

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Physics Letters B 639 (2006) 172-178

PHYSICS LETTERS B

www.elsevier.com/locate/physletb

## A search for $t \to \tau \nu q$ in $t\bar{t}$ production

**CDF** Collaboration

A. Abulencia<sup>w</sup>, D. Acosta<sup>q</sup>, J. Adelman<sup>m</sup>, T. Affolder<sup>j</sup>, T. Akimoto<sup>bc</sup>, M.G. Albrow<sup>p</sup>, D. Ambrose<sup>p</sup>, S. Amerio<sup>ar</sup>, D. Amidei<sup>ai</sup>, A. Anastassov<sup>az</sup>, K. Anikeev<sup>p</sup>, A. Annovi<sup>at</sup>, J. Antos<sup>a</sup>, M. Aoki<sup>bc</sup>, G. Apollinari<sup>p</sup>, J.-F. Arguin<sup>ah</sup>, T. Arisawa<sup>be</sup>, A. Artikov<sup>n</sup>, W. Ashmanskas<sup>p</sup>, A. Attal<sup>h</sup>, F. Azfar<sup>aq</sup>, P. Azzi-Bacchetta<sup>ar</sup>, P. Azzurri<sup>at</sup>, N. Bacchetta<sup>ar</sup>, H. Bachacou<sup>ad</sup>, W. Badgett<sup>p</sup>, A. Barbaro-Galtieri<sup>ad</sup>, V.E. Barnes<sup>av</sup>, B.A. Barnett<sup>x</sup>, S. Baroiant<sup>g</sup>, V. Bartsch<sup>af</sup>, G. Bauer<sup>ag</sup>, F. Bedeschi<sup>at</sup>, S. Behari<sup>x</sup>, S. Belforte<sup>bb</sup>, G. Bellettini<sup>at</sup>, J. Bellinger<sup>bg</sup>, A. Belloni<sup>ag</sup>, E. Ben-Haim<sup>p</sup>, D. Benjamin<sup>o</sup>, A. Beretvas<sup>p</sup>, J. Beringer<sup>ad</sup>, T. Berry<sup>ae</sup>, A. Bhatti<sup>ax</sup>, M. Binkley<sup>p</sup>, D. Bisello<sup>ar</sup>, M. Bishai<sup>p</sup>, R.E. Blair<sup>b</sup>, C. Blocker<sup>f</sup>, K. Bloom<sup>ai</sup>, B. Blumenfeld<sup>x</sup>, A. Bocci<sup>ax</sup>, A. Bodek<sup>aw</sup>, V. Boisvert<sup>aw</sup>, G. Bolla<sup>av</sup>, A. Bolshov<sup>ag</sup>, D. Bortoletto<sup>av</sup>, J. Boudreau<sup>au</sup>, S. Bourov<sup>p</sup>, A. Boveia<sup>j</sup>, B. Brau<sup>j</sup>, C. Bromberg<sup>aj</sup>, E. Brubaker<sup>m</sup>, J. Budagov<sup>n</sup>, H.S. Budd<sup>aw</sup>, S. Budd<sup>w</sup>, K. Burkett<sup>p</sup>, G. Busetto ar, P. Bussey<sup>t</sup>, K.L. Byrum<sup>b</sup>, S. Cabrera<sup>o</sup>, M. Campanelli<sup>s</sup>, M. Campbell<sup>ai</sup>, F. Canelli<sup>h</sup>, A. Canepa<sup>av</sup>, D. Carlsmith<sup>bg</sup>, R. Carosi<sup>at</sup>, S. Carron<sup>o</sup>, M. Casarsa<sup>bb</sup>, A. Castro<sup>e</sup>, P. Catastini<sup>at</sup>, D. Cauz<sup>bb</sup>, M. Cavalli-Sforza<sup>c</sup>, A. Cerri<sup>ad</sup>, L. Cerrito<sup>aq</sup>, S.H. Chang<sup>aa,ab,ac</sup>, J. Chapman<sup>ai</sup>, Y.C. Chen<sup>a</sup>, M. Chertok<sup>g</sup>, G. Chiarelli<sup>at</sup>, G. Chlachidze<sup>n</sup>, F. Chlebana<sup>p</sup>, I. Cho<sup>aa,ab,ac</sup>, K. Cho<sup>aa,ab,ac</sup>, D. Chokheli<sup>n</sup>, J.P. Chou<sup>u</sup>, P.H. Chu<sup>w</sup>, S.H. Chuang<sup>bg</sup>, K. Chung<sup>1</sup>, W.H. Chung<sup>bg</sup>, Y.S. Chung<sup>aw</sup>, M. Ciljak<sup>at</sup>, C.I. Ciobanu<sup>w</sup>, M.A. Ciocci<sup>at</sup>, A. Clark<sup>s</sup>, D. Clark<sup>f</sup>, M. Coca<sup>o</sup>, A. Connolly<sup>ad</sup>, M.E. Convery<sup>ax</sup>, J. Conway<sup>g</sup>, B. Cooper<sup>af</sup>, K. Copic<sup>ai</sup>, M. Cordelli<sup>r</sup>, G. Cortiana<sup>ar</sup>, A. Cruz<sup>q</sup>, J. Cuevas<sup>k</sup>, R. Culbertson<sup>p</sup>, D. Cyr<sup>bg</sup>, S. DaRonco<sup>ar</sup>, S. D'Auria<sup>t</sup>, M. D'onofrio<sup>s</sup>, D. Dagenhart<sup>f</sup>, P. de Barbaro<sup>aw</sup>, S. De Cecco<sup>ay</sup>, A. Deisher<sup>ad</sup>, G. De Lentdecker<sup>aw</sup>, M. Dell'Orso<sup>at</sup>, S. Demers<sup>aw,\*</sup>, L. Demortier <sup>ax</sup>, J. Deng<sup>o</sup>, M. Deninno<sup>e</sup>, D. De Pedis<sup>ay</sup>, P.F. Derwent<sup>p</sup>, C. Dionisi<sup>ay</sup>, J.R. Dittmann<sup>d</sup>, P. DiTuro<sup>az</sup>, C. Dörr<sup>y</sup>, A. Dominguez<sup>ad</sup>, S. Donati<sup>at</sup>, M. Donega<sup>s</sup>, P. Dong<sup>h</sup>, J. Donini<sup>ar</sup>, T. Dorigo<sup>ar</sup>, S. Dube<sup>az</sup>, K. Ebina<sup>be</sup>, J. Efron<sup>an</sup>, J. Ehlers<sup>s</sup>, R. Erbacher<sup>g</sup>, D. Errede<sup>w</sup>, S. Errede<sup>w</sup>, R. Eusebi<sup>aw</sup>, H.C. Fang<sup>ad</sup>, S. Farrington<sup>ae</sup>, I. Fedorko<sup>at</sup>, W.T. Fedorko<sup>m</sup>, R.G. Feild<sup>bh</sup>, M. Feindt<sup>y</sup>, J.P. Fernandez<sup>av</sup>, R. Field<sup>q</sup>, G. Flanagan<sup>aj</sup>, L.R. Flores-Castillo<sup>au</sup>, A. Foland<sup>u</sup>, S. Forrester<sup>g</sup>, G.W. Foster<sup>p</sup>, M. Franklin<sup>u</sup>, J.C. Freeman<sup>ad</sup>, Y. Fujii<sup>z</sup>, I. Furic<sup>m</sup>, A. Gajjar<sup>ae</sup>, M. Gallinaro<sup>ax</sup>, J. Galyardt<sup>1</sup>, J.E. Garcia<sup>at</sup>, M. Garcia Sciveres<sup>ad</sup>, A.F. Garfinkel<sup>av</sup>, C. Gay<sup>bh</sup>, H. Gerberich<sup>w</sup>, E. Gerchtein<sup>1</sup>, D. Gerdes<sup>ai</sup>, S. Giagu<sup>ay</sup>, P. Giannetti<sup>at</sup>, A. Gibson<sup>ad</sup>, K. Gibson<sup>1</sup>, C. Ginsburg<sup>p</sup>, K. Giolo<sup>av</sup>, M. Giordani<sup>bb</sup>, M. Giunta<sup>at</sup>, G. Giurgiu<sup>1</sup>, V. Glagolev<sup>n</sup>, D. Glenzinski<sup>p</sup>, M. Gold<sup>al</sup>, N. Goldschmidt<sup>ai</sup>, J. Goldstein<sup>aq</sup>, G. Gomez<sup>k</sup>, G. Gomez-Ceballos<sup>k</sup>, M. Goncharov<sup>ba</sup>, O. González<sup>av</sup>, I. Gorelov<sup>al</sup>, A.T. Goshaw<sup>o</sup>, Y. Gotra<sup>au</sup>, K. Goulianos<sup>ax</sup>, A. Gresele<sup>ar</sup>, M. Griffiths <sup>ae</sup>, S. Grinstein<sup>u</sup>, C. Grosso-Pilcher<sup>m</sup>, U. Grundler<sup>w</sup>, J. Guimaraes da Costa<sup>u</sup>, C. Haber <sup>ad</sup>, S.R. Hahn <sup>p</sup>, K. Hahn <sup>as</sup>, E. Halkiadakis <sup>aw</sup>, A. Hamilton <sup>ah</sup>, B.-Y. Han <sup>aw</sup>, R. Handler <sup>bg</sup>, F. Happacher<sup>r</sup>, K. Hara<sup>bc</sup>, M. Hare<sup>bd</sup>, S. Harper<sup>aq</sup>, R.F. Harr<sup>bf</sup>, R.M. Harris<sup>p</sup>, K. Hatakeyama<sup>ax</sup>, J. Hauser<sup>h</sup>, C. Hays<sup>o</sup>, H. Hayward<sup>ae</sup>, A. Heijboer<sup>as</sup>, B. Heinemann<sup>ae</sup>, J. Heinrich<sup>as</sup>, M. Hennecke<sup>y</sup>, M. Herndon<sup>bg</sup>, J. Heuser<sup>y</sup>, D. Hidas<sup>o</sup>, C.S. Hill<sup>j</sup>, D. Hirschbuehl<sup>y</sup>, A. Hocker<sup>p</sup>, A. Holloway<sup>u</sup>,

S. Hou<sup>a</sup>, M. Houlden<sup>ae</sup>, S.-C. Hsu<sup>i</sup>, B.T. Huffman<sup>aq</sup>, R.E. Hughes<sup>an</sup>, J. Huston<sup>aj</sup>, K. Ikado<sup>be</sup>, J. Incandela<sup>j</sup>, J. Insler<sup>aw</sup>, G. Introzzi<sup>at</sup>, M. Iori<sup>ay</sup>, Y. Ishizawa<sup>bc</sup>, A. Ivanov<sup>g</sup>, B. Iyutin<sup>ag</sup>, E. James<sup>p</sup>, D. Jang<sup>az</sup>, B. Jayatilaka<sup>ai</sup>, D. Jeans<sup>ay</sup>, H. Jensen<sup>p</sup>, E.J. Jeon<sup>aa,ab,ac</sup>, M. Jones<sup>av</sup>, K.K. Joo<sup>aa,ab,ac</sup> S.Y. Jun<sup>1</sup>, T.R. Junk<sup>w</sup>, T. Kamon<sup>ba</sup>, J. Kang<sup>ai</sup>, M. Karagoz-Unel<sup>am</sup>, P.E. Karchin<sup>bf</sup>, Y. Kato<sup>ap</sup>, Y. Kemp<sup>y</sup>, R. Kephart<sup>p</sup>, U. Kerzel<sup>y</sup>, V. Khotilovich<sup>ba</sup>, B. Kilminster<sup>an</sup>, D.H. Kim<sup>aa,ab,ac</sup>, H.S. Kim<sup>aa,ab,ac</sup>, J.E. Kim<sup>aa,ab,ac</sup>, M.J. Kim<sup>1</sup>, M.S. Kim<sup>aa,ab,ac</sup>, S.B. Kim<sup>aa,ab,ac</sup>, S.H. Kim<sup>bc</sup>, Y.K. Kim<sup>m</sup>, M. Kirby<sup>o</sup>, L. Kirsch<sup>f</sup>, S. Klimenko<sup>q</sup>, M. Klute<sup>ag</sup>, B. Knuteson<sup>ag</sup>, B.R. Ko<sup>o</sup>, H. Kobayashi<sup>bc</sup>, K. Kondo<sup>be</sup>, D.J. Kong<sup>aa,ab,ac</sup>, J. Konigsberg<sup>q</sup>, K. Kordas<sup>r</sup>, A. Korytov<sup>q</sup>, A.V. Kotwal<sup>o</sup>, A. Kovalev<sup>as</sup>, J. Kraus<sup>w</sup>, I. Kravchenko<sup>ag</sup>, M. Kreps<sup>y</sup>, A. Kreymer<sup>p</sup>, J. Kroll<sup>as</sup>, N. Krumnack<sup>d</sup>, M. Kruse<sup>o</sup>, V. Krutelyov<sup>ba</sup>, S.E. Kuhlmann<sup>b</sup>, Y. Kusakabe<sup>be</sup>, S. Kwang<sup>m</sup>, A.T. Laasanen<sup>av</sup>, S. Lai<sup>ah</sup>, S. Lami<sup>at</sup>, S. Lammel<sup>p</sup>, M. Lancaster<sup>af</sup>, R.L. Lander<sup>g</sup>, K. Lannon<sup>an</sup>, A. Lath<sup>az</sup>, G. Latino<sup>at</sup>, I. Lazzizzera<sup>ar</sup>, C. Lecci<sup>y</sup>, T. LeCompte<sup>b</sup>, J. Lee<sup>aw</sup>, J. Lee<sup>aa,ab,ac</sup>, S.W. Lee<sup>ba</sup>, R. Lefèvre<sup>c</sup>, N. Leonardo<sup>ag</sup>, S. Leone<sup>at</sup>, S. Levy<sup>m</sup>, J.D. Lewis<sup>p</sup>, K. Li<sup>bh</sup>, C. Lin<sup>bh</sup>, C.S. Lin<sup>p</sup>, M. Lindgren<sup>p</sup>, E. Lipeles<sup>i</sup>, T.M. Liss<sup>w</sup>, A. Lister<sup>s</sup>, D.O. Litvintsev<sup>p</sup>, T. Liu<sup>p</sup>, Y. Liu<sup>s</sup>, N.S. Lockyer<sup>as</sup>, A. Loginov<sup>ak</sup>, M. Loreti<sup>ar</sup>, P. Loverre<sup>ay</sup>, R.-S. Lu<sup>a</sup>, D. Lucchesi<sup>ar</sup>, P. Lujan<sup>ad</sup>, P. Lukens<sup>p</sup>, G. Lungu<sup>q</sup>, L. Lyons<sup>aq</sup>, J. Lys<sup>ad</sup>, R. Lysak<sup>a</sup>, E. Lytken<sup>av</sup>, P. Mack<sup>y</sup>, D. MacQueen<sup>ah</sup>, R. Madrak<sup>p</sup>, K. Maeshima<sup>p</sup>, P. Maksimovic<sup>x</sup>, G. Manca<sup>ae</sup>, F. Margaroli<sup>e</sup>, R. Marginean<sup>p</sup>, C. Marino<sup>w</sup>, A. Martin<sup>bh</sup>, M. Martin<sup>x</sup>, V. Martin<sup>am</sup>, M. Martínez<sup>c</sup> T. Maruyama<sup>bc</sup>, H. Matsunaga<sup>bc</sup>, M.E. Mattson<sup>bf</sup>, R. Mazini<sup>ah</sup>, P. Mazzanti<sup>e</sup>, K.S. McFarland<sup>aw</sup>, D. McGivern<sup>af</sup>, P. McIntyre<sup>ba</sup>, P. McNamara<sup>az</sup>, R. McNulty<sup>ae</sup>, A. Mehta<sup>ae</sup>, S. Menzemer<sup>ag</sup>, A. Menzione<sup>at</sup>, P. Merkel<sup>av</sup>, C. Mesropian<sup>ax</sup>, A. Messina<sup>ay</sup>, M. von der Mey<sup>h</sup>, T. Miao<sup>p</sup>, N. Miladinovic<sup>f</sup>, J. Miles<sup>ag</sup>, R. Miller<sup>aj</sup>, J.S. Miller<sup>ai</sup>, C. Mills<sup>j</sup>, M. Milnik<sup>y</sup>, R. Miquel<sup>ad</sup>, S. Miscetti<sup>r</sup>, G. Mitselmakher<sup>q</sup>, A. Miyamoto<sup>z</sup>, N. Moggi<sup>e</sup>, B. Mohr<sup>h</sup>, R. Moore<sup>p</sup>, M. Morello<sup>at</sup>, P. Movilla Fernandez<sup>ad</sup>, J. Mülmenstädt<sup>ad</sup>, A. Mukherjee<sup>p</sup>, M. Mulhearn<sup>ag</sup>, Th. Muller<sup>y</sup>, R. Mumford<sup>x</sup>, P. Murat<sup>p</sup>, J. Nachtman<sup>p</sup>, S. Nahn<sup>bh</sup>, I. Nakano<sup>ao</sup>, A. Napier<sup>bd</sup>, D. Naumov<sup>al</sup>, V. Necula<sup>q</sup>, C. Neu<sup>as</sup>, M.S. Neubauer<sup>i</sup>, J. Nielsen<sup>ad</sup>, T. Nigmanov<sup>au</sup>, L. Nodulman<sup>b</sup>, O. Norniella<sup>c</sup>, T. Ogawa<sup>be</sup>, S.H. Oh<sup>o</sup>, Y.D. Oh<sup>aa,ab,ac</sup>, T. Okusawa<sup>ap</sup>, R. Oldeman<sup>ae</sup>, R. Orava<sup>v</sup>, K. Osterberg<sup>v</sup>, C. Pagliarone<sup>at</sup>, E. Palencia<sup>k</sup>, R. Paoletti<sup>at</sup>, V. Papadimitriou<sup>p</sup>, A. Papikonomou<sup>y</sup>, A.A. Paramonov<sup>m</sup>, B. Parks<sup>an</sup>, S. Pashapour<sup>ah</sup>, J. Patrick<sup>p</sup>, G. Pauletta <sup>bb</sup>, M. Paulini<sup>1</sup>, C. Paus<sup>ag</sup>, D.E. Pellett<sup>g</sup>, A. Penzo<sup>bb</sup>, J. Petruccelli<sup>aw</sup>, T.J. Phillips<sup>o</sup>, G. Piacentino<sup>at</sup>, J. Piedra<sup>k</sup>, K. Pitts<sup>w</sup>, C. Plager<sup>h</sup>, L. Pondrom<sup>bg</sup>, G. Pope<sup>au</sup>, X. Portell<sup>c</sup>, O. Poukhov<sup>n</sup>, N. Pounder<sup>aq</sup>, F. Prakoshyn<sup>n</sup>, A. Pronko<sup>p</sup>, J. Proudfoot<sup>b</sup>, F. Ptohos<sup>r</sup>, G. Punzi<sup>at</sup>, J. Pursley<sup>x</sup>, J. Rademacker<sup>aq</sup>, A. Rahaman<sup>au</sup>, A. Rakitin<sup>ag</sup>, S. Rappoccio<sup>u</sup>, F. Ratnikov<sup>az</sup>, B. Reisert<sup>p</sup>, V. Rekovic<sup>al</sup>, N. van Remortel<sup>v</sup>, P. Renton<sup>aq</sup>, M. Rescigno<sup>ay</sup>, S. Richter<sup>y</sup>, F. Rimondi<sup>e</sup>, K. Rinnert<sup>y</sup>, L. Ristori<sup>at</sup>, W.J. Robertson<sup>o</sup>, A. Robson<sup>t</sup>, T. Rodrigo<sup>k</sup>, E. Rogers<sup>w</sup>, S. Rolli<sup>bd</sup>, R. Roser<sup>p</sup>, M. Rossi<sup>bb</sup>, R. Rossin<sup>q</sup>, C. Rott<sup>av</sup>, A. Ruiz<sup>k</sup>, J. Russ<sup>1</sup>, V. Rusu<sup>m</sup>, D. Ryan<sup>bd</sup>, H. Saarikko<sup>v</sup>, S. Sabik<sup>ah</sup>, A. Safonov<sup>g</sup>, W.K. Sakumoto<sup>aw</sup>, G. Salamanna<sup>ay</sup>, O. Salto<sup>c</sup>, D. Saltzberg<sup>h</sup>, C. Sanchez<sup>c</sup>, L. Santi<sup>bb</sup>, S. Sarkar<sup>ay</sup>, K. Sato<sup>bc</sup>, P. Savard<sup>ah</sup>, A. Savoy-Navarro<sup>p</sup>, T. Scheidle<sup>y</sup>, P. Schlabach<sup>p</sup>, E.E. Schmidt<sup>p</sup>, M.P. Schmidt<sup>bh</sup>, M. Schmitt<sup>am</sup>, T. Schwarz<sup>ai</sup>, L. Scodellaro<sup>k</sup>, A.L. Scott<sup>j</sup>, A. Scribano<sup>at</sup>, F. Scuri<sup>at</sup>, A. Sedov<sup>av</sup>, S. Seidel<sup>al</sup>, Y. Seiya<sup>ap</sup>, A. Semenov<sup>n</sup>, F. Semeria<sup>e</sup>, L. Sexton-Kennedy<sup>p</sup>, I. Sfiligoi<sup>r</sup>, M.D. Shapiro<sup>ad</sup>, T. Shears<sup>ae</sup>, P.F. Shepard<sup>au</sup>, D. Sherman<sup>u</sup>, M. Shimojima<sup>bc</sup>, M. Shochet<sup>m</sup>, Y. Shon<sup>bg</sup>, I. Shreyber<sup>ak</sup>, A. Sidoti<sup>at</sup>, A. Sill<sup>p</sup>, P. Sinervo<sup>ah</sup>, A. Sisakyan<sup>n</sup>, J. Sjolin<sup>aq</sup>, A. Skiba<sup>y</sup>, A.J. Slaughter<sup>p</sup>, K. Sliwa<sup>bd</sup>, D. Smirnov<sup>al</sup>, J.R. Smith<sup>g</sup>, F.D. Snider<sup>p</sup>, R. Snihur<sup>ah</sup>, M. Soderberg<sup>ai</sup>, A. Soha<sup>g</sup>, S. Somalwar<sup>az</sup>, V. Sorin<sup>aj</sup>, J. Spalding<sup>p</sup>, F. Spinella<sup>at</sup>, P. Squillacioti<sup>at</sup>, M. Stanitzki<sup>bh</sup>, A. Staveris-Polykalas<sup>at</sup>, R.St. Denis<sup>t</sup>, B. Stelzer<sup>h</sup>, O. Stelzer-Chilton<sup>ah</sup>, D. Stentz<sup>am</sup>, J. Strologas<sup>al</sup>, D. Stuart<sup>j</sup>, J.S. Suh<sup>aa,ab,ac</sup>,

A. Sukhanov<sup>q</sup>, K. Sumorok<sup>ag</sup>, H. Sun<sup>bd</sup>, T. Suzuki<sup>bc</sup>, A. Taffard<sup>w</sup>, R. Tafirout<sup>ah</sup>, R. Takashima<sup>ao</sup>, Y. Takeuchi<sup>bc</sup>, K. Takikawa<sup>bc</sup>, M. Tanaka<sup>b</sup>, R. Tanaka<sup>ao</sup>, M. Tecchio<sup>ai</sup>, P.K. Teng<sup>a</sup>, K. Terashi<sup>ax</sup>, S. Tether<sup>ag</sup>, J. Thom<sup>p</sup>, A.S. Thompson<sup>t</sup>, E. Thomson<sup>as</sup>, P. Tipton<sup>aw</sup>, V. Tiwari<sup>1</sup>, S. Tkaczyk<sup>p</sup>, D. Toback<sup>ba</sup>, K. Tollefson<sup>aj</sup>, T. Tomura<sup>bc</sup>, D. Tonelli<sup>at</sup>, M. Tönnesmann<sup>aj</sup>, S. Torre<sup>at</sup>, D. Torretta<sup>p</sup>, S. Tourneur<sup>p</sup>, W. Trischuk<sup>ah</sup>, R. Tsuchiya<sup>be</sup>, S. Tsuno<sup>ao</sup>, N. Turini<sup>at</sup>, F. Ukegawa<sup>bc</sup>, T. Unverhau<sup>t</sup>, S. Uozumi<sup>bc</sup>, D. Usynin<sup>as</sup>, L. Vacavant<sup>ad</sup>, A. Vaiciulis<sup>aw</sup>, S. Vallecorsa<sup>s</sup>, A. Varganov<sup>ai</sup>, E. Vataga<sup>al</sup>, G. Velev<sup>p</sup>, G. Veramendi<sup>w</sup>, V. Veszpremi<sup>av</sup>, T. Vickey<sup>w</sup>, R. Vidal<sup>p</sup>, I. Vila<sup>k</sup>, R. Vilar<sup>k</sup>, I. Vollrath<sup>ah</sup>, I. Volobouev<sup>ad</sup>, F. Würthwein<sup>i</sup>, P. Wagner<sup>ba</sup>, R.G. Wagner<sup>b</sup>, R.L. Wagner<sup>p</sup>, W. Wagner<sup>y</sup>, R. Wallny<sup>h</sup>, T. Walter<sup>y</sup>, Z. Wan<sup>az</sup>, M.J. Wang<sup>a</sup>, S.M. Wang<sup>q</sup>, A. Warburton<sup>ah</sup>, B. Ward<sup>t</sup>, S. Waschke<sup>t</sup>, D. Waters<sup>af</sup>, T. Watts<sup>az</sup>, M. Weber<sup>ad</sup>, W.C. Wester III<sup>p</sup>, B. Whitehouse<sup>bd</sup>, D. Whiteson<sup>as</sup>, A.B. Wicklund<sup>b</sup>, E. Wicklund<sup>p</sup>, H.H. Williams<sup>as</sup>, P. Wilson<sup>p</sup>, B.L. Winer<sup>an</sup>, P. Wittich<sup>as</sup>, S. Wolbers<sup>p</sup>, C. Wolfe<sup>m</sup>, S. Worm<sup>az</sup>, T. Wright<sup>ai</sup>, X. Wu<sup>s</sup>, S.M. Wynne<sup>ae</sup>, A. Yagil<sup>p</sup>, K. Yamamoto<sup>ap</sup>, J. Yamaoka<sup>az</sup>, T. Yamashita<sup>ao</sup>, C. Yang<sup>bh</sup>, U.K. Yang<sup>m</sup>, W.M. Yao<sup>ad</sup>, G.P. Yeh<sup>p</sup>, J. Yoh<sup>p</sup>, K. Yorita<sup>m</sup>, T. Yoshida<sup>ap</sup>, I. Yu<sup>aa,ab,ac</sup>, S.S. Yu<sup>as</sup>, J.C. Yun<sup>p</sup>, L. Zanello<sup>ay</sup>, A. Zanetti<sup>bb</sup>, I. Zaw<sup>u</sup>, F. Zetti<sup>at</sup>, X. Zhang<sup>w</sup>, J. Zhou<sup>az</sup>, S. Zucchelli<sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei 11529, Taiwan

<sup>b</sup> Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439, USA

<sup>c</sup> Institut de Fisica d'Altes Energies, Universitat Autonoma de Barcelona, E-08193, Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain

<sup>d</sup> Baylor University, Waco, TX 76798, USA

<sup>e</sup> Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, University of Bologna, I-40127 Bologna, Italy

<sup>f</sup> Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02254, USA

<sup>g</sup> University of California, Davis, Davis, CA 95616, USA

<sup>h</sup> University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90024, USA

<sup>i</sup> University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA <sup>j</sup> University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA

<sup>k</sup> Instituto de Fisica de Cantabria, CSIC-University of Cantabria, 39005 Santander, Spain

<sup>1</sup> Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA

<sup>m</sup> Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

<sup>n</sup> Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, RU-141980 Dubna, Russia

<sup>o</sup> Duke University, Durham, NC 27708, USA

<sup>p</sup> Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, IL 60510, USA

<sup>q</sup> University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA

<sup>r</sup> Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, I-00044 Frascati, Italy

<sup>s</sup> University of Geneva, CH-1211 Geneva 4, Switzerland

<sup>t</sup> Glasgow University, Glasgow G12 8QQ, United Kingdom

<sup>u</sup> Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

v Division of High Energy Physics, Department of Physics, University of Helsinki and Helsinki Institute of Physics, FIN-00014, Helsinki, Finland

<sup>w</sup> University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

<sup>x</sup> The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

<sup>y</sup> Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Universität Karlsruhe, 76128 Karlsruhe, Germany

<sup>z</sup> High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan

<sup>aa</sup> Center for High Energy Physics, Kyungpook National University, Taegu 702-701, South Korea

<sup>ab</sup> Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, South Korea

<sup>ac</sup> SungKyunKwan University, Suwon 440-746, South Korea

ad Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

<sup>ae</sup> University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7ZE, United Kingdom

<sup>af</sup> University College London, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom

<sup>ag</sup> Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

ah Institute of Particle Physics: McGill University, Montréal, Canada H3A 278; and University of Toronto, Toronto M5S 1A7, Canada

<sup>ai</sup> University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

<sup>aj</sup> Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

<sup>ak</sup> Institution for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, ITEP, Moscow 117259, Russia

<sup>al</sup> University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131, USA

am Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208, USA

<sup>an</sup> The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA

<sup>ao</sup> Okayama University, Okayama 700-8530, Japan

<sup>ap</sup> Osaka City University, Osaka 588, Japan

<sup>aq</sup> University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3RH, United Kingdom

ar University of Padova, Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Padova-Trento, I-35131 Padova, Italy

<sup>as</sup> University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

175

<sup>at</sup> Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare Pisa, Universities of Pisa, Siena and Scuola Normale Superiore, I-56127 Pisa, Italy au University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA av Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA <sup>aw</sup> University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627, USA ax The Rockefeller University, New York, NY 10021, USA ay Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Roma 1, University of Rome "La Sapienza", I-00185 Roma, Italy <sup>az</sup> Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ 08855, USA ba Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA bb Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, University of Trieste/Udine, Italy bc University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan <sup>bd</sup> Tufts University, Medford, MA 02155, USA be Waseda University, Tokyo 169, Japan bf Wayne State University, Detroit, MI 48201, USA <sup>bg</sup> University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA bh Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520, USA Received 23 April 2006; received in revised form 7 June 2006; accepted 7 June 2006 Available online 27 June 2006

Editor: H. Weerts

## Abstract

We present a search for  $t\bar{t}$  events with a tau lepton in the final state. The data sample corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 194 pb<sup>-1</sup> collected with the CDF II detector from  $p\bar{p}$  collisions at a center of mass energy of 1.96 TeV. We observe two events with an expected signal of  $1.0 \pm 0.2$  events and a background of  $1.3 \pm 0.3$  events. We determine a 95% confidence level upper limit on  $r_{\tau}$ , the ratio of the measured rate of  $t \rightarrow \tau vq$  to the expectation, of 5.2.

© 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

PACS: 14.65.Ha; 14.60.Fg

An experimental investigation of the interactions among the massive fermions of the third generation-the top (t) and bottom (b) quarks, the tau ( $\tau$ ) lepton and tau neutrino ( $\nu_{\tau}$ )—has the potential to yield powerful insights into the puzzles of flavor and fermion mass. There is no adequate explanation for the comparatively large masses of the third generation particles [1], and we do not understand why there appear to be three and only three generations. A significant deviation in the number of observed  $t \rightarrow \tau \nu q$  candidates from the rate predicted by the standard model could indicate an anomalous coupling among the third generation particles. Extensions of the standard model could lead to alternative modes of top quark decay that enhance the top branching fraction to this final state. One example is the minimal supersymmetric standard model [2-4], where the top quark could decay into a *b*-quark and a charged Higgs boson with subsequent decay into a tau lepton and tau neutrino. Other possibilities include *R*-parity violating SUSY decays of top [5] and new Z' bosons with non-universal couplings [6].

In this Letter, we search for  $t \to Wq \to \tau vq$  decays in  $194 \pm 11 \text{ pb}^{-1}$  of  $p\bar{p}$  collisions collected by the CDF Collaboration at the  $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$  TeV Tevatron collider at Fermilab. The top quark, discovered at Fermilab by the CDF and DØ experiments in 1995 [7,8], is predominantly produced via pair production, where the next to leading order quantum chromody-

Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* demers@pas.rochester.edu (S. Demers). namics (QCD) theoretical prediction [9,10] for the cross section is  $6.7^{+0.7}_{-0.9}$  pb. In the standard model, the top quark is expected to decay with a branching fraction of almost 100% into a W boson and a *b*-quark. The world average of the measurements of the branching fraction for the decay of a W boson to  $\tau v$  is  $10.74 \pm 0.27\%$  [1]. Using the procedure described in this Letter we interpret the results of the only previous search for  $t \rightarrow \tau vq$ in  $t\bar{t}$  production [11] to exclude anomalous rates above 18 times that expected in the standard model at 95% confidence level.

In this search, we select  $t\bar{t}$  candidates where one top decays to  $\tau vb$ , and identify the tau lepton by its semi-hadronic decay, which accounts for approximately 65% of tau decays [1]. We do not search for tau lepton decays to electrons and muons, as these are difficult to distinguish from electrons and muons directly from W boson decay. We require the other top to decay to either evb or to  $\mu vb$  in order to utilize the efficient high  $p_T^{-1}$  electron/muon triggers and to reduce the background from multi-jet production. We require significant missing transverse energy from the neutrinos, and at least two jets with high  $E_T$ (see footnote 1), though we make no requirement on the heavy flavor content of the jets. Finally, we apply several novel kine-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> CDF uses a cylindrical coordinate system in which  $\phi$  is the azimuthal angle, r is the radius from the nominal beamline, and +z points in the direction of the proton beam and is zero at the center of the detector. The pseudorapidity  $\eta = -\ln[\tan(\theta/2)]$ , where  $\theta$  is the polar angle with respect to the z axis. Calorimeter energy (track momentum) measured transverse to the beam is denoted as  $E_T$  ( $p_T$ ).

matic and topological requirements designed to reject specific backgrounds.

The CDF II detector [12] is an azimuthally and forwardbackward symmetric apparatus built to study the physics of  $p\bar{p}$ collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$  of 1.96 TeV. The detector contains a chargedparticle tracking system inside a 1.4 T field generated by a solenoid coaxial with the *p* and  $\bar{p}$  beams. A silicon microstrip detector provides track measurements between 1.5 and 28 cm in radius from the beam axis for charged particles with pseudorapidity,  $|\eta| < 2$  (see footnote 1). A 3.1 m long open cell drift chamber measures track position at 96 points at radii between 40 and 137 cm for particles with  $|\eta| < 1$ .

Segmented electromagnetic and hadronic sampling calorimeters surround the tracking volume and cover the range  $|\eta| < 1$ 3.6. The central  $(|\eta| \leq 1)$  calorimeters, in which  $\tau$  decays are identified in this analysis, are divided into towers with segmentation in azimuthal angle of 15 degrees and in pseudorapidity of about 0.1. The central electron shower detector (CES) consists of proportional chambers with wires and cathode strips arranged orthogonally with pitch varying from 1.4 to 2.0 cm located at a depth of 6 radiation lengths within the electromagnetic calorimeter, at the position where the lateral profile of the shower is maximum. This fine segmentation of the CES measures electromagnetic shower position with  $\approx$ 3 mm resolution and allows reconstruction of the boosted  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  produced in tau decays. A set of drift chambers located outside the hadronic calorimeters and a second set outside a 60 cm iron shield detect muon candidates with  $|\eta| < 0.6$ . Additional chambers and scintillator counters extend this muon coverage in  $0.6 < |\eta| < 1.0$  for most azimuthal angles. The luminosity is determined to an accuracy of 6% using gas Cherenkov counters covering  $3.7 < |\eta| < 4.7$  which measure the average number of inelastic  $p\bar{p}$  collisions per bunch crossing.

Semi-hadronic decays of taus produced in *W* decay have a distinctive signature of narrow, isolated jets with low charged track multiplicity. The calorimeter measures the visible energy of the tau jet, while the central tracker and CES determine the narrowness and multiplicity. A tau candidate requires a tau calorimeter cluster and a central track with a minimum  $p_T$  of 4.5 GeV/*c* pointing to the cluster. A tau calorimeter cluster requires a tower with  $E_T \ge 6$  GeV and no more than five adjacent towers with  $E_T > 1$  GeV.

After lepton candidate selection, we impose additional requirements on the isolation of the tau lepton to reduce backgrounds from jets. A cone is formed around the seed track with a variable angular radius,  $\theta_{cone} = Min\{0.17, (5 \text{ GeV})/$   $E_{\text{tau cluster}}$  rad. The tau candidate is required to have one or three tracks in the signal cone to be consistent with the dominant decay modes of the tau. If there are three tracks the sum of the electric charges must be equal to  $\pm 1$ . Candidate  $\pi^0$ s are identified in the calorimeter from clusters of energy observed in the CES. The tau  $p_T$  is estimated to be the sum of the seed track  $p_T$  plus the sum of the  $\pi^0 E_T/c$ . The tau  $p_T$  is required to be greater than 15 GeV/c, and the invariant mass of the  $\pi^0$ s and the tracks is required to be less than 1.8 GeV to be consistent with the mass of the tau. An isolation annulus is defined around the tau cone extending from the cone edge,  $\theta_{cone}$ , to 0.52 radians in which no tracks or  $\pi^0$  candidates may be present. Calorimeter towers within the isolation annulus are required to have  $E_T$  less than 6% of the tau  $E_T$ . Additional requirements are imposed to reject tau candidates that resemble electrons or muons based on track and calorimeter characteristics. To remove electrons, the energy in the hadronic calorimeter divided by the track momentum sum of the tau candidate,  $E_{\text{had}} / \sum p$ , is required to be greater than 0.15. To remove muons, the  $E_T$  of the calorimeter cluster energy associated with the tau candidate divided by the  $p_T$  of the seed track,  $E_T/p_T$ , is required to be greater than 0.5. The combined tau identification and isolation efficiency is 35%.

We also require at least two jets with  $|\eta| < 2$  and that the first and second highest  $E_T$  jets have  $E_T$  greater than 25 GeV and 15 GeV, respectively. The event  $H_T$ , defined as the scalar sum of the electron  $E_T$  or muon  $p_T$ , the tau  $p_T$ , the  $\not E_T$  and the  $E_T$  of the jets, must exceed 205 GeV. The  $H_T$  and lead jet  $E_T$  requirements were chosen by a two-dimensional optimization that maximized the likelihood ratio of signal plus background to background-only. These requirements reduce the backgrounds from W bosons produced in association with jets by  $\approx 85\%$ , while removing only  $\approx 5\%$  of the  $t\bar{t}$  signal.

We determine the efficiency of the selection cuts by simulating standard model  $t\bar{t}$  detection with the PYTHIA [16] event generator, the TAUOLA [17] tau decay simulation and a GEANT-based model [18] of the CDF detector. We independently determine the electron, muon and tau identification and trigger efficiencies from the data. Electron and muon efficiencies are determined using  $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$  and  $Z \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$  events respectively with one of the electrons (muons) required to pass tight identification cuts and the other electron (muon) used to determine the efficiency. The tau identification efficiency is determined by comparing numbers of observed  $W \rightarrow \tau v$  to the prediction of the simulation. Correction factors, defined as the ratio of the data and MC efficiencies, are applied to the simulation results. In most cases correction factors are within 5% of 1. In the case of tau identification, we find that the ratio of the efficiency in data to that in simulation is  $0.90 \pm 0.06$ . Table 1 summarizes the systematic uncertainties in the efficiency for detecting the signal process.

Our dominant background is W bosons produced in association with jets, where the W decays to ev or  $\mu v$  and one of the jets is misidentified as a tau. We determine the number of such events we expect from the data. We first find a sample of relaxed tau candidates passing all tau identification requirements except the isolation, mass and track quality requirements.

Table 1 Summary of systematic uncertainties in the identification of  $t\bar{t}$  (signal) events

Source	Signal prediction uncertainty	
Jet energy scale	$\pm 6\%$	
Electron and muon identification	$\pm 5\%$	
Tau identification	$\pm 6\%$	
Top production model	±7%	
Parton distribution functions	$\pm 1\%$	
Quark and gluon radiation model	$\pm 10\%$	
Total	±16%	

This sample is dominated by jets rather than tau leptons. To that sample, we apply a fake rate, which is the probability that those relaxed tau candidates will pass all identification requirements. The fake rate of jets to identified tau candidates is measured in four independent jet data samples; three of the samples were selected with different jet  $E_T$  threshold requirements and the fourth sample was selected using a requirement on the sum of the  $E_T$  of all calorimeter towers in the event. The fake rate is parameterized as a function of jet  $E_T$  and isolation of the jet in the calorimeter. The full spread in the measured fake rates of the four different samples is 26% of the fake rate, which we take as our estimate of the systematic uncertainty in this procedure.

Events with electrons or muons that fake tau candidates are another significant background source. These events originate primarily from the production of Z bosons, decaying to  $e^+e^$ or  $\mu^+\mu^-$ , in association with extra jets. In the case of electrons, the event can be a background when the electron energy is poorly reconstructed; in the case of muons, a muon can fake a tau if the muon suffers a catastrophic energy loss in the calorimeter. To estimate the electron background, we first measure an electron to tau fake rate from the data using  $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$  events. We then scale the number of events with tau candidates that fail the electron rejection requirement but pass all other analysis requirements by the electron to tau fake rate. An ALPGEN [19] interfaced with HERWIG [20] simulation of  $Z \to \mu^+ \mu^-$  events with extra jets is used to predict the muon background, and we confirm the modeling of the muon energy response in the calorimeter using  $Z \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$  events in the data.

Fig. 1 shows evidence of fake tau background from jets and electrons in the electron + tau candidate data with relaxed tau identification requirements. The tau contribution to the region shown outside of the signal requirements is expected to be a small fraction of that inside.



Fig. 1. Evidence for fake tau background. For events with a tau candidate, an electron,  $\not E_T$  and two jets, we show the ratio of energy in the tau calorimeter isolation cone divided by tau  $p_T$  ("tau isolation") vs. the "electron veto" variable, the energy in the hadronic calorimeter divided by the track momentum sum of the tau candidate,  $E_{had} / \sum p$ . Events with fake tau candidates from jets are at high tau isolation; di-electron events appear at low isolation and low  $E_{had} / \sum p$ . The analysis requirements are shown by lines on the plots. The triangular markers identify events which fail the tau identification requirements of no  $\pi^0$ s and tracks in the isolation annulus.

Table 2

Summary of background and signal predictions. The first error is from simulation and data statistics, and the second is from systematic uncertainties. Diboson backgrounds are predicted in a simulation based on the HERWIG generator [20]. The expected signal assumes a  $t\bar{t}$  cross-section of 6.7 pb

Process	Number of expected events		
$\gamma^*/Z \rightarrow \tau \tau + jets$	$0.25 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.05$		
$W/Z$ + jets with jet $\rightarrow \tau$ fake	$0.75 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.20$		
$\gamma^*/Z \rightarrow ee + \text{jets with } e \rightarrow \tau \text{ fake}$	$0.08 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.02$		
$\gamma^*/Z \rightarrow \mu\mu + \text{jets with } \mu \rightarrow \tau \text{ fake}$	$0.05 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.01$		
WW	$0.14 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.03$		
WZ	$0.02 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.01$		
Single top, $t \to \tau \nu q$	<0.01		
Total expected background events	$1.29\!\pm\!0.14\!\pm\!0.21$		
Expected signal	$1.00\!\pm\!0.06\!\pm\!0.16$		

Table 3 Comparison between the predicted and measured number of events for low jet multiplicities

Channel	annel Events predicted		Events measured	
	0 jets	1 jet	0 jets	1 jet
$e + \tau$ opp sign	$23.7\pm3.6$	$4.6\pm0.9$	17	5
$e + \tau$ same sign	$7.3 \pm 1.8$	$1.9 \pm 0.6$	8	3
$\mu + \tau$ opp sign	$21.3 \pm 3.3$	$2.7 \pm 0.6$	11	4
$\mu + \tau$ same sign	$5.6 \pm 1.5$	$0.8 \pm 0.3$	3	0

Table 4

Properties of the candidate events. The jet marked with the  $\dagger$  is tagged as a *b* by the presence of a secondary vertex [21]

	Candidate #1	Candidate #2
tau $p_T$ (GeV/c)	39	20
electron $p_T$ (GeV/c)	40	79
# of tau tracks	1	3
$H_T$ (GeV)	286	239
$E_T$ of jets (GeV)	73†, 40, 35	35, 34
$\not \! E_T (\text{GeV})$	59	72

The data in Table 3 can be used as a control experiment to check the accuracy of our background predictions. A priori, we chose as the statistic of the control experiment the joint probability of the observed number of events given the prediction for the eight samples in this table. The expected distribution of these joint probabilities is measured via simulated pseudo-experiments which account for the uncertainties in the predictions and the Poisson fluctuations from the limited statistics in the data. We find the data in Table 3 have a joint probability which is higher than 41% of our pseudo-experiments and conclude that these control data are consistent with our expected background predictions.

The signal acceptance, including all branching fractions in the decay channel, is  $0.076 \pm 0.005$ (stat.)  $\pm 0.013$ (syst.)%. For a  $t\bar{t}$  cross-section of 6.7 pb we therefore expect  $1.00 \pm 0.17$ signal events in 194 pb<sup>-1</sup>, in addition to the background expectation of  $1.29 \pm 0.25$  events. We observe 2 events. Both events are in the electron + tau channel, and properties of these two events are listed in Table 4.

We define the ratio of partial widths  $(\Gamma)$ 

$$r_{\tau} \equiv \frac{\Gamma(t \to \tau \nu q)}{\Gamma_{\rm SM}(t \to \tau \nu q)} \tag{1}$$

as a measure of a possible anomalous enhancement in the rate. Using the method of Rolke et al. [22], we set an upper limit on  $r_{\tau}$  of 5.2 at the 95% confidence level. The previous result from CDF Run I [11] would yield a limit of  $r_{\tau} < 18$  at the 95% confidence level using the same statistical methods.

In summary, we have searched for top decay into  $\tau vq$  by identifying semi-hadronic decays of tau leptons in  $t\bar{t}$  events. We observe two candidate events, consistent with the standard model, and set an upper limit on the ratio of observed production of  $t \rightarrow \tau vq$  to the standard model expectation.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the Fermilab staff and the technical staffs of the participating institutions for their vital contributions. This work was supported by the US Department of Energy and National Science Foundation; the Italian Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare; the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan; the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada; the National Science Council of the Republic of China; the Swiss National Science Foundation; the A.P. Sloan Foundation; the Research Corporation; the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung, Germany; the Korean Science and Engineering Foundation and the Korean Research Foundation; the Particle Physics and Astronomy Research Council and the Royal Society, UK; the Russian Foundation for Basic Research; the Comisión Interministerial de Ciencia y Tecnología, Spain; in part by the European Community's Human Potential Programme under contract HPRN-CT-2002-00292; and the Academy of Finland.

## References

- [1] Particle Data Group, Phys. Lett. B 592 (2004) 1.
- [2] V. Barger, R.J.N. Phillips, Phys. Rev. D 41 (1990) 884.
- [3] J. Guasch, J. Sola, Phys. Lett. B 416 (1998) 353.
- [4] T. Affolder, et al., CDF Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 62 (2000) 012004.
- [5] T. Han, M.B. Magro, Phys. Rev. B 476 (2000) 79.
- [6] C. Yue, H. Zong, L. Liu, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 18 (2003) 2187.
- [7] F. Abe, et al., CDF Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995) 2626.
- [8] S. Abachi, DØ Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995) 2632.
- [9] M. Cacciari, S. Frixione, M.L. Mangano, P. Nason, G. Ridolfi, JHEP 0404 (2004) 068.
- [10] N. Kidonakis, R. Vogt, Phys. Rev. D 68 (2003) 114014.
- [11] F. Abe, et al., CDF Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1997) 3585.
- [12] D. Acosta, et al., CDF Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 032001.
- [13] D. Acosta, et al., CDF Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 072005.
- [14] D. Acosta, et al., CDF Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 (2005) 091803.
- [15] D. Acosta, et al., CDF Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 (2004) 142001.
- [16] T. Sjostrand, Comput. Phys. Commun. 82 (1994) 74.
- [17] S. Jadach et al., CERN-TH-6793 (1992).
- [18] S. Agostinelli, et al., GEANT4 Collaboration, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 506 (2003) 250.
- [19] M. Mangano, et al., JHEP 0307 (2003) 001.
- [20] G. Corcella, et al., JHEP 0101 (2001) 010.
- [21] D. Acosta, et al., CDF Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 052003.
- [22] W.A. Rolke, A.M. Lopez, J. Conrad, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 539 (2005) 407.