

Surprises in AdS

Sergei M. Kuzenko

School of Physics, The University of Western Australia

SQS'2011, JINR, Dubna, 18 July, 2011

Based on: Daniel Butter and SMK

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ AdS supergravity and supercurrents, arXiv:1104.2153

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric σ -models in AdS, arXiv:1105.3111

A dual formulation of supergravity-matter theories, arXiv:1106.3038

Outline

- 1 4D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ AdS superspace
- 2 Massless higher spin off-shell supermultiplets in AdS
- 3 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supercurrents in AdS
- 4 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supercurrents in Minkowski space
- 5 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric σ -models in AdS
- 6 $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric σ -models in AdS
- 7 Non-minimal AdS supergravity
- 8 Summary (List of AdS surprises)

4D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ AdS superspace

- $\mathcal{N} = 1$ AdS superspace as a coset space (nonlinear realizations etc.)

$$\text{AdS}^{4|4} = \text{OSp}(1|4)/\text{SO}(3, 1)$$

Keck (75)

Zumino (77)

Ivanov & Sorin (80)

- $\mathcal{N} = 1$ AdS superspace as a maximally symmetric solution of **old minimal supergravity** with a cosmological term.

Gates, Grisaru, Roček & Siegel, *Superspace* (83)

Buchbinder & SK, *Ideas & Methods of SUSY & SUGRA* (95)

4D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ AdS superspace

AdS supergravity action

$$S = -3 \int d^4x d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} E + \mu \int d^4x d^2\theta \mathcal{E} + \bar{\mu} \int d^4x d^2\bar{\theta} \bar{\mathcal{E}}$$

Covariant derivatives

$$\mathcal{D}_A = (\mathcal{D}_a, \mathcal{D}_\alpha, \bar{\mathcal{D}}^{\dot{\alpha}}) = E_A{}^M \partial_M + \frac{1}{2} \phi_A{}^{bc} M_{bc}$$

$\mathcal{N} = 1$ AdS superspace is characterized by the following algebra of covariant derivatives ($\mu = \text{const}$):

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \mathcal{D}_\beta\} &= -4\bar{\mu} M_{\alpha\beta}, & \{\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\beta}}\} &= -2i(\sigma^c)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \mathcal{D}_c \\ [\mathcal{D}_a, \mathcal{D}_\beta] &= -\frac{i}{2} \bar{\mu} (\sigma_a)_{\beta\dot{\gamma}} \bar{\mathcal{D}}^{\dot{\gamma}}, & [\mathcal{D}_a, \mathcal{D}_b] &= -|\mu|^2 M_{ab} \end{aligned}$$

Massless higher spin off-shell supermultiplets in AdS

Two dually equivalent off-shell formulations

for arbitrary superspin $s \geq 1$

SMK & Sibiryakov (94)

Dynamical variables include transverse and longitudinal linear superfields

Transverse linear superfield $\Gamma_{\alpha(k)\dot{\alpha}(l)}$

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{\dot{\beta}} \Gamma_{\alpha(k)\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}(l-1)} = 0, \quad l > 0$$

Longitudinal linear superfield $G_{\alpha(k)\dot{\alpha}(l)}$

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{(\dot{\alpha}_1} G_{\alpha(k)\dot{\alpha}_2 \dots \dot{\alpha}_{l+1})} = 0$$

Ivanov & Sorin (80)

Massless higher spin off-shell supermultiplets in AdS

Massless multiplet of half-integer superspin $s + 1/2$, $s = 1, 2, \dots$

SMK & Sibiryakov (94)

Transverse formulation

$$\mathcal{V}_{s+1/2}^\perp = \left\{ H_{\alpha(s)\dot{\alpha}(s)}, \Gamma_{\alpha(s-1)\dot{\alpha}(s-1)}, \bar{\Gamma}_{\alpha(s-1)\dot{\alpha}(s-1)} \right\}$$

Longitudinal formulation

$$\mathcal{V}_{s+1/2}^\parallel = \left\{ H_{\alpha(s)\dot{\alpha}(s)}, G_{\alpha(s-1)\dot{\alpha}(s-1)}, \bar{G}_{\alpha(s-1)\dot{\alpha}(s-1)} \right\}$$

Both series terminate at $s = 1$ (supergravity multiplet)

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{D}^2 - 4\mu)\Gamma &= 0 && \text{complex linear} \\ G = \phi, \quad \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}\phi &= 0 && \text{chiral} \end{aligned}$$

Massless higher spin off-shell supermultiplets in AdS

Longitudinal formulation: massless superspin-3/2 multiplet

$$S_{(3/2)}^{\parallel} = - \int d^4x d^4\theta E \left\{ \frac{1}{16} H^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \mathcal{D}^{\beta} (\bar{\mathcal{D}}^2 - 4\mu) \mathcal{D}_{\beta} H_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{48} ([\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}] H^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha})^2 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{4} (\mathcal{D}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} H^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha})^2 + \frac{1}{4} \mu \bar{\mu} H^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} H_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + i H^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \mathcal{D}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} (\phi - \bar{\phi}) + 3(\phi \bar{\phi} - \phi^2 - \bar{\phi}^2) \right\}$$

gauge invariance

$$\delta H_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} \bar{L}_{\dot{\alpha}} - \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}} L_{\alpha} , \quad \delta \phi = -\frac{1}{12} (\bar{\mathcal{D}}^2 - 4R) \mathcal{D}^{\alpha} L_{\alpha}$$

This is exactly the linearized action of old minimal supergravity with a cosmological term.

Massless higher spin off-shell supermultiplets in AdS

Transverse formulation: massless superspin-3/2 multiplet

$$S_{(3/2)}^\perp = - \int d^4x d^4\theta E \left\{ \frac{1}{16} H^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \mathcal{D}^\beta (\bar{\mathcal{D}}^2 - 4\mu) \mathcal{D}_\beta H_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{4} \mu \bar{\mu} H^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} H_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} H^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} (\mathcal{D}_\alpha \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}} \Gamma - \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}_\alpha \bar{\Gamma}) + \bar{\Gamma} \Gamma + \Gamma^2 + \bar{\Gamma}^2 \right\}$$

Gauge invariance

$$\delta H_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \mathcal{D}_\alpha \bar{L}_{\dot{\alpha}} - \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}} L_\alpha, \quad \delta \Gamma = -\frac{1}{4} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}^2 \bar{L}^{\dot{\alpha}}$$

In the Minkowski limit ($\mu \rightarrow 0$), $S_{(3/2)}^\perp$ arises as a linearization of non-minimal ($n = -1$) supergravity.

- General **incorrect** belief (*Superspace*, 1983 – June 2011):
Non-minimal supergravity does not allow a supersymmetric AdS solution \implies

$S_{(3/2)}^\perp$ cannot be obtained by linearizing a non-minimal supergravity action around a supersymmetric AdS solution.

$\mathcal{N} = 1$ supercurrents in AdS

- Supercurrent is a supermultiplet containing the energy-momentum tensor and the supersymmetry current(s).

Ferrara & Zumino (75)

- Supercurrent is the source of supergravity.

Ogievetsky & Sokatchev (77)

- Consistent $\mathcal{N} = 1, 2$ supercurrents can be associated with the linearized off-shell supergravity actions.

SMK (2010); Butter & SMK (2011)

- AdS supercurrents are more restrictive than those corresponding to the Poincaré supersymmetry. There are only two irreducible AdS supercurrents. They are related to each other by a well-defined improvement transformation.

Butter & SMK (2011)

$\mathcal{N} = 1$ supercurrents

- Revival of interest to supercurrent multiplets was inspired by Komargodski & Seiberg (2009,10)
- One of their main results was the observation that the Ferrara-Zumino **supercurrent** multiplet

$$\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} J_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = D_{\alpha} X , \quad \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} X = 0$$

is not well defined in some supersymmetric field theories.

Ferrara & Zumino (75)

- In particular, they showed that FZ- multiplet does not exist in the case of σ -models with a Kähler form that is not exact, or for theories with non-zero Fayet-Iliopoulos terms.
- Related studies

Dienes & Thomas (2009)
SMK (2009,10)

$\mathcal{N} = 1$ supercurrents in AdS

Minimal (12 + 12) supercurrent

(AdS extension of the Ferrara-Zumino multiplet)

$$\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} J_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} X, \quad \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} X = 0$$

Supercurrent $J_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ and trace multiplet X are defined as

$$J_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{\delta S}{\delta H^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}}, \quad X = -\frac{1}{3} \frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi}$$

Non-minimal (20 + 20) supercurrent

$$\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathbb{J}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = -\frac{1}{4} \bar{D}^2 \zeta_{\alpha}, \quad \mathcal{D}_{(\beta} \zeta_{\alpha)} = 0$$

where ζ_{α} is calculated by ($\bar{\Gamma} = \mathcal{D}^{\alpha} \psi_{\alpha} + \dots$)

$$\zeta_{\alpha} = \frac{\delta S}{\delta \psi^{\alpha}} = -\mathcal{D}_{\alpha} \frac{\delta S}{\delta \bar{\Gamma}} = \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} (V + iU)$$

Improvement transformation

$$J_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \mathbb{J}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{6} [\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}, \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}] V - \mathcal{D}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} U, \quad X = \frac{1}{12} (\bar{D}^2 - 4\mu)(V - 3iU)$$

$\mathcal{N} = 1$ supercurrents in Minkowski space

Most general (20 + 20) supercurrent multiplet in field theory:

$$\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} J_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \chi_{\alpha} + i\eta_{\alpha} + D_{\alpha} X$$

$$\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \chi_{\alpha} = \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \eta_{\alpha} = \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} X = 0, \quad D^{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha} - \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}} = D^{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha} - \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\eta}^{\dot{\alpha}} = 0$$

$J_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ **supercurrent**; X , χ_{α} and η_{α} **trace multiplets**

SMK (2010)

Somewhat different form of the conservation equation, based on the Noether procedure, was proposed earlier:

Magro, Sachs & Wolf (2002)

The three terms in r.h.s. emphasize the fact that there exist exactly **three linearized actions for minimal (12 + 12) supergravity**

Gates, SMK & Phillips (2003)

- **Only one minimal (12 + 12) action exists in AdS**

$\mathcal{N} = 1$ supercurrents in Minkowski space

(20 + 20) Supercurrent

$$\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} J_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \chi_{\alpha} + i\eta_{\alpha} + D_{\alpha} X$$

$$\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \chi_{\alpha} = \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \eta_{\alpha} = \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} X = 0, \quad D^{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha} - \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}} = D^{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha} - \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\eta}^{\dot{\alpha}} = 0$$

(12 + 12) Supercurrents

- $\chi_{\alpha} = 0$ & $\eta_{\alpha} = 0$ Ferrara-Zumino multiplet
Old minimal SUGRA [Wess & Zumino (78); Stelle & West (78);
Ferrara & van Nieuwenhuizen (78)]
- $X = 0$ & $\eta_{\alpha} = 0$ \mathcal{R} -multiplet
New minimal SUGRA [Akulov, Volkov & Soroka (77); Sohnius &
West (81)]
- $X = 0$ & $\chi_{\alpha} = 0$ \mathcal{S} -multiplet
'Very new' min SUGRA [Buchbinder, Gates, Linch & Phillips (2002)]

(16 + 16) Supercurrent (s)

- $\eta_{\alpha} = 0$ \mathcal{S} -multiplet
Komargodski & Seiberg (2010)

$\mathcal{N} = 1$ supercurrents in Minkowski space

Non-minimal (20 + 20) supercurrent

$$\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} J_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = -\frac{1}{4} \bar{D}^2 \zeta_{\alpha} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{n+1}{3n+1} D_{\alpha} \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\zeta}^{\dot{\beta}}, \quad D_{(\alpha} \zeta_{\beta)} = 0$$

where $n \neq -1/3, 0$ parametrizes the different versions of non-minimal supergravity

Breitenlohner (77); Gates, Grisaru, Roček & Siegel, *Superspace* (83)

$$\zeta_{\alpha} = D_{\alpha} Z \quad \implies \quad -\frac{1}{4} \frac{n+1}{3n+1} D_{\alpha} \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\zeta}^{\dot{\beta}} = \chi_{\alpha} + i\eta_{\alpha}$$

Only the case $n = -1$ is allowed in AdS

- The Ferrara-Zumino multiplet exist for most theories.
- It has been argued that the \mathcal{S} -multiplet
 - (i) exists always; and
 - (ii) is most general.
 Komargodski & Seiberg (2010); Dumitrescu & Seiberg (2011)
- The \mathcal{S} -multiplet does not have any natural extension to AdS.

Butter & SMK (2011)

$\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric σ -models in AdS

General $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric nonlinear σ -model in Minkowski space

$$S = \int d^4x d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} K(\phi, \bar{\phi}) + \int d^4x d^2\theta W(\phi) + \int d^4x d^2\bar{\theta} \bar{W}(\bar{\phi})$$

$K(\phi^a, \bar{\phi}^{\bar{b}})$ Kähler potential defined modulo Kähler transformations

$$K(\phi, \bar{\phi}) \rightarrow K(\phi, \bar{\phi}) + F(\phi) + \bar{F}(\bar{\phi})$$

$W(\phi^a)$ superpotential, invariant under the Kähler transformations.

The σ -model target space, \mathcal{M} , is an arbitrary Kähler manifold.

Zumino (79)

- Crucial difference of AdS superspace from flat superspace:

$$\int d^4x d^4\theta E F(\phi) = \mu \int d^4x d^2\theta \mathcal{E} F(\phi) \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \int d^4x d^2\theta \mathcal{E} W(\phi) = \frac{1}{\mu} \int d^4x d^4\theta E W(\phi)$$

$\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric σ -models in AdS

General $\mathcal{N} = 1$ nonlinear σ -model in AdS

$$S = \int d^4x d^4\theta E \mathcal{K}(\phi^a, \bar{\phi}^{\bar{b}}), \quad \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \phi^a = 0$$

Unlike in the Minkowski case, the action does not possess Kähler invariance. Nevertheless, Kähler invariance naturally emerges if we represent the Lagrangian as

$$\mathcal{K}(\phi, \bar{\phi}) = K(\phi, \bar{\phi}) + \frac{1}{\mu} W(\phi) + \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}} \bar{W}(\bar{\phi}),$$

for some **Kähler potential** K and **superpotential** W . Under a Kähler transformation, these transform as

$$K(\phi, \bar{\phi}) \rightarrow K(\phi, \bar{\phi}) + F(\phi) + \bar{F}(\bar{\phi}), \quad W(\phi) \rightarrow W(\phi) - \mu F(\phi)$$

The Kähler metric

$$g_{a\bar{b}} := \partial_a \partial_{\bar{b}} \mathcal{K} = \partial_a \partial_{\bar{b}} K$$

is invariant under the Kähler transformations.

$\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric σ -models in AdS

Implications

The σ -model couplings in AdS are more restrictive than in flat space.

- Because of

$$\int d^4x d^4\theta E F(\phi) = \mu \int d^4x d^2\theta \mathcal{E} F(\phi) \neq 0$$

the σ -model Lagrangian $\mathcal{K}(\phi, \bar{\phi})$ should be a globally defined function on the target space \mathcal{M} .

- The Kähler two-form

$$\Omega = i g_{a\bar{b}} d\phi^a \wedge d\bar{\phi}^{\bar{b}}, \quad g_{a\bar{b}} = \partial_a \partial_{\bar{b}} \mathcal{K}$$

is **exact**, and hence \mathcal{M} is necessarily **non-compact**.

Adams, Jockers, Kumar & Lapan, arXiv:1104.3155

Festuccia & Seiberg, arXiv:1105.0689

Butter & SMK, arXiv:1104.2153, arXiv:1105.3111

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric σ -models in AdS

- **Poincaré supersymmetry:** The most general 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ nonlinear σ -models were constructed in terms of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ chiral superfields 25 years ago
 Hull, Karlhede, Lindström & Roček (86)
- Extension to 5 dimensions (using 4D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ chiral superfields)
 Bagger & Xiong (2006)
- Extension to 6 dimensions (using 4D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ chiral superfields)
 Gates, Penati & Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli (2006)
- **AdS supersymmetry:** the most general 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ nonlinear σ -models in terms of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ chiral superfields
 Butter & SMK arXiv:1105.3111
- Independent analysis in five dimensions
 Bagger & Xiong, arXiv:1105.4852

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric σ -models in AdS

- Start from general $\mathcal{N} = 1$ nonlinear σ -model in AdS

$$S = \int d^4x d^4\theta E \mathcal{K}(\phi^a, \bar{\phi}^{\bar{b}}), \quad \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}} \phi^a = 0$$

and look for those restrictions on the target space geometry which guarantee that the theory is $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric

- Ansatz for second supersymmetry

$$\delta_{\varepsilon} \phi^a = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\mathcal{D}}^2 - 4\mu) (\varepsilon \bar{\Omega}^a), \quad \bar{\Omega}^a = \bar{\Omega}^a(\phi, \bar{\phi})$$

- Supersymmetry parameter

$$\bar{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon, \quad (\bar{\mathcal{D}}^2 - 4\mu) \varepsilon = \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} \varepsilon = 0 \implies \mathcal{D}_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \varepsilon = 0$$

Gates, SMK & Sibiryakov (97)

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric σ -models in AdS

The θ -dependent parameter ε contains two components:

- a bosonic parameter ξ which is defined by $\varepsilon|_{\theta=0} = \xi|\mu|^{-1}$ and describes the $O(2)$ rotations;
- a fermionic parameter $\epsilon_\alpha := \mathcal{D}_\alpha \varepsilon|_{\theta=0}$ along with its conjugate (**Killing spinor**), which generate the second supersymmetry.

$$\text{OSp}(2|4) \rightarrow \text{OSp}(1|4)$$

Schematically, ε looks like

$$\varepsilon \sim \frac{\xi}{|\mu|} + \epsilon^\alpha \theta_\alpha + \bar{\epsilon}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \xi \left(\frac{\bar{\mu}}{|\mu|} \theta^2 + \frac{\mu}{|\mu|} \bar{\theta}^2 \right)$$

$|\mu|^2$ **AdS curvature**

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric σ -models in AdS

Conditions for $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry:

- The existence of a covariantly constant two-form

$$\omega_{ab} := g_{a\bar{b}} \Omega^{\bar{b}}{}_{,b} = -\omega_{ba}$$

$$\nabla_c \omega_{ab} = \nabla_{\bar{c}} \omega_{ab} = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \omega_{ab} = \omega_{ab}(\phi) ;$$

ω_{ab} holomorphic two-form

- The existence of a certain Killing vector field

$$V^a := \mu \omega^{ab} \mathcal{K}_b , \quad V^{\bar{a}} := \bar{\mu} \bar{\omega}^{\bar{a}\bar{b}} \mathcal{K}_{\bar{b}}$$

$$\nabla_a V_{\bar{b}} + \nabla_{\bar{b}} V_a = 0 , \quad \nabla_a V_b = -\bar{\mu} \omega_{ab} = -\nabla_b V_a$$

The first condition occurs both in the Minkowski and AdS cases. The second condition is characteristic of AdS supersymmetry only.

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric σ -models in AdS

OSp(1|4) isometries of AdS^{4|4} are generated by Killing vector fields defined as

$$\Lambda = \lambda^a \mathcal{D}_a + \lambda^\alpha \mathcal{D}_\alpha + \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\mathcal{D}}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad [\Lambda + \frac{1}{2} \omega^{bc} M_{bc}, \mathcal{D}_A] = 0$$

for some Lorentz transformation ω^{bc} , $\omega_{\alpha\beta} = \mathcal{D}_{(\alpha} \lambda_{\beta)}$.

Closure of the supersymmetry algebra

- OSp(1|4) transformation of the chiral fields

$$\delta_\Lambda \phi^a = \Lambda \phi^a$$

- commutator of two second SUSY transformations

$$[\delta_{\varepsilon_2}, \delta_{\varepsilon_1}] \phi^a = -\omega^{ac} \omega_{cb} \Lambda_{[\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1]} \phi^b \implies \boxed{\omega^{ac} \omega_{cb} = -\delta^a_b}$$

where $\tilde{\lambda}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} := 4i(\varepsilon_2^\alpha \bar{\varepsilon}_1^{\dot{\alpha}} - \varepsilon_1^\alpha \bar{\varepsilon}_2^{\dot{\alpha}})$ and $\tilde{\lambda}^\alpha := 2\mu(\varepsilon_1^\alpha \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2^\alpha \varepsilon_1)$

- commutator of OSp(1|4) transformation and second SUSY

$$[\delta_\Lambda, \delta_\varepsilon] \phi^a = -\delta_{\Lambda\varepsilon} \phi^a$$

The algebra of OSp(2|4) transformations closes off the mass shell

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric σ -models in AdS

The implications of

$$\omega^{ac}\omega_{cb} = -\delta^a_b$$

are the same as in the super-Poincaré case

Hull, Karlhede, Lindström & Roček (86)

In addition to the canonical complex structure

$$J_3 = \begin{pmatrix} i\delta^a_b & 0 \\ 0 & -i\delta^{\bar{a}}_{\bar{b}} \end{pmatrix},$$

we may construct two more integrable complex structures

$$J_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega^{a\bar{b}} \\ \omega^{\bar{a}b} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\omega^{a\bar{b}} \\ -i\omega^{\bar{a}b} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

such that \mathcal{M} is Kähler with respect to each of them. The operators $J_A = (J_1, J_2, J_3)$ obey the quaternionic algebra

$$J_A J_B = -\delta_{AB} \mathbb{I} + \varepsilon_{ABC} J_C$$

Target space is hyperkähler manifold

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric σ -models in AdS

Geometry of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ AdS σ -models

- AdS supersymmetry demands the existence of the vector field

$$V^\nu = (V^a, V^{\bar{a}}), \quad V^a = \mu \omega^{ab} \mathcal{K}_b, \quad V^{\bar{a}} = \bar{\mu} \bar{\omega}^{\bar{a}\bar{b}} \mathcal{K}_{\bar{b}}$$

which obeys the Killing equations

$$\nabla_a V_b + \nabla_b V_a = \nabla_a V_{\bar{b}} + \nabla_{\bar{b}} V_a = 0$$

- V is not holomorphic with respect to J_3 .
- However, V is holomorphic with respect to a certain linear combination of J_1 and J_2 , namely

$$J := \frac{\operatorname{Re} \mu}{|\mu|} J_1 + \frac{\operatorname{Im} \mu}{|\mu|} J_2 = \frac{1}{|\mu|} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mu \omega^{a\bar{b}} \\ \bar{\mu} \omega^{\bar{a}b} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J^2 = -\mathbb{1}$$

Non-minimal AdS supergravity

Traditional approach to non-minimal supergravity

Breitenlohner (77); Siegel & Gates (79)

- Any $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity-matter system, including the new minimal ($n = 0$) and non-minimal ($n \neq -1/3, 0$) supergravity theories, can be realized as a super-Weyl invariant coupling of the old minimal supergravity to matter.
 Ferrara, Girardello, Kugo & Van Proeyen (83)
 Buchbinder, SMK & Soloviev (89)

- To describe the non-minimal supergravity, the old minimal supergravity is coupled to a complex linear superfield Σ

$$(\bar{D}^2 - 4R)\Sigma = 0$$

with the super-Weyl transformation law

$$\delta_\sigma \Sigma = \left[\frac{3n-1}{3n+1} \sigma - \bar{\sigma} \right] \Sigma, \quad \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \sigma = 0$$

- The super-Weyl invariant action for pure non-minimal supergravity is

$$S_{\text{non-minimal}} = \frac{1}{n} \int d^4x d^4\theta E(\Sigma \bar{\Sigma})^{(3n+1)/2}$$

Non-minimal AdS supergravity

The traditional approach cannot be used to realize AdS supergravity
 Gates, Grisaru, Roček & Siegel, *Superspace* (83)

New approach

Butter & SMK (2011)

- Only in the case $n = -1$, we can deform the linear constraint

$$-\frac{1}{4}(\bar{\mathcal{D}}^2 - 4R)\Sigma = 0 \quad \longrightarrow \quad -\frac{1}{4}(\bar{\mathcal{D}}^2 - 4R)\Gamma = W(\varphi)$$

with $W(\varphi)$ **super-Weyl invariant** matter superpotential

- General SUGRA-matter system

$$S = -3 \int d^4x d^4\theta E \phi \bar{\phi} e^{-K/3} + \int d^4x d^2\theta \mathcal{E} \phi^3 W + \int d^4x d^2\bar{\theta} \bar{\mathcal{E}} \bar{\phi}^3 \bar{W}$$

proves to be dual to

$$S_{\text{dual}} = - \int d^4x d^4\theta E e^{-K} (\Gamma \bar{\Gamma})^{-1}$$

Non-minimal AdS supergravity

- Improved linear constraint corresponding to AdS supergravity

$$-\frac{1}{4}(\bar{\mathcal{D}}^2 - 4R)\Gamma = \mu = \text{const}$$

- Minimal AdS supergravity action

$$S_{\text{AdS, min}} = -3 \int d^4x d^4\theta E \phi \bar{\phi} + \mu \int d^4x d^2\theta \mathcal{E} \phi^3 + \bar{\mu} \int d^4x d^2\bar{\theta} \bar{\mathcal{E}} \bar{\phi}^3$$

is dual to

$$S_{\text{AdS, non-min}} = - \int d^4x d^4\theta E (\Gamma \bar{\Gamma})^{-1}$$

- Linearizing $S_{\text{AdS, non-min}}$ around the AdS background gives **transverse formulation** for massless superspin-3/2 multiplet

$$S_{(3/2)}^\perp = - \int d^4x d^4\theta E \left\{ \frac{1}{16} H^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \mathcal{D}^\beta (\bar{\mathcal{D}}^2 - 4\mu) \mathcal{D}_\beta H_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{4} \mu \bar{\mu} H^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} H_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} H^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} (\mathcal{D}_\alpha \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}} \Sigma - \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}_\alpha \bar{\Sigma}) + \bar{\Sigma} \Sigma + \Sigma^2 + \bar{\Sigma}^2 \right\}$$

Summary (List of AdS surprises)

- The FZ supercurrent multiplet is the most general in AdS.
- The target spaces corresponding to arbitrary $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric σ -models in AdS are characterized by exact Kähler forms.
- For arbitrary $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric σ -models in AdS formulated in terms of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ chiral superfields, the algebra of $\text{OSp}(2|4)$ transformations closes off the mass shell.
- In spite of the 28-year old belief, there exists non-minimal $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity. (The way around the “no-go” analysis of *Superspace* is deformation of the linear constraint.)