y^B \partial^A X_B - \frac{1}{2} X^B \partial^A Y_B.$$

Diffeomorphism & one-form gauge symmetry

- **Brute force computation** shows that

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X \mathcal{H}_{AB} \equiv X^C \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{AB} + 2\partial_{[A} X_{C]} \mathcal{H}^C{}_B + 2\partial_{[B} X_{C]} \mathcal{H}_A{}^C,$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X (e^{-2d}) \equiv \partial_A (X^A e^{-2d}),$$

are symmetry of the action by Hull, Zwiebach and Hohm,

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{DFT}} = \int d\mathbf{y}^{2D} e^{-2d} \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}, d),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}, d) = & \mathcal{H}^{AB} (4\partial_A \partial_B d - 4\partial_A d \partial_B d + \frac{1}{8} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_B \mathcal{H}_{CD} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{BD}) \\ & + 4\partial_A \mathcal{H}^{AB} \partial_B d - \partial_A \partial_B \mathcal{H}^{AB}. \end{aligned}$$

- This expression may be analogous to the case of writing the scalar curvature, R_g , in terms of the metric and its derivative.

Diffeomorphism & one-form gauge symmetry

- **Brute force computation** shows that

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X \mathcal{H}_{AB} \equiv X^C \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{AB} + 2\partial_{[A} X_{C]} \mathcal{H}^C{}_B + 2\partial_{[B} X_{C]} \mathcal{H}_A{}^C,$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X (e^{-2d}) \equiv \partial_A (X^A e^{-2d}),$$

are symmetry of the action by Hull, Zwiebach and Hohm,

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{DFT}} = \int d\mathbf{y}^{2D} e^{-2d} \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}, d),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}, d) = & \mathcal{H}^{AB} (4\partial_A \partial_B d - 4\partial_A d \partial_B d + \frac{1}{8} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_B \mathcal{H}_{CD} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{BD}) \\ & + 4\partial_A \mathcal{H}^{AB} \partial_B d - \partial_A \partial_B \mathcal{H}^{AB}. \end{aligned}$$

- **This expression may be analogous to the case of writing the scalar curvature, \mathcal{R}_g , in terms of the metric and its derivative.**

Diffeomorphism & one-form gauge symmetry

- Brute force computation shows that

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X \mathcal{H}_{AB} \equiv X^C \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{AB} + 2\partial_{[A} X_{C]} \mathcal{H}^C{}_B + 2\partial_{[B} X_{C]} \mathcal{H}_A{}^C,$$
$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X (e^{-2d}) \equiv \partial_A (X^A e^{-2d}),$$

are symmetry of the action by Hull, Zwiebach and Hohm,

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{DFT}} = \int d^{2D} y e^{-2d} \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}, d),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}, d) = & \mathcal{H}^{AB} (4\partial_A \partial_B d - 4\partial_A d \partial_B d + \frac{1}{8} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_B \mathcal{H}_{CD} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{BD}) \\ & + 4\partial_A \mathcal{H}^{AB} \partial_B d - \partial_A \partial_B \mathcal{H}^{AB}. \end{aligned}$$

- What is the underlying geometry?

Diffeomorphism & one-form gauge symmetry

- Brute force computation shows that

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X \mathcal{H}_{AB} \equiv X^C \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{AB} + 2\partial_{[A} X_{C]} \mathcal{H}^C{}_B + 2\partial_{[B} X_{C]} \mathcal{H}_A{}^C,$$
$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X (e^{-2d}) \equiv \partial_A (X^A e^{-2d}),$$

are symmetry of the action by Hull, Zwiebach and Hohm,

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{DFT}} = \int d^{2D}y e^{-2d} \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}, d),$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}, d) = \mathcal{H}^{AB} (4\partial_A \partial_B d - 4\partial_A d \partial_B d + \frac{1}{8} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_B \mathcal{H}_{CD} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{BD})$$
$$+ 4\partial_A \mathcal{H}^{AB} \partial_B d - \partial_A \partial_B \mathcal{H}^{AB}.$$

- What is the underlying geometry?

Stringy differential geometry

We propose a novel differential geometry which

- treats the three objects of the massless sector in a unified manner,
- manifests not only diffeomorphism and one-form gauge symmetry but also $O(D, D)$ T-duality,
- and enables us to rewrite the low energy effective action of them as a single term,

$$S_{\text{eff.}} = \int dx^D e^{-2d} \mathcal{H}^{AB} S_{AB}.$$

Stringy differential geometry

We propose a novel differential geometry which

- **treats the three objects of the massless sector in a unified manner,**
- manifests not only diffeomorphism and one-form gauge symmetry but also $O(D, D)$ T-duality,
- and enables us to rewrite the low energy effective action of them as a single term,

$$S_{\text{eff.}} = \int dx^D e^{-2d} \mathcal{H}^{AB} S_{AB}.$$

Stringy differential geometry

We propose a novel differential geometry which

- **treats the three objects of the massless sector in a unified manner,**
- **manifests not only diffeomorphism and one-form gauge symmetry but also $O(D, D)$ T-duality,**
- and enables us to rewrite the low energy effective action of them as a single term,

$$S_{\text{eff.}} = \int dx^D e^{-2d} \mathcal{H}^{AB} S_{AB}.$$

Stringy differential geometry

We propose a novel differential geometry which

- treats the three objects of the massless sector in a unified manner,
- manifests not only diffeomorphism and one-form gauge symmetry but also $O(D, D)$ T-duality,
- and enables us to rewrite the low energy effective action of them as a single term,

$$S_{\text{eff.}} = \int dx^D e^{-2d} \mathcal{H}^{AB} S_{AB}.$$

Stringy differential geometry

- Motivated by the observation that,

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{-1} & -g^{-1}B \\ Bg^{-1} & g - Bg^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}$$

is of the most general form to satisfy

$$\mathcal{H}_A{}^C \mathcal{H}_C{}^B = \delta_A{}^B, \quad \mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{H}_{BA},$$

and the upper left $D \times D$ block of \mathcal{H} is non-degenerate,

- we focus on a symmetric projection,

$$P_A{}^B P_B{}^C = P_A{}^C \quad P_{AB} = P_{BA},$$

which is related to \mathcal{H} by

$$P_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{J}_{AB} + \mathcal{H}_{AB}).$$

Stringy differential geometry

- Motivated by the observation that,

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{-1} & -g^{-1}B \\ Bg^{-1} & g - Bg^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}$$

is of the most general form to satisfy

$$\mathcal{H}_A{}^C \mathcal{H}_C{}^B = \delta_A{}^B, \quad \mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{H}_{BA},$$

and the upper left $D \times D$ block of \mathcal{H} is non-degenerate,

- we focus on a symmetric projection,

$$P_A{}^B P_B{}^C = P_A{}^C \quad P_{AB} = P_{BA},$$

which is related to \mathcal{H} by

$$P_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{J}_{AB} + \mathcal{H}_{AB}).$$

Stringy differential geometry

- Three basic objects:

$$\mathcal{J}_{AB}, \quad P_{AB}, \quad d.$$

- We postulate a “semi-covariant” derivative, ∇_A ,

$$\nabla_C T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} = \partial_C T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} - \omega \Gamma^B{}_{BC} T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_{CA_i}{}^B T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1} B A_{i+1} \dots A_n}.$$

- In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_C (e^{-2d}) &= \partial_C e^{-2d} - \Gamma^B{}_{BC} e^{-2d} = -2(\nabla_C d) e^{-2d} \\ \implies \nabla_C d &:= \partial_C d + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^B{}_{BC} \end{aligned}$$

Stringy differential geometry

- Three basic objects:

$$\mathcal{J}_{AB}, \quad P_{AB}, \quad d.$$

- We postulate a “semi-covariant” derivative, ∇_A ,

$$\nabla_C T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} = \partial_C T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} - \omega \Gamma^B_{BC} T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_{CA_i}^B T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1} B A_{i+1} \dots A_n}.$$

- In particular,

$$\nabla_C (e^{-2d}) = \partial_C e^{-2d} - \Gamma^B_{BC} e^{-2d} = -2(\nabla_C d) e^{-2d}$$

$$\implies \nabla_C d := \partial_C d + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^B_{BC}$$

Stringy differential geometry

- Three basic objects:

$$\mathcal{J}_{AB}, \quad P_{AB}, \quad d.$$

- We postulate a “semi-covariant” derivative, ∇_A ,

$$\nabla_C T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} = \partial_C T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} - \omega \Gamma^B{}_{BC} T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_{CA_i}{}^B T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1} B A_{i+1} \dots A_n}.$$

- In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_C (e^{-2d}) &= \partial_C e^{-2d} - \Gamma^B{}_{BC} e^{-2d} = -2(\nabla_C d) e^{-2d} \\ \implies \nabla_C d &:= \partial_C d + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^B{}_{BC} \end{aligned}$$

Stringy differential geometry

- We demand the following compatibility conditions,

$$\nabla_A \mathcal{J}_{BC} = 0, \quad \nabla_A P_{BC} = 0, \quad \nabla_A d = 0,$$

as for the unifying description of the massless modes

(*cf.* $\nabla_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0$ in Riemannian geometry).

- Further we require,

$$\Gamma_{CAB} + \Gamma_{CBA} = 0, \quad \Gamma_{ABC} + \Gamma_{CAB} + \Gamma_{BCA} = 0.$$

- The connection must be torsionful : non-Riemannian.

Stringy differential geometry

- We demand the following compatibility conditions,

$$\nabla_A \mathcal{J}_{BC} = 0, \quad \nabla_A P_{BC} = 0, \quad \nabla_A d = 0,$$

as for the unifying description of the massless modes

(*cf.* $\nabla_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0$ in Riemannian geometry).

- Further we require,

$$\Gamma_{CAB} + \Gamma_{CBA} = 0, \quad \Gamma_{ABC} + \Gamma_{CAB} + \Gamma_{BCA} = 0.$$

- The connection must be torsionful : non-Riemannian.

Stringy differential geometry

- We demand the following compatibility conditions,

$$\nabla_A \mathcal{J}_{BC} = 0, \quad \nabla_A P_{BC} = 0, \quad \nabla_A d = 0,$$

as for the unifying description of the massless modes

(*cf.* $\nabla_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0$ in Riemannian geometry).

- Further we require,

$$\Gamma_{CAB} + \Gamma_{CBA} = 0, \quad \Gamma_{ABC} + \Gamma_{CAB} + \Gamma_{BCA} = 0.$$

- The connection must be torsionful : non-Riemannian.

Stringy differential geometry

- Then, we may replace ∂_A by ∇_A in $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X$ and also in $[X, Y]_{\mathcal{C}}^A$,

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X T_{A_1 \dots A_n} = X^B \nabla_B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \omega \nabla_B X^B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n 2 \nabla_{[A_i} X_{B]} T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1}{}^B A_{i+1} \dots A_n},$$

$$[X, Y]_{\mathcal{C}}^A = X^B \nabla_B Y^A - Y^B \nabla_B X^A + \frac{1}{2} Y^B \nabla^A X_B - \frac{1}{2} X^B \nabla^A Y_B.$$

- *cf.* In Riemannian geometry, torsion free condition implies

$$\mathcal{L}_X T_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} = X^\nu \nabla_\nu T_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} + \omega \nabla_\nu X^\nu T_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{\mu_i} X^\nu T_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{i-1} \nu \mu_{i+1} \dots \mu_n},$$

$$[X, Y]^\mu = X^\nu \nabla_\nu Y^\mu - Y^\nu \nabla_\nu X^\mu.$$

Stringy differential geometry

- Then, we may replace ∂_A by ∇_A in $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X$ and also in $[X, Y]_{\mathcal{C}}^A$,

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X T_{A_1 \dots A_n} = X^B \nabla_B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \omega \nabla_B X^B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n 2 \nabla_{[A_i} X_{B]} T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1}{}^B A_{i+1} \dots A_n},$$

$$[X, Y]_{\mathcal{C}}^A = X^B \nabla_B Y^A - Y^B \nabla_B X^A + \frac{1}{2} Y^B \nabla^A X_B - \frac{1}{2} X^B \nabla^A Y_B.$$

- *cf.* In Riemannian geometry, torsion free condition implies

$$\mathcal{L}_X T_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} = X^\nu \nabla_\nu T_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} + \omega \nabla_\nu X^\nu T_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{\mu_i} X^\nu T_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{i-1} \nu \mu_{i+1} \dots \mu_n},$$

$$[X, Y]^\mu = X^\nu \nabla_\nu Y^\mu - Y^\nu \nabla_\nu X^\mu.$$

- Explicitly, the connection is

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{CAB} &= 2(P\partial_C P\bar{P})_{[AB]} + 2(\bar{P}_{[A}{}^D\bar{P}_{B]}{}^E - P_{[A}{}^D P_{B]}{}^E)\partial_D P_{EC} \\ &\quad - \frac{4}{D-1}(\bar{P}_{C[A}\bar{P}_{B]}{}^D + P_{C[A}P_{B]}{}^D)(\partial_D d + (P\partial^E P\bar{P})_{[ED]}) .\end{aligned}$$

where \bar{P} is the complementary projection,

$$\bar{P} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \mathcal{H}) .$$

Stringy differential geometry

- Further, we set

$$\mathcal{P}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} := P_C{}^D P_{[A}{}^{[E} P_{B]}{}^{F]} + \frac{2}{D-1} P_{C[A} P_{B]}{}^{[E} P^{F]D},$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{P}}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} := \bar{P}_C{}^D \bar{P}_{[A}{}^{[E} \bar{P}_{B]}{}^{F]} + \frac{2}{D-1} \bar{P}_{C[A} \bar{P}_{B]}{}^{[E} \bar{P}^{F]D},$$

which satisfy

$$\mathcal{P}_{CABDEF} = \mathcal{P}_{DEFCAB} = \mathcal{P}_{C[AB]D[EF]},$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} \mathcal{P}_{DEF}{}^{GHI} = \mathcal{P}_{CAB}{}^{GHI},$$

$$\mathcal{P}^A{}_{ABDEF} = 0, \quad P^{AB} \mathcal{P}_{ABCDEF} = 0, \quad \text{etc.}$$

- The connection belongs to the kernel of these rank six-projectors -
uniqueness

$$\mathcal{P}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} \Gamma_{DEF} = 0, \quad \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} \Gamma_{DEF} = 0.$$

Stringy differential geometry

- Further, we set

$$\mathcal{P}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} := P_C{}^D P_{[A}{}^{[E} P_{B]}{}^{F]} + \frac{2}{D-1} P_{C[A} P_{B]}{}^{[E} P^{F]D},$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{P}}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} := \bar{P}_C{}^D \bar{P}_{[A}{}^{[E} \bar{P}_{B]}{}^{F]} + \frac{2}{D-1} \bar{P}_{C[A} \bar{P}_{B]}{}^{[E} \bar{P}^{F]D},$$

which satisfy

$$\mathcal{P}_{CABDEF} = \mathcal{P}_{DEFCAB} = \mathcal{P}_{C[AB]D[EF]},$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} \mathcal{P}_{DEF}{}^{GHI} = \mathcal{P}_{CAB}{}^{GHI},$$

$$\mathcal{P}^A{}_{ABDEF} = 0, \quad \mathcal{P}^{AB} \mathcal{P}_{ABCDEF} = 0, \quad \text{etc.}$$

- The connection belongs to the kernel of these rank six-projectors -
uniqueness

$$\mathcal{P}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} \Gamma_{DEF} = 0, \quad \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} \Gamma_{DEF} = 0.$$

Stringy differential geometry

- Under $\delta_X \mathcal{H}_{AB} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X \mathcal{H}_{AB}$ and $\delta_X d = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X d$, the diffeomorphism and the one-form gauge symmetry, or shortly *double-gauge symmetry*, we obtain

$$(\delta_X - \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X) \Gamma_{CAB} \equiv 2 [(\mathcal{P} + \bar{\mathcal{P}})_{CAB}{}^{FDE} - \delta_C^F \delta_A^D \delta_B^E] \partial_F \partial_{[D} X_{E]},$$

and

$$(\delta_X - \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X) \nabla_C T_{A_1 \dots A_n} \equiv \sum_i 2 (\mathcal{P} + \bar{\mathcal{P}})_{CA_i}{}^{BFDE} \partial_F \partial_{[D} X_{E]} T_{\dots B \dots}$$

- Hence, these are not double-gauge covariant,

$$\delta_X \neq \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X.$$

Stringy differential geometry

- Under $\delta_X \mathcal{H}_{AB} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X \mathcal{H}_{AB}$ and $\delta_X d = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X d$, the diffeomorphism and the one-form gauge symmetry, or shortly *double-gauge symmetry*, we obtain

$$(\delta_X - \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X) \Gamma_{CAB} \equiv 2 [(\mathcal{P} + \bar{\mathcal{P}})_{CAB}{}^{FDE} - \delta_C^F \delta_A^D \delta_B^E] \partial_F \partial_{[D} X_{E]},$$

and

$$(\delta_X - \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X) \nabla_C T_{A_1 \dots A_n} \equiv \sum_i 2 (\mathcal{P} + \bar{\mathcal{P}})_{CA_i}{}^{BFDE} \partial_F \partial_{[D} X_{E]} T_{\dots B \dots}$$

- Hence, these are not double-gauge covariant,

$$\delta_X \neq \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X.$$

Stringy differential geometry

- However, the characteristic property of our derivative, ∇_A , is that, combined with the projections, it can generate various $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ and double-gauge covariant quantities:

$$P_C{}^D \bar{P}_{A_1}{}^{B_1} \bar{P}_{A_2}{}^{B_2} \dots \bar{P}_{A_n}{}^{B_n} \nabla_D T_{B_1 B_2 \dots B_n},$$

$$\bar{P}_C{}^D P_{A_1}{}^{B_1} P_{A_2}{}^{B_2} \dots P_{A_n}{}^{B_n} \nabla_D T_{B_1 B_2 \dots B_n},$$

$$P^{AB} \nabla_A T_B, \quad \bar{P}^{AB} \nabla_A T_B,$$

$$P^{AB} \bar{P}_{C_1}{}^{D_1} \dots \bar{P}_{C_n}{}^{D_n} \nabla_A \nabla_B T_{D_1 \dots D_n},$$

$$\bar{P}^{AB} P_{C_1}{}^{D_1} \dots P_{C_n}{}^{D_n} \nabla_A \nabla_B T_{D_1 \dots D_n}.$$

- This suggests us to call ∇_A as *semi-covariant derivative*.

Stringy differential geometry

- However, the characteristic property of our derivative, ∇_A , is that, combined with the projections, it can generate various $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ and double-gauge covariant quantities:

$$P_C{}^D \bar{P}_{A_1}{}^{B_1} \bar{P}_{A_2}{}^{B_2} \dots \bar{P}_{A_n}{}^{B_n} \nabla_D T_{B_1 B_2 \dots B_n},$$

$$\bar{P}_C{}^D P_{A_1}{}^{B_1} P_{A_2}{}^{B_2} \dots P_{A_n}{}^{B_n} \nabla_D T_{B_1 B_2 \dots B_n},$$

$$P^{AB} \nabla_A T_B, \quad \bar{P}^{AB} \nabla_A T_B,$$

$$P^{AB} \bar{P}_{C_1}{}^{D_1} \dots \bar{P}_{C_n}{}^{D_n} \nabla_A \nabla_B T_{D_1 \dots D_n},$$

$$\bar{P}^{AB} P_{C_1}{}^{D_1} \dots P_{C_n}{}^{D_n} \nabla_A \nabla_B T_{D_1 \dots D_n}.$$

- This suggests us to call ∇_A as *semi-covariant derivative*.

- The usual curvature,

$$R_{CDAB} = \partial_A \Gamma_{BCD} - \partial_B \Gamma_{ACD} + \Gamma_{AC}^E \Gamma_{BED} - \Gamma_{BC}^E \Gamma_{AED},$$

satisfying

$$[\nabla_A, \nabla_B] T_{C_1 C_2 \dots C_n} = -\Gamma_{DAB} \nabla^D T_{C_1 C_2 \dots C_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n R_{C_i DAB} T_{C_1 \dots C_{i-1}}{}^D{}_{C_{i+1} \dots C_n},$$

is NOT double-gauge covariant,

$$\delta_X R_{ABCD} \neq \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X R_{ABCD}.$$

Generalized curvature

- Instead, we define, as for a key quantity in our formalism,

$$S_{ABCD} := \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{R}_{ABCD} + \mathcal{R}_{CDAB} - \Gamma^E{}_{AB} \Gamma_{ECD} \right).$$

- This is related to a commutator,

$$P_I^A \bar{P}_J^B [\nabla_A, \nabla_B] T_C \equiv 2 P_I^A \bar{P}_J^B S_{CDAB} T^D.$$

- It can be shown, by brute force computation, to meet
 - just like the Riemann curvature,

$$S_{ABCD} = \frac{1}{2} (S_{[AB][CD]} + S_{[CD][AB]}) \equiv S_{\{ABCD\}}, \quad S_{A[BCD]} = 0,$$

- and further

$$P_I^A P_J^B \bar{P}_K^C \bar{P}_L^D S_{ABCD} \equiv 0, \quad P_J^A \bar{P}_J^B P_K^C \bar{P}_L^D S_{ABCD} \equiv 0, \quad \text{etc.}$$

Generalized curvature

- Instead, we define, as for a key quantity in our formalism,

$$S_{ABCD} := \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{R}_{ABCD} + \mathcal{R}_{CDAB} - \Gamma^E{}_{AB} \Gamma_{ECD} \right).$$

- This is related to a commutator,

$$P_I^A \bar{P}_J^B [\nabla_A, \nabla_B] T_C \equiv 2 P_I^A \bar{P}_J^B S_{CDAB} T^D.$$

- It can be shown, by brute force computation, to meet
 - just like the Riemann curvature,

$$S_{ABCD} = \frac{1}{2} (S_{[AB][CD]} + S_{[CD][AB]}) \equiv S_{\{ABCD\}}, \quad S_{A[BCD]} = 0,$$

- and further

$$P_I^A P_J^B \bar{P}_K^C \bar{P}_L^D S_{ABCD} \equiv 0, \quad P_J^A \bar{P}_J^B P_K^C \bar{P}_L^D S_{ABCD} \equiv 0, \quad \text{etc.}$$

Generalized curvature

- Instead, we define, as for a key quantity in our formalism,

$$S_{ABCD} := \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{R}_{ABCD} + \mathcal{R}_{CDAB} - \Gamma^E{}_{AB} \Gamma_{ECD} \right).$$

- This is related to a commutator,

$$P_I^A \bar{P}_J^B [\nabla_A, \nabla_B] T_C \equiv 2 P_I^A \bar{P}_J^B S_{CDAB} T^D.$$

- It can be shown, by brute force computation, to meet
 - just like the Riemann curvature,

$$S_{ABCD} = \frac{1}{2} (S_{[AB][CD]} + S_{[CD][AB]}) \equiv S_{\{ABCD\}}, \quad S_{A[BCD]} = 0,$$

- and further

$$P_I^A P_J^B \bar{P}_K^C \bar{P}_L^D S_{ABCD} \equiv 0, \quad P_I^A \bar{P}_J^B P_K^C \bar{P}_L^D S_{ABCD} \equiv 0, \quad \text{etc.}$$

Generalized curvature

- Instead, we define, as for a key quantity in our formalism,

$$S_{ABCD} := \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{R}_{ABCD} + \mathcal{R}_{CDAB} - \Gamma^E{}_{AB} \Gamma_{ECD} \right).$$

- This is related to a commutator,

$$P_I^A \bar{P}_J^B [\nabla_A, \nabla_B] T_C \equiv 2 P_I^A \bar{P}_J^B S_{CDAB} T^D.$$

- It can be shown, by brute force computation, to meet
 - just like the Riemann curvature,

$$S_{ABCD} = \frac{1}{2} (S_{[AB][CD]} + S_{[CD][AB]}) \equiv S_{\{ABCD\}}, \quad S_{A[BCD]} = 0,$$

- and further

$$P_I^A P_J^B \bar{P}_K^C \bar{P}_L^D S_{ABCD} \equiv 0, \quad P_I^A \bar{P}_J^B P_K^C \bar{P}_L^D S_{ABCD} \equiv 0, \quad \text{etc.}$$

Generalized curvature

- Under the double-gauge transformations, we get

$$(\delta_X - \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X) \mathcal{S}_{ABCD} \equiv 4 \nabla_{\{A} \left[(\mathcal{P} + \bar{\mathcal{P}})_{BCD\}{}^{EFG} \partial_E \partial_{[F} X_{G]} \right].$$

- Hence, \mathcal{S}_{ABCD} is not double-gauge covariant.
- Nevertheless, contracting indices we can obtain covariant quantities.

Generalized curvature

- Under the double-gauge transformations, we get

$$(\delta_X - \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X) \mathcal{S}_{ABCD} \equiv 4 \nabla_{\{A} \left[(\mathcal{P} + \bar{\mathcal{P}})_{BCD\}{}^{EFG} \partial_E \partial_{[F} X_{G]} \right].$$

- Hence, \mathcal{S}_{ABCD} is not double-gauge covariant.
- Nevertheless, contracting indices we can obtain covariant quantities.

Generalized curvature

- Under the double-gauge transformations, we get

$$(\delta_X - \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X) \mathcal{S}_{ABCD} \equiv 4 \nabla_{\{A} \left[(\mathcal{P} + \bar{\mathcal{P}})_{BCD\}{}^{EFG} \partial_E \partial_{[F} X_{G]} \right].$$

- Hence, \mathcal{S}_{ABCD} is not double-gauge covariant.
- Nevertheless, contracting indices we can obtain covariant quantities.

Covariant curvature

- Double-gauge covariant rank two-tensor,

$$P_I^A \bar{P}_J^B S_{AB}.$$

- Double-gauge covariant scalar,

$$\mathcal{H}^{AB} S_{AB}.$$

In the above, we set

$$S_{AB} = S_{BA} := S^C{}_{ACB},$$

which turns out to be traceless,

$$S^A{}_A \equiv 0.$$

Covariant curvature and DFT

- Especially, the covariant scalar constitutes the effective action as

$$\mathcal{H}^{AB} S_{AB} \equiv R_g + 4\Box\phi - 4\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi - \frac{1}{12}H_{\lambda\mu\nu}H^{\lambda\mu\nu}.$$

- It also agrees with Hull, Zwiebach and Hohm,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}^{AB} S_{AB} \equiv & \mathcal{H}^{AB} (4\partial_A\partial_B d - 4\partial_A d\partial_B d + \frac{1}{8}\partial_A\mathcal{H}^{CD}\partial_B\mathcal{H}_{CD} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_A\mathcal{H}^{CD}\partial_C\mathcal{H}_{BD}) \\ & + 4\partial_A\mathcal{H}^{AB}\partial_B d - \partial_A\partial_B\mathcal{H}^{AB}.\end{aligned}$$

Deriving Equations of motion

- Under arbitrary infinitesimal transformations of the dilaton and the projection, we get

$$\delta S_{ABCD} = \nabla_{[A} \delta \Gamma_{B]CD} + \nabla_{[C} \delta \Gamma_{D]AB},$$

where explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \Gamma_{CAB} = & 2P_{[A}^D \bar{P}_{B]}^E \nabla_C \delta P_{DE} + 2(\bar{P}_{[A}^D \bar{P}_{B]}^E - P_{[A}^D P_{B]}^E) \nabla_D \delta P_{EC} \\ & - \frac{4}{D-1} (\bar{P}_{C[A} \bar{P}_{B]}^D + P_{C[A} P_{B]}^D) (\partial_D \delta d + P_{E[G} \nabla^G \delta P_{D]}^E) \\ & - \Gamma_{FDE} \delta (\mathcal{P} + \bar{\mathcal{P}})_{CAB}{}^{FDE}. \end{aligned}$$

Deriving Equations of motion

- With $\nabla_A d = 0$, from the manipulation,

$$\delta S_{\text{eff.}} \equiv \int dy^{2D} 2e^{-2d} \left(\delta P^{AB} S_{AB} - \delta d \mathcal{H}^{AB} S_{AB} \right),$$

and the relation,

$$\delta P = P \delta P \bar{P} + \bar{P} \delta P P,$$

it is now very easy to derive the equations of motion:

$$P_{(I}{}^A \bar{P}_{J)}{}^B S_{AB} = 0, \quad \mathcal{H}^{AB} S_{AB} = 0.$$

Double-vielbein

- \mathcal{J}_{AB} and \mathcal{H}_{AB} can be simultaneously diagonalized,

$$\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} V & \bar{V} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\bar{\eta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V & \bar{V} \end{pmatrix}^t,$$
$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} V & \bar{V} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\eta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V & \bar{V} \end{pmatrix}^t.$$

Here η and $\bar{\eta}$ are two copies of the D -dimensional Minkowskian metric. Both V and \bar{V} are $2D \times D$ matrices which we name ‘double-vielbein’.

- They must satisfy

$$V = PV, \quad V\eta^{-1}V^t = P, \quad V^t\mathcal{J}V = \eta, \quad V^t\mathcal{J}\bar{V} = 0,$$
$$\bar{V} = \bar{P}\bar{V}, \quad \bar{V}\bar{\eta}\bar{V}^t = -\bar{P}, \quad \bar{V}^t\mathcal{J}\bar{V} = -\bar{\eta}^{-1}.$$

Double-vielbein

- \mathcal{J}_{AB} and \mathcal{H}_{AB} can be simultaneously diagonalized,

$$\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} V & \bar{V} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\bar{\eta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V & \bar{V} \end{pmatrix}^t,$$
$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} V & \bar{V} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\eta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V & \bar{V} \end{pmatrix}^t.$$

Here η and $\bar{\eta}$ are two copies of the D -dimensional Minkowskian metric. Both V and \bar{V} are $2D \times D$ matrices which we name ‘double-vielbein’.

- They must satisfy

$$V = PV, \quad V\eta^{-1}V^t = P, \quad V^t\mathcal{J}V = \eta, \quad V^t\mathcal{J}\bar{V} = 0,$$
$$\bar{V} = \bar{P}\bar{V}, \quad \bar{V}\bar{\eta}\bar{V}^t = -\bar{P}, \quad \bar{V}^t\mathcal{J}\bar{V} = -\bar{\eta}^{-1}.$$

- Double-vielbein is of the following general form, [Siegel, Hassan](#)

$$V_{Am} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (e^{-1})_m{}^\mu \\ (B + e)_{\nu m} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_A{}^{\bar{n}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\bar{e}^{-1})^{\bar{n}\mu} \\ (\bar{B} - \bar{e})_\nu{}^{\bar{n}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Here, $e_\mu{}^m$ and $\bar{e}_\nu{}^{\bar{n}}$ are two copies of the D -dimensional vielbein corresponding to the same spacetime metric,

$$e_\mu{}^m e_{\nu m} = \bar{e}_\mu{}^{\bar{n}} \bar{e}_{\nu \bar{n}} = g_{\mu\nu}.$$

- We set $B_{\mu m} = B_{\mu\nu} (e^{-1})_m{}^\nu$, $\bar{B}_{\mu \bar{n}} = B_{\mu\nu} (\bar{e}^{-1})^{\bar{n}\nu}$, etc.

- Double-vielbein is of the following general form, [Siegel, Hassan](#)

$$V_{Am} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (e^{-1})_m{}^\mu \\ (B + e)_{\nu m} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_A{}^{\bar{n}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\bar{e}^{-1})^{\bar{n}\mu} \\ (\bar{B} - \bar{e})_\nu{}^{\bar{n}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Here, $e_\mu{}^m$ and $\bar{e}_\nu{}^{\bar{n}}$ are two copies of the D -dimensional vielbein corresponding to the same spacetime metric,

$$e_\mu{}^m e_{\nu m} = \bar{e}_\mu{}^{\bar{n}} \bar{e}_{\nu \bar{n}} = g_{\mu\nu}.$$

- We set $B_{\mu m} = B_{\mu\nu} (e^{-1})_m{}^\nu$, $\bar{B}_{\mu \bar{n}} = B_{\mu\nu} (\bar{e}^{-1})^{\bar{n}\nu}$, etc.

- Double-vielbein is of the following general form, [Siegel, Hassan](#)

$$V_{Am} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (e^{-1})_m{}^\mu \\ (B + e)_{\nu m} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_A{}^{\bar{n}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\bar{e}^{-1})^{\bar{n}\mu} \\ (\bar{B} - \bar{e})_\nu{}^{\bar{n}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Here, $e_\mu{}^m$ and $\bar{e}_\nu{}^{\bar{n}}$ are two copies of the D -dimensional vielbein corresponding to the same spacetime metric,

$$e_\mu{}^m e_{\nu m} = \bar{e}_\mu{}^{\bar{n}} \bar{e}_{\nu \bar{n}} = g_{\mu\nu}.$$

- We set $B_{\mu m} = B_{\mu\nu} (e^{-1})_m{}^\nu$, $\bar{B}_{\mu \bar{n}} = B_{\mu\nu} (\bar{e}^{-1})^{\bar{n}\nu}$, *etc.*

- We may identify $(B + e)_\mu{}^m$ and $(\bar{B} - \bar{e})_\nu{}^{\bar{n}}$ as two copies of the vielbein for the winding mode coordinate, \tilde{X}_μ , since

$$(B + e)_\mu{}^m (B + e)_{\nu m} = (\bar{B} - \bar{e})_\mu{}^{\bar{n}} (\bar{B} - \bar{e})_{\nu \bar{n}} = (g - Bg^{-1}B)_{\mu\nu} .$$

- Internal symmetry group is

$$\mathbf{SO}(1, D-1) \times \overline{\mathbf{SO}}(1, D-1),$$

of which the former and the latter rotates each unbarred and barred small Roman alphabet index.

- $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ acts only on the capital indices.
- Hence, both V_{Am} and $\bar{V}_A^{\bar{n}}$ are $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ vectors.

- Internal symmetry group is

$$\mathbf{SO}(1, D-1) \times \overline{\mathbf{SO}}(1, D-1),$$

of which the former and the latter rotates each unbarred and barred small Roman alphabet index.

- $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ acts only on the capital indices.
- Hence, both V_{Am} and $\bar{V}_A^{\bar{n}}$ are $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ vectors.

- Internal symmetry group is

$$\mathbf{SO}(1, D-1) \times \overline{\mathbf{SO}}(1, D-1),$$

of which the former and the latter rotates each unbarred and barred small Roman alphabet index.

- $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ acts only on the capital indices.
- Hence, both V_{Am} and $\bar{V}_A^{\bar{n}}$ are $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ vectors.

- Further, V_{Am} and $\bar{V}_A^{\bar{n}}$ are double-gauge covariant vectors,

$$\delta_X V_{Am} \equiv X^B \partial_B V_{Am} + 2\partial_{[A} X_{B]} V^B{}_m = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X V_{Am},$$

$$\delta_X \bar{V}_A^{\bar{n}} \equiv X^B \partial_B \bar{V}_A^{\bar{n}} + 2\partial_{[A} X_{B]} \bar{V}^{B\bar{n}} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X \bar{V}_A^{\bar{n}}.$$

Ability of the double-vielbein

- Double-vielbein can pull back the chiral and the anti-chiral $2D$ indices to the more familiar D -dimensional ones without losing any information, since it is an invertible process.

- We pull back the double-gauge covariant rank two-tensor to obtain,

$$S_{AB} V^A{}_m \bar{V}^B{}_{\bar{n}} = R_{m\bar{n}} + 2D_m D_{\bar{n}} \phi - \frac{1}{4} H_{m\mu\nu} H_{\bar{n}}{}^{\mu\nu} + (\partial^\lambda \phi) H_{\lambda m\bar{n}} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla^\lambda H_{\lambda m\bar{n}}.$$

- As expected, its symmetric and the anti-symmetric parts correspond to the equations of motion of the effective action for $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $B_{\mu\nu}$ respectively.

Ability of the double-vielbein

- Double-vielbein can pull back the chiral and the anti-chiral $2D$ indices to the more familiar D -dimensional ones without losing any information, since it is an invertible process.

- We pull back the double-gauge covariant rank two-tensor to obtain,

$$S_{AB} V^A{}_m \bar{V}^B{}_{\bar{n}} = R_{m\bar{n}} + 2D_m D_{\bar{n}} \phi - \frac{1}{4} H_{m\mu\nu} H_{\bar{n}}{}^{\mu\nu} + (\partial^\lambda \phi) H_{\lambda m\bar{n}} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla^\lambda H_{\lambda m\bar{n}}.$$

- As expected, its symmetric and the anti-symmetric parts correspond to the equations of motion of the effective action for $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $B_{\mu\nu}$ respectively.

Ability of the double-vielbein

- Double-vielbein can pull back the chiral and the anti-chiral $2D$ indices to the more familiar D -dimensional ones without losing any information, since it is an invertible process.

- We pull back the double-gauge covariant rank two-tensor to obtain,

$$S_{AB} V^A_m \bar{V}^B_{\bar{n}} = R_{m\bar{n}} + 2D_m D_{\bar{n}} \phi - \frac{1}{4} H_{m\mu\nu} H_{\bar{n}}^{\mu\nu} + (\partial^\lambda \phi) H_{\lambda m\bar{n}} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla^\lambda H_{\lambda m\bar{n}}.$$

- As expected, its symmetric and the anti-symmetric parts correspond to the equations of motion of the effective action for $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $B_{\mu\nu}$ respectively.

Another ability of the double-vielbein

- We may construct a rank four tensor:

$$R_{mnpq} + D_{(p}H_{q)mn} - \frac{1}{4}H_{mn}{}^r H_{pqr} - \frac{3}{4}H_{m[n}{}^r H_{pq]r} ,$$

which may provide a powerful tool to organize the higher derivative corrections to the effective action → [Vanhove's talk](#)

Application to Yang-Mills

- We postulate a vector potential, \mathcal{V}_A , which is
 - $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ and double-gauge covariant,
 - and transforms under non-Abelian gauge symmetry, $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{G}$,

$$\mathcal{V}_A \longrightarrow \mathbf{g}\mathcal{V}_A\mathbf{g}^{-1} - i(\partial_A\mathbf{g})\mathbf{g}^{-1}.$$

Application to Yang-Mills

- We postulate a vector potential, \mathcal{V}_A , which is
 - $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ and double-gauge covariant,
 - and transforms under non-Abelian gauge symmetry, $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{G}$,

$$\mathcal{V}_A \longrightarrow \mathbf{g}\mathcal{V}_A\mathbf{g}^{-1} - i(\partial_A\mathbf{g})\mathbf{g}^{-1}.$$

Application to Yang-Mills

- We postulate a vector potential, \mathcal{V}_A , which is
 - $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ and double-gauge covariant,
 - and transforms under non-Abelian gauge symmetry, $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{G}$,

$$\mathcal{V}_A \longrightarrow \mathbf{g}\mathcal{V}_A\mathbf{g}^{-1} - i(\partial_A\mathbf{g})\mathbf{g}^{-1}.$$

Application to Yang-Mills

- The usual field strength,

$$F_{AB} = \partial_A \mathcal{V}_B - \partial_B \mathcal{V}_A - i[\mathcal{V}_A, \mathcal{V}_B] ,$$

is YM gauge covariant, but it is NOT double-gauge covariant,

$$\delta_X F_{AB} \neq \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X F_{AB} .$$

Application to Yang-Mills

- Instead, we consider with the semi-covariant derivative,

$$\mathcal{F}_{AB} := \nabla_A \mathcal{V}_B - \nabla_B \mathcal{V}_A - i[\mathcal{V}_A, \mathcal{V}_B] = F_{AB} - \Gamma^C{}_{AB} \mathcal{V}_C.$$

- While this is neither YM gauge nor double-gauge covariant,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{AB} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{g} \mathcal{F}_{AB} \mathbf{g}^{-1} + i \Gamma^C{}_{AB} (\partial_C \mathbf{g}) \mathbf{g}^{-1}, \\ \delta_X \mathcal{F}_{AB} &\neq \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X \mathcal{F}_{AB}, \end{aligned}$$

- if projected properly, it can be made so,

$$\begin{aligned} P_A{}^C \bar{P}_B{}^D \mathcal{F}_{CD} &\longrightarrow P_A{}^C \bar{P}_B{}^D \mathbf{g} \mathcal{F}_{CD} \mathbf{g}^{-1}, \\ \delta_X (P_A{}^C \bar{P}_B{}^D \mathcal{F}_{CD}) &= \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X (P_A{}^C \bar{P}_B{}^D \mathcal{F}_{CD}). \end{aligned}$$

Application to Yang-Mills

- Instead, we consider with the semi-covariant derivative,

$$\mathcal{F}_{AB} := \nabla_A \mathcal{V}_B - \nabla_B \mathcal{V}_A - i[\mathcal{V}_A, \mathcal{V}_B] = F_{AB} - \Gamma^C{}_{AB} \mathcal{V}_C.$$

- While this is neither YM gauge nor double-gauge covariant,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{AB} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{g} \mathcal{F}_{AB} \mathbf{g}^{-1} + i \Gamma^C{}_{AB} (\partial_C \mathbf{g}) \mathbf{g}^{-1}, \\ \delta_X \mathcal{F}_{AB} &\neq \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X \mathcal{F}_{AB}, \end{aligned}$$

- if projected properly, it can be made so,

$$\begin{aligned} P_A{}^C \bar{P}_B{}^D \mathcal{F}_{CD} &\longrightarrow P_A{}^C \bar{P}_B{}^D \mathbf{g} \mathcal{F}_{CD} \mathbf{g}^{-1}, \\ \delta_X (P_A{}^C \bar{P}_B{}^D \mathcal{F}_{CD}) &= \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X (P_A{}^C \bar{P}_B{}^D \mathcal{F}_{CD}). \end{aligned}$$

Application to Yang-Mills

- Instead, we consider with the semi-covariant derivative,

$$\mathcal{F}_{AB} := \nabla_A \mathcal{V}_B - \nabla_B \mathcal{V}_A - i[\mathcal{V}_A, \mathcal{V}_B] = F_{AB} - \Gamma^C{}_{AB} \mathcal{V}_C.$$

- While this is neither YM gauge nor double-gauge covariant,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{AB} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{g} \mathcal{F}_{AB} \mathbf{g}^{-1} + i \Gamma^C{}_{AB} (\partial_C \mathbf{g}) \mathbf{g}^{-1}, \\ \delta_X \mathcal{F}_{AB} &\neq \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X \mathcal{F}_{AB}, \end{aligned}$$

- if projected properly, it can be made so,

$$\begin{aligned} P_A{}^C \bar{P}_B{}^D \mathcal{F}_{CD} &\longrightarrow P_A{}^C \bar{P}_B{}^D \mathbf{g} \mathcal{F}_{CD} \mathbf{g}^{-1}, \\ \delta_X (P_A{}^C \bar{P}_B{}^D \mathcal{F}_{CD}) &= \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X (P_A{}^C \bar{P}_B{}^D \mathcal{F}_{CD}). \end{aligned}$$

Application to Yang-Mills

That is to say, $P_A{}^C \bar{P}_B{}^D \mathcal{F}_{CD}$ is fully covariant with respect to

- $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality
- Gauge symmetry
 - Double gauge = Diffeomorphism + one form gauge symmetry
 - Yang-Mills gauge

Yang-Mills action

- Our double field formulation of Yang-Mills action is

$$S_{\text{YM}} = g_{\text{YM}}^{-2} \int dy^{2D} e^{-2d} \text{Tr} \left(P^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD} \mathcal{F}_{AC} \mathcal{F}_{BD} \right) ,$$

- Manifestly, $O(D, D)$ T-duality, double-gauge and Yang-Mills gauge covariant.

Yang-Mills action

- Our double field formulation of Yang-Mills action is

$$S_{\text{YM}} = g_{\text{YM}}^{-2} \int dy^{2D} e^{-2d} \text{Tr} \left(P^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD} \mathcal{F}_{AC} \mathcal{F}_{BD} \right) ,$$

- Manifestly, $O(D, D)$ T-duality, double-gauge and Yang-Mills gauge covariant.

Yang-Mills in components

- Decompose the vector potential into chiral and anti-chiral ones,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_A &= V_A^+ + V_A^-, \\ V_A^+ &= P_A^B \mathcal{V}_B, \quad V_A^- = \bar{P}_A^B \mathcal{V}_B. \end{aligned}$$

- Their general forms are

$$V_A^+ = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} A^{+\lambda} \\ (g+B)_{\mu\nu} A^{+\nu} \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_A^- = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -A^{-\lambda} \\ (g-B)_{\mu\nu} A^{-\nu} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Yang-Mills in components

- Decompose the vector potential into chiral and anti-chiral ones,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_A &= V_A^+ + V_A^-, \\ V_A^+ &= P_A^B \mathcal{V}_B, \quad V_A^- = \bar{P}_A^B \mathcal{V}_B. \end{aligned}$$

- Their general forms are

$$V_A^+ = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} A^{+\lambda} \\ (g+B)_{\mu\nu} A^{+\nu} \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_A^- = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -A^{-\lambda} \\ (g-B)_{\mu\nu} A^{-\nu} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Yang-Mills in components

- With the field redefinition,

$$A_\mu := \frac{1}{2}(A_\mu^+ + A_\mu^-), \quad \phi_\mu := \frac{1}{2}(A_\mu^+ - A_\mu^-),$$

we get

$$\mathcal{V}_A = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^\lambda \\ A_\mu + B_{\mu\nu}\phi^\nu \end{pmatrix}.$$

- A_μ is YM gauge connection. ϕ_ν is YM gauge covariant one-form.

Yang-Mills in components

- With the field redefinition,

$$A_\mu := \frac{1}{2}(A_\mu^+ + A_\mu^-), \quad \phi_\mu := \frac{1}{2}(A_\mu^+ - A_\mu^-),$$

we get

$$\mathcal{V}_A = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^\lambda \\ A_\mu + B_{\mu\nu}\phi^\nu \end{pmatrix}.$$

- A_μ is YM gauge connection. ϕ_ν is YM gauge covariant one-form.

Yang-Mills in components

- Turning off the $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ -dependence reduces the action to

$$S_{\text{YM}} \equiv g_{\text{YM}}^{-2} \int dx^D \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \text{Tr} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \hat{f}^{\mu\nu} \hat{f}_{\mu\nu} \right),$$

where

$$\hat{f}_{\mu\nu} := f_{\mu\nu} - D_\mu \phi_\nu - D_\nu \phi_\mu + i[\phi_\mu, \phi_\nu] + H_{\mu\nu\lambda} \phi^\lambda,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} \left(\hat{f}_{\mu\nu} \hat{f}^{\mu\nu} \right) = & \text{Tr} \left(f_{\mu\nu} f^{\mu\nu} + 2D_\mu \phi_\nu D^\mu \phi^\nu + 2D_\mu \phi_\nu D^\nu \phi^\mu - [\phi_\mu, \phi_\nu][\phi^\mu, \phi^\nu] \right. \\ & \left. + 2i f_{\mu\nu} [\phi^\mu, \phi^\nu] + 2(f^{\mu\nu} + i[\phi^\mu, \phi^\nu]) H_{\mu\nu\sigma} \phi^\sigma + H_{\mu\nu\sigma} H^{\mu\nu\tau} \phi^\sigma \phi^\tau \right). \end{aligned}$$

- Similar to topologically twisted Yang-Mills, but differs in detail.
- Curved D -branes are known to convert adjoint scalars into one-form,

$$\phi^a \rightarrow \phi_\mu, \quad \text{Bershadsky}$$

Yang-Mills in components

- Turning off the $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ -dependence reduces the action to

$$S_{\text{YM}} \equiv g_{\text{YM}}^{-2} \int dx^D \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \text{Tr} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \hat{f}^{\mu\nu} \hat{f}_{\mu\nu} \right),$$

where

$$\hat{f}_{\mu\nu} := f_{\mu\nu} - D_\mu \phi_\nu - D_\nu \phi_\mu + i[\phi_\mu, \phi_\nu] + H_{\mu\nu\lambda} \phi^\lambda,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\hat{f}_{\mu\nu} \hat{f}^{\mu\nu}) = & \text{Tr} \left(f_{\mu\nu} f^{\mu\nu} + 2D_\mu \phi_\nu D^\mu \phi^\nu + 2D_\mu \phi_\nu D^\nu \phi^\mu - [\phi_\mu, \phi_\nu][\phi^\mu, \phi^\nu] \right. \\ & \left. + 2i f_{\mu\nu} [\phi^\mu, \phi^\nu] + 2(f^{\mu\nu} + i[\phi^\mu, \phi^\nu]) H_{\mu\nu\sigma} \phi^\sigma + H_{\mu\nu\sigma} H^{\mu\nu\tau} \phi^\sigma \phi^\tau \right). \end{aligned}$$

- Similar to topologically twisted Yang-Mills, but differs in detail.
- Curved D -branes are known to convert adjoint scalars into one-form,

$$\phi^a \rightarrow \phi_\mu, \quad \text{Bershadsky}$$

Yang-Mills in components

- Turning off the $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ -dependence reduces the action to

$$S_{\text{YM}} \equiv g_{\text{YM}}^{-2} \int dx^D \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \text{Tr} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \hat{f}^{\mu\nu} \hat{f}_{\mu\nu} \right),$$

where

$$\hat{f}_{\mu\nu} := f_{\mu\nu} - D_\mu \phi_\nu - D_\nu \phi_\mu + i[\phi_\mu, \phi_\nu] + H_{\mu\nu\lambda} \phi^\lambda,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\hat{f}_{\mu\nu} \hat{f}^{\mu\nu}) = & \text{Tr} \left(f_{\mu\nu} f^{\mu\nu} + 2D_\mu \phi_\nu D^\mu \phi^\nu + 2D_\mu \phi_\nu D^\nu \phi^\mu - [\phi_\mu, \phi_\nu][\phi^\mu, \phi^\nu] \right. \\ & \left. + 2i f_{\mu\nu} [\phi^\mu, \phi^\nu] + 2(f^{\mu\nu} + i[\phi^\mu, \phi^\nu]) H_{\mu\nu\sigma} \phi^\sigma + H_{\mu\nu\sigma} H^{\mu\nu\tau} \phi^\sigma \phi^\tau \right). \end{aligned}$$

- Similar to topologically twisted Yang-Mills, but differs in detail.
- Curved D -branes are known to convert adjoint scalars into one-form,

$$\phi^a \rightarrow \phi_\mu, \quad \text{Bershadsky}$$

Concluding remarks

- $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality, diffeomorphism, one-form gauge symmetry fixes the low energy effective action,

$$S_{\text{eff.}} = \int dx^D e^{-2d} \mathcal{H}^{AB} S_{AB}.$$

- Supersymmetrization, Higher derivative corrections – *in progress*.
- Application to ‘doubled sigma model’ and generalization to \mathcal{M} -theory are of interest [Ivanov, Hull, Berman, Perry, Bergshoeff](#)
- Perhaps, our formalism may provide some clue to a new framework for string theory, beyond Riemann.

Concluding remarks

- $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality, diffeomorphism, one-form gauge symmetry fixes the low energy effective action,

$$S_{\text{eff.}} = \int dx^D e^{-2d} \mathcal{H}^{AB} S_{AB}.$$

- Supersymmetrization, Higher derivative corrections – *in progress*.
- Application to ‘doubled sigma model’ and generalization to \mathcal{M} -theory are of interest [Ivanov, Hull, Berman, Perry, Bergshoeff](#)
- Perhaps, our formalism may provide some clue to a new framework for string theory, beyond Riemann.

Concluding remarks

- $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality, diffeomorphism, one-form gauge symmetry fixes the low energy effective action,

$$S_{\text{eff.}} = \int dx^D e^{-2d} \mathcal{H}^{AB} S_{AB}.$$

- Supersymmetrization, Higher derivative corrections – *in progress*.
- Application to ‘doubled sigma model’ and generalization to \mathcal{M} -theory are of interest [Ivanov, Hull, Berman, Perry, Bergshoeff](#)
- Perhaps, our formalism may provide some clue to a new framework for string theory, beyond Riemann.

Concluding remarks

- $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality, diffeomorphism, one-form gauge symmetry fixes the low energy effective action,

$$S_{\text{eff.}} = \int dx^D e^{-2d} \mathcal{H}^{AB} S_{AB}.$$

- Supersymmetrization, Higher derivative corrections – *in progress*.
- Application to ‘doubled sigma model’ and generalization to \mathcal{M} -theory are of interest [Ivanov, Hull, Berman, Perry, Bergshoeff](#)
- Perhaps, our formalism may provide some clue to a new framework for string theory, beyond Riemann.

Concluding remarks

- $O(D, D)$ T-duality, diffeomorphism, one-form gauge symmetry fixes the low energy effective action,

$$S_{\text{eff.}} = \int dx^D e^{-2d} \mathcal{H}^{AB} S_{AB}.$$

- Supersymmetrization, Higher derivative corrections – *in progress*.
- Application to ‘doubled sigma model’ and generalization to \mathcal{M} -theory are of interest [Ivanov, Hull, Berman, Perry, Bergshoeff](#)
- Perhaps, our formalism may provide some clue to a new framework for string theory, beyond Riemann.

Thank you.