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#### Abstract

Path integral formulations for Smorodinsky-Winternitz potentials on the two-dimensional hyperboloid are presented. This paper is the third in a sequel, and we try to generalize the notion of super-integrable potentials as known from flat space to the case of spaces of constant negative curvature. We find five potentials of the sought type, and in each case we state the corresponding path integral formulation. Whereas in several coordinate systems an explicit path integral calculation is not possible, we list in the soluble cases the path integral solutions explicitly in terms of the propagators, the Green's functions, and the spectral expansions into the wavefunctions. Some special care is taken for the proper generalization of the harmonic oscillator on the hyperboloid, i.e. the Higgs-oscillator, and the Kepler-Coulomb problem.


## 1 Introduction.

In this paper we continue our study of potential problems in quantum mechanics in spaces of constant curvature which are separable in more than one coordinate system. For this kind of potential systems we have introduced the notion Smorodinsky-Winternitz potentials, because the first systematic investigation of such systems was undertaken by Smorodinsky, Winternitz and co-workers in Refs. [9, 43, 56]. In $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ there are four potentials of the sought type [9] which all have three constants (integrals) of motion (including energy), i.e., there are two more operators commuting with the Hamiltonian and with each other. In $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ there are five maximally superintegrable potentials with five integrals of motion [6, 20] and 10 minimally superintegrable potentials with four integrals of motion [6, 20, 22]. On the two-dimensional sphere we have found two superintegrable potentials, and on the three-dimensional sphere three maximally and five minimally superintegrable potentials [21, 22]. Generally, in $D$ dimensions maximally superintegrable potentials have $2 D-1$ integrals of motion, respectively observables, and minimally superintegrable potentials $2 D-2$ integrals of motion (this means that the notion minimally superintegrable and integrable cannot be distinguished in two dimensions).

Let us briefly discuss the physical significance of the consideration of separation of variables in more than one coordinate system. The free motion in some homogeneous space is, of course, the most symmetric one, and the search for the number of coordinate systems which allow the separation of the Hamiltonian is equivalent to the investigation of how many inequivalent sets of observables can be found, and there are $D$ integrals of motion. The incorporation of potentials usually removes at least some of the symmetry properties of the space. Well-known examples are spherical systems, and they are most conveniently studied in spherical coordinates. For instance, the isotropic harmonic oscillator in three dimensions is separable in eight coordinate systems, namely in cartesian, spherical, circular polar, circular elliptic, conical, oblate spheroidal, prolate spheroidal, and ellipsoidal coordinates. The Coulomb potential is separable in four coordinate systems, namely in conical, spherical parabolic, and prolate spheroidal II coordinates (for a comprehensive review with the focus on path integration, e.g., [20]).

The separation of a quantum mechanical problem in more than one coordinate systems has the consequence that there are additional integrals of motion and that the discrete spectrum, if it exists, is degenerate. The Noether theorem connects the particular symmetries of the Lagrangian, i.e., the invariances with respect to the dynamical symmetries, with conservation laws in classical mechanics and with observables in quantum mechanics, respectively. In the case of the isotropic harmonic oscillator one has in addition to the conservation of energy and the conservation of the angular momentum, the conservation of the quadrupole moment; in the case of the Coulomb problem one has in addition to the conservation of energy and the angular momentum, the conservation of the Pauli-Runge-Lenz vector. In total, these conserved quantities add up to five integrals of motion in classical mechanics, respectively observables in quantum mechanics. It is even possible to introduce extra terms in the pure oscillator and Coulomb-, respectively Kepler-problem, in such a way that one still has all these integrals of motion, however, somewhat modified [6].

In our paper [21] we extended the notion of "super-integrability" to spaces of constant positive curvature. One knows that the corresponding Higgs-oscillators (as discussed by, e.g. Granovsky et al. [10], Higgs [29], Ikeda and Katayama [31], Katayama [37], Leemon [41], Pogosyan et al. [51], and Nishino [47]), and Kepler problems (c.f. Granovsky et al. [11], Hietarinta [28], Ikeda and Katayama [31], Katayama [37], Kurochkin and Otchik [40], Nishino [47], Otchik and Red’kov [49], and Vinitsky et al. [54]) in spaces of non-vanishing constant curvature do have additional constants of motion: the analogues of the flat space. For the Higgs-oscillator it is the Demkov-tensor [3, 47], and for the Kepler problem it is the analogue of the Pauli-Runge-Lenz vector in a space of constant curvature, c.f. [11, 40, 47]. It is also found that the Higgs oscilla-
tor and the Kepler problem are the only central systems [31]. However, additional non-central superintegrable potentials might exist.

In our investigation the path integral turns out to be a very convenient tool to formulate and solve the Smorodinsky-Winternitz potentials on the hyperboloid, and it provides the natural way in which the analytic structure of the solutions is manifest. Separation of variables in each problem can be done in a straightforward and easy way. There are already some studies of the oscillator problem and the Coulomb problem in spaces of constant curvature. The oscillator problem, including the case where additional radial dependences are taken into account, are basically path integral problems which are related to the Pöschl-Teller and modified PöschlTeller path integral. The Coulomb problem is somewhat more involved, and has been discussed by means of path integral in spherical coordinates by Barut et al. [1] and [15]. In the present investigation these earlier results will be used in the calculations, and no detailed derivations will be given in these cases. The path integral calculation of the Coulomb problem on the hyperboloid in elliptic-parabolic coordinates is completely new, and its turns out that some results of the calculation for the free motion can be used in its solution [19].

However, all former studies have taken into account only central systems and their solutions in spherical variables, which is obvious. Neither a systematic search for alternative descriptions in other coordinate systems has been done, nor a search for further separable potentials. In particular, the Holt potential with a linear term is important, because it allows the incorporation of electric fields. The case of magnetic fields on the two-dimensional hyperboloid has been considered by means of path integrals in [14], and it has been found that in spherical, horicyclic and equidistant coordinates a separation of variables is possible, i.e., in coordinate systems which have one ignorable coordinate [36], and the corresponding solutions are circular, respectively plane waves in this (ignorable) coordinate. Depending on the strength of the magnetic field a finite number of bound states can exist. Such investigations play an important rôle in the theory of tensor-weighted Laplacians, automorphic forms, determinants of Laplacians and zeta-function regularization, and quantum field theory on (super-) Riemann surfaces, e.g. [19] and references therein.

The contents of this paper are as follows. In the next section we give a short summary of the path integral technique we are using, including for completeness to make the paper selfcontained the path integral solutions of the Pöschl-Teller and modified Pöschl-Teller potential. In the third section we give an introduction to the formulation and construction of coordinate systems on the two-dimensional hyperboloid. This includes an enumeration of all the coordinate systems according to [19, 33, 34, 48], which separate the Schrödinger equation, respectively the path integral. Furthermore, we list for all coordinate systems the corresponding observable, the Stäckel-matrix, the Hamiltonian, and the general form a potential must have to be separable in the coordinate system, together with its observable.

In Section IV we present the path integral formulations of the Smorodinsky-Winternitz potentials on the two-dimensional hyperboloid. The two most important ones are the Higgsoscillator and the Coulomb problem. We find three more potentials with the required properties. One of them, the potential $V_{3}$ is an analogue of the Holt potential [30], the fourth is a centrifugal potential which does not have an analogue on the sphere or in flat space, and the fifth models a potential which is linear in the flat space limit.

In the fifth Section we summarize and discuss our results. Here we also make some remarks about the problem of ambiguities of the generalization of flat space potentials to spaces of constant curvature. We also present a little table to illustrate the correspondence of superintegrable potentials in two dimensions.

## 2 Elementary Path Integral Techniques.

### 2.1 Defining the Path Integral.

For the construction of the path integral in a curved space, we proceed in the canonical way according to Feynman and Hibbs [7], Refs. [19, 24], Schulman [52], and references therein. In the following x denote D-dimensional cartesian coordinates, q D-dimensional arbitrary coordinates, $\mathbf{s}$ coordinates on a sphere, $\mathrm{u}=\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ coordinates on the two-dimensional hyperboloid, and $x, y, z$ etc. are one-dimensional coordinates. We start by considering the classical Lagrangian corresponding to the line element $d s^{2}=g_{a b} d q^{a} d q^{b}$ of the classical motion in some Riemannian space

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{C l}(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}})=\frac{M}{2}\left(\frac{d s}{d t}\right)^{2}-V(\mathbf{q})=\frac{M}{2} g_{a b}(\mathbf{q}) \dot{q}^{a} \dot{q}^{b}-V(\mathbf{q}) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantum Hamiltonian is constructed by means of

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \Delta_{L B}+V(\mathbf{q})=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{a}} g^{a b} \sqrt{g} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{b}}+V(\mathbf{q}) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as a definition of the quantum theory on a curved space. Here are $g=\operatorname{det}\left(g_{a b}\right),\left(g^{a b}\right)=\left(g_{a b}\right)^{-1}$, and $\Delta_{L B}=g^{-1 / 2} \partial_{a} g^{a b} g^{1 / 2} \partial_{b}$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator. The scalar product for wavefunctions on the manifold reads $(f, g)=\int d \mathbf{q} \sqrt{g} f^{*}(\mathbf{q}) g(\mathbf{q})$, and the momentum operators which are hermitian with respect to this scalar product are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{a}=\frac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{a}}+\frac{\Gamma_{a}}{2}\right), \quad \Gamma_{a}=\frac{\partial \ln \sqrt{g}}{\partial q^{a}} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of the momentum operators (2.3) we can rewrite $H$ by using an ordering prescription called product-ordering, where we assume $g_{a b}=h_{a c} h_{c b}$; other lattice formulations like the important midpoint prescription (MP) which corresponds to the Weyl ordering in the Hamiltonian, we do not discuss. Then we obtain for the Hamiltonian (2.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \Delta_{L B}+V(\mathbf{q})=\frac{1}{2 M} h^{a c} p_{a} p_{b} h^{c b}+V(\mathbf{q})+\Delta V(\mathbf{q}), \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the path integral we have

$$
\begin{align*}
K\left(\mathbf{q}^{\prime \prime}, \mathbf{q}^{\prime} ; T\right)= & \int_{\mathbf{q}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{\mathbf{q}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mathbf{q}^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \mathbf{q}(t) \sqrt{g(\mathbf{q})} \exp \left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \int_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime \prime}}\left[\frac{M}{2} h_{a c}(\mathbf{q}) h_{c b}(\mathbf{q}) \dot{q}^{a} \dot{q}^{b}-V(\mathbf{q})-\Delta V(\mathbf{q})\right] d t\right\} \\
\equiv & \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{M}{2 \pi \mathrm{i} \epsilon}\right)^{N D / 2} \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \int d \mathbf{q}_{k} \sqrt{g\left(\mathbf{q}_{k}\right)} \\
& \times \exp \left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left[\frac{M}{2 \epsilon} h_{b c}\left(\mathbf{q}_{j}\right) h_{a c}\left(\mathbf{q}_{j-1}\right) \Delta q_{j}^{a} \Delta q_{j}^{b}-\epsilon V\left(\mathbf{q}_{j}\right)-\epsilon \Delta V\left(\mathbf{q}_{j}\right)\right]\right\} . \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

$\Delta V$ denotes the well-defined quantum potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta V(\mathbf{q})=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{8 M}\left[g^{a b} \Gamma_{a} \Gamma_{b}+2\left(g^{a b} \Gamma_{b}\right)_{, b}+g^{a b}{ }_{, a b}\right]+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{8 M}\left(2 h^{a c} h_{, a b}^{b c}-h_{, a}^{a c} h_{, b}^{b c}-h^{a c}{ }_{, b}^{b c} h_{, a}\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we have used the abbreviations $\epsilon=\left(t^{\prime \prime}-t^{\prime}\right) / N \equiv T / N, \Delta \mathbf{q}_{j}=\mathbf{q}_{j}-\mathbf{q}_{j-1}, \bar{q}_{j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{q}_{j}+\mathbf{q}_{j-1}\right)$ for $\mathbf{q}_{j}=\mathbf{q}\left(t^{\prime}+j \epsilon\right)\left(t_{j}=t^{\prime}+\epsilon j, j=0, \ldots, N\right)$ and we interpret the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ as equivalent to $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, $T$ fixed. The lattice representation can be achieved by exploiting the composition law of the time-evolution operator $U=\exp (-\mathrm{i} H T / \hbar)$. Then the discretized path integral
emerges in a natural way, and the classical Lagrangian is modified into an effective Lagrangian via $\mathcal{L}_{e f f}=\mathcal{L}_{C l}-\Delta V$. Note that the factorization of the metric according to $g_{a b}=h_{a c} h_{c b}$ characterizes the $h_{a c}$ as Lamé coefficients [46], see below.

Concerning the space-time transformation technique we do not repeat the relevant formulæ once more again, and would like to refer to the literature instead, c.f. [5, 24]-[27, 38], and references therein.

### 2.2 The Pöschl-Teller Potential.

As we shall see, we encounter particularly in the case of the Higgs oscillator, the Pöschl-Teller and the modified Pöschl-Teller potentials in our path integral problems. The path integral solution of the Pöschl-Teller potential reads as follows (Böhm and Junker [2], Duru [4], [19, 26, 27], Fischer et al. [8], Inomata et al. [32], Kleinert and Mustapic [39], $0<x<\pi / 2$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{x\left(t^{\prime}\right)=x^{\prime}}^{x\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=x^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} x(t) \exp \left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \int_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime \prime}}\left[\frac{M}{2} \dot{x}^{2}-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M}\left(\frac{\alpha^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\sin ^{2} x}+\frac{\beta^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\cos ^{2} x}\right)\right] d t\right\} \\
& =\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{n} T / \hbar} \phi_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \phi_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right),  \tag{2.7}\\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d E}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E T / \hbar} G_{P T}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, x^{\prime} ; E\right) . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The bound state wave-functions and the energy spectrum are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)=\left[2(\alpha+\beta+2 n+1) \frac{n!\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+n+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+n+1) \Gamma(\beta+n+1)}\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad \times(\sin x)^{\alpha+1 / 2}(\cos x)^{\beta+1 / 2} P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\cos 2 x),  \tag{2.9}\\
& E_{n}=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M}(2 n+\alpha+\beta+1)^{2} . \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

The $P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ are Jacobi polynomials [12, p.1035], and the wave-functions $\phi^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$ are normalized to unity according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left|\phi_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{2} d x=1 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Green's function $G_{P T}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(E)$ has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{P T}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, x^{\prime} ; E\right)=\frac{M}{2 \hbar^{2}} \sqrt{\sin x^{\prime} \sin x^{\prime \prime}} \frac{\Gamma\left(m_{1}-L_{E}\right) \Gamma\left(L_{E}+m_{1}+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(m_{1}+m_{2}+1\right) \Gamma\left(m_{1}-m_{2}+1\right)} \\
& \quad \times\left(\frac{1-\cos 2 x^{\prime}}{2} \frac{1-\cos 2 x^{\prime \prime}}{2}\right)^{\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right) / 2}\left(\frac{1+\cos 2 x^{\prime}}{2} \frac{1+\cos 2 x^{\prime \prime}}{2}\right)^{\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) / 2} \\
& \quad \times{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-L_{E}+m_{1}, L_{E}+m_{1}+1 ; m_{1}-m_{2}+1 ; \frac{1-\cos 2 x_{<}}{2}\right) \\
& \quad \times{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-L_{E}+m_{1}, L_{E}+m_{1}+1 ; m_{1}+m_{2}+1 ; \frac{1-\cos 2 x_{>}}{2}\right), \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m_{1,2}=\frac{1}{2}(\beta \pm \alpha), L_{E}=\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{2 M E} / \hbar-1) ;{ }_{2} F_{1}(a, b ; c ; z)$ is the hypergeometric function [12, p.1039], and $x_{>}, x_{<}$denotes the larger, respectively smaller of $x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}$.

### 2.3 The modified Pöschl-Teller Potential.

The case of the modified Pöschl-Teller potential is given by $[2,8,19,26,27,32,39]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{r\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=r^{\prime \prime}}^{r\left(r^{\prime \prime}\right)} \mathcal{D} r(t) \exp \left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \int_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime \prime}}\left[\frac{M}{2} \dot{r}^{2}-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M}\left(\frac{\kappa^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\sinh ^{2} r}-\frac{\lambda^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\cosh ^{2} r}\right)\right] d t\right\} \\
= & \sum_{n=0}^{N_{\max }} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{n} T / \hbar} \psi_{n}^{(r, \lambda) *}\left(r^{\prime}\right) \psi_{n}^{(\kappa, \lambda)}\left(r^{\prime \prime}\right)+\int_{0}^{\infty} d p \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{p} T / \hbar} \psi_{p}^{(\kappa, \lambda) *}\left(r^{\prime}\right) \psi_{p}^{(\kappa, \lambda)}\left(r^{\prime \prime}\right),  \tag{2.13}\\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d E}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E T / \hbar} G_{m P T}^{(r, \lambda)}\left(r^{\prime \prime}, r^{\prime} ; E\right) . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

The bound states are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi_{n}^{(\kappa, \lambda)}(r)=N_{n}^{(\kappa, \lambda)}(\sinh r)^{\kappa+1 / 2}(\cosh r)^{n-\lambda+1 / 2}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-n, \lambda-n ; 1+\kappa ; \tanh ^{2} r\right)  \tag{2.15}\\
& N_{n}^{(\kappa, \lambda)}=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\kappa)}\left[\frac{2(\lambda-\kappa-2 n-1) \Gamma(n+1+\kappa) \Gamma(\lambda-n)}{\Gamma(\lambda-\kappa-n) n!}\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& E_{n}=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M}(2 n+\kappa-\lambda+1)^{2} \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Here denote $n=0,1, \ldots, N_{\text {max }}=\left[\frac{1}{2}(\lambda-\kappa-1)\right] \geq 0$, and only a finite number of bound states can exist depending on the strength of the attractive potential trough and the repulsive centrifugal term as well. Here $[x]$ denotes the integer part of the real number $x$. The continuous states are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi_{p}^{(\kappa, \lambda)}(r)=N_{p}^{(\kappa, \lambda)}(\cosh r)^{\mathrm{i} p}(\tanh r)^{\kappa+1 / 2}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{\lambda+\kappa+1-\mathrm{i} p}{2}, \frac{\kappa-\lambda+1-\mathrm{i} p}{2} ; 1+\kappa ; \tanh ^{2} r\right) \\
& N_{p}^{(\kappa, \lambda)}=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\kappa)} \sqrt{\frac{p \sinh \pi p}{2 \pi^{2}}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda+\kappa+1-\mathrm{i} p}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\kappa-\lambda+1-\mathrm{i} p}{2}\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

and $E_{p}=\hbar^{2} p^{2} / 2 M$. The Green's function $G_{m P T}^{(\kappa, \lambda)}(E)$ has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{m P T}^{(\kappa, \lambda)}\left(r^{\prime \prime}, r^{\prime} ; E\right)=\frac{M}{2 \hbar^{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(m_{1}-L_{\lambda}\right) \Gamma\left(L_{\lambda}+m_{1}+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(m_{1}+m_{2}+1\right) \Gamma\left(m_{1}-m_{2}+1\right)} \\
& \quad \times\left(\cosh r^{\prime} \cosh r^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right)}\left(\tanh r^{\prime} \tanh r^{\prime \prime}\right)^{m_{1}+m_{2}+1 / 2} \\
& \quad \times{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-L_{\lambda}+m_{1}, L_{\lambda}+m_{1}+1 ; m_{1}-m_{2}+1 ; \frac{1}{\cosh ^{2} r_{<}}\right) \\
& \quad \times{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-L_{\lambda}+m_{1}, L_{\lambda}+m_{1}+1 ; m_{1}+m_{2}+1 ; \tanh ^{2} r_{>}\right), \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have set $m_{1,2}=\frac{1}{2}(\kappa \pm \sqrt{-2 M E} / \hbar), L_{\lambda}=\frac{1}{2}(\lambda-1)$. We make extensively use of the solutions of the Pöschl-Teller and the modified Pöschl-Teller potentials, respectively.

## 3 Separation of Variables and Coordinate Systems on the Hyperboloid.

In this section we discuss separation of variables in the Schrödinger equation, respectively in the path integral, and list the coordinate systems on the two-dimensional hyperboloid $\Lambda^{(2)}$.

### 3.1 Separation of Variables in the Schrödinger Equation and the Path Integral.

Let us consider the time-independent Schrödinger equation in a Riemannian space

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \Psi \equiv-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \Delta_{L B}+V=E \Psi \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{L B}$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator as defined in the previous section. Observing that the line-element for an orthogonal coordinate system $\varrho=\left(\varrho_{1}, \ldots, \varrho_{D}\right)$ can be written according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{D} h_{i}^{2}\left(d \varrho_{i}\right)^{2}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Delta_{L B}$ can be cast into the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{L B}=\sum_{i=1}^{D} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{D} h_{j}(\varrho)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho_{i}}\left(\frac{\prod_{k=1}^{D} h_{k}(\varrho)}{h_{i}^{2}(\varrho)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho_{i}}\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

As was shown by Moon and Spencer [45] the necessary and sufficient condition for simple separability of the Helmholtz equation, in a $D$-dimensional Riemannian space with an orthogonal coordinate system $\varrho$, is the factorization of the Lamé coefficients $h_{i}$ according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{D} h_{j}(\varrho)}{h_{i}^{2}(\varrho)}=M_{i 1} \prod_{j=1}^{D} f_{j}\left(\varrho_{j}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i 1}\left(\varrho_{1}, \ldots, \varrho_{i-1}, \varrho_{i+1}, \ldots, \varrho_{D}\right)=\frac{\partial S}{\partial \Phi_{i 1}}=\frac{S(\varrho)}{h_{i}^{2}(\varrho)}, \quad \frac{h^{1 / 2}}{S(\boldsymbol{\varrho})}=\prod_{i=1}^{D} f_{i}\left(\varrho_{i}\right), \quad h=\prod_{i=1}^{D} h_{i}(\varrho) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ is the Stäckel determinant [46]

$$
S(\varrho)=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\Phi_{11}\left(\varrho_{1}\right) & \Phi_{12}\left(\varrho_{1}\right) & \ldots & \Phi_{1 D}\left(\varrho_{1}\right)  \tag{3.6}\\
\Phi_{21}\left(\varrho_{2}\right) & \Phi_{22}\left(\varrho_{2}\right) & \ldots & \Phi_{2 D}\left(\varrho_{2}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\Phi_{D 1}\left(\varrho_{D}\right) & \Phi_{D 2}\left(\varrho_{D}\right) & \ldots & \Phi_{D D}\left(\varrho_{D}\right)
\end{array}\right|
$$

and $M_{i 1}$ is called the cofactor of $\Phi_{i 1}$.
For the separation of the Schrödinger equation a potential $V$ must have the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\sum_{i}^{D} \frac{v_{i}\left(\varrho_{i}\right)}{h_{i}^{2}}, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the separated equations are ( $\Psi=\psi_{1} \psi_{2} \ldots \psi_{D}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{f_{i}} \frac{d}{d \varrho_{i}}\left(f_{i} \frac{d \psi_{i}}{d \varrho_{i}}\right)+\left(\sum_{k} \Phi_{i k} \alpha_{k}-v_{i}\right) \psi_{i}=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\alpha_{1}=2 M E / \hbar^{2}$ and $\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \ldots, \alpha_{D}$ are the separation constants. By using these equations one can construct the full set of commuting operators for each coordinate system. In [53] it was proven that if in the coordinate system $\left(\varrho_{1}, \ldots, \varrho_{D}\right)$ the Schrödinger equation (3.1) admits simple separation of variables that there exists $D-1$ linearly independent second degree operators $I_{k}$,
$k=2,3, \ldots, D-1$ commuting with the Hamiltonian $H$ and with each other, and having the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k}=-\sum_{i=1}^{D}\left(\Phi^{-1}\right)_{i k}\left[\frac{1}{f_{i}} \frac{d}{d \varrho_{i}}\left(f_{i} \frac{d \psi_{i}}{d \varrho_{i}}\right)+v_{i}\right] \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The separation constants $\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3} \cdots \alpha_{D}$ are the eigenvalue of these operators, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k} \Psi=\alpha_{k} \Psi \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Superintegrable systems have the property that they admit not only separation of variables in one coordinate system, but in at least two. This has the consequence that the system has additional integrals of motion, and that the discrete spectrum has accidental degeneracies.

The theory of separation of variables allows us the formulation of the corresponding separation formula for the path integral. Introducing the (new) momentum operators $P_{i}=\frac{\hbar}{i}\left(\partial_{\varrho_{i}}+\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{i}\right)$, $\Gamma_{i}=f_{i}^{\prime} / f_{i}$, we then can rewrite the Legendre transformed Hamiltonian as follows [23]

$$
\begin{align*}
H-E & =-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \Delta_{L B}-E \\
& =-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \sum_{i=1}^{D} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{D} h_{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho_{i}}\left(\frac{\prod_{k=1}^{D} h_{k}}{h_{i}^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho_{i}}\right)-E=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \frac{1}{S} \sum_{i=1}^{D}\left[\frac{1}{f_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho_{i}}\left(f_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho_{i}}\right)\right]-E \\
& =-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \frac{1}{S} \sum_{i=1}^{D} M_{i}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \varrho_{i}^{2}}+\Gamma_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho_{i}}\right)-E \\
& =\frac{1}{S} \sum_{i=1}^{D} M_{i}\left[\frac{1}{2 m} P_{i}^{2}-E h_{i}^{2}+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{8 M}\left(\Gamma_{i}^{2}+2 \Gamma_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{S} \sum_{i=1}^{D} M_{i}\left[\frac{1}{2 m} P_{i}^{2}--\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \sum_{j=1}^{D} \alpha_{j} \Phi_{i j}\left(\varrho_{i}\right)+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{8 M}\left(\Gamma_{i}^{2}+2 \Gamma_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right] \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

We then obtain according to the general theory by means of a space-time transformation the following identity in the path integral $\left(g=\prod h_{i}^{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varrho\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\varrho^{\prime \prime} \\
& \int_{\varrho\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\varrho^{\prime}}^{\mathcal{D}} \varrho(t) \sqrt{g} \exp \left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \int_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime \prime}}\left[\frac{M}{2}(\mathbf{h} \cdot \dot{\varrho})^{2}-\Delta V_{P F}(\varrho)\right] d t\right\} \\
&= \int_{\varrho\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\varrho^{\prime}}^{\varrho\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\varrho^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \varrho(t) \prod_{i=1}^{D} \sqrt{\frac{S}{M_{i}}} \exp \left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \int_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime \prime}}\left[\frac{M}{2} S \frac{\dot{\varrho}_{i}^{2}}{M_{i}}-\Delta V_{i}(\varrho)\right] d t\right\} \\
&=\left(S^{\prime} S^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(1-D / 2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d E}{2 \pi \hbar} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E T / \hbar} \int_{0}^{\infty} d s^{\prime \prime} \prod_{i=1}^{D}\left(M_{i}^{\prime} M_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{1 / 4}  \tag{3.12}\\
& \times \int_{\varrho_{i}(0)=\varrho_{i}^{\prime}}^{\varrho_{i}\left(s^{\prime \prime}\right)=\varrho_{i}^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \varrho_{i}(s) \exp \left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{s^{\prime \prime}}\left[\frac{M}{2} \dot{\varrho}_{i}^{2}+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \sum_{j=1}^{D} \alpha_{j} \Phi_{i j}\left(\varrho_{i}\right)-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{8 M}\left(\Gamma_{i}^{2}+2 \Gamma_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right] d s\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore we have achieved complete separation of variables in the $\varrho$-path integral.

### 3.2 Coordinate Systems on $\Lambda^{(2)}$.

In this subsection we consider the coordinate systems of the two-dimensional hyperboloid defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}^{2}-u_{1}^{2}-u_{2}^{2}=u_{0}^{2}-\mathbf{u}^{2}=R^{2}, \quad u_{0}>0 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which separate the Schrödinger equation, respectively the path integral on $\Lambda^{(2)}$. The notion $u_{0}>0$ means that we consider only one sheet of the double-sheeted hyperboloid $u_{0}^{2}-\mathbf{u}^{2}=R^{2}$. The enumeration includes the definition of the coordinates, the characteristic operator $I$, i.e., the operator which commutes with the Hamiltonian, the Stäckel-matrix $S$, the momentum operators $p_{i}$, the Schrödinger operator (Hamiltonian) $H$, and the general form of the potential which separates in the corresponding coordinates, together with its observable $I^{(V)}$. In the notation of the coordinate systems we follow [48] and [55]. The Hamiltonian on $\Lambda^{(2)}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=H_{0}+V(\mathrm{u}), \quad H_{0}=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}} \Delta_{L B}^{\left(\Lambda^{(2)}\right)}=\frac{1}{2 M R^{2}}\left(K_{1}^{2}+K_{2}^{2}-L_{3}^{2}\right), \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{1,2}$ are (hyperbolic) angular-momentum operators defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}=\frac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}}\left(u_{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{2}}+u_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{0}}\right), \quad K_{2}=\frac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}}\left(u_{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{1}}+u_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{0}}\right), \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $L_{3}$ is the angular momentum operator corresponding to rotations about the $u_{0}$-axis, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{3}=\frac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}}\left(u_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{2}}-u_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{1}}\right) . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$K_{1}, K_{2}$ are the generators of the Lorentz transformations, and $L_{3}$ is the generator of (spatial) rotations in three-dimensional Minkowskian space. The Schrödinger equation the Eigenvalue problem for the free motion on the two-dimensional hyperboloid has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0} \Psi(\mathrm{u})=E \Psi(\mathrm{u})=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}}\left(p^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right) \Psi(\mathrm{u}), \quad p>0 . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spectrum is purely continuous with largest lower bound $E_{0}=\hbar^{2} / 8 M R^{2}$ [19].
For the classification of the coordinate system on the two-dimensional hyperboloid we need the Hamiltonian $H$ and another second-order differential operator $I$ which commutes with $H$. In the following we call the operator $I$ corresponding to this quantum number (characteristic) observable, respectively the characteristic operator.

In the sequel we only consider orthogonal coordinate systems on the two-dimensional hyperboloid. $\mathrm{u} \in \Lambda^{(2)}$ is expressed as $\mathrm{u}=\mathrm{u}(\varrho)$, where $\varrho=\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ are two-dimensional coordinates on $\Lambda^{(2)}$. The metric tensor $g_{a b}$ for a coordinate system can be constructed by means of

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{a b}=\sum_{i k} G_{i k} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial \varrho_{a}} \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial \varrho_{b}}, \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{i k}$ is the ambiente metric on $\Lambda^{(2)}$ given by $\left(G_{i k}\right)=\operatorname{diag}(1,-1,-1)$.
The nine possible coordinate systems on $\Lambda^{(2)}$ now are the following:

1. The first coordinate system is the (pseudo-) spherical system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=R \cosh \tau, \quad u_{1}=R \sinh \tau \cos \varphi, \quad u_{2}=R \sinh \tau \sin \varphi, \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(\tau>0, \varphi \in[0,2 \pi))$. The characteristic operator is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{S}=L_{3}^{2}, \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that in the flat space limit we obtain the polar system in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. The Stäckeldeterminant is given by

$$
S=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
R^{2} & -\frac{1}{\sinh ^{2} \tau}  \tag{3.21}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right|=R^{2},
$$

and $f_{1}=\sinh \tau, f_{2}=1$. For the metric we have $\left(g_{a b}\right)=R^{2} \operatorname{diag}\left(1, \sinh ^{2} \tau\right)$, i.e., $h_{1}=$ $R, h_{2}=R \sinh \tau$, and therefore the momentum operators are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\tau}=\frac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{coth} \tau\right), \quad p_{\varphi}=\frac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hamiltonian has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{0} & =-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \tau^{2}}+\operatorname{coth} \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}+\frac{1}{\sinh ^{2} \tau} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \varphi^{2}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 M R^{2}}\left(p_{\tau}^{2}+\frac{1}{\sinh ^{2} \tau} p_{\varphi}^{2}\right)+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{8 M R^{2}}\left(1-\frac{1}{\sinh ^{2} \tau}\right) \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

A potential separable in spherical coordinates must have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\tau, \varphi)=V_{1}(\tau)+\frac{V_{2}(\varphi)}{\sinh ^{2} \tau} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding constant of motion, respectively observable, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{S}^{(V)}=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \varphi^{2}}+V_{2}(\varphi)=\frac{1}{2 M} L_{3}^{2}+V_{2}(\varphi) \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the corresponding observable on the two-dimensional sphere $S^{(2)}$ has exactly the same form. In the following the prefix "pseudo" is omitted.
2. The second system is the equidistant system. It has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=R \cosh \tau_{1} \cosh \tau_{2}, \quad u_{1}=R \cosh \tau_{1} \sinh \tau_{2}, \quad u_{2}=R \sinh \tau_{1} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} \in \mathbb{R}\right)$. The operator corresponding to this system is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{E Q}=K_{2}^{2} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

which characterizes this system as "cartesian"-like, i.e., in the flat space limit we obtain cartesian coordinates, and the $K_{i}$ operators, $i=1,2$, yield the usual $p_{i}=-\mathrm{i} \hbar \partial_{x_{i}}$ momentum operators. The Stäckel-determinant is

$$
S=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
R^{2} & -\frac{1}{\cosh ^{2} \tau_{1}}  \tag{3.28}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right|=R^{2}
$$

and $f_{1}=\cosh \tau, f_{2}=1$. The metric tensor is given by $\left(g_{a b}\right)=R^{2} \operatorname{diag}\left(1, \cosh ^{2} \tau_{1}\right)$, i.e., $h_{1}=R, h_{2}=R \cosh \tau$, and the momentum operators have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\tau_{1}}=\frac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{1}}+\frac{1}{2} \tanh \tau_{1}\right), \quad p_{\tau_{2}}=\frac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{2}} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the Hamiltonian we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{0} & =-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \tau_{1}^{2}}+\tanh \tau_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{1}}+\frac{1}{\cosh ^{2} \tau_{1}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \tau_{2}^{2}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 M R^{2}}\left(p_{\tau_{1}}^{2}+\frac{1}{\cosh ^{2} \tau_{1}} p_{\tau_{2}}^{2}\right)+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{8 M R^{2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{\cosh ^{2} \tau_{1}}\right) \tag{3.30}
\end{align*}
$$

A potential on $\Lambda^{(2)}$ separable in equidistant coordinates must have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)=V_{1}\left(\tau_{1}\right)+\frac{V_{2}\left(\tau_{2}\right)}{\cosh ^{2} \tau_{1}} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding observable is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{E Q}^{(V)}=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \tau_{2}^{2}}+V_{2}\left(\tau_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2 M} K_{2}^{2}+V_{2}\left(\tau_{2}\right) \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. The third coordinate system is called the horicyclic system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=R \frac{x^{2}+y^{2}+1}{2 y}, \quad u_{1}=R \frac{x^{2}+y^{2}-1}{2 y}, \quad u_{2}=R \frac{x}{y} \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(y>0, x \in \mathbb{R})$. The characteristic operator is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{H O}=\left(K_{1}-L_{3}\right)^{2}=K_{1}^{2}+L_{3}^{2}-\left\{K_{1}, L_{3}\right\}, \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\{X, Y\}=X Y+Y X$ is the anti-commutator of two operators $X$ and $Y$. In the flat space limit this system gives cartesian coordinates. For the Stäckel-determinant we get

$$
S=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1  \tag{3.35}\\
\frac{R^{2}}{y^{2}} & 1
\end{array}\right|=\frac{R^{2}}{y^{2}},
$$

and $f_{1}=f_{2}=1$. The metric is $\left(g_{a b}\right)=\left(R^{2} / y^{2}\right) \mathbb{1}_{2}$, i.e., $h_{1}=h_{2}=R / y$, and the momentum operators have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{x}=\frac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad p_{y}=\frac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}-\frac{1}{y}\right) . \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we obtain for the Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}} y^{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right)=\frac{1}{2 M R^{2}} y\left(p_{x}^{2}+p_{y}^{2}\right) y . \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that we have in this case no quantum potential $\Delta V$ which is due to the fact that the metric is proportional to $\mathbb{1}_{2}$. A potential separable in horicyclic coordinates must have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x, y)=V_{1}(y)+y^{2} V_{2}(x)=V_{1}(y)+R^{2} \frac{V_{2}(x)}{\left(u_{0}-u_{1}\right)^{2}}, \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding observable is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{H O}^{(V)}=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+V_{2}(x)=\frac{1}{2 M}\left(K_{1}-L_{3}\right)^{2}+V_{2}(x) . \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. The fourth coordinate system is the elliptic coordinate system. In algebraic form it is defined as

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
u_{0}^{2}=R^{2} \frac{\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{3}\right)\left(\varrho_{2}-a_{3}\right)}{\left(a_{1}-a_{3}\right)\left(a_{2}-a_{3}\right)},  \tag{3.40}\\
u_{1}^{2}=R^{2} \frac{\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{2}\right)\left(\varrho_{2}-a_{2}\right)}{\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)\left(a_{2}-a_{3}\right)}, \\
u_{2}^{2}=R^{2} \frac{\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{1}\right)\left(a_{1}-\varrho_{2}\right)}{\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)\left(a_{1}-a_{3}\right)}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

$\left(a_{3}<a_{2}<\varrho_{2}<a_{1}<\varrho_{1}\right)$. The Stäckel-determinant has the form

$$
S=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{R^{2}}{4} \frac{\varrho_{1}}{P\left(\varrho_{1}\right)} & -\frac{1}{P\left(\varrho_{1}\right)}  \tag{3.41}\\
\frac{R^{2}}{4} \frac{\varrho_{2}}{P\left(\varrho_{2}\right)} & -\frac{1}{P\left(\varrho_{2}\right)}
\end{array}\right|=-\frac{R^{2}}{4} \frac{\varrho_{1}-\varrho_{2}}{P\left(\varrho_{1}\right) P\left(\varrho_{2}\right)},
$$

$f_{1}=\sqrt{P\left(\varrho_{1}\right)}, f_{2}=\sqrt{-P\left(\varrho_{2}\right)}$, and $P(\varrho)=\left(\varrho-a_{1}\right)\left(\varrho-a_{2}\right)\left(\varrho-a_{3}\right)$. After putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{1}=a_{1}-\left(a_{1}-a_{3}\right) \operatorname{dn}^{2}(\alpha, k), \quad \varrho_{2}=a_{1}-\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right) \operatorname{sn}^{2}\left(\beta, k^{\prime}\right), \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{2}=\frac{a_{2}-a_{3}}{a_{1}-a_{3}}, \quad k^{\prime 2}=\frac{a_{1}-a_{2}}{a_{1}-a_{3}}, \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the property $k^{2}+k^{\prime 2}=1$, we get

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
u_{0}=R \operatorname{sn}(\alpha, k) \mathrm{dn}\left(\beta, k^{\prime}\right),  \tag{3.44}\\
u_{1}=\mathrm{i} R \operatorname{cn}(\alpha, k) \operatorname{cn}\left(\beta, k^{\prime}\right), \\
u_{2}=\mathrm{i} R \operatorname{dn}(\alpha, k) \operatorname{sn}\left(\beta, k^{\prime}\right) .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Here $\alpha \in\left(\mathrm{i} K^{\prime}, \mathrm{i} K^{\prime}+2 K\right), \beta \in\left[0,4 K^{\prime}\right)$, and $\operatorname{sn}(\mu, k), \mathrm{cn}(\mu, k), \mathrm{dn}(\mu, k)$ are the Jacobi elliptic functions [12, p.910] with modulus $k$, and $K=K(k)$ and $K^{\prime}=K\left(k^{\prime}\right)$ are the complete elliptic integrals with $k$ and $k^{\prime}$ the elliptic moduli. In the elliptic system the characteristic operator has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{E}=L_{3}^{2}+\sinh ^{2} f K_{2}^{2}, \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\sinh ^{2} f$ as in (3.46), and $2 f$ is the distance between the foci. Analogously as for the elliptic system on the two-dimensional sphere we can introduce a rotated elliptic (also called elliptic $I I$ ) system [21]. Instead of a trigonometric rotation as for the case on the sphere we must consider in the present case a hyperbolic rotation. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sinh ^{2} f=\frac{a_{1}-a_{2}}{a_{2}-a_{3}}=\frac{k^{\prime 2}}{k^{2}}, \quad \cosh ^{2} f=\frac{a_{1}-a_{3}}{a_{2}-a_{3}}=\frac{1}{k^{2}}, \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the rotated elliptic system is then obtained by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
u_{0}^{\prime}  \tag{3.47}\\
u_{1}^{\prime} \\
u_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\cosh f & \sinh f & 0 \\
\sinh f & \cosh f & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
u_{0} \\
u_{1} \\
u_{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
u_{0} \cosh f+u_{1} \sinh f \\
u_{0} \sinh f+u_{1} \cosh f \\
u_{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Explicitly this yields

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{0}^{\prime} & =\frac{R}{a_{2}-a_{3}}\left(\sqrt{\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{3}\right)\left(\varrho_{2}-a_{3}\right)}+\sqrt{\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{2}\right)\left(\varrho_{2}-a_{2}\right)}\right) \\
& =R\left[\frac{1}{k} \operatorname{sn}(\alpha, k) \operatorname{dn}\left(\beta, k^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{i} \frac{k^{\prime}}{k} \operatorname{cn}(\alpha, k) \operatorname{cn}\left(\beta, k^{\prime}\right)\right], \\
u_{1}^{\prime} & =\frac{R}{a_{2}-a_{3}}\left(\sqrt{\frac{a_{1}-a_{2}}{a_{1}-a_{3}}\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{3}\right)\left(\varrho_{2}-a_{3}\right)}+\sqrt{\frac{a_{1}-a_{3}}{a_{1}-a_{2}}\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{2}\right)\left(\varrho_{2}-a_{2}\right)}\right)  \tag{3.48}\\
& =R\left[\frac{k^{\prime}}{k} \operatorname{sn}(\alpha, k) \operatorname{dn}\left(\beta, k^{\prime}\right)+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{k} \operatorname{cn}(\alpha, k) \operatorname{cn}\left(\beta, k^{\prime}\right)\right], \\
u_{2} & =R \sqrt{\frac{\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{1}\right)\left(a_{1}-\varrho_{2}\right)}{\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)\left(a_{1}-a_{3}\right)}}=\mathrm{i} R \operatorname{dn}(\alpha, k) \operatorname{sn}\left(\beta, k^{\prime}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

In the rotated elliptic system we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{E^{\prime}}=\cosh 2 f L_{3}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sinh 2 f\left\{K_{1}, L_{3}\right\} . \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the flat space limit the elliptic system gives elliptic coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, and the rotated elliptic system elliptic II coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. If no confusion can arise we do not distinguish in the following the rotated elliptic system by priming the coordinates. For short-hand notation we also omit the moduli.
The metric tensor in each case is given by $\left(g_{a b}\right)=R^{2}\left(k^{2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \alpha+{k^{\prime}}^{2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \beta\right) \mathbb{1}_{2}$, i.e., $h_{i}^{2}=$ $R^{2}\left(k^{2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \alpha+k^{\prime 2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \beta\right), i=1,2$. For the momentum operators we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\alpha}=\frac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}-\frac{k^{2} \operatorname{sn} \alpha \operatorname{cn} \alpha \operatorname{dn} \alpha}{k^{2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \alpha+{k^{\prime}}^{\prime 2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \beta}\right), \quad p_{\beta}=\frac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}-\frac{k^{2} \operatorname{sn} \beta \operatorname{cn} \beta \operatorname{dn} \beta}{k^{2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \alpha+{k^{\prime}}^{2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \beta}\right) \tag{3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the Hamiltonian we have

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{0} & =-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}} \frac{1}{k^{2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \alpha+k^{\prime 2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \beta}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \beta^{2}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 M R^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^{2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \alpha+k^{\prime 2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \beta}}\left(p_{\alpha}^{2}+p_{\beta}^{2}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^{2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \alpha+k^{\prime 2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \beta}} \tag{3.51}
\end{align*}
$$

A potential separable in elliptic coordinates must have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\alpha, \beta)=\frac{\tilde{V}_{1}(\alpha)+\tilde{V}_{2}(\beta)}{k^{2} \operatorname{cn}^{2} \alpha+k^{\prime 2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \beta}=\frac{V_{1}\left(\varrho_{1}\right)+V_{2}\left(\varrho_{2}\right)}{\varrho_{1}-\varrho_{2}} . \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

The observable then is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{E}^{(V)}= & -\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \frac{1}{\varrho_{1}-\varrho_{2}}\left(\varrho_{2} \sqrt{P\left(\varrho_{1}\right)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho_{1}} \sqrt{P\left(\varrho_{1}\right)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho_{1}}+\varrho_{1} \sqrt{-P\left(\varrho_{2}\right)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho_{2}} \sqrt{-P\left(\varrho_{2}\right)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho_{2}}\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{\varrho_{2} V_{1}\left(\varrho_{1}\right)+\varrho_{1} V_{2}\left(\varrho_{2}\right)}{\varrho_{1}-\varrho_{2}} \\
= & \frac{1}{2 M}\left(L_{3}^{2}+\sinh ^{2} f K_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{\varrho_{2} V_{1}\left(\varrho_{1}\right)+\varrho_{1} V_{2}\left(\varrho_{2}\right)}{\varrho_{1}-\varrho_{2}} . \tag{3.53}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the corresponding observable on the two-dimensional sphere has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{E}^{\left(S^{(2)}\right)}=\frac{1}{2 M}\left(L_{1}^{2}+k^{\prime 2} L_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{\varrho_{2} V_{1}\left(\varrho_{1}\right)+\varrho_{1} V_{2}\left(\varrho_{2}\right)}{\varrho_{1}-\varrho_{2}}, \tag{3.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}$ elliptic coordinates on $S^{(2)}$ [21].
5. The fifth coordinate system is the hyperbolic system:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{0}^{2}=R^{2} \frac{\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{2}\right)\left(a_{2}-\varrho_{2}\right)}{\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)\left(a_{2}-a_{3}\right)}, \\
& u_{1}^{2}=R^{2} \frac{\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{3}\right)\left(a_{3}-\varrho_{2}\right)}{\left(a_{1}-a_{3}\right)\left(a_{2}-a_{3}\right)},  \tag{3.55}\\
& u_{2}^{2}=R^{2} \frac{\left.\varrho_{1}-a_{1}\right)\left(a_{1}-\varrho_{2}\right)}{\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)\left(a_{1}-a_{3}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

$\left(\varrho_{2}<a_{3}<a_{2}<a_{1}<\varrho_{1}\right)$. The Stäckel-determinant is given by

$$
S=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{R^{2}}{4} \frac{\varrho_{1}}{P\left(\varrho_{1}\right)} & -\frac{1}{P\left(\varrho_{1}\right)}  \tag{3.56}\\
\frac{R^{2}}{4} \frac{\varrho_{2}}{P\left(\varrho_{2}\right)} & -\frac{1}{P\left(\varrho_{2}\right)}
\end{array}\right|=-\frac{R^{2}}{4} \frac{\varrho_{1}-\varrho_{2}}{P\left(\varrho_{1}\right) P\left(\varrho_{2}\right)},
$$

and $f_{1}=\sqrt{P\left(\varrho_{1}\right)}, f_{2}=\sqrt{-P\left(\varrho_{2}\right)}$. After putting [55]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{1}=a_{2}-\left(a_{2}-a_{3}\right) \operatorname{cn}^{2}(\mu, k), \quad \varrho_{2}=a_{2}+\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right) \operatorname{cn}^{2}\left(\eta, k^{\prime}\right), \tag{3.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{2}=\frac{a_{2}-a_{3}}{a_{1}-a_{3}}, \quad k^{\prime 2}=\frac{a_{1}-a_{2}}{a_{1}-a_{3}}, \tag{3.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu \in\left(\mathrm{i} K^{\prime}, \mathrm{i} K^{\prime}+2 K\right), \eta \in\left[0,4 K^{\prime}\right)$, we get

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
u_{0}=-R \operatorname{cn}(\mu, k) \operatorname{cn}\left(\eta, k^{\prime}\right),  \tag{3.59}\\
u_{1}=\mathrm{i} R \operatorname{sn}(\mu, k) \operatorname{dn}\left(\eta, k^{\prime}\right), \\
u_{2}=\mathrm{i} R \operatorname{dn}(\mu, k) \operatorname{sn}\left(\eta, k^{\prime}\right) .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The characteristic operator is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{H}=K_{2}^{2}-\sin ^{2} \alpha L_{3}^{2}, \tag{3.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sin ^{2} \alpha=\left(a_{2}-a_{3}\right) /\left(a_{1}-a_{3}\right)$ and $2 \alpha$ is the angle between the two focal lines. In the flat space limit the hyperbolic system gives cartesian coordinates. The metric tensor has the form $\left(g_{a b}\right)=R^{2}\left(k^{2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \mu+k^{\prime 2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \eta\right) \mathbb{1}_{2}$, i.e., $h_{1}^{2}=h_{2}^{2}=R^{2}\left(k^{2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \mu+k^{\prime 2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \eta\right)$, the momentum operators are

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\mu}=\frac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}-\frac{k^{2} \operatorname{sn} \mu \mathrm{cn} \mu \mathrm{dn} \mu}{k^{2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \mu+k^{\prime 2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \eta}\right), \quad p_{\eta}=\frac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}-\frac{k^{2} \operatorname{sn} \eta \operatorname{cn} \eta \mathrm{dn} \eta}{k^{2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \mu+{k^{\prime}}^{\prime 2} \operatorname{cn}^{2} \eta}\right), \tag{3.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the Hamiltonian we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{0} & =-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}} \frac{1}{k^{2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \mu+k^{\prime 2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \eta}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \mu^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \eta^{2}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 M R^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^{2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \mu+{k^{\prime} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \eta}^{2}}\left(p_{\mu}^{2}+p_{\eta}^{2}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^{2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \mu+k^{\prime 2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \eta}}} . \tag{3.62}
\end{align*}
$$

A potential separable in hyperbolic coordinates must have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\mu, \eta)=\frac{\tilde{V}_{1}(\mu)+\tilde{V}_{2}(\eta)}{k^{2} \operatorname{cn}^{2} \mu+k^{\prime 2} \operatorname{cn}^{2} \eta}=\frac{V_{1}\left(\varrho_{1}\right)+V_{2}\left(\varrho_{2}\right)}{\varrho_{1}-\varrho_{2}} \tag{3.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding observable is

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{H}^{(V)}=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \frac{1}{\varrho_{1}-\varrho_{2}}\left(\varrho_{2} \sqrt{P\left(\varrho_{1}\right)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho_{1}} \sqrt{P\left(\varrho_{1}\right)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho_{1}}+\varrho_{1} \sqrt{-P\left(\varrho_{2}\right)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho_{2}} \sqrt{-P\left(\varrho_{2}\right)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho_{2}}\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{\varrho_{2} V_{1}\left(\varrho_{1}\right)+\varrho_{1} V_{2}\left(\varrho_{2}\right)}{\varrho_{1}-\varrho_{2}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2 M}\left(K_{2}^{2}-\sin ^{2} \alpha L_{3}^{2}\right)+\frac{\varrho_{2} V_{1}\left(\varrho_{1}\right)+\varrho_{1} V_{2}\left(\varrho_{2}\right)}{\varrho_{1}-\varrho_{2}} . \tag{3.64}
\end{align*}
$$

6. The sixth coordinate system is the semi-hyperbolic system:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{0}^{2}=\frac{R^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\delta} \sqrt{\frac{\left[\left(\varrho_{1}-\gamma\right)^{2}+\delta^{2}\right]\left[\left(\varrho_{2}-\gamma\right)^{2}+\delta^{2}\right]}{(a-\gamma)^{2}+\delta^{2}}}+\frac{\left(\varrho_{1}-a\right)\left(a-\varrho_{2}\right)}{\left[(a-\gamma)^{2}+\delta^{2}\right]}+1\right) \\
& u_{1}^{2}=\frac{R^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\delta} \sqrt{\frac{\left[\left(\varrho_{1}-\gamma\right)^{2}+\delta^{2}\right]\left[\left(\varrho_{2}-\gamma\right)^{2}+\delta^{2}\right]}{(a-\gamma)^{2}+\delta^{2}}}-\frac{\left(\varrho_{1}-a\right)\left(a-\varrho_{2}\right)}{\left[(a-\gamma)^{2}+\delta^{2}\right]}-1\right),  \tag{3.65}\\
& u_{2}^{2}=R^{2} \frac{\left(\varrho_{1}-a\right)\left(a-\varrho_{2}\right)}{(a-\gamma)^{2}+\delta^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

$\left(\varrho_{2}<a<\varrho_{1}, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}\right)$. The characteristic operator has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{S H}=\left\{K_{1}, L_{3}\right\}-\sinh 2 f K_{2}^{2} \tag{3.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sinh 2 f=(a-\gamma) / \delta$ and $2 f$ is the distance between the focus of the semi-hyperbolas and the basis of the equidistants. In the flat space limit the case of $\sinh 2 f \rightarrow 0$ gives parabolic coordinates, and the case $\sinh 2 f \rightarrow \infty$ cartesian coordinates. For the Stäckeldeterminant we obtain

$$
S=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{R^{2}}{4} \frac{1}{1+\mu_{2}^{2}} & -\frac{1}{P\left(\mu_{1}\right)}  \tag{3.67}\\
\frac{R^{2}}{4} \frac{1}{1+\mu_{2}^{2}} & \frac{1}{P\left(\mu_{2}\right)}
\end{array}\right|=\frac{R^{2}}{4} \frac{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}{P\left(\mu_{1}\right) P\left(\mu_{2}\right)},
$$

and $f_{1}=\sqrt{P\left(\mu_{1}\right)}, f_{2}=\sqrt{P\left(\mu_{2}\right)}$. The special choice of the parameters $a=\gamma=0, \delta=1$ together with $\varrho_{1}=\mu_{1}>0,-\varrho_{2}=\mu_{2}>0$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{0}^{2} & =\frac{R^{2}}{2}\left(\sqrt{\left(1+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1+\mu_{2}^{2}\right)}+\mu_{1} \mu_{2}+1\right) \\
& =\frac{R^{2}}{4}\left[\sqrt{\left(1-\mathrm{i} \mu_{1}\right)\left(1-\mathrm{i} \mu_{2}\right)}-\sqrt{\left(1+\mathrm{i} \mu_{1}\right)\left(1+\mathrm{i} \mu_{2}\right)}\right]^{2}, \\
u_{1}^{2} & =\frac{R^{2}}{2}\left(\sqrt{\left(1+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1+\mu_{2}^{2}\right)}-\mu_{1} \mu_{2}-1\right)  \tag{3.68}\\
& =-\frac{R^{2}}{4}\left[\sqrt{\left(1-\mathrm{i} \mu_{1}\right)\left(1+\mathrm{i} \mu_{2}\right)}-\sqrt{\left(1+\mathrm{i} \mu_{1}\right)\left(1-\mathrm{i} \mu_{2}\right)}\right]^{2}, \\
u_{2}^{2} & =R^{2} \mu_{1} \mu_{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

The characteristic operator then has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{S H}=\left\{K_{1}, L_{3}\right\}, \tag{3.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows that the coordinate system (3.68) yields in the flat space limit parabolic coordinates. Note also the relation $u_{0} u_{1}=R^{2}\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right) / 2$. In the following we only consider this special choice of parameters. The metric tensor reads as $\left(P(\mu)=\mu\left(1+\mu^{2}\right)\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g_{a b}\right)=R^{2} \frac{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}{4} \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{1}{P\left(\mu_{1}\right)},-\frac{1}{P\left(\mu_{2}\right)}\right), \tag{3.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

$h_{i}^{2}=g_{i i}, i=1,2$, the momentum operators are

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\mu_{i}}=\frac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_{i}}+\frac{1}{2\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}\right)}-\frac{1}{4} \frac{P^{\prime}\left(\mu_{i}\right)}{P\left(\mu_{i}\right)}\right), \tag{3.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the Hamiltonian we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{0}= & -\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}} \frac{4}{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}\left(P\left(\mu_{1}\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \mu_{1}^{2}}+\frac{P^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}\right)}{2 P\left(\mu_{1}\right)}\right)-P\left(\mu_{2}\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \mu_{2}^{2}}+\frac{P^{\prime}\left(\mu_{2}\right)}{2 P\left(\mu_{2}\right)}\right)\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2 M R^{2}}\left(\sqrt{\frac{4 P\left(\mu_{1}\right)}{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}} p_{\mu_{1}}^{2} \sqrt{\frac{4 P\left(\mu_{1}\right)}{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}}+\sqrt{\frac{-4 P\left(\mu_{2}\right)}{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}} p_{\mu_{2}}^{2} \sqrt{\frac{-4 P\left(\mu_{2}\right)}{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}}\right) \\
& +\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}} \frac{1}{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}\left(P^{\prime \prime}\left(\mu_{1}\right)-P^{\prime \prime}\left(\mu_{2}\right)-\frac{3 P^{\prime 2}\left(\mu_{1}\right)}{4 P\left(\mu_{1}\right)}+\frac{3 P^{\prime 2}\left(\mu_{2}\right)}{4 P\left(\mu_{2}\right)}\right) . \tag{3.72}
\end{align*}
$$

A potential separable in semi-hyperbolic coordinates must have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)=\frac{V_{1}\left(\mu_{1}\right)+V_{2}\left(\mu_{2}\right)}{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}} . \tag{3.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding observable is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{S H}^{(V)} & =-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \frac{1}{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}\left(-\mu_{2} \sqrt{P\left(\mu_{1}\right)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_{1}} \sqrt{P\left(\mu_{1}\right)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_{1}}+\mu_{1} \sqrt{P\left(\mu_{2}\right)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_{2}} \sqrt{P\left(\mu_{2}\right)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_{2}}\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{\mu_{2} V_{1}\left(\mu_{1}\right)-\mu_{1} V_{2}\left(\mu_{2}\right)}{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 M}\left\{K_{1}, L_{3}\right\}+\frac{\mu_{2} V_{1}\left(\mu_{1}\right)-\mu_{1} V_{2}\left(\mu_{2}\right)}{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}} . \tag{3.74}
\end{align*}
$$

7. The seventh coordinate system is called the elliptic-parabolic system. It has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{0}=\frac{R}{2}\left(\frac{\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{1}\right)\left(a_{1}-\varrho_{2}\right)}{\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)^{3 / 2} \sqrt{\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{2}\right)\left(\varrho_{2}-a_{2}\right)}}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\sqrt{\frac{a_{1}-a_{2}}{\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{2}\right)\left(\varrho_{2}-a_{2}\right)}}+\sqrt{\frac{\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{2}\right)\left(\varrho_{2}-a_{2}\right)}{a_{1}-a_{2}}}\right), \\
& u_{1}=  \tag{3.75}\\
& \frac{R}{2}\left(\frac{\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{1}\right)\left(a_{1}-\varrho_{2}\right)}{\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)^{3 / 2} \sqrt{\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{2}\right)\left(\varrho_{2}-a_{2}\right)}}\right. \\
& \\
& u_{2}=R \frac{a_{1}-a_{2}}{\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{2}\right)\left(\varrho_{2}-a_{2}\right)} \\
& \frac{\sqrt{\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{1}\right)\left(a_{1}-\varrho_{2}\right)}}{a_{1}-a_{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

$\left(a_{2}<\varrho_{2}<a_{1}<\varrho_{1}\right)$. The characteristic operator is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{E P}=K_{1}^{2}+\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right) K_{2}^{2}+L_{3}^{2}-\left\{K_{1}, L_{3}\right\} \tag{3.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making the special choice $a_{1}=0, a_{2}=-1$ together with $\varrho_{1}=\tan ^{2} \vartheta, \varrho_{2}=-\tanh ^{2} a$ $(\vartheta \in(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2), a \in \mathbb{R})$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{0}=R \frac{\cosh ^{2} a+\cos ^{2} \vartheta}{2 \cosh a \cos \vartheta} \\
& u_{1}=R \frac{\sinh ^{2} a-\sin ^{2} \vartheta}{2 \cosh a \cos \vartheta}  \tag{3.77}\\
& u_{2}=R \tan \vartheta \tanh a
\end{align*}
$$

In this case the characteristic operator has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{E P}=K_{1}^{2}+K_{2}^{2}+L_{3}^{2}-\left\{K_{1}, L_{3}\right\}=-\hbar^{2} R^{2} \Delta_{L B}+2 L_{3}^{2}-\left\{K_{1}, L_{3}\right\} \tag{3.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows that for this choice of the parameters the coordinate system may be characterised as a polar-parabolic system. The Stäckel-determinant then has the form

$$
S=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{R^{2}}{\cosh ^{2} a} & -1  \tag{3.79}\\
\frac{R^{2}}{\cos ^{2} \vartheta} & 1
\end{array}\right|=R^{2} \frac{\cosh ^{2} a-\cos ^{2} \vartheta}{\cosh ^{2} a \cos ^{2} \vartheta}
$$

and $f_{1}=f_{2}=1$. In the flat space limit we obtain parabolic coordinates. The metric tensor is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g_{a b}\right)=R^{2} \frac{\cosh ^{2} a-\cos ^{2} \vartheta}{\cosh ^{2} a \cos ^{2} \vartheta} \mathbb{1}_{2}, \tag{3.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $h_{i}^{2}=g_{i i}, i=1,2$. For the momentum operators we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{a}=\frac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a}+\frac{\sinh a \cosh a}{\cosh ^{2} a-\cos ^{2} \vartheta}-\tanh a\right), p_{\vartheta}=\frac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta}+\frac{\sin \vartheta \cos \vartheta}{\cosh ^{2} a-\cos ^{2} \vartheta}+\tan \vartheta\right) \tag{3.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Hamiltonian reads

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{0} & =-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}} \frac{\cosh ^{2} a \cos ^{2} \vartheta}{\cosh ^{2} a-\cos ^{2} \vartheta}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial a^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \vartheta^{2}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 M R^{2}} \frac{\cosh a \cos \vartheta}{\sqrt{\cosh ^{2} a-\cos ^{2} \vartheta}}\left(p_{a}^{2}+p_{\vartheta}^{2}\right) \frac{\cosh a \cos \vartheta}{\sqrt{\cosh ^{2} a-\cos ^{2} \vartheta}} . \tag{3.82}
\end{align*}
$$

A potential separable in elliptic-parabolic coordinates must have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(a, \vartheta)=\frac{\cosh ^{2} a \cos ^{2} \vartheta}{\cosh ^{2} a-\cos ^{2} \vartheta}\left[V_{1}(a)+V_{2}(\vartheta)\right] . \tag{3.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

The observable then is

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{E P}^{(V)} & =-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \frac{1}{\cosh ^{2} a-\cos ^{2} \vartheta}\left(\cosh ^{2} a \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial a^{2}}+\cos ^{2} \vartheta \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \vartheta^{2}}\right)+\frac{\cosh ^{2} a V_{1}(a)+\cos ^{2} \vartheta V_{2}(\vartheta)}{\cosh ^{2} a-\cos ^{2} \vartheta} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 M}\left(K_{1}^{2}+K_{2}^{2}+L_{3}^{2}-\left\{K_{1}, L_{3}\right\}\right)+\frac{\cosh ^{2} a V_{1}(a)+\cos ^{2} \vartheta V_{2}(\vartheta)}{\cosh ^{2} a-\cos ^{2} \vartheta} . \tag{3.84}
\end{align*}
$$

8. The eighth coordinate system is called the hyperbolic-parabolic system. It has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{aligned}
& u_{0}= \frac{R}{2}\left(\frac{\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{1}\right)\left(a_{1}-\varrho_{2}\right)}{\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)^{3 / 2} \sqrt{\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{2}\right)\left(a_{2}-\varrho_{2}\right)}}\right. \\
&\left.\quad+\sqrt{\frac{a_{1}-a_{2}}{\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{2}\right)\left(a_{2}-\varrho_{2}\right)}}+\sqrt{\frac{\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{2}\right)\left(a_{2}-\varrho_{2}\right)}{a_{1}-a_{2}}}\right), \\
& u_{1}= \frac{R}{2}\left(\frac{\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{1}\right)\left(a_{1}-\varrho_{2}\right)}{\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)^{3 / 2} \sqrt{\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{2}\right)\left(a_{2}-\varrho_{2}\right)}}\right. \\
&\left.\quad+\sqrt{\frac{a_{1}-a_{2}}{\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{2}\right)\left(a_{2}-\varrho_{2}\right)}}-\sqrt{\frac{\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{2}\right)\left(a_{2}-\varrho_{2}\right)}{a_{1}-a_{2}}}\right), \\
& u_{2}= R \frac{\sqrt{\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{1}\right)\left(a_{1}-\varrho_{2}\right)}}{a_{1}-a_{2}}
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

$\left(\varrho_{2}<a_{2}<a_{1}<\varrho_{1}\right)$. The characteristic operator is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{H P}=K_{1}^{2}-\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right) K_{2}^{2}+L_{3}^{2}-\left\{K_{1}, L_{3}\right\} . \tag{3.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making the special choice $a_{1}=0, a_{2}=-1$ together with $\varrho_{1}=\cot ^{2} \vartheta, \varrho_{2}=-\operatorname{coth}^{2} b$ $(\vartheta \in(0, \pi), b>0)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{0}=R \frac{\cosh ^{2} b+\cos ^{2} \vartheta}{2 \sinh b \sin \vartheta}, \\
& u_{1}=R \frac{\sinh ^{2} b-\sin ^{2} \vartheta}{2 \sinh b \sin \vartheta},  \tag{3.87}\\
& u_{2}=R \cot \vartheta \operatorname{coth} b .
\end{align*}
$$

In this case the characteristic operator has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{H P}=K_{1}^{2}-K_{2}^{2}+L_{3}^{2}-\left\{K_{1}, L_{3}\right\} . \tag{3.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the Stäckel-determinant we have

$$
S=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{R^{2}}{\sinh ^{2} b} & -1  \tag{3.89}\\
-\frac{R^{2}}{\sin ^{2} \vartheta} & 1
\end{array}\right|=R^{2} \frac{\sinh ^{2} b+\sin ^{2} \vartheta}{\sinh ^{2} b \sin ^{2} \vartheta},
$$

and $f_{1}=f_{2}=1$. In the flat space limit we obtain cartesian coordinates from this system. The metric tensor is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g_{a b}\right)=R^{2} \frac{\sinh ^{2} b+\sin ^{2} \vartheta}{\sinh ^{2} b \sin ^{2} \vartheta} \mathbb{1}_{2}, \tag{3.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $h_{i}^{2}=g_{i i}, i=1,2$. For the momentum operators we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{b}=\frac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial b}+\frac{\sinh b \cosh b}{\sinh ^{2} b+\sin ^{2} \vartheta}-\operatorname{coth} b\right), p_{\vartheta}=\frac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta}+\frac{\sin \vartheta \cos \vartheta}{\sinh ^{2} b+\sin ^{2} \vartheta}-\cot \vartheta\right), \tag{3.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the Hamiltonian we get

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{0} & =-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}} \frac{\sinh ^{2} b \sin ^{2} \vartheta}{\sinh ^{2} b+\sin ^{2} \vartheta}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial b^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \vartheta^{2}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 M R^{2}} \frac{\sinh b \sin \vartheta}{\sqrt{\sinh ^{2} b+\sin ^{2} \vartheta}}\left(p_{b}^{2}+p_{\vartheta}^{2}\right) \frac{\sinh b \sin \vartheta}{\sqrt{\sinh ^{2} b+\sin ^{2} \vartheta}} . \tag{3.92}
\end{align*}
$$

A potential separable in elliptic-parabolic coordinates must have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(b, \vartheta)=\frac{\sinh ^{2} b \sin ^{2} \vartheta}{\sinh ^{2} b+\sin ^{2} \vartheta}\left[V_{1}(b)+V_{2}(\vartheta)\right] \tag{3.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding observable is

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{H P}^{(V)} & =-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \frac{1}{\sinh ^{2} b+\sin ^{2} \vartheta}\left(\sinh ^{2} b \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial b^{2}}+\sin ^{2} \vartheta \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \vartheta^{2}}\right)+\frac{\sinh ^{2} b V_{1}(b)+\sin ^{2} \vartheta V_{2}(\vartheta)}{\sinh ^{2} b+\sin ^{2} \vartheta} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 M}\left(K_{1}^{2}-K_{2}^{2}+L_{3}^{2}-\left\{K_{1}, L_{3}\right\}\right)+\frac{\sinh ^{2} b V_{1}(b)+\sin ^{2} \vartheta V_{2}(\vartheta)}{\sinh ^{2} b+\sin ^{2} \vartheta} . \tag{3.94}
\end{align*}
$$

9. The ninth and last system is the semi-circular parabolic coordinate system:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{0}=R\left[\frac{\left(\varrho_{1}-\varrho_{2}\right)^{2}}{\left.8\left[\varrho_{1}-a\right)\left(a-\varrho_{2}\right)\right]^{3 / 2}}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left(\varrho_{1}-a\right)\left(a-\varrho_{2}\right)}\right] \\
& =R \frac{\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)^{2}+4}{8 \xi \eta},  \tag{3.95}\\
& u_{1}=R\left[\frac{\left(\varrho_{1}-\varrho_{2}\right)^{2}}{\left.8\left[\varrho_{1}-a\right)\left(a-\varrho_{2}\right)\right]^{3 / 2}}-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left(\varrho_{1}-a\right)\left(a-\varrho_{2}\right)}\right] \\
& =R \frac{\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)^{2}-4}{8 \xi \eta}, \\
& u_{2}=\frac{R}{2}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\varrho_{1}-a}{a-\varrho_{2}}}-\sqrt{\frac{a-\varrho_{2}}{\varrho_{1}-a}}\right)
\end{align*}=R \frac{\eta^{2}-\xi^{2}}{2 \xi \eta},
$$

$\left(\varrho_{2}<a<\varrho_{1}\right)$, and we have made the choice $a=0, \varrho_{2}=-1 / \eta^{2}, \varrho_{1}=1 / \xi^{2}, \xi, \eta>0$. The characteristic operator has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{S C P}=\left\{K_{1}, K_{2}\right\}-\left\{K_{2}, L_{3}\right\} \tag{3.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Stäckel-determinant is given by

$$
S=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{R^{2}}{\xi^{2}} & -1  \tag{3.97}\\
-\frac{R^{2}}{\eta^{2}} & 1
\end{array}\right|=R^{2} \frac{\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}}{\xi^{2} \eta^{2}}
$$

and $f_{1}=f_{2}=1$. In the flat space limit this coordinate system gives cartesian coordinates. The metric reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g_{a b}\right)=R^{2} \frac{\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}}{\xi^{2} \eta^{2}} \mathbb{1}_{2} \tag{3.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $h_{i}^{2}=g_{i i}, i=1,2$. The momentum operators are

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\xi}=\frac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}+\frac{\xi}{\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}}-\frac{1}{\xi}\right), \quad p_{\eta}=\frac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}+\frac{\eta}{\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}}-\frac{1}{\eta}\right), \tag{3.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 1: Coordinate Systems on the Two-Dimensional Hyperboloid

| Coordinate System Observable $I$ | Coordinates | Separates <br> Potential | Limiting Systems |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { I. Spherical } \\ & \tau>0, \varphi \in[0,2 \pi) \\ & I=L_{3}^{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $u_{0}=R \cosh \tau$ $u_{1}=R \sinh \tau \cos \varphi$ $u_{2}=R \sinh \tau \sin \varphi$ | $\begin{aligned} & V_{1}, V_{2} \\ & V_{4}^{(\omega=0)} \end{aligned}$ | Polar |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { II. Equidistant } \\ & \tau_{1,2} \in \mathbb{R} \\ & I=K_{2}^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & u_{0}=R \cosh \tau_{1} \cosh \tau_{2} \\ & u_{1}=R \cosh \tau_{1} \sinh \tau_{2} \\ & u_{2}=R \sinh \tau_{1} \end{aligned}$ | $V_{1}, V_{4}, V_{5}$ | Cartesian |
| III. Horicyclic $\begin{aligned} & y>0, x \in \mathbb{R} \\ & I=\left(K_{1}-L_{3}\right)^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & u_{0}=\frac{R}{2 y}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+1\right) \\ & u_{1}=\frac{R}{2 y}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}-1\right) \\ & u_{2}=R x / y \end{aligned}$ | $V_{3}, V_{4}$ | Cartesian |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { IV. Elliptic } \\ \alpha \in\left(\mathrm{i} K^{\prime}, \mathrm{i} K^{\prime}+2 K\right) \\ \beta \in\left[0,4 K^{\prime}\right) \\ I=L_{3}^{2}+\sinh ^{2} f K_{2}^{2} \\ I^{\prime}=\cosh 2 f L_{3}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sinh 2 f\left\{K_{1}, L_{3}\right\} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & u_{0}=R \operatorname{sn} \alpha \mathrm{dn} \beta \\ & u_{1}=\mathrm{i} R \operatorname{cn} \alpha \mathrm{cn} \beta \\ & u_{2}=\mathrm{i} R \operatorname{dn} \alpha \operatorname{sn} \beta \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & V_{1}, V_{2}^{*} \\ & V_{4}^{(\omega=0)} \end{aligned}$ | Elliptic <br> Elliptic II |
| V. Hyperbolic $\begin{aligned} & \mu \in\left(\mathrm{i} K^{\prime}, \mathrm{i} K^{\prime}+2 K\right) \\ & \eta \in\left[0,4 K^{\prime}\right) \\ & I=K_{2}^{2}-\sin ^{2} \alpha L_{3}^{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & u_{0}=-R \operatorname{cn} \mu \mathrm{cn} \eta \\ & u_{1}=\mathrm{i} R \operatorname{sn} \mu \mathrm{dn} \eta \\ & u_{2}=\mathrm{i} R \operatorname{dn} \mu \mathrm{sn} \eta \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline V_{1} \\ & V_{4}^{(\omega=0)} \end{aligned}$ | Cartesian |
| VI. Semi-Hyperbolic $\begin{aligned} & \mu_{1,2}>0 \\ & I=\left\{K_{1}, L_{3}\right\} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & u_{0}=\frac{R}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\sqrt{\left(1+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1+\mu_{2}^{2}\right)}+\mu_{1} \mu_{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2} \\ & u_{1}=\frac{R}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\sqrt{\left(1+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1+\mu_{2}^{2}\right)}-\mu_{1} \mu_{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2} \\ & u_{2}=R \sqrt{\mu_{1} \mu_{2}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & V_{2} \\ & V_{4}^{(\omega=0)} \end{aligned}$ | Cartesian <br> Parabolic |
| VII. Elliptic-Parabolic $\begin{aligned} & a \in \mathbb{R}, \vartheta \in(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2) \\ & I=\left(K_{1}-L_{3}\right)^{2}+K_{1}^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & u_{0}=R \frac{\cosh ^{2} a+\cos ^{2} \vartheta}{2 \cosh a \cos \vartheta} \\ & u_{1}=R \frac{\sinh ^{2} a-\sin ^{2} \vartheta}{2 \cosh a \cos \vartheta} \\ & u_{2}=R \tan \vartheta \tanh a \end{aligned}$ | $V_{2}, V_{4}$ | Parabolic |
| VIII. Hyperbolic-Parabolic $\begin{aligned} & b>0, \vartheta \in(0, \pi) \\ & I=\left(K_{1}-L_{3}\right)^{2}-K_{2}^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & u_{0}=R \frac{\cosh ^{2} b+\cos ^{2} \vartheta}{2 \sinh b \sin \vartheta} \\ & u_{1}=R \frac{\sinh ^{2} b-\sin ^{2} \vartheta}{2 \cosh b \sin \vartheta} \\ & u_{2}=R \cot \vartheta \operatorname{coth} b \end{aligned}$ | $V_{4}$ | Cartesian |
| IX. Semi-Circular-Parabolic $\begin{aligned} & \xi, \eta>0 \\ & I=\left\{K_{1}, K_{2}\right\}-\left\{K_{2} \cdot L_{3}\right\} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & u_{0}=R \frac{\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)^{2}+4}{8 \xi \eta} \\ & u_{1}=R \frac{\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)^{2}-4}{2 \xi \eta} \\ & u_{2}=R \frac{\eta^{2}-\xi^{2}}{8 \xi \eta} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & V_{3}, V_{5} \\ & V_{4}^{(\|k\|=1 / 2)} \end{aligned}$ | Cartesian |

* after rotation
and for the Hamiltonian we have

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{0} & =-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}} \frac{\xi^{2} \eta^{2}}{\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \xi^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \eta^{2}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 M R^{2}} \frac{\xi \eta}{\sqrt{\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}}}\left(p_{\xi}^{2}+p_{\eta}^{2}\right) \frac{\xi \eta}{\sqrt{\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}}} . \tag{3.100}
\end{align*}
$$

A potential separable in semi-circular parabolic coordinates must have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\xi, \eta)=\frac{\xi^{2} \eta^{2}}{\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}}\left[V_{1}(\xi)+V_{2}(\eta)\right] \tag{3.101}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding observable is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{S C P}^{(V)} & =-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \frac{1}{\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}}\left(\eta^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \eta^{2}}-\xi^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \xi^{2}}\right)-\frac{\xi^{2} V_{1}(\xi)-\eta^{2} V_{2}(\eta)}{\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 M}\left(\left\{K_{1}, K_{2}\right\}-\left\{K_{2}, L_{3}\right\}\right)-\frac{\xi^{2} V_{1}(\xi)-\eta^{2} V_{2}(\eta)}{\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}} \tag{3.102}
\end{align*}
$$

This concludes the enumeration of the coordinate systems on the two-dimensional hyperboloid.
In the table 1 we list the coordinate systems on $\Lambda^{(2)}$ which separate the Schrödinger equation, together which potentials are separated by which coordinate systems, and the limiting cases for $R \rightarrow \infty$.
$\qquad$

## 4 Path Integral Formulation of the Smorodinsky-Winternitz Potentials on $\Lambda^{(2)}$.

In table 2 we list the Smorodinsky-Winternitz potentials on the two-dimensional hyperboloid together with the separating coordinate systems, and the corresponding observables. The cases where an explicit path integration is possible are underlined.

### 4.1 The Higgs-Oscillator.

We consider the potential ( $k_{1,2}>0$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1}(\mathrm{u})=\frac{M}{2} \omega^{2} R^{2} \frac{u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}}{u_{0}^{2}}+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{u_{1}^{2}}+\frac{k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{u_{2}^{2}}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which in the four separating coordinate systems has the form
Spherical $(\tau>0, \varphi \in(0, \pi / 2))$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1}(\mathrm{u})=\frac{M}{2} \omega^{2} R^{2} \tanh ^{2} \tau+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}} \frac{1}{\sinh ^{2} \tau}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\cos ^{2} \varphi}+\frac{k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\sin ^{2} \varphi}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equidistant ( $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}>0$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{M}{2} \omega^{2} R^{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\cosh ^{2} \tau_{1} \cosh ^{2} \tau_{2}}\right)+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\cosh ^{2} \tau_{1} \sinh ^{2} \tau_{2}}+\frac{k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\sinh ^{2} \tau_{1}}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Elliptic $\left(\alpha \in\left(\mathrm{i} K^{\prime}, \mathrm{i} K^{\prime}+K\right), \beta \in\left(0, K^{\prime}\right)\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{M}{2} \omega^{2} R^{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\operatorname{sn}^{2} \alpha \mathrm{dn}^{2} \beta}\right)+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\mathrm{cn}^{2} \alpha \mathrm{cn}^{2} \beta}+\frac{k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\mathrm{dn}^{2} \alpha \mathrm{sn}^{2} \beta}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hyperbolic $\left(\mu \in\left(\mathrm{i} K^{\prime}, \mathrm{i} K^{\prime}+2 K\right), \eta \in\left(0, K^{\prime}\right)\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{M}{2} \omega^{2} R^{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\mathrm{cn}^{2} \mu \mathrm{cn}^{2} \eta}\right)+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\operatorname{sn}^{2} \mu \mathrm{dn}^{2} \eta}+\frac{k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\mathrm{dn}^{2} \mu \mathrm{sn}^{2} \eta}\right) . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$



| Potential V(u) | Coordinate System | Observables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $V_{1}(\mathrm{u})=\frac{M}{2} \omega^{2} R^{2} \frac{u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}}{u_{0}^{2}}+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{u_{1}^{2}}+\frac{k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{u_{2}^{2}}\right)$ | $\frac{\text { Spherical }}{\frac{\text { Equidistant }}{\text { Elliptic }}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{1}=\frac{1}{2 M R^{2}}\left(K_{1}^{2}+K_{2}^{2}-L_{3}^{2}\right)+V_{1}(\mathrm{u}) \\ & I_{2}=\frac{1}{2 M} L_{3}^{2}+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\cos ^{2} \varphi}+\frac{k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\sin ^{2} \varphi}\right) \\ & I_{3}=\frac{1}{2 M} K_{2}^{2}-\frac{M}{2} \frac{\omega^{2} R^{4}}{\cosh ^{2} \tau_{2}}+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \frac{k_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\sinh ^{2} \tau_{2}} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | SphericalElliptic-ParabolicElliptic II <br> Semi-Hyperbolic | $\begin{aligned} I_{1} & =\frac{1}{2 M R^{2}}\left(K_{1}^{2}+K_{2}^{2}-L_{3}^{2}\right)+V_{2}(\mathrm{u}) \\ I_{2} & =\frac{1}{2 M} L_{3}^{2}+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{8 M}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\sin ^{2} \frac{\varphi}{2}}+\frac{k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\cos ^{2} \frac{\varphi}{2}}\right) \\ I_{3} & =\frac{1}{2 M}\left\{K_{1}, L_{3}\right\}-\frac{\alpha}{R} \frac{\mu_{2} \sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}-\mu_{1} \sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}}{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}} \\ & +\frac{\hbar^{2}}{4 M R^{2}}\left[\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{1}}-\frac{1}{\mu_{2}}\right)+\left(k_{1}^{2}-k_{2}^{2}\right) \frac{\mu_{2}^{2} \sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}+\mu_{1}^{2} \sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}}{\mu_{1} \mu_{2}\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}\right)}\right] \end{aligned}$ |
| $V_{3}(\mathrm{u})=\frac{\alpha}{\left(u_{0}-u_{1}\right)^{2}}+\frac{M}{2} \omega^{2} \frac{R^{2}+4 u_{2}^{2}}{\left(u_{0}-u_{1}\right)^{4}}-\lambda \frac{u_{2}}{\left(u_{0}-u_{1}\right)^{3}}$ | $\frac{\text { Horicyclic }}{\text { Semi-Circular-Parabolic }}$ | $\begin{aligned} I_{1} & =\frac{1}{2 M R^{2}}\left(K_{1}^{2}+K_{2}^{2}-L_{3}^{2}\right)+V_{3}(\mathrm{u}) \\ I_{2} & =\frac{1}{2 M}\left(K_{1}-L_{3}\right)^{2}+\alpha+2 M \omega^{2} x^{2}-\lambda x \\ I_{3} & =\frac{1}{2 M}\left(\left\{K_{1}, K_{2}\right\}-\left\{K_{2}, L_{3}\right\}\right) \\ & +\frac{1}{2} \frac{\xi^{4}\left(2 \alpha+\xi^{2} \lambda+M \omega^{2} \xi^{4}\right)-\eta^{4}\left(2 \alpha-\eta^{2} \lambda+M \omega^{2} \eta^{4}\right)}{\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & V_{4}(\mathrm{u})=\frac{M}{2} \frac{\omega^{2}}{\left(u_{0}-u_{1}\right)^{2}}+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \frac{\kappa^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{u_{2}^{2}} \\ & \|\kappa\|=1 / 2 \\ & \omega=0 \end{aligned}$ | Equidistant Horicyclic <br> Elliptic-Parabolic <br> Hyperbolic-Parabolic Semi-Circular-Parabolic all systems except IX. | $\begin{aligned} & I_{1}=\frac{1}{2 M R^{2}}\left(K_{1}^{2}+K_{2}^{2}-L_{3}^{2}\right)+V_{4}(\mathrm{u}) \\ & I_{2}=\frac{1}{2 M}\left(K_{1}-L_{3}\right)^{2}+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \frac{\kappa^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{x^{2}} \\ & I_{3}=\frac{1}{2 M} K_{2}^{2}+\frac{M}{2} \omega^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 \tau_{2}} \end{aligned}$ |
| $V_{5}(\mathrm{u})=\alpha R \frac{u_{2}}{\sqrt{u_{0}^{2}-u_{1}^{2}}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Equidistant } \\ & \text { Semi-Circular-Parabolic } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{1}=\frac{1}{2 M R^{2}}\left(K_{1}^{2}+K_{2}^{2}-L_{3}^{2}\right)+V_{5}(\mathrm{u}) \\ & I_{2}=\frac{1}{2 M}\left(\left\{K_{1}, K_{2}\right\}-\left\{K_{2}, L_{3}\right\}\right)+\frac{2 \alpha R}{\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}} \\ & I_{3}=K_{2}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |

The constants of motion for the potential $V_{1}$ are the following

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{1}^{\left(V_{1}\right)}=\frac{1}{2 M R^{2}}\left(K_{1}^{2}+K_{2}^{2}-L_{3}^{2}\right)+V_{1}(\mathrm{u}), \\
& I_{2}^{\left(V_{1}\right)}=\frac{1}{2 M} L_{3}^{2}+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\cos ^{2} \varphi}+\frac{k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\sin ^{2} \varphi}\right),  \tag{4.6}\\
& I_{3}^{\left(V_{1}\right)}=\frac{1}{2 M} K_{2}^{2}-\frac{M}{2} \frac{\omega^{2} R^{4}}{\cosh ^{2} \tau_{2}}+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \frac{k_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\sinh ^{2} \tau_{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

We have for $V_{1}$ the path integral representations (in the elliptic system we explicitly state the separated path integral formulation, $\left.\nu^{2}=M^{2} \omega^{2} R^{4} / \hbar^{2}+1 / 4\right)$ :

$$
K^{\left(V_{1}\right)}\left(\mathrm{u}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{u}^{\prime} ; T\right)
$$

Spherical:

$$
\begin{align*}
=\frac{1}{R^{2}} \int_{\tau\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\tau^{\prime}}^{\tau\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\tau^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \tau(t) \sinh \tau & \int_{\varphi\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\varphi^{\prime}}^{\varphi\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\varphi^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \varphi(t) \exp \left\{\frac { \mathrm { i } } { \hbar } \int _ { t ^ { \prime } } ^ { t ^ { \prime \prime } } \left[\frac{M}{2} R^{2}\left(\dot{\tau}^{2}+\sinh ^{2} \tau \dot{\varphi}^{2}-\omega^{2} \tanh ^{2} \tau\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sinh ^{2} \tau}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\cos ^{2} \varphi}+\frac{k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\sin ^{2} \varphi}-\frac{1}{4}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\right)\right] d t\right\} \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Equidistant:

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{R^{2}} \int_{\tau_{1}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\tau_{1}^{\prime}}^{\tau_{1}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\tau_{1}^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \tau_{1}(t) \cosh \tau_{1} \int_{\tau_{2}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\tau_{2}^{\prime}}^{\tau_{2}\left(t^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)=\tau_{2}^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \tau_{2}(t) \\
& \quad \times \exp \left\{\frac { \mathrm { i } } { \hbar } \int _ { t ^ { \prime } } ^ { t ^ { \prime \prime } } \left[\frac{M}{2} R^{2}\left(\dot{\tau}_{1}^{2}+\cosh ^{2} \tau_{1} \dot{\tau}_{2}^{2}-\omega^{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\cosh ^{2} \tau_{1} \cosh ^{2} \tau_{2}}\right)\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\cosh ^{2} \tau_{1}}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\sinh ^{2} \tau_{2}}+\frac{1}{4}\right)+\frac{k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\sinh ^{2} \tau_{1}}+\frac{1}{4}\right)\right] d t\right\} \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Elliptic:

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{R^{2}} \int_{\alpha\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\alpha^{\prime}}^{\alpha\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\alpha^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \alpha(t) \int_{\beta\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\beta^{\prime}}^{\beta\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\beta^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \beta(t)\left(k^{2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \alpha+k^{\prime 2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \beta\right) \\
& \times \exp \left\{\frac { \mathrm { i } } { \hbar } \int _ { t ^ { \prime } } ^ { t ^ { \prime \prime } } \left[\frac{M}{2} R^{2}\left(\left(k^{2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \alpha+{k^{\prime}}^{\prime 2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \beta\right)\left(\dot{\alpha}^{2}+\dot{\beta}^{2}\right)-\omega^{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\operatorname{sn}^{2} \alpha \mathrm{dn}^{2} \beta}\right)\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\mathrm{cn}^{2} \alpha \mathrm{cn}^{2} \beta}+\frac{k_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\mathrm{dn}^{2} \alpha \mathrm{sn}^{2} \beta}\right)\right] d t\right\}  \tag{4.9}\\
& =\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} M \omega^{2} R^{2} T / 2 \hbar}}{R^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{\infty} d T \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} E T / \hbar} \int_{0}^{\infty} d s^{\prime \prime} \int_{\nu(0)=\nu^{\prime}}^{\nu\left(s^{\prime \prime}\right)=\nu^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \nu(s) \int_{\eta(0)=\eta^{\prime}}^{\eta\left(s^{\prime \prime}\right)=\eta^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \eta(s) \\
& \times \exp \left\{\frac { \mathrm { i } } { \hbar } \int _ { 0 } ^ { s ^ { \prime \prime } } \left[\frac{M}{2}\left(\dot{\alpha}^{2}+\dot{\beta}^{2}\right)+R^{2}\left(k^{2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \alpha+{k^{\prime 2}}^{\prime 2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \beta\right) E+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M}\left(\left(\nu^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{sn}^{2} \alpha}-\frac{k^{2}}{\mathrm{dn}^{2} \beta}\right)\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\quad+\left(k_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\right)\left(\frac{k^{\prime 2}}{\mathrm{cn}^{2} \alpha}+\frac{k^{2}}{\mathrm{cn}^{2} \beta}\right)-\left(k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\right)\left(\frac{k^{\prime 2}}{\mathrm{dn}^{2} \alpha}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{sn}^{2} \beta}\right)\right)\right] d s\right\} \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Hyperbolic:

$$
=\frac{1}{R^{2}} \int_{\mu\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\mu^{\prime}}^{\mu\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mu^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \mu(t) \int_{\eta\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\eta^{\prime}}^{\eta\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\eta^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \eta(t)\left(k^{2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \mu+k^{\prime 2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \eta\right)
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\times \exp \left\{\frac { \mathrm { i } } { \hbar } \int _ { t ^ { \prime } } ^ { t ^ { \prime \prime } } \left[\frac{M}{2} R^{2}\left(\left(k^{2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \mu+k^{\prime 2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \eta\right)\left(\dot{\mu}^{2}+\dot{\eta}^{2}\right)-\omega^{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\mathrm{cn}^{2} \mu \mathrm{cn}^{2} \eta}\right)\right)\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\operatorname{sn}^{2} \mu \mathrm{dn}^{2} \eta}+\frac{k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\mathrm{dn}^{2} \mu \mathrm{sn}^{2} \eta}\right)\right] d t\right\} . \tag{4.11}
\end{array}
$$

We solve the first two path integrals explicitly. The two remaining ones are two complicated to allow an explicit solution.

The two path integral formulations of the Higgs-oscillator have a simple structure involving Pöschl-Teller (2.7) and modified Pöschl-Teller path integrals (2.14). We start with the pure oscillator case, denoted by $K^{(\omega)}(T)$, in order to demonstrate the relevant techniques involved in the solutions.

### 4.1.1 Pure Oscillator Case.

Spherical Coordinates. For the oscillator in spherical coordinates the $\varphi$-integration is easily separated [19], and we obtain by using the path integral representation of the modified PöschlTeller potential (2.14) the following solution $\left(\nu^{2}=M^{2} \omega^{2} R^{4} / \hbar^{2}+1 / 4\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& K^{(\omega)}\left(\mathrm{u}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{u}^{\prime} ; T\right)=\frac{1}{R^{2}} \int_{\tau\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\tau^{\prime}}^{\tau\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\tau^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \tau(t) \sinh \tau \int_{\varphi\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\varphi^{\prime}}^{\varphi\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\varphi^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \varphi(t) \\
& =\frac{\exp \left[-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} T\left(\frac{\hbar^{2}}{8 M R^{2}}+\frac{M}{2} R^{2} \omega^{2}\right)\right]}{\left(R^{2} \sinh \tau^{\prime} \sinh \tau^{\prime \prime}\right)^{1 / 2}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} j\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime}-\varphi^{\prime}\right)}}{2 \pi} \\
& \left.\quad \times \int_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime \prime}}\left[\frac{M}{2} R^{2}\left(\dot{\tau}^{2}+\sinh ^{2} \tau \dot{\varphi}^{2}-\omega^{2} \tanh ^{2} \tau\right)-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{8 M R^{2}}\left(1-\frac{1}{\sinh ^{2} \tau}\right)\right] d t\right\} \\
& =\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\left.N^{\prime \prime}\right)=\tau^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \tau(t) \exp \left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \int_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime \prime}}\left[\frac{M}{2} R^{2} \dot{\tau}^{2}-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}}\left(\frac{j^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\sinh ^{2} \tau}-\frac{\nu^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\cosh ^{2} \tau}\right)\right] d t\right\}\right. \\
& \left.\Psi_{n j}^{(\omega)}\left(\tau^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime}\right) \Psi_{n j}^{(\omega)}\left(\tau^{\prime \prime}, \varphi^{\prime \prime}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{N} T / \hbar}+\int_{0}^{\infty} d p \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{p} T / \hbar} \Psi_{p j}^{(\omega) *}\left(\tau^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime}\right) \Psi_{p j}^{(\omega)}\left(\tau^{\prime \prime}, \varphi^{\prime \prime}\right)\right] \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

The wave-functions and the energy-spectrum of the discrete contributions have the following form (we introduce the principal quantum number $N=2 n+|j|=0,1, \ldots$ where appropriate)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{n j}^{(\omega)}(\tau, \varphi ; R)=(2 \pi \sinh \tau)^{-1 / 2} S_{n}^{(\nu)}(\tau ; R) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} . j \varphi},  \tag{4.13}\\
& S_{n}^{(\nu)}(\tau ; R)=\frac{1}{|j|!}\left[\frac{2(\nu-|j|-2 n-1)(n+|j|)!\Gamma(\nu-l)}{R^{2} \Gamma(\nu-|j|-n) n!}\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad \times(\sinh \tau)^{|j|+1 / 2}(\cosh \tau)^{n+1 / 2-\nu}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-l, \nu-n ; 1+|j| ; \tanh ^{2} \tau\right), \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

with the discrete spectrum given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{N}=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}}\left[(N-\nu+1)^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\right]+\frac{M}{2} \omega^{2} R^{2} . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Only a finite number exist with $N_{\max }=[\nu-|j|-1] \geq 0$. In the flat space limit we obtain for the energy-spectrum

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{N} \simeq \hbar \omega(N+1) . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The continuous wave-functions have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{p j}^{(\omega)}(\tau, \varphi ; R)=(2 \pi \sinh \tau)^{-1 / 2} S_{p}^{(\nu)}(\tau ; R) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} j \varphi}  \tag{4.17}\\
& S_{p}^{(\nu)}(\tau ; R)=\frac{1}{|j|!} \sqrt{\frac{p \sinh \pi p}{2 \pi^{2} R^{2}}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu-|j|+1-\mathrm{i} p}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{|j|-\nu+1-\mathrm{i} p}{2}\right) \\
& \quad \times(\tanh \tau)^{|j|+1 / 2}(\cosh \tau)^{\mathrm{i} p}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{\nu+|j|+1-\mathrm{i} p}{2}, \frac{|j|-\nu+1-\mathrm{i} p}{2} ; 1+|j| ; \tanh ^{2} \tau\right), \tag{4.18}
\end{align*}
$$

with the continuous energy-spectrum given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p}=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}}\left(p^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right)+\frac{M}{2} \omega^{2} R^{2} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the limiting case $\omega \rightarrow 0(\nu \rightarrow 1 / 2)$ the potential trough vanishes (note that in this case $E_{N}=0$ exactly), only the continuous spectrum remains, and we obtain the pure continuous spectrum

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p}=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}}\left(p^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right) \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which corresponds to the case where just a radial part is present, and has the same feature as the spectrum of the free motion on $\Lambda^{(2)}$.

Let us finally state the corresponding Green's function $G^{\left(V_{1}\right)}(E)$ of the potential $V_{1}$ in this case. It has the form $\left(m_{1,2}=\left(|j| \pm \sqrt{-2 M E^{\prime} R^{2}} / \hbar\right), L_{\nu}=\frac{1}{2}(\nu-1), E^{\prime}=E-\hbar^{2} / 8 M R^{2}-\right.$ $M R^{2} \omega^{2} / 2$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& G^{\left(V_{1}\right)}\left(\tau^{\prime \prime}, \tau^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime \prime}, \varphi^{\prime} ; E\right)=\frac{M}{2 \hbar^{2}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} j\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime}-\varphi^{\prime}\right)}}{2 \pi} \frac{\Gamma\left(m_{1}-L_{\nu}\right) \Gamma\left(L_{\nu}+m_{1}+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(m_{1}+m_{2}+1\right) \Gamma\left(m_{1}-m_{2}+1\right)} \\
& \quad \times\left(\cosh r^{\prime} \cosh r^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-\left(m_{1}-m_{2}+1 / 2\right)}\left(\tanh r^{\prime} \tanh r^{\prime \prime}\right)^{m_{1}+m_{2}} \\
& \quad \times{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-L_{\nu}+m_{1}, L_{\nu}+m_{1}+1 ; m_{1}-m_{2}+1 ; \frac{1}{\cosh _{<}^{2}}\right) \\
& \quad \times{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-L_{\nu}+m_{1}, L_{\nu}+m_{1}+1 ; m_{1}+m_{2}+1 ; \tanh ^{2} r_{>}\right) \tag{4.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Equidistant Coordinates. In the case of equidistant coordinates we can separate the corresponding path integrations in an analogous way, however, instead of a simple circular wave dependence in the first step leading to a modified Pöschl-Teller problem, we have in this case two symmetric Rosen-Morse path integral problems [19, 39]. This yields ( $\lambda=m_{2}-\nu+\frac{1}{2}, m_{1}=$ $\left.0, \ldots, N_{\text {max }}^{(1)}=\left[\nu-\frac{1}{2}\right], m_{2}=0, \ldots, N_{\text {max }}^{(2)}=\left[\lambda-\frac{1}{2}\right]\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K^{(\omega)}\left(\mathrm{u}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{u}^{\prime} ; T\right)=\frac{1}{R^{2}} \int_{\tau_{1}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\tau_{1}^{\prime}}^{\tau_{1}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\tau_{1}^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \tau_{1}(t) \cosh \tau_{1} \int_{\tau_{2}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\tau_{2}^{\prime}}^{\tau_{2}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\tau_{2}^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \tau_{2}(t) \\
& \times \exp \left\{\frac { \mathrm { i } } { \hbar } \int _ { t ^ { \prime } } ^ { t ^ { \prime \prime } } \left[\frac{M}{2} R^{2}\left(\dot{\tau}_{1}^{2}+\cosh ^{2} \tau_{1} \dot{\tau}_{2}^{2}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \\
& =\frac{\left.\left.-\frac{M}{2} R^{2} \omega^{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\cosh ^{2} \tau_{1} \cosh ^{2} \tau_{2}}\right)-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{8 M R^{2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{\cosh ^{2} \tau_{1}}\right)\right] d t\right\}}{\left(R^{2} \cosh \tau_{1}^{\prime} \cosh \tau_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{1 / 2}} \\
& =
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \times\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{m_{3}=0}^{N_{m a x}^{(2)}}\left(m_{3}-\nu-\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\Gamma\left(2 \nu-m_{3}\right)}{m_{3}!} P_{\nu-1 / 2}^{m_{3}-\nu+1 / 2}\left(\tanh \tau_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right) P_{\nu-1 / 2}^{m_{3}-\nu+1 / 2}\left(\tanh \tau_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
\times \int_{\tau_{1}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\tau_{1}^{\prime}}^{\tau_{1}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\tau_{1}^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \tau_{1}(t) \exp \left[\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \int_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime \prime}}\left(\frac{M}{2} R^{2} \dot{\tau}_{1}^{2}+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}} \frac{\left(m_{3}-\nu+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\cosh ^{2} \tau_{1}}\right) d t\right] \\
\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d k k \sinh \pi k}{\cos ^{2} \pi \nu+\sinh ^{2} \pi k} P_{\nu-1 / 2}^{\mathrm{i} k}\left(\tanh \tau_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right) P_{\nu-1 / 2}^{-\mathrm{i} k}\left(\tanh \tau_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
=\sum_{m_{3}=0}^{\tau_{1}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\tau_{1}^{\prime \prime}}\left\{\sum_{m_{1}=0}^{N_{m a x}^{(2)}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{N} T / \hbar} \Psi_{m_{1} m_{2}}^{(\omega)}\left(\tau_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \tau_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime} ; R\right) \Psi_{m_{1} m_{2}}^{(\omega)}\left(\tau_{1}^{\prime}, \tau_{2}^{\prime} ; R\right)\right. \\
\left.N_{\tau_{1}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\tau_{1}^{\prime}}^{(1)}(t) \exp \left[\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \int_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime \prime}}\left(\frac{M}{2} R^{2} \dot{\tau}_{1}^{2}-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}} \frac{k^{2}+\frac{1}{4}}{\cosh ^{2} \tau_{1}}\right) d t\right]\right\} \\
\left.\quad+\int_{0}^{\infty} d p \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{p} T / \hbar} \Psi_{p m_{2}}^{(\omega)}\left(\tau_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \tau_{2}^{\prime \prime} ; R\right) \Psi_{p m_{2}}^{(\omega) *}\left(\tau_{1}^{\prime}, \tau_{2}^{\prime} ; R\right)\right\}
\end{array}\right. \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{\infty} d p \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{p} T / \hbar} \Psi_{p k}^{(\omega)}\left(\tau_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \tau_{2}^{\prime \prime} ; R\right) \Psi_{p k}^{(\omega) *}\left(\tau_{1}^{\prime}, \tau_{2}^{\prime} ; R\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The $P_{\nu}^{\mu}(z)$ are Legendre functions [12, p.999]. The discrete wave-functions are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{m_{1} m_{2}}^{(\omega)}\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} ; R\right)=\left(\cosh \tau_{1}\right)^{-1 / 2} S_{m_{1}}\left(\tau_{1} ; R\right) \psi_{m_{2}}\left(\tau_{2}\right),  \tag{4.23}\\
& S_{m_{1}}\left(\tau_{1} ; R\right)=\sqrt{\left(m_{1}-\lambda-\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\Gamma\left(2 \lambda-m_{1}\right)}{R^{2} m_{1}!}} P_{\lambda-1 / 2}^{m_{1}-\lambda+1 / 2}\left(\tanh \tau_{1}\right),  \tag{4.24}\\
& \psi_{m_{2}}\left(\tau_{2}\right)=\sqrt{\left(m_{2}-\nu-\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\Gamma\left(2 \nu-m_{2}\right)}{m_{2}!}} P_{\nu-1 / 2}^{m_{2}-\nu+1 / 2}\left(\tanh \tau_{2}\right), \tag{4.25}
\end{align*}
$$

and the discrete spectrum has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{N}=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}}\left[(N-\nu+1)^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\right]+\frac{M}{2} \omega^{2} R^{2}, \quad N=m_{1}+m_{2} . \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bound state energy-levels have exactly the same feature as for spherical coordinates, as it must be. Note that the Legendre functions are actually Gegenbauer polynomials. The continuous wave-functions consist of two contributions, first where the quantum number corresponding to $\tau_{2}$ is discrete, second where it is continuous. For the first set we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{p m_{2}}^{(\omega)}\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} ; R\right)=\left(\cosh \tau_{1}\right)^{-1 / 2} S_{p}\left(\tau_{1} ; R\right) \psi_{m_{2}}\left(\tau_{2}\right)  \tag{4.27}\\
& S_{p}\left(\tau_{1} ; R\right)=\frac{1}{R} \sqrt{\frac{p \sinh \pi p}{\cos ^{2} \pi \lambda+\sinh ^{2} \pi p}} P_{\lambda-1 / 2}^{\mathrm{i} p}\left(\tanh \tau_{1}\right), \tag{4.28}
\end{align*}
$$

with the $\psi_{m_{2}}\left(\tau_{2}\right)$ as in (4.25), and the continuous spectrum is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p}=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}}\left(p^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right)+\frac{M}{2} \omega^{2} R^{2} . \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second set of the continuous wave-functions has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{k p}^{(\omega)}\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} ; R\right)=\left(\cosh \tau_{1}\right)^{-1 / 2} S_{p}\left(\tau_{1} ; R\right) \psi_{k}\left(\tau_{2}\right),  \tag{4.30}\\
& S_{p}\left(\tau_{1} ; R\right)=\frac{1}{R} \sqrt{\frac{p \sinh \pi p}{\cosh ^{2} \pi k+\sinh ^{2} \pi p}} P_{\mathrm{i} k-1 / 2}^{\mathrm{i} p}\left(\tanh \tau_{1}\right),  \tag{4.31}\\
& \psi_{k}\left(\tau_{2}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{k \sinh \pi k}{\cos ^{2} \pi \nu+\sinh ^{2} \pi k}} P_{\nu-1 / 2}^{\mathrm{i} k}\left(\tanh \tau_{2}\right), \tag{4.32}
\end{align*}
$$

with the same continuous spectrum as before. The discrete energy-spectrum in the flat space limit yields again

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{N} \simeq \hbar \omega(N+1), \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

the continuous wave-functions vanish, and the discrete wave-functions yield Hermite polynomials, i.e., the well-known result of the two-dimensional oscillator.

The corresponding Green's function in equidistant coordinates finally has the form ( $E^{\prime}=$ $\left.E-\hbar^{2} / 8 M R^{2}-M R^{2} \omega^{2} / 2\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& G^{\left(V_{1}\right)}\left(\tau_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \tau_{1}^{\prime}, \tau_{2}^{\prime \prime}, \tau_{2}^{\prime} ; E\right)=\frac{M}{\hbar^{2}}\left(\cosh \tau_{1}^{\prime} \cosh \tau_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \\
& \times\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{m_{2}=0}^{N_{\text {max }}(2)}
\end{array}\left(m_{2}-\nu-\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\Gamma\left(2 \nu-m_{2}\right)}{m_{2}!} P_{\nu-1 / 2}^{m_{2}-\nu+1 / 2}\left(\tanh \tau_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right) P_{\nu-1 / 2}^{m_{2}-\nu+1 / 2}\left(\tanh \tau_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
& \times \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} \sqrt{-2 M R^{2} E^{\prime}}-\lambda+\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} \sqrt{-2 M R^{2} E^{\prime}}+\lambda+\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
& \times P_{\lambda-1 / 2}^{-\sqrt{-2 M R^{2} E^{\top}} / \hbar}\left(\tanh \tau_{1,<}\right) P_{\lambda-1 / 2}^{-\sqrt{-2 M R^{2} E^{\top}} / \hbar}\left(-\tanh \tau_{1,>}\right) \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d k k \sinh \pi k}{\cos ^{2} \pi \nu+\sinh ^{2} \pi k} P_{\nu-1 / 2}^{\mathrm{i} k}\left(\tanh \tau_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right) P_{\nu-1 / 2}^{-\mathrm{i} k}\left(\tanh \tau_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \times \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} \sqrt{-2 M R^{2} E^{\prime}}-\mathrm{i} k+\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} \sqrt{-2 M R^{2} E^{\prime}}+\mathrm{i} k+\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
& \left.\times P_{\mathrm{i} k-1 / 2}^{-\sqrt{-2 M R^{2} E^{1} / \hbar}}\left(\tanh \tau_{1,<}\right) P_{\mathrm{i} k-1 / 2}^{-\sqrt{-2 M R^{2} E^{\mathrm{L}} / \hbar}}\left(-\tanh \tau_{1,>}\right)\right\} . \tag{4.34}
\end{align*}
$$

### 4.1.2 General Case.

In order to deal with the general case, we do not repeat the whole procedure once more. The separation of variables in each case is performed in exactly the same way, and the evaluations of the path integrals are similar in comparison to the simple oscillator case, the difference being that the entire structure of the (modified) Pöschl-Teller potential must be taken into account. In particular, this has the consequence that we have to consider wave-functions with a definite parity.

Spherical Coordinates. First we consider the path integral representation in spherical coordinates and we obtain ( $N=m+n \in \mathbb{N}$ is the principal quantum number, we have set $\lambda_{1}=2 m \pm k_{1} \pm k_{2}+1, \nu^{2}=M^{2} \omega^{2} R^{4} / \hbar^{2}+1 / 4$; the range of the coordinates is given by $\tau>0, \varphi \in[0, \pi / 2]$

$$
\begin{align*}
K^{\left(V_{1}\right)}\left(\mathrm{u}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{u}^{\prime} ; T\right)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left\{\sum_{n=0}^{N_{m a x}}\right. & \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{N} T / \hbar} \Psi_{n m}^{\left(V_{1}\right)}\left(\tau^{\prime \prime}, \varphi^{\prime \prime} ; R\right) \Psi_{n m}^{\left(V_{1}\right)}\left(\tau^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime} ; R\right) \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{\infty} d p \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{p} T / \hbar} \Psi_{p m}^{\left(V_{1}\right)}\left(\tau^{\prime \prime}, \varphi^{\prime \prime} ; R\right) \Psi_{p m}^{\left(V_{1}\right) *}\left(\tau^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime} ; R\right)\right\}, \tag{4.35}
\end{align*}
$$

and the corresponding discrete wave-functions have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{n m}^{\left(V_{1}\right)}(\tau, \varphi ; R)=(\sinh \tau)^{-1 / 2} S_{n}^{\left(\lambda_{1}, \nu\right)}(\tau ; R) \phi_{m}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \pm k_{1}\right)}(\varphi),  \tag{4.36}\\
& \phi_{m}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \pm k_{1}\right)}(\varphi)=\left[2\left(1+2 m \pm k_{1} \pm k_{2}+1\right) \frac{m!\Gamma\left(m \pm k_{1} \pm k_{2}+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+m \pm k_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(1+m \pm k_{2}\right)}\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad \times(\sin \varphi)^{1 / 2 \pm k_{2}}(\cos \varphi)^{1 / 2 \pm k_{1}} P_{m}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \pm k_{1}\right)}(\cos 2 \varphi) \tag{4.37}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{n}^{\left(\lambda_{1}, \nu\right)}(\tau ; & R)=\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(1+\lambda_{1}\right)}\left[\frac{2\left(\nu-\lambda_{1}-2 n-1\right) \Gamma\left(n+1+\lambda_{1}\right) \Gamma(\nu-n)}{R^{2} \Gamma\left(\nu-\lambda_{1}-n\right) n!}\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& \times(\sinh \tau)^{\lambda_{1}+1 / 2}(\cosh \tau)^{n+1 / 2-\nu}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-n, \nu-n ; 1+\lambda_{1} ; \tanh ^{2} \tau\right) . \tag{4.38}
\end{align*}
$$

The discrete energy-spectrum is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{N}=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}}\left[\left(2 N \pm k_{1} \pm k_{2}-\nu+2\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\right]+\frac{M}{2} \omega^{2} R^{2}, \quad N_{\max }=\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\nu-\lambda_{1}-1\right)\right] . \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the limit $R \rightarrow \infty\left(\nu \rightarrow M \omega R^{2} / \hbar\right)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{N} \simeq \hbar \omega\left(2 N \pm k_{1} \pm k_{2}+2\right), \tag{4.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the correct behaviour for the corresponding two-dimensional maximally super-integrable Smorodinsky-Winternitz potential in $\mathbb{R}^{2}[20]$. The continuous wave-functions and the corresponding energy-spectrum are given by (the $\phi_{m}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \pm k_{1}\right)}(\varphi)$ are the same as in (4.37))

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{p m}^{\left(V_{1}\right)}(\tau, \varphi ; R)=(\sinh \tau)^{-1 / 2} S_{p}^{\left(\lambda_{1}, \nu\right)}(\tau ; R) \phi_{m}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \pm k_{1}\right)}(\varphi),  \tag{4.41}\\
& S_{p}^{\left(\lambda_{1}, \nu\right)}(\tau ; R)=\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(1+\lambda_{1}\right)} \sqrt{\frac{p \sinh \pi p}{2 \pi^{2} R^{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu-\lambda_{1}+1-\mathrm{i} p}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}-\nu+1-\mathrm{i} p}{2}\right)} \begin{array}{l}
\quad \times(\tanh \tau)^{\lambda_{1}+1 / 2}(\cosh \tau)^{\mathrm{i} p}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{\nu+\lambda_{1}+1-\mathrm{i} p}{2}, \frac{\lambda_{1}-\nu+1-\mathrm{i} p}{2} ; 1+\lambda_{1} ; \tanh ^{2} \tau\right), \\
E_{p}=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}}\left(p^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right)+\frac{M}{2} \omega^{2} R^{2} .
\end{array} .
\end{align*}
$$

The corresponding Green's function $G^{\left(V_{1}\right)}(E)$ of the potential $V_{1}$ in the general case has the form $\left(m_{1,2}=\left(\lambda_{1} \pm \sqrt{-2 M E^{\prime} R^{2}} / \hbar\right), L_{\nu}=\frac{1}{2}(\nu-1), E^{\prime}=E-\hbar^{2} / 8 M R^{2}-M R^{2} \omega^{2} / 2\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& G^{\left(V_{1}\right)}\left(\tau^{\prime \prime}, \tau^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime \prime}, \varphi^{\prime} ; E\right) \\
& =\frac{M}{2 \hbar^{2}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} \phi_{m}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \pm k_{1}\right)}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right) \phi_{m}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \pm k_{1}\right)}\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime}\right) \frac{\Gamma\left(m_{1}-L_{\nu}\right) \Gamma\left(L_{\nu}+m_{1}+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(m_{1}+m_{2}+1\right) \Gamma\left(m_{1}-m_{2}+1\right)} \\
& \quad \times\left(\cosh r^{\prime} \cosh r^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-\left(m_{1}-m_{2}+1 / 2\right)}\left(\tanh r^{\prime} \tanh r^{\prime \prime}\right)^{m_{1}+m_{2}} \\
& \quad \times{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-L_{\nu}+m_{1}, L_{\nu}+m_{1}+1 ; m_{1}-m_{2}+1 ; \frac{1}{\cosh _{<}^{2}}\right) \\
& \quad \times{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-L_{\nu}+m_{1}, L_{\nu}+m_{1}+1 ; m_{1}+m_{2}+1 ; \tanh ^{2} r_{>}\right) . \tag{4.44}
\end{align*}
$$

Equidistant Coordinates. Next we consider the equidistant coordinate system. Similarly as in the pure oscillator case we obtain a discrete spectrum with energy eigenvalues (4.39), and a set of two continuous wave-functions each with energy-spectrum (4.43), with principal quantum number $N=m+n$, i.e., we have for the propagator ( $\lambda_{1}=2 m \pm k_{1} \pm k_{2}+1, \nu^{2}=M^{2} \omega^{2} R^{4} / \hbar^{2}+1 / 4$, and $\tau_{1,2}>0$ )

$$
\begin{gather*}
K^{\left(V_{1}\right)}\left(\mathrm{u}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{u}^{\prime} ; T\right)=\sum_{m=0}^{N_{m a x}^{(m)}}\left\{\sum_{n=0}^{N_{m a x x}^{(n)}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{N} T / \hbar} \Psi_{n m}^{\left(V_{1}\right)}\left(\tau_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \tau_{2}^{\prime \prime} ; R\right) \Psi_{n m}^{\left(V_{1}\right)}\left(\tau_{1}^{\prime}, \tau_{2}^{\prime} ; R\right)\right. \\
\quad+\int_{0}^{\infty} d p \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{p} T / \hbar} \Psi_{p m}^{\left(V_{1}\right)}\left(\tau_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \tau_{2}^{\prime \prime} ; R\right) \Psi_{p m}^{\left.\left(V_{1}\right) *\left(\tau_{1}^{\prime}, \tau_{2}^{\prime} ; R\right)\right\}} \\
+\int_{0}^{\infty} d k \int_{0}^{\infty} d p \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{p} T / \hbar} \Psi_{k p}^{\left(V_{1}\right)}\left(\tau_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \tau_{2}^{\prime \prime} ; R\right) \Psi_{k p}^{\left(V_{1}\right) *}\left(\tau_{1}^{\prime}, \tau_{2}^{\prime} ; R\right) . \tag{4.45}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here denote $N_{\text {max }}^{(1)}=\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\nu \mp k_{1}-1\right)\right], N_{\text {max }}^{(2)}=\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{1} \mp k_{2}-1\right)\right]$ the maximal number of bound states for the wave-functions in $\tau_{2}$ and $\tau_{1}$, respectively. The discrete wave-functions have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{n m}^{\left(V_{1}\right)}\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} ; R\right)= & \left(\cosh \tau_{1}\right)^{-1 / 2} S_{n}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \lambda_{1}\right)}\left(\tau_{1} ; R\right) \psi_{m}^{\left( \pm k_{1}, \nu\right)}\left(\tau_{2}\right)  \tag{4.46}\\
S_{n}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \lambda_{1}\right)}\left(\tau_{1} ; R\right)= & \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(1 \pm k_{2}\right)}\left[\frac{2\left(\lambda_{1} \mp k_{2}-2 n-1\right) \Gamma\left(n+1 \pm k_{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\lambda_{1}-n\right)}{R^{2} \Gamma\left(\lambda_{1} \mp k_{2}-n\right) n!}\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& \times\left(\sinh \tau_{1}\right)^{1 / 2 \pm k_{2}}\left(\cosh \tau_{1}\right)^{n+1 / 2-\lambda_{1}}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-n, \lambda_{1}-n ; 1 \pm k_{2} ; \tanh ^{2} \tau_{1}\right)  \tag{4.47}\\
\psi_{m}^{\left( \pm k_{1}, \nu\right)}\left(\tau_{2}\right)= & \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(1 \pm k_{1}\right)}\left[\frac{2\left(\nu \mp k_{1}-2 m-1\right) \Gamma\left(m+1 \pm k_{1}\right) \Gamma(\nu-m)}{\Gamma\left(\nu \mp k_{1}-m\right) m!}\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& \times\left(\sinh \tau_{2}\right)^{1 / 2 \pm k_{1}}\left(\cosh \tau_{2}\right)^{m+1 / 2-\nu}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-m, \nu-m ; 1 \pm k_{1} ; \tanh ^{2} \tau_{2}\right) \tag{4.48}
\end{align*}
$$

The first set of continuous states is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{p m}^{\left(V_{1}\right)}\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} ; R\right)=\left(\cosh \tau_{1}\right)^{-1 / 2} S_{p}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \lambda_{1}\right)}\left(\tau_{1} ; R\right) \psi_{m}^{\left( \pm k_{1}, \nu\right)}\left(\tau_{2}\right)  \tag{4.49}\\
& S_{p}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \lambda_{1}\right)}\left(\tau_{1} ; R\right)=\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(1 \pm k_{2}\right)} \sqrt{\frac{p \sinh \pi p}{2 \pi^{2} R^{2}}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda_{1} \mp k_{2}+1-\mathrm{i} p}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{ \pm k_{2}-\lambda_{1}+1-\mathrm{i} p}{2}\right) \\
& \quad \times\left(\tanh \tau_{1}\right)^{1 / 2 \pm k_{2}}\left(\cosh \tau_{1}\right)^{\mathrm{i} p}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{\lambda_{1} \pm k_{2}+1-\mathrm{i} p}{2}, \frac{1 \pm k_{2}-\lambda_{1}-\mathrm{i} p}{2} ; 1 \pm k_{2} ; \tanh ^{2} \tau_{1}\right) \tag{4.50}
\end{align*}
$$

and the $\psi_{m}^{\left( \pm k_{1}, \nu\right)}\left(\tau_{2}\right)$ as in (4.48). The second set is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{k p}^{\left(V_{1}\right)}\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} ; R\right)=\left(\cosh \tau_{1}\right)^{-1 / 2} S_{p}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \mathrm{i} k\right)}\left(\tau_{1} ; R\right) \psi_{k}^{\left( \pm k_{1}, \nu\right)}\left(\tau_{2}\right)  \tag{4.51}\\
& S_{p}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \mathrm{i} k\right)}\left(\tau_{1} ; R\right)=\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(1 \pm k_{2}\right)} \sqrt{\frac{p \sinh \pi p}{2 \pi^{2} R^{2}}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\mathrm{i} k \mp k_{2}+1-\mathrm{i} p}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{ \pm k_{2}-\mathrm{i} k+1-\mathrm{i} p}{2}\right) \\
& \quad \times\left(\tanh \tau_{1}\right)^{1 / 2 \pm k_{2}}\left(\cosh \tau_{1}\right)^{\mathrm{i} p}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i} k \pm k_{2}+1-\mathrm{i} p}{2}, \frac{1 \pm k_{2}-\mathrm{i} k-\mathrm{i} p}{2} ; 1 \pm k_{2} ; \tanh ^{2} \tau_{1}\right)  \tag{4.52}\\
& \psi_{k}^{\left( \pm k_{1}, \nu\right)}\left(\tau_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(1 \pm k_{1}\right)} \sqrt{\frac{k \sinh \pi k}{2 \pi^{2}}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu \mp k_{1}+1-\mathrm{i} k}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{ \pm k_{1}-\nu+1-\mathrm{i} k}{2}\right) \\
& \quad \times\left(\tanh \tau_{2}\right)^{1 / 2 \pm k_{1}}\left(\cosh \tau_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{i} k}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{\nu \pm k_{1}+1-\mathrm{i} k}{2}, \frac{1 \pm k_{1}-\nu-\mathrm{i} k}{2} ; 1 \pm k_{1} ; \tanh ^{2} \tau_{2}\right) \tag{4.53}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us remark that the wave-functions have been normalized in the domains $\varphi \in(0, \pi / 2)$ and $\tau>0$ in the spherical and in $\tau_{1,2}>0$ in the equidistant system. The positive sign at the $k_{i}$ has to be taken whenever $k_{i} \geq \frac{1}{2}(i=1,2)$, i.e., the potential term is repulsive at the origin, and the motion takes only place in the denoted domains. If $0<\left|k_{i}\right|<\frac{1}{2}$, i.e., the potential term is attractive at the origin, both the positive and the negative sign must be taken into account in the solution. This is indicated by the notion $\pm k_{i}$ in the formulæ. It has also the consequence that for each $k_{i}$ the motion can take place in the entire domains of the variables on $\Lambda^{(2)}$. In the present case this means that we must, e.g., in the equidistant system distinguish four cases: i) $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}>0$, ii) $\tau_{1}>0, \tau_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$, iii) $\tau_{1} \in \mathbb{R}, \tau_{2}>0$ and iv) $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. In polar coordinates the same feature is recovered by the observation that the Pöschl-Teller barriers are absent for $\left|k_{i}\right|<\frac{1}{2}$.

In elliptic coordinates this feature is taken into account in the following way: Due to $\alpha \in$ (i $K^{\prime}, \mathrm{i} K^{\prime}+K$ ), we have that $\operatorname{sn}(\alpha, k), \operatorname{icn}(\alpha, k)>k^{\prime} / k, \operatorname{idn}(\alpha, k) \geq 0$, and we see that for $\alpha \in\left(\mathrm{i} K^{\prime}, \mathrm{i} K^{\prime}+K\right), \beta \in\left(K^{\prime}, 4 K^{\prime}\right)$, and $u_{0} \geq 0$ the variables $u_{1}, u_{2}$ change their signs in four domains, i.e., $\beta \in\left(0, K^{\prime}\right), \beta \in\left(K^{\prime}, 2 K^{\prime}\right), \beta \in\left(2 K^{\prime}, 3 K^{\prime}\right)$, and $\beta \in\left(3 K^{\prime}, 4 K^{\prime}\right)$. We then have for $\alpha \neq 0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{sn}\left(0, k^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{sn}\left(2 K^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{sn}\left(4 K^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)=0 \\
& \operatorname{cn}\left(K^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{cn}\left(3 K^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)=0 \tag{4.54}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\operatorname{dn}\left(\beta, k^{\prime}\right)>0, \beta \in\left[0,4 K^{\prime}\right)$. For convenience, we have made the choice $\beta \in\left(0, K^{\prime}\right)$ in the following. The situation is similar in the hyperbolic system, where we can choose $\mu \in$ (i $\left.K^{\prime}, \mathrm{i} K^{\prime}+K\right), \eta \in\left(0, K^{\prime}\right)$.

This has the following consequences for the degeneracies of the Higgs oscillator on the pseudosphere. If $0<k_{1,2} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ we have for each $N=n+m$ four possibilities of parities of the levels, i.e. $( \pm, \pm)$; for the cases $0<k_{1} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $k_{2}>\frac{1}{2}$ or $0<k_{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $k_{1}>\frac{1}{2}$ we have for each $N$ two possibilities: $( \pm)$; for $k_{1,2}>\frac{1}{2}$ there is only one possibility: ( + ). In all cases the degeneracy is $d=N+1=2 j+1\left(j=0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \ldots\right)$, coinciding with the dimensions of all relevant discrete irreducible representations of the group $\mathrm{SU}(1,1)$. In effect, the negative signs lower the potential energies, and the respective spectrum as well. This is exactly the same behaviour as in the two-dimensional singular oscillator in the flat-space case $[9,20,21]$, and we will keep this notion in the sequel for all following Smorodinsky-Winternitz potentials.

The Green's function of the potential $V_{1}$ in equidistant coordinates can be constructed by inserting the corresponding one-dimensional Green's functions in the variable $\tau_{1}$ into (4.22, 4.45). We obtain ( $E^{\prime}=E-\hbar^{2} / 8 M R^{2}-M R^{2} \omega^{2} / 2$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& G^{\left(V_{1}\right)}\left(\tau_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \tau_{1}^{\prime}, \tau_{2}^{\prime \prime}, \tau_{2}^{\prime} ; E\right)=\left(\cosh \tau_{1}^{\prime} \cosh \tau_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \\
& \quad \times\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{m=0}^{N_{m a x}^{(m)}} \psi_{m}^{\left( \pm k_{1}, \nu\right)}\left(\tau_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right) \psi_{m}^{\left( \pm k_{1}, \nu\right)}\left(\tau_{2}^{\prime}\right) G_{m P T}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \lambda\right)}\left(\tau_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \tau_{1}^{\prime} ; E^{\prime}\right) \\
\\
\left.\quad+\int_{0}^{\infty} d k \psi_{k}^{\left( \pm k_{1, \nu}\right)}\left(\tau_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right) \psi_{k}^{\left( \pm k_{1}, \nu\right) *}\left(\tau_{2}^{\prime}\right) G_{m P T}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \mathrm{ikj}\right)}\left(\tau_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \tau_{1}^{\prime} ; E^{\prime}\right)\right\}
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

in the notation of $(2.14,2.18)$.

### 4.2 The Coulomb Potential.

We consider the generalized Coulomb potential on the two-dimensional pseudosphere in the four separating coordinate systems
$V_{2}(\mathrm{u})=-\frac{\alpha}{R}\left(\frac{u_{0}}{\sqrt{u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}}}-1\right)+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{4 M \sqrt{u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}}}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\sqrt{u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}}+u_{1}}+\frac{k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\sqrt{u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}}-u_{1}}\right)$
Spherical $(\tau>0, \varphi \in(0, \pi))$ :
$V_{2}(\mathrm{u})=-\frac{\alpha}{R}(\operatorname{coth} \tau-1)+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{8 M R^{2} \sinh ^{2} \tau}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\sin ^{2} \frac{\varphi}{2}}+\frac{k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\cos ^{2} \frac{\varphi}{2}}\right)$
Elliptic-Parabolic $(a>0, \vartheta \in(0, \pi / 2))$ :
$=-\frac{\alpha}{R}\left(\frac{\cosh ^{2} a+\cos ^{2} \vartheta}{\cosh ^{2} a-\cos ^{2} \vartheta}-1\right)+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}} \frac{\cosh ^{2} a \cos ^{2} \vartheta}{\cosh ^{2} a-\cos ^{2} \vartheta}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\sin ^{2} \vartheta}+\frac{k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\sinh ^{2} a}\right)$
Elliptic II (algebraic form, $0<\varrho_{2}<a_{1}<\varrho_{1}$ ):

$$
\begin{align*}
=-\frac{\alpha}{R}( & \left.\frac{\sqrt{\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{2}\right)\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{3}\right)}-\sqrt{\left(\varrho_{2}-a_{2}\right)\left(\varrho_{2}-a_{3}\right)}}{\varrho_{1}-\varrho_{2}}-1\right) \\
& +\frac{\hbar^{2}}{4 M}\left[\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)\left(a_{1}-a_{3}\right)}{\varrho_{1}-\varrho_{2}}\left(\frac{1}{a_{1}-\varrho_{2}}+\frac{1}{\varrho_{1}-a_{1}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\left(k_{1}^{2}-k_{2}^{2}\right) \frac{\sqrt{\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)\left(a_{1}-a_{3}\right)}}{a_{2}-a_{3}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\left(\varrho_{2}-a_{2}\right)\left(\varrho_{2}-a_{3}\right)}+\sqrt{\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{2}\right)\left(\varrho_{1}-a_{3}\right)}}{\varrho_{1}-\varrho_{2}}\right] \tag{4.59}
\end{align*}
$$

Elliptic II (Jacobi elliptic function form, $\left.\alpha \in\left(\mathrm{i} K^{\prime}, \mathrm{i} K^{\prime}+K\right), \beta \in\left(0, K^{\prime}\right)\right)$ :
$=-\frac{\alpha}{R}\left(\frac{k^{2} \operatorname{sn} \alpha \operatorname{cn} \beta-k^{\prime} \operatorname{cn} \beta \mathrm{dn} \beta}{k^{2} \operatorname{cn}^{2} \alpha+{k^{\prime}}^{2} \operatorname{cn}^{2} \beta}-1\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{4 M}\left[\frac{k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}}{k^{2} \operatorname{cn}^{2} \alpha+k^{\prime 2} \operatorname{cn}^{2} \beta}\left(\frac{k^{\prime 2}}{\operatorname{dn}^{2} \alpha}-\frac{1}{\operatorname{sn}^{2} \beta}\right)+\left(k_{1}^{2}-k_{2}^{2}\right) \frac{k^{\prime}}{k} \frac{k^{2} \operatorname{sn} \alpha \operatorname{cn} \alpha+k^{\prime} \operatorname{cn} \beta \operatorname{dn} \beta}{k^{2} \operatorname{cn}^{2} \alpha+k^{\prime 2} \operatorname{cn}^{2} \beta}\right] \tag{4.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Semi-Hyperbolic $\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}>0\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
=- & \frac{\alpha}{R}\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}+\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}}{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}-1\right) \\
& +\frac{\hbar^{2}}{4 M R^{2}} \frac{1}{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}\left[\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{1}}+\frac{1}{\mu_{2}}\right)+\left(k_{1}^{2}-k_{2}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}}{\mu_{1}}-\frac{\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}}{\mu_{2}}\right)\right] . \tag{4.61}
\end{align*}
$$

For the constants of motion for the potential $V_{2}$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1}^{\left(V_{2}\right)}= & \frac{1}{2 M R^{2}}\left(K_{1}^{2}+K_{2}^{2}-L_{3}^{2}\right)+V_{2}(\mathrm{u}) \\
I_{2}^{\left(V_{2}\right)}= & \frac{1}{2 M} L_{3}^{2}+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{8 M}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\sin ^{2} \frac{\varphi}{2}}+\frac{k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\cos ^{2} \frac{\varphi}{2}}\right) \\
I_{3}^{\left(V_{2}\right)}= & \frac{1}{2 M}\left\{K_{1}, L_{3}\right\}-\frac{\alpha}{R} \frac{\mu_{2} \sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}-\mu_{1} \sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}}{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}  \tag{4.62}\\
& +\frac{\hbar^{2}}{4 M R^{2}}\left[\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{1}}-\frac{1}{\mu_{2}}\right)+\left(k_{1}^{2}-k_{2}^{2}\right) \frac{\mu_{2}^{2} \sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}+\mu_{1}^{2} \sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}}{\mu_{1} \mu_{2}\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}\right)}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

The path integral formulations have the following form

$$
K^{\left(V_{2}\right)}\left(\mathrm{u}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{u}^{\prime} ; T\right)
$$

Spherical:

$$
\begin{align*}
&=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hbar T / 8 M R^{2}}}{R^{2}} \int_{\tau\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\tau^{\prime}}^{\tau\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\tau^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \tau(t) \sinh \tau \int_{\varphi\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\varphi^{\prime}}^{\varphi\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\varphi^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \varphi(t) \exp \left\{\frac { \mathrm { i } } { \hbar } \int _ { t ^ { \prime } } ^ { t ^ { \prime \prime } } \left[\frac{M}{2} R^{2}\left(\dot{\tau}^{2}+\sinh ^{2} \tau \dot{\varphi}^{2}\right)\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.+\frac{\alpha}{R}(\operatorname{coth} \tau-1)-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{8 M R^{2} \sinh ^{2} \tau}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\cos ^{2} \frac{\varphi}{2}}+\frac{k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\sin ^{2} \frac{\varphi}{2}}-\frac{1}{4}\right)\right] d t\right\} \tag{4.63}
\end{align*}
$$

Elliptic-Parabolic:

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{R^{2}} \int_{a\left(t^{\prime}\right)=a^{\prime}}^{a\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=a^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} a(t) \int_{\vartheta\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\vartheta^{\prime}}^{\vartheta \vartheta\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\vartheta^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \vartheta(t) \frac{\cosh ^{2} a-\cos ^{2} \vartheta}{\cosh ^{2} a \cos ^{2} \vartheta} \\
& \quad \times \exp \left\{\frac { \mathrm { i } } { \hbar } \int _ { t ^ { \prime } } ^ { t ^ { \prime \prime } } \left[\frac{M}{2} R^{2} \frac{\cosh ^{2} a-\cos ^{2} \vartheta}{\cosh ^{2} a \cos ^{2} \vartheta}\left(\dot{a}^{2}+\dot{\vartheta}^{2}\right)+\frac{\alpha}{R}\left(\frac{\cosh ^{2} a+\cos ^{2} \vartheta}{\cosh ^{2} a-\cos ^{2} \vartheta}-1\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}} \frac{\cosh ^{2} a \cos ^{2} \vartheta}{\cosh ^{2} a-\cos ^{2} \vartheta}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\sin ^{2} \vartheta}+\frac{k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\sinh ^{2} a}\right)\right] d t\right\} \tag{4.64}
\end{align*}
$$

Elliptic II:

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{R^{2}} \int_{\alpha\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\alpha^{\prime}}^{\alpha\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\alpha^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \alpha(t) \int_{\beta\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\beta^{\prime}}^{\beta\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\beta^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \beta(t)\left(k^{2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \alpha+{k^{\prime}}^{2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \beta\right) \\
& \quad \times \exp \left\{\frac { \mathrm { i } } { \hbar } \int _ { t ^ { \prime } } ^ { t ^ { \prime \prime } } \left[\frac{M}{2} R^{2}\left(k^{2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \alpha+k^{\prime 2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \beta\right)\left(\dot{\alpha}^{2}+\dot{\beta}^{2}\right)+\frac{\alpha}{R}\left(\frac{k^{2} \operatorname{sn} \alpha \mathrm{cn} \beta-k^{\prime} \mathrm{cn} \beta \mathrm{dn} \beta}{k^{2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \alpha+k^{\prime 2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \beta}-1\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{4 M R^{2}}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}}{k^{2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \alpha+k^{\prime 2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \beta}\left(\frac{k^{\prime 2}}{\mathrm{dn}^{2} \alpha}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{sn}^{2} \beta}\right)+\left(k_{1}^{2}-k_{2}^{2}\right) \frac{k^{\prime}}{k} \frac{k^{2} \operatorname{sn} \alpha \mathrm{cn} \alpha+k^{\prime} \operatorname{cn} \beta \mathrm{dn} \beta}{k^{2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \alpha+k^{\prime 2} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \beta}\right)\right] d t\right\} \tag{4.65}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
&=\frac{1}{R^{2}} \int_{\mu_{1}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\mu_{1}^{\prime}}^{\mu_{1}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mu_{1}^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \mu_{1}(t) \int_{\mu_{1}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\mu_{1}^{\prime}}^{\mu_{1}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mu_{1}^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \mu_{1}(t) \frac{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}{4 \sqrt{P\left(\mu_{1}\right) P\left(\mu_{2}\right)}} \\
& \quad \times \exp \left\{\frac { \mathrm { i } } { \hbar } \int _ { t ^ { \prime } } ^ { t ^ { \prime \prime } } \left[\frac{M}{2} R^{2} \frac{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}{4}\left(\frac{\dot{\mu}_{1}^{2}}{P\left(\mu_{1}\right)}-\frac{\dot{\mu}_{2}^{2}}{P\left(\mu_{2}\right)}\right)+\frac{\alpha}{R}\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}+\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}}{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}-1\right)\right.\right. \\
&-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{4 M R^{2}} \frac{1}{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}\left(\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{1}}+\frac{1}{\mu_{2}}\right)+\left(k_{1}^{2}-k_{2}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}}{\mu_{1}}-\frac{\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}}{\mu_{2}}\right)\right) \\
&\left.\left.\quad-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}} \frac{1}{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}\left(P^{\prime \prime}\left(\mu_{1}\right)-P^{\prime \prime}\left(\mu_{2}\right)-\frac{3 P^{\prime 2}\left(\mu_{1}\right)}{4 P\left(\mu_{1}\right)}+\frac{3 P^{\prime 2}\left(\mu_{2}\right)}{4 P\left(\mu_{2}\right)}\right)\right] d t\right\} . \tag{4.66}
\end{align*}
$$

### 4.2.1 Spherical Coordinates.

In order to solve the Coulomb problem in spherical coordinates we start by separating off the $\varphi$-path integration which yields $\left(\lambda_{1}=m+\frac{1}{2}\left(1 \pm k_{1} \pm k_{2}\right)\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& K^{\left(V_{2}\right)}\left(\tau^{\prime \prime}, \tau^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime \prime}, \varphi^{\prime} ; T\right)=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hbar T / 8 M R^{2}}}{R^{2}\left(\sinh \tau^{\prime} \sinh \tau^{\prime \prime}\right)^{1 / 2}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \phi_{m}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \pm k_{1}\right)}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{2}\right) \phi_{m}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \pm k_{1}\right)}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}}{2}\right) \\
& \quad \times \int_{\tau\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\tau^{\prime}}^{\tau\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\tau^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \tau(t) \exp \left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \int_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime \prime}}\left[\frac{M}{2} R^{2} \dot{\tau}^{2}+\frac{\alpha}{R}(\operatorname{coth} \tau-1)-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}} \frac{\lambda_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\sinh ^{2} \tau}\right] d t\right\} . \tag{4.67}
\end{align*}
$$

Here denote the $\phi_{m}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \pm k_{1}\right)}$ the Pöschl-Teller wave-functions of (2.7)

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{m}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \pm k_{1}\right)}\left(\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)= & {\left[\left(1+2 m \pm k_{1} \pm k_{2}+1\right) \frac{m!\Gamma\left(m \pm k_{1} \pm k_{2}+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+m \pm k_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(1+m \pm k_{2}\right)}\right]^{1 / 2} } \\
& \times\left(\sin \frac{\varphi}{2}\right)^{1 / 2 \pm k_{2}}\left(\cos \frac{\varphi}{2}\right)^{1 / 2 \pm k_{1}} P_{m}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \pm k_{1}\right)}(\cos \varphi) \tag{4.68}
\end{align*}
$$

The remaining $\tau$-path integration, denoted by $K_{m}^{\left(V_{2}\right)}(T)$ in the following, is of the form of the Manning-Rosen potential, which in turn can be transformed into the path integral problem of the modified Pöschl-Teller problem. This has been done in [1, 15], and will not be repeated here. The corresponding non-linear transformation has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}(1-\operatorname{coth} \tau)=-\frac{1}{\sinh ^{2} r} \tag{4.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

accompanied by the time-transformation $d t=d s$, with $f(r)=R^{2} \tanh ^{2} r$. In some sense this transformation can be seen as a one-dimensional realization of the Kustaanheimo-Stiefel transformation [5,38] corresponding to a space of constant negative curvature. It maps the path integral (4.67) via a space-time transformation into the path integral of the modified Pöschl-Teller potential which can be transformed by a simple rearrangement into the path integral of the radial Higgs-oscillator. The result has the form $\left(N=0,1,2, \ldots, N_{\max }=\left[\sqrt{R / a}-\lambda_{1}-\frac{1}{2}\right], a=\hbar^{2} / M \alpha\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{m}^{\left(V_{2}\right)}\left(\tau^{\prime \prime}, \tau^{\prime} ; T\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{N_{m a x}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{N} T / \hbar} S_{n}^{\left(V_{2}\right)}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) S_{n}^{\left(V_{2}\right)}\left(\tau^{\prime \prime}\right)+\int_{0}^{\infty} d p \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hbar p^{2} T / 2 M} S_{p}^{\left(V_{2}\right) *}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) S_{p}^{\left(V_{2}\right)}\left(\tau^{\prime \prime}\right) \tag{4.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the discrete and continuous energy-spectrum, respectively, given by ( $\tilde{N}=N+\lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{N}=\frac{\alpha}{R}-\hbar^{2} \frac{\tilde{N}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{2 M R^{2}}-\frac{M \alpha^{2}}{2 \hbar^{2} \tilde{N}^{2}} \tag{4.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p}=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}}\left(p^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right) \tag{4.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bound state wave-functions have the form $\left(\sigma_{N}=a / R \tilde{N}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{n}^{\left(V_{2}\right)}(\tau ; R)= & \frac{2^{\lambda_{1}+1 / 2}}{\Gamma\left(2 \lambda_{1}+1\right)}\left[\frac{\sigma_{N}^{2}-\tilde{N}^{2}}{R^{2} \tilde{N}^{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\tilde{N}+\lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\sigma_{N}+\lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\tilde{N}-\lambda_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(\sigma_{N}-\lambda_{1}\right)}\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& \times(\sinh \tau)^{\lambda_{1}+1 / 2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \tau\left(\sigma_{N}-\tilde{N}\right)}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-n, \lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2}+\sigma_{N} ; 2 \lambda_{1}+1 ; \frac{2}{1+\operatorname{coth} \tau}\right) \tag{4.73}
\end{align*}
$$

The continuous wave-functions are $\left(\tilde{p}=\sqrt{2 M R^{2}\left(E_{p}-\alpha / R\right)} / \hbar\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{p}^{\left(V_{2}\right)}(\tau ; R)= & \frac{2^{(\mathrm{i} / 2)(p-\tilde{p})+\lambda_{1}+1 / 2}}{\pi \Gamma\left(2 \lambda_{1}+1\right)} \sqrt{\frac{p \sinh \pi p}{2 R^{2}}} \Gamma\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(\tilde{p}-p)\right) \Gamma\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(\tilde{p}+p)\right) \\
& \times(\sinh \tau)^{\lambda_{1}+1 / 2} \exp \left[\tau\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(\tilde{p}+p)-\lambda_{1}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right] \\
& \quad \times{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(\tilde{p}-p), \lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(\tilde{p}+p) ; 2 \lambda_{1}+1 ; \frac{2}{1+\operatorname{coth} \tau}\right) \tag{4.74}
\end{align*}
$$

The complete wave-functions of the generalized Coulomb problem on the two-dimensional pseudosphere in spherical coordinates are thus given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{n m}^{\left(V_{2}\right)}(\tau, \varphi ; R)=(\sinh \tau)^{-1 / 2} S_{n}^{\left(V_{2}\right)}(\tau ; R) \phi_{m}^{\left( \pm k_{1}, \pm k_{2}\right)}\left(\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)  \tag{4.75}\\
& \Psi_{p m}^{\left(V_{2}\right)}(\tau, \varphi ; R)=(\sinh \tau)^{-1 / 2} S_{p}^{\left(V_{2}\right)}(\tau ; R) \phi_{m}^{\left( \pm k_{1}, \pm k_{2}\right)}\left(\frac{\varphi}{2}\right) \tag{4.76}
\end{align*}
$$

The Green's function of the Coulomb problem has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& G^{\left(V_{2}\right)}\left(\tau^{\prime \prime}, \tau^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime \prime}, \varphi^{\prime} ; E\right)=\left(\sinh \tau^{\prime} \sinh \tau^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \phi_{m}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \pm k_{1}\right)}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{2}\right) \phi_{m}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \pm k_{1}\right)}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}}{2}\right) \\
& \quad \times \frac{M}{\hbar^{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(m_{1}-L_{E}\right) \Gamma\left(L_{E}+m_{1}+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(m_{1}+m_{2}+1\right) \Gamma\left(m_{1}-m_{2}+1\right)} \\
& \quad \times\left(\frac{2}{\operatorname{coth} \tau^{\prime}+1} \cdot \frac{2}{\operatorname{coth} \tau^{\prime \prime}+1}\right)^{\left(m_{1}+m_{2}+1\right) / 2}\left(\frac{\operatorname{coth} \tau^{\prime}-1}{\operatorname{coth} \tau^{\prime}+1} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{coth} \tau^{\prime \prime}-1}{\operatorname{coth} \tau^{\prime \prime}+1}\right)^{\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right) / 2} \\
& \quad \times{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-L_{E}+m_{1}, L_{E}+m_{1}+1 ; m_{1}-m_{2}+1 ; \frac{\operatorname{coth} \tau_{>}-1}{\operatorname{coth} \tau_{>}+1}\right) \\
& \quad \times{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-L_{E}+m_{1}, L_{E}+m_{1}+1 ; m_{1}+m_{2}+1 ; \frac{2}{\operatorname{coth} \tau_{<}+1}\right) \tag{4.77}
\end{align*}
$$

where $L_{E}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{-2 M R^{2} E / \hbar^{2}+1 / 4} / \hbar-1\right)$, and $m_{1,2}=\lambda_{1} \pm \sqrt{-2 m R^{2}(2 \alpha / R+E)-1 / 4} / \hbar$. This representation can be derived by means of the Green's function of the modified Pöschl-Teller potential and the Manning-Rosen potential, c.f. [19] for some details and references therein.

Let us make some remarks concerning the pure Coulomb case. The calculation is almost the same with only minor differences: The wave-functions $\phi_{m}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \pm k_{1}\right)}\left(\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)$ are replaced by circular waves, i.e., $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} j \varphi} / \sqrt{2 \pi}$ with $\varphi=[0,2 \pi)$. This then has the consequence that the modified angular momentum number has the form $\lambda_{1}=|j|$. Everything else remains the same.

### 4.2.2 Elliptic-Parabolic Coordinates.

In order to deal with the path integral (4.64) we perform a time substitution $d t=d s\left(\cosh ^{2} a-\right.$ $\left.\cos ^{2} \vartheta\right) / \cosh ^{2} a \cos ^{2} \vartheta d s$ according to, e.g., $[19,26,38]$ and references therein, such that the new
pseudo-time $s^{\prime \prime}$ can be introduced via the constraint $\int_{0}^{s^{\prime \prime}} d s\left(\cosh ^{2} a-\cos ^{2} \vartheta\right) / \cosh ^{2} a \cos ^{2} \vartheta=$ $T=t^{\prime \prime}-t^{\prime}$. We therefore obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& K^{\left(V_{2}\right)}\left(a^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime}, \vartheta^{\prime \prime}, \vartheta^{\prime} ; T\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d E}{2 \pi \hbar} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E T / \hbar} \int_{0}^{\infty} d s^{\prime \prime} \int_{a(0)=a^{\prime}}^{a\left(s^{\prime \prime}\right)=a^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} a(s) \int_{\vartheta(0)=\vartheta^{\prime}}^{\vartheta \vartheta\left(s^{\prime \prime}\right)=\vartheta^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \vartheta(s) \\
& \quad \times \exp \left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{s^{\prime \prime}}\left[\frac{M}{2}\left(\dot{a}^{2}+\dot{\vartheta}^{2}\right)-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\sin ^{2} \vartheta}+\frac{\beta^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\cos ^{2} \vartheta}+\frac{k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\sinh ^{2} a}-\frac{\nu^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\cosh ^{2} a}\right)\right] d s\right\}, \tag{4.78}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta^{2}=\frac{1}{4}-2 M E R^{2} / \hbar^{2}, \nu^{2}=\frac{1}{4}+2 M R^{2}(2 \alpha / R-E) / \hbar^{2}$. The analysis of this path integral is rather involved and we first consider the pure Coulomb case, denoted by $K^{(\alpha)}(T)$.

Pure Coulomb Case. We observe that in the pure Coulomb case the path integral (4.78) yields a symmetric Pöschl-Teller potential path integral in $\vartheta \in(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2)$, and a symmetric Rosen-Morse potential path integral in $a \in \mathbb{R}$. The solution consists of two contributions corresponding to the discrete and continuous spectrum, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
K^{(\alpha)}\left(a^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime}, \vartheta^{\prime \prime}, \vartheta^{\prime} ; T\right)= & K_{d i s e .}^{(\alpha)}\left(a^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime}, \vartheta^{\prime \prime}, \vartheta^{\prime} ; T\right)+K_{c o n t .}^{(\alpha)}\left(a^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime}, \vartheta^{\prime \prime}, \vartheta^{\prime} ; T\right)  \tag{4.79}\\
= & \sum_{m_{1} m_{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{m_{1} m_{2}} T / \hbar} \Psi_{m_{1} m_{2}}^{(\alpha)}\left(a^{\prime}, \vartheta^{\prime} ; R\right) \Psi_{m_{1} m_{2}}^{(\alpha)}\left(a^{\prime \prime}, \vartheta^{\prime \prime} ; R\right) \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{\infty} d k \int_{0}^{\infty} d p \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{p} T / \hbar} \Psi_{k_{p}}^{(\alpha) *}\left(a^{\prime}, \vartheta^{\prime} ; R\right) \Psi_{k_{p}}^{(\alpha)}\left(a^{\prime \prime}, \vartheta^{\prime \prime} ; R\right) . \tag{4.80}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to obtain the discrete spectrum contribution to (4.78) we insert the spectral expansions of the discrete spectrum of the symmetric Pöschl-Teller and and the symmetric Rosen-Morse potential. This yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{d i s e .}^{(\alpha)}\left(a^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime}, \vartheta^{\prime \prime}, \vartheta^{\prime} ; T\right) \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d E}{2 \pi \hbar} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E T / \hbar} \sum_{m_{1}, m_{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} d s^{\prime \prime} \exp \left\{-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M}\left[\left(m_{1}+\beta+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}-\left(m_{2}-\nu+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}\right] s^{\prime \prime}\right\} \\
& \quad \times \sqrt{\cos \vartheta^{\prime} \cos \vartheta^{\prime \prime}}\left(m_{1}+\beta+\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\Gamma\left(m_{1}+2 \beta+1\right)}{m_{1}!} P_{\beta+m_{1}}^{-\beta}\left(\sin \vartheta^{\prime}\right) P_{\beta+m_{1}}^{-\beta *}\left(\sin \vartheta^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& \quad \times\left(m_{2}-\nu-\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\Gamma\left(2 \nu-m_{2}\right)}{m_{2}!} P_{\nu-1 / 2}^{m_{2}-\nu+1 / 2}\left(\tanh a^{\prime}\right) P_{\nu-1 / 2}^{m_{2}-\nu+1 / 2}\left(\tanh a^{\prime \prime}\right) . \tag{4.81}
\end{align*}
$$

Performing the $s^{\prime \prime}$-integration gives the quantization condition for the bound states:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(m_{2}-\nu+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}=\left(m_{1}+\beta+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} \tag{4.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore the bound state energy-levels have the following form $\left(N=\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) / 2\right.$ is the principal quantum number)

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{N}=\frac{\alpha}{R}-\hbar^{2} \frac{\left(N+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{2 M R^{2}}-\frac{M \alpha^{2}}{2 \hbar^{2}\left(N+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}} . \tag{4.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering the residuum in (4.81) we obtain the bound state wave-functions

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Psi_{m_{1} m_{2}}^{(\alpha)}(a, \vartheta ; R)=\left[\frac{1}{2 R^{2}}\left(\frac{M \alpha R}{\hbar^{2} N^{2}}-1\right)\left(m_{1}-\beta-\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\Gamma\left(2 \beta-m_{1}\right)}{m_{1}!}\right]^{1 / 2} P_{\beta-1 / 2}^{m_{1}-\beta+1 / 2}(\tanh a) \\
\times\left[\left(m_{2}+\nu+\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\Gamma\left(2 \nu+m_{2}+1\right)}{m_{2}!}\right]^{1 / 2} P_{m_{2}+\nu}^{-\nu}(\sin \vartheta) . \tag{4.84}
\end{gather*}
$$

The analysis of the continuous spectrum is somewhat more involved. We proceed in a similar way as in [19], where the same calculation was done for the free motion in elliptic-parabolic coordinates on $\Lambda^{(2)}$. We obtain by using the Green's function representations of the symmetric Pöschl-Teller and and the symmetric Rosen-Morse potential [39]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{a\left(t^{\prime}\right)=a^{\prime}}^{a\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=a^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} a(t) \int_{\vartheta\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\vartheta^{\prime}}^{\vartheta\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\vartheta^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \vartheta(t) \frac{\cosh ^{2} a-\cos ^{2} \vartheta}{\cosh ^{2} a \cos ^{2} \vartheta} \\
& \times \exp \left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \int_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime \prime}}\left[\frac{M}{2} R^{2} \frac{\cosh ^{2} a-\cos ^{2} \vartheta}{\cosh ^{2} a \cos ^{2} \vartheta}\left(\dot{a}^{2}+\dot{\vartheta}^{2}\right)+\frac{\alpha}{R}\left(\frac{\cosh ^{2} a+\cos ^{2} \vartheta}{\cosh ^{2} a-\cos ^{2} \vartheta}-1\right)\right] d t\right\} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d E}{2 \pi \hbar} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E T / \hbar} \int_{0}^{\infty} d s^{\prime \prime} \int_{a(0)=a^{\prime}}^{a\left(s^{\prime \prime}\right)=a^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} a(s) \int_{\vartheta(0)=\vartheta^{\prime}}^{\vartheta\left(s^{\prime \prime}\right)=\vartheta^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \vartheta(s) \\
& \times \exp \left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{s^{\prime \prime}}\left[\frac{M}{2}\left(\dot{a}^{2}+\dot{\vartheta}^{2}\right)-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \frac{\beta^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\cos ^{2} \vartheta}-\frac{\nu^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\cosh ^{2} a}\right] d s\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d E}{2 \pi \hbar} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E T / \hbar} \int_{0}^{\infty} d s^{\prime \prime} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d E^{\prime}}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime} / \hbar} \\
& \times \frac{M}{\hbar^{2}} \sqrt{\cos \vartheta^{\prime} \cos \vartheta^{\prime \prime}} \Gamma\left(\beta-M_{E^{\prime}}\right) \Gamma\left(M_{E^{\prime}}-\beta+1\right) P_{M_{E^{\prime}}}^{-\beta}\left(-\sin \vartheta_{<}\right) P_{M_{E^{\prime}}}^{-\beta}\left(\sin \vartheta_{>}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{\epsilon= \pm 1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d k k \sinh \pi k}{\cos ^{2} \pi \nu+\sinh ^{2} \pi k} P_{\nu-1 / 2}^{\mathrm{i} k}\left(\epsilon \tanh a^{\prime \prime}\right) P_{\nu-1 / 2}^{-\mathrm{i} k}\left(\epsilon \tanh a^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hbar k^{2} s^{\prime \prime} / 2 M}, \\
& +(a \leftrightarrow \vartheta) . \tag{4.85}
\end{align*}
$$

with $M_{E^{\prime}}=-\frac{1}{2}+\sqrt{2 M E^{\prime}} / \hbar$, and we have written the kernel $K_{\text {cont. }}^{(\alpha)}\left(s^{\prime \prime}\right)$ according to

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{\text {cont. }}^{(\alpha)}\left(a^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime}, \vartheta^{\prime \prime}, \vartheta^{\prime} ; s^{\prime \prime}\right)= & K_{a}\left(a^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime} ; s^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdot K_{\vartheta}\left(\vartheta^{\prime \prime}, \vartheta^{\prime} ; s^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} K_{a}\left(a^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime} ; s^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d E^{\prime}}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E^{\prime \prime} s^{\prime \prime} / \hbar} G_{\vartheta}\left(\vartheta^{\prime \prime}, \vartheta^{\prime} ; E^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2} K_{\vartheta}\left(\vartheta^{\prime \prime}, \vartheta^{\prime} ; s^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d E^{\prime}}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime} / \hbar} G_{a}\left(a^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime} ; E^{\prime}\right) . \tag{4.86}
\end{align*}
$$

and, of course, both contributions must be taken into account which turn out to be equivalent. Note that (4.86) actually corresponds up to the additional $d E$-integration to the continuous part of the Green's function $G^{(\alpha)}(E)$, whereas (4.81) corresponds to its discrete contribution. The Green's function expression (4.86) is evaluated by means of the relation for the Legendre functions [42, p.170]

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{\nu}^{-\mu}(-y) & =\frac{\Gamma(\nu-\mu+1)}{\Gamma(\nu+\mu+1)}\left[P_{\nu}^{\mu}(-y) \cos \pi \mu-\frac{2}{\pi} Q_{\nu}^{\mu}(-y) \sin \pi \mu\right] \\
& =\frac{\Gamma(\nu-\mu+1)}{\Gamma(\nu+\mu+1)} \frac{\sin \pi \mu P_{\nu}^{\mu}(y)+\sin \pi \nu P_{\nu}^{\mu}(-y)}{\sin \pi(\nu+\mu)} \tag{4.87}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we obtain for the $\vartheta$-dependent part along the cut $\beta=-\mathrm{i} p$, where $E=\hbar^{2}\left(p^{2}+1 / 4\right) / 2 M R^{2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi_{k p}\left(\vartheta^{\prime \prime}\right) \psi_{k p}^{*}\left(\vartheta^{\prime}\right) \propto \frac{1}{\mathrm{i} \pi}\left[\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+\mathrm{i} k+\mathrm{i} p\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-\mathrm{i} k-\mathrm{i} p\right) P_{\mathrm{i} k-1 / 2}^{\mathrm{i} p}\left(-\sin \vartheta^{\prime \prime}\right) P_{\mathrm{i} k-1 / 2}^{\mathrm{i} p}\left(\sin \vartheta^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
&\left.-\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+\mathrm{i} k-\mathrm{i} p\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-\mathrm{i} k+\mathrm{i} p\right) P_{\mathrm{i} k-1 / 2}^{-\mathrm{i} p}\left(-\sin \vartheta^{\prime \prime}\right) P_{\mathrm{i} k-1 / 2}^{-\mathrm{i} p}\left(\sin \vartheta^{\prime}\right)\right] \\
&= \frac{p \sinh \pi p}{\cosh ^{2} \pi k+\sinh ^{2} \pi p} \sum_{\epsilon= \pm 1} P_{\mathrm{i} k-1 / 2}^{\mathrm{i} p}\left(\epsilon \sin \vartheta^{\prime \prime}\right) P_{\mathrm{i} k-1 / 2}^{-\mathrm{i} p}\left(\epsilon \sin \vartheta^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.88}
\end{align*}
$$

We must insert the representation (4.86) into (4.85), and we find that the $d s^{\prime \prime} d E^{\prime}$-integration gives $E^{\prime}=-\hbar^{2} k^{2} / 2 M$. Hence we obtain the following wave-functions and energy-spectrum of the continuous spectrum ( $\tilde{p}^{2}=-\nu^{2}, p^{2}=-\beta^{2} \epsilon, \epsilon^{\prime}= \pm 1$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{k, p}^{(\alpha)}(a, \vartheta ; R)= & \frac{1}{R} \sqrt{\frac{p \sinh \pi p k \sinh \pi k}{\left(\cosh ^{2} \pi k+\sinh ^{2} \pi p\right)\left(\cosh ^{2} \pi k+\sinh ^{2} \pi \tilde{p}\right)}} \\
& \quad \times \sqrt{\cos \vartheta} P_{\mathrm{i} k-1 / 2}^{\mathrm{i} p}(\epsilon \sin \vartheta) P_{\mathrm{i} \tilde{p}-1 / 2}^{\mathrm{i} k}\left(\epsilon^{\prime} \tanh a\right),  \tag{4.89}\\
E_{p}= & \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}}\left(p^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right) . \tag{4.90}
\end{align*}
$$

Generalized Coulomb Case. To analyze the general case we proceed in an analogous way. For the discrete spectrum we expand the $\vartheta$-path integration into Pöschl-Teller potential wavefunctions $\Phi_{n_{1}}^{\left( \pm k_{1}, \beta\right)}(\vartheta)$, and the $a$-path integration into the bound state contribution of the modified Pöschl-Teller potential wave-functions $\psi_{n_{2}}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \nu\right)}(a)$ of (2.14). The emerging Green's function representation $G_{\text {disc. }}^{\left(V_{2}\right)}(E)$ of $K_{\text {dise. }}^{\left(V_{2}\right)}(T)$ has poles which are determined by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2 n_{1} \pm k_{1}+\beta+1\right)^{2}=\left(2 n_{2} \pm k_{2}-\nu+1\right)^{2} . \tag{4.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving this equation for $E_{n_{1} n_{2}}$ yields exactly the energy-spectrum (4.71), with the principal quantum number $N=n_{1}+n_{2}+1+\frac{1}{2}\left( \pm k_{1} \pm k_{2}\right)$. Taking the residuum gives the bound state wave-functions.

For the analysis of the continuous spectrum we proceed again in an analogous way as for the pure Coulomb case, the only difference being that we must insert now the entire Green's functions of the Pöschl-Teller (2.7) and modified Pöschl-Teller problems (2.14), instead of the corresponding symmetric cases. For this purpose one constructs the Green's function $G^{\left(V_{2}\right)}(E)$ in elliptic-parabolic coordinates by considering the $d s^{\prime \prime}$-integration following from (4.78) with the solutions of the Pöschl-Teller and modified Pöschl-Teller potential, respectively. It can be put in the following form (c.f. also [19] for some more details concerning the proper Green's function analysis)

$$
\begin{align*}
G^{\left(V_{2}\right)}\left(a^{\prime \prime},\right. & \left.a^{\prime}, \vartheta^{\prime \prime}, \vartheta^{\prime} ; E\right)=\left.\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n_{2}} \psi_{n_{2}}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \nu\right)}\left(a^{\prime \prime}\right) \psi_{n_{2}}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \nu\right)}\left(a^{\prime}\right) G_{P T}^{\left( \pm k_{1}, \beta\right)}\left(\vartheta^{\prime \prime}, \vartheta^{\prime} ; E^{\prime}\right)\right|_{E^{\prime}=\hbar^{2}\left(2 n_{1} \pm k_{1}+\beta+1\right)^{2} / 2 M R^{2}} \\
& +\left.\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d k \psi_{k}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \nu\right)}\left(a^{\prime \prime}\right) \psi_{k}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \nu\right) *}\left(a^{\prime}\right) G_{P T}^{\left( \pm k_{1}, \beta\right)}\left(\vartheta^{\prime \prime}, \vartheta^{\prime} ; E^{\prime}\right)\right|_{E^{\prime}=-\hbar^{2} k^{2} / 2 M R^{2}} \\
& +[\text { appropriate term with } a \text { and } \vartheta \text { interchanged }], \tag{4.92}
\end{align*}
$$

in the notation of $(2.7,2.12,2.14)$ and (2.18), respectively. Analyzing the poles and cuts in a similar way as for the pure Coulomb case we therefore obtain with $E_{N}$ as in (4.71) and $E_{p}$ as in (4.72)

$$
\begin{align*}
& K^{\left(V_{2}\right)}\left(a^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime}, \vartheta^{\prime \prime}, \vartheta^{\prime} ; T\right)=\sum_{n_{1}, n_{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{N} T / \hbar} \Psi_{n_{1} n_{2}}^{\left(V_{2}\right)}\left(a^{\prime \prime}, \vartheta^{\prime \prime} ; R\right) \Psi_{n_{1} n_{2}}^{\left(V_{2}\right)}\left(a^{\prime}, \vartheta^{\prime} ; R\right) \\
&+\int_{0}^{\infty} d k \int_{0}^{\infty} d p \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{p} T / \hbar} \Psi_{k_{p}}^{\left(V_{2}\right)}\left(a^{\prime \prime}, \vartheta^{\prime \prime} ; R\right) \Psi_{k_{p}}^{\left(V_{2}\right) *}\left(a^{\prime}, \vartheta^{\prime} ; R\right), \tag{4.93}
\end{align*}
$$

where the bound state wave-functions are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{n_{1} n_{2}}^{\left(V_{2}\right)}(a, \vartheta ; R)=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2 R^{2}}\left(\frac{M R \alpha}{\hbar^{2} N^{2}}-1\right)} \psi_{n_{2}}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \nu\right)}(a) \phi_{n_{1}}^{\left( \pm k_{1}, \beta\right)}(\vartheta),  \tag{4.94}\\
& \psi_{n_{2}}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \nu\right)}(a)=\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(1 \pm k_{2}\right)}\left[\frac{2\left(\nu \mp k_{2}-2 n_{2}-1\right) \Gamma\left(n_{2}+1 \pm k_{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\nu-n_{2}\right)}{n_{2}!\Gamma\left(\nu \mp k_{2}-n_{2}\right)}\right]^{1 / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\times(\sinh a)^{1 / 2 \pm k_{2}}(\cosh a)^{n_{2}+1 / 2-\nu}{ }_{2} F_{2}\left(-n_{2}, \nu-n_{1} ; 1 \pm k_{2} ; \tanh ^{2} a\right)  \tag{4.95}\\
\phi_{n_{1}}^{\left( \pm k_{1}, \beta\right)}(\vartheta)=\left[2\left(\beta \pm k_{1}+2 n_{1}+1\right) \frac{n_{2}!\Gamma\left(\beta \pm k_{1}+n_{1}+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(n_{1} \pm k_{1}+1\right) \Gamma\left(n_{1}+\beta+1\right)}\right]^{1 / 2} \\
\times(\sin \vartheta)^{1 / 2 \pm k_{1}}(\cos \vartheta)^{\beta+1 / 2} P_{n_{1}}^{\left( \pm k_{1}, \beta\right)}(\cos 2 \vartheta) \tag{4.96}
\end{gather*}
$$

The continuous states have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{k p}^{\left(V_{2}\right)}(a, \vartheta ; R)=\frac{1}{R} \psi_{k}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \tilde{p}\right)}(a) \Phi_{k}^{\left( \pm k_{1}, p\right)}(\vartheta)  \tag{4.97}\\
& \psi_{k}^{\left( \pm k_{2}, \tilde{p}\right)}(a)=\frac{\Gamma\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1 \pm k_{2}+\mathrm{i} \tilde{p}+\mathrm{i} k\right)\right] \Gamma\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1 \pm k_{2}+\mathrm{i} \tilde{p}-\mathrm{i} k\right)\right]}{\Gamma\left(1 \pm k_{2}\right)} \sqrt{\frac{k \sinh \pi k}{2 \pi^{2}}}(\tanh a)^{ \pm k_{2}-1 / 2} \\
& \quad \times(\cosh a)^{\mathrm{i} k}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{1 \pm k_{2}+\mathrm{i} \tilde{p}+\mathrm{i} k}{2}, \frac{1 \pm k_{2}-\mathrm{i} \tilde{p}+\mathrm{i} k}{2} ; 1 \pm k_{2} ; \tanh ^{2} a\right)  \tag{4.98}\\
& \Phi_{k}^{\left( \pm k_{1, p}\right.}(\vartheta)=\frac{\Gamma\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1 \pm k_{1}+\mathrm{i} p+\mathrm{i} k\right)\right] \Gamma\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1 \pm k_{1}+\mathrm{i} p-\mathrm{i} k\right)\right]}{\Gamma\left(1 \pm k_{1}\right)} \sqrt{\frac{k \sinh \pi k}{2 \pi^{2}}}(\tan \vartheta)^{ \pm k_{1}-1 / 2} \\
& \quad \times(\cos \vartheta)^{\mathrm{i} p+1 \pm k_{1}}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{1 \pm k_{2}+\mathrm{i} p+\mathrm{i} k}{2}, \frac{1 \pm k_{1}-\mathrm{i} p+\mathrm{i} k}{2} ; 1 \pm k_{2} ;-\sin ^{2} \vartheta\right) \tag{4.99}
\end{align*}
$$

The special case of the pure Coulomb potential follow from the consideration of the corresponding special cases in $(2.7,2.12,2.14)$ and $(2.18)$. This completes the discussion of the Coulomb problem on the two-dimensional hyperboloid in the soluble cases. The cases of elliptic II and semi-hyperbolic coordinates are not tractable by path integration.

### 4.3 The Potential $V_{3}$.

We consider the potential $V_{3}$ in its separating coordinate systems:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{3}(\mathrm{u})=\frac{\alpha}{\left(u_{0}-u_{1}\right)^{2}}+\frac{M}{2} \omega^{2} \frac{R^{2}+4 u_{2}^{2}}{\left(u_{0}-u_{1}\right)^{4}}-\lambda \frac{u_{2}}{\left(u_{0}-u_{1}\right)^{3}} \tag{4.100}
\end{equation*}
$$

Horicyclic $(y>0, x \in \mathbb{R})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{y^{2}}{R^{2}}\left[\alpha+\frac{M}{2} \omega^{2}\left(4 x^{2}+y^{2}\right)-\lambda x\right] \tag{4.101}
\end{equation*}
$$

Semi-Circular-Parabolic $(\xi, \eta>0)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{R^{2}} \frac{\xi^{2} \eta^{2}}{\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}}\left[\alpha\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \lambda\left(\eta^{4}-\xi^{4}\right)+\frac{M}{2} \omega^{2}\left(\xi^{6}+\eta^{6}\right)\right] \tag{4.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

$V_{3}$ corresponds to the Holt potential plus a linear term [20, 30], i.e., plus an electric field, in the flat space limit $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. The constants of motion for the potential $V_{3}$ have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1}^{\left(V_{3}\right)}= & \frac{1}{2 M R^{2}}\left(K_{1}^{2}+K_{2}^{2}-L_{3}^{2}\right)+V_{3}(u), \\
I_{2}^{\left(V_{3}\right)}= & \frac{1}{2 M}\left(K_{1}-L_{3}\right)^{2}+\alpha+2 M \omega^{2} x^{2}-\lambda x, \\
I_{3}^{\left(V_{3}\right)}= & \frac{1}{2 M}\left(\left\{K_{1}, K_{2}\right\}-\left\{K_{2}, L_{3}\right\}\right)  \tag{4.103}\\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\xi^{4}\left(2 \alpha+\xi^{2} \lambda+M \omega^{2} \xi^{4}\right)-\eta^{4}\left(2 \alpha-\eta^{2} \lambda+M \omega^{2} \eta^{4}\right)}{\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

We obtain the following two path integral representations
$K^{\left(V_{3}\right)}\left(\mathrm{u}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{u}^{\prime} ; T\right)$
Horicyclic:

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{R^{2}} \int_{y\left(t^{\prime}\right)=y^{\prime}}^{y\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=y^{\prime \prime}} \frac{\mathcal{D} y(t)}{y^{2}} \int_{x\left(t^{\prime}\right)=x^{\prime}}^{x\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=x^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} x(t) \\
& \quad \times \exp \left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \int_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime \prime}}\left[\frac{M}{2} R^{2} \frac{\dot{x}^{2}+\dot{y}^{2}}{y^{2}}-\frac{y^{2}}{R^{2}}\left(\alpha+\frac{M}{2} \omega^{2}\left(4 x^{2}+y^{2}\right)-\lambda x\right)\right] d t\right\} \tag{4.104}
\end{align*}
$$

Semi-Circular-Parabolic:

$$
\begin{align*}
= & \frac{1}{R^{2}} \int_{\xi\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\xi^{\prime}}^{\xi\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\xi^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \xi(t) \int_{\eta\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\eta^{\prime}}^{\eta\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\eta^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \eta(t) \frac{\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}}{\xi^{2} \eta^{2}} \\
& \times \exp \left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \int_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime \prime}}\left[\frac{M}{2} R^{2} \frac{\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}}{\xi^{2} \eta^{2}}\left(\dot{\xi}^{2}+\dot{\eta}^{2}\right)-\frac{\xi^{2} \eta^{2}}{R^{2}}\left(\alpha-\frac{\lambda}{2}\left(\eta^{2}-\xi^{2}\right)+\frac{M}{2} \omega^{2}\left(\xi^{4}+\eta^{4}-\xi^{2} \eta^{2}\right)\right)\right] d t\right\} . \tag{4.105}
\end{align*}
$$

The path integral (4.105) in semi-circular parabolic coordinates is not solvable. The path integral (4.104) is solved in the following way: We shift the variable $x$ according to $x \rightarrow z=x-\lambda / 4 M \omega^{2}$. The emerging path integral problem is the path integral of an harmonic oscillator yielding the separation

$$
\begin{align*}
& K^{\left(V_{3}\right)}\left(\mathrm{u}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{u}^{\prime} ; T\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{R} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{2 M \omega}{\pi \hbar}\right)^{1 / 2} \frac{1}{2^{m} m!} H_{m}\left(\sqrt{\frac{2 M \omega}{\hbar}} z^{\prime}\right) H_{m}\left(\sqrt{\frac{2 M \omega}{\hbar}} z^{\prime \prime}\right) \exp \left[-\frac{M \omega}{\hbar}\left(z^{\prime 2}+z^{\prime \prime 2}\right)\right] \\
& \quad \times \int_{y\left(t^{\prime}\right)=y^{\prime}}^{y\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=y^{\prime \prime}} \frac{\mathcal{D} y(t)}{y} \exp \left[\frac{\mathrm{i} M}{2 \hbar} \int_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime \prime}}\left(R^{2} \frac{\dot{x}^{2}+\dot{y}^{2}}{y^{2}}-\frac{y^{2}}{R^{2}}\left(E_{\alpha, \omega, \lambda}+\omega^{2} y^{2}\right)\right) d t\right], \tag{4.106}
\end{align*}
$$

with the quantity $E_{\alpha, \omega, \lambda}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\alpha, \omega, \lambda}=\alpha+2 \hbar \omega\left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right)-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{8 M \omega^{2}} . \tag{4.107}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $H_{m}(x)$ are Hermite polynomials [12, p.1033]. A path integral like this was calculated in [17], and we must distinguish two cases, first where $E_{\alpha, \omega, \lambda}>0$ and $E_{\alpha, \omega, \lambda}<0$. In the first case only a continuous spectrum occurs, whereas in the second bound states can exist with the number of levels given by $n=0,1, \ldots, N_{\max }=\left[E_{\alpha, \omega, \lambda} / 2 \hbar \omega-1 / 2\right]$. From the explicit form of $E_{\alpha, \omega, \lambda}$ we see that it can be arranged that at least some bound states exist. Therefore we obtain the following path integral solution for $V_{3}$ in horicyclic coordinates ( $\nu=-\mathrm{i} \sqrt{2 M R^{2} E / \hbar^{2}-1 / 4}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& K^{\left(V_{3}\right)}\left(\mathrm{u}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{u}^{\prime} ; T\right)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \psi_{m}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \psi_{m}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d E}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E T / \hbar} \\
& \quad \times \frac{\Gamma\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\nu+E_{\alpha, \omega, \lambda} / \hbar \omega\right)\right]}{\sqrt{y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime} \hbar \omega \Gamma(1+\nu)} W_{-E_{\alpha, \omega, \lambda} / 2 \hbar \omega, \nu / 2}\left(\frac{M \omega}{\hbar} y_{>}^{2}\right) M_{-E_{\alpha, \omega, \lambda / 2} \hbar \omega, \nu / 2}\left(\frac{M \omega}{\hbar} y_{<}^{2}\right)}  \tag{4.108}\\
& =\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{N_{m a x}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{n} T / \hbar} \Psi_{n m}^{\left(V_{3}\right)}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime} ; R\right) \Psi_{n m}^{\left(V_{3}\right)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime} ; R\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad \quad+\int_{0}^{\infty} d p \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{p} T / \hbar} \Psi_{p m}^{\left(V_{3}\right) *}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime} ; R\right) \Psi_{p m}^{\left(V_{3}\right)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime} ; R\right)\right] . \tag{4.109}
\end{align*}
$$

$M_{\mu, \nu}(z)$ and $W_{\mu, \nu}(z)$ are Whittaker functions [12, p.1059]. The bound state wave-functions have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{n m}^{\left(V_{3}\right)}(x, y ; R)=\psi_{n}(y ; R) \psi_{m}(x),  \tag{4.110}\\
& \psi_{n}(y ; R)=\sqrt{\frac{2 n!\left(\left|E_{\alpha, \omega, \lambda}\right| / \hbar \omega-2 n-1\right) y}{R^{2} \Gamma\left(\left|E_{\alpha, \omega, \lambda}\right| / \hbar \omega-n\right)}}\left(\frac{M \omega}{\hbar} y^{2}\right)^{\left|E_{\alpha, \omega, \lambda}\right| / 2 \hbar \omega-n-1 / 2} \\
& \quad \times \exp \left(-\frac{M \omega}{2 \hbar} y^{2}\right) M_{n}^{\left(\left|E_{\alpha, \omega, \lambda}\right| / \hbar \omega-2 n-1\right)}\left(\frac{M \omega}{\hbar} y^{2}\right)  \tag{4.111}\\
& \psi_{m}(x)=\left(\frac{2 M \omega}{\pi \hbar 2^{2 m}(m!)^{2}}\right)^{1 / 4} H_{m}\left(\sqrt{\frac{2 M \omega}{\hbar}}\left(x-\frac{\lambda}{8 \omega^{2}}\right)\right) \exp \left(-\frac{M \omega}{\hbar}\left(x-\frac{\lambda}{8 \omega^{2}}\right)^{2}\right) . \tag{4.112}
\end{align*}
$$

with the discrete energy-spectrum given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{8 M R^{2}}-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}}\left(\frac{\left|E_{\alpha, \omega, \lambda}\right|}{\hbar \omega}-2 n-1\right)^{2} . \tag{4.113}
\end{equation*}
$$

The continuous wave-functions and the energy-spectrum have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{n m}^{\left(V_{3}\right)}(x, y ; R)=\psi_{m}(x) \psi_{p}(y ; R)  \tag{4.114}\\
& \psi_{p}(y ; R)=\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{M \omega} \frac{p \sinh \pi p}{2 \pi^{2} R^{2} y}} \Gamma\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\mathrm{i} p+\frac{E_{\alpha, \omega, \lambda}}{\hbar \omega}\right)\right] W_{-E_{\alpha, \omega, \lambda / 2 \hbar \omega, i p / 2}}\left(\frac{M \omega}{\hbar} y^{2}\right),  \tag{4.115}\\
& E_{p}=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}}\left(p^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right), \tag{4.116}
\end{align*}
$$

and the $\psi_{m}(x)$ as in (4.112). The Green's function $G^{\left(V_{3}\right)}(E)$ of the potential $V_{3}$ can be read off from (4.108). This concludes the discussion of $V_{3}$.

### 4.4 The Potential $V_{4}$.

We consider the potential $V_{4}$ in its separating coordinate systems

$$
\text { Equidistant }\left(\tau_{1}>0, \tau_{2} \in \mathbb{R}\right) \text { : }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{4}(\mathrm{u})=\frac{M}{2} \frac{\omega^{2}}{\left(u_{0}-u_{1}\right)^{2}}+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \frac{\kappa^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{u_{2}^{2}}=\frac{M}{2 R^{2}} \frac{\omega^{2}}{\cosh ^{2} \tau_{1}} \mathrm{e}^{2 \tau_{2}}+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}} \kappa^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \sinh ^{2} \tau_{1} \tag{4.117}
\end{equation*}
$$

Horicyclic $(y>0, x>0)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{M}{2 R^{2}} \omega^{2} y^{2}+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}} y^{2} \frac{\kappa^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{x^{2}} \tag{4.118}
\end{equation*}
$$

Elliptic-Parabolic $(b>0, \vartheta \in(0, \pi / 2))$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{M}{2 R^{2}} \omega^{2} \cosh ^{2} a \cos ^{2} \vartheta+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}}\left(\kappa^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\right) \cot ^{2} \vartheta \operatorname{coth}^{2} a \tag{4.119}
\end{equation*}
$$

Elliptic-Hyperbolic $(b>0, \vartheta \in(0, \pi / 2))$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{M}{2 R^{2}} \omega^{2} \sinh ^{2} b \sin ^{2} \vartheta+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}}\left(\kappa^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\right) \tan ^{2} \vartheta \tanh ^{2} b \tag{4.120}
\end{equation*}
$$

Semi-Circular-Parabolic $(|\kappa|=1 / 2, \xi, \eta>0)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{M}{2 R^{2}} \omega^{2} \xi^{2} \eta^{2} . \tag{4.121}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the constants of motion of the potential $V_{4}$ we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{1}^{\left(V_{4}\right)}=\frac{1}{2 M R^{2}}\left(K_{1}^{2}+K_{2}^{2}-L_{3}^{2}\right)+V_{4}(\mathrm{u}) \\
& I_{2}^{\left(V_{4}\right)}=\frac{1}{2 M}\left(K_{1}-L_{3}\right)^{2}+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \frac{\kappa^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{x^{2}}  \tag{4.122}\\
& I_{3}^{\left(V_{4}\right)}=\frac{1}{2 M} K_{2}^{2}+\frac{M}{2} \omega^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 \tau_{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

We discuss the corresponding solutions in the five coordinate systems only shortly because this potential seems not to be rather important. Also, the methods how to evaluate such path integrals have been presented already in earlier investigations, c.f. [19, 23]. In particular, for the elliptic-, hyperbolic-parabolic, and semi-circular parabolic we argue along the lines of Ref. [19], where are also more details can be found. The path integral evaluations in equidistant and horicyclic coordinates are easy to do.

### 4.4.1 Equidistant Coordinates.

We start with the path integral representation in equidistant coordinates. We consider

$$
\begin{align*}
& K^{\left(V_{4}\right)}\left(\mathrm{u}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{u}^{\prime} ; T\right)=\frac{1}{R^{2}} \int_{\tau_{1}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\tau_{1}^{\prime}}^{\tau_{1}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\tau_{1}^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \tau_{1}(t) \cosh \tau_{1} \int_{\tau_{2}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\tau_{2}^{\prime}}^{\tau_{2}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\tau_{2}^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \tau_{2}(t) \\
& \quad \times \exp \left\{\frac { \mathrm { i } } { \hbar } \int _ { t ^ { \prime } } ^ { t ^ { \prime \prime } } \left[\frac{M}{2} R^{2}\left(\dot{\tau}_{1}^{2}+\cosh ^{2} \tau_{1} \tau_{2}^{2}\right)-\frac{\omega^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 \tau_{2}}}{R^{2} \cosh ^{2} \tau_{1}}\right.\right. \\
&  \tag{4.123}\\
& \left.\left.\quad-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}} \frac{\kappa^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\sinh ^{2} \tau_{1}}-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{8 M R^{2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{\cosh ^{2} \tau_{1}}\right)\right] d t\right\}  \tag{4.124}\\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} d k \int_{0}^{\infty} d p \exp \left[-\frac{\mathrm{i} \hbar T}{2 M R^{2}}\left(p^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right)\right] \Psi_{p k}^{\left(V_{4}\right) *}\left(\tau_{1}^{\prime}, \tau_{2}^{\prime} ; R\right) \Psi_{p k}^{\left(V_{4}\right)}\left(\tau_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \tau_{2}^{\prime \prime} ; R\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The path integral in the coordinate $\tau_{2}$ is a path integral for the Liouville potential [23], and the remaining path integral in $\tau_{1}$ is again of the form of a modified Pöschl-Teller potential path integral (2.14). Therefore the separation procedure and the path integral evaluations are straightforward. The spectrum is purely continuous and the wave-functions are given by $(\tilde{k}=m R \omega / \hbar)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{p k}^{\left(V_{4}\right)}\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} ; R\right)=\left(\cosh \tau_{1}\right)^{-1 / 2} S_{p}\left(\tau_{1} ; R\right) \psi_{k}\left(\tau_{2}\right),  \tag{4.125}\\
& S_{p}\left(\tau_{1} ; R\right)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\kappa)} \sqrt{\frac{p \sinh \pi p}{2 \pi^{2} R^{2}}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\mathrm{i} k-\kappa+1-\mathrm{i} p}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\kappa-\mathrm{i} k+1-\mathrm{i} p}{2}\right) \\
& \quad \times\left(\tanh \tau_{1}\right)^{1 / 2+\kappa}\left(\cosh \tau_{1}\right)^{\mathrm{i} p}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i} k+\kappa+1-\mathrm{i} p}{2}, \frac{1+\kappa-\mathrm{i} k-\mathrm{i} p}{2} ; 1+\kappa ; \tanh ^{2} \tau_{1}\right),  \tag{4.126}\\
& \psi_{k}\left(\tau_{2}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{2 k \sinh \pi k}{\pi^{2}}} K_{\mathrm{ik}}\left(\tilde{k} \mathrm{e}^{\tau_{2}}\right) . \tag{4.127}
\end{align*}
$$

$K_{\nu}(z)$ is a modified Bessel functions [12, p.952]. The corresponding Green's function in these coordinates is given by [in the notation of (2.18) with $L_{\lambda}=\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{i} k-1)$ ]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G^{\left(V_{4}\right)}\left(\mathrm{u}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{u}^{\prime} ; E\right)=\frac{2}{\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} d k k \sinh \pi k K_{\mathrm{i} k}\left(\tilde{k} \mathrm{e}^{\tau_{2}^{\prime}}\right) K_{\mathrm{i} k}\left(\tilde{k} \mathrm{e}^{\tau_{2}^{\prime \prime}}\right) \\
& \quad \times \frac{M}{2 \hbar^{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(m_{1}-L_{\lambda}\right) \Gamma\left(L_{\lambda}+m_{1}+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(m_{1}+m_{2}+1\right) \Gamma\left(m_{1}-m_{2}+1\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \times\left(\cosh \tau_{1}^{\prime} \cosh \tau_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-\left(m_{1}-m_{2}+1 / 2\right)}\left(\tanh \tau_{1}^{\prime} \tanh \tau_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{m_{1}+m_{2}-1 / 2} \\
& \times{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-L_{\lambda}+m_{1}, L_{\lambda}+m_{1}+1 ; m_{1}-m_{2}+1 ; \frac{1}{\cosh ^{2} \tau_{1,<}}\right) \\
& \times{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-L_{\lambda}+m_{1}, L_{\lambda}+m_{1}+1 ; m_{1}+m_{2}+1 ; \tanh ^{2} \tau_{1,>}\right) . \tag{4.128}
\end{align*}
$$

### 4.4.2 Horicyclic Coordinates.

In horicyclic coordinates we see that in the $x$-variable we have a radial path integral with a repulsive centrifugal barrier. Therefore we obtain ( $\tilde{k}^{2}=k^{2}+M^{2} R^{2} \omega^{2} / \hbar^{2}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& K^{\left(V_{4}\right)}\left(\mathrm{u}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{u}^{\prime} ; T\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{R^{2}} \int_{y\left(t^{\prime}\right)=y^{\prime}}^{y\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=y^{\prime \prime}} \frac{\mathcal{D} y(t)}{y^{2}} \int_{x\left(t^{\prime}\right)=x^{\prime}}^{x\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=x^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} x(t) \exp \left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \int_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime \prime}}\left[\frac{M}{2} R^{2} \frac{\dot{x}^{2}+\dot{y}^{2}}{y^{2}}-\frac{\omega^{2} y^{2}}{R^{2}}-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}} y^{2} \frac{\kappa^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{x^{2}}\right] d t\right\} \\
& =\sqrt{x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}} \int_{0}^{\infty} k d k J_{k}\left(k x^{\prime}\right) J_{k}\left(k x^{\prime \prime}\right)  \tag{4.129}\\
& \quad \times \frac{2 M}{\hbar^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d E}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E T / \hbar} I_{-\mathrm{i} \sqrt{2 M R^{2} E / \hbar^{2}+1 / 4}}\left(\tilde{k} y_{<}\right) K_{\mathrm{i} \sqrt{2 M R^{2} E / \hbar^{2}+1 / 4}}\left(\tilde{k} y_{>}\right)  \tag{4.130}\\
& =\frac{\sqrt{x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}}}{R^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} k d k J_{\kappa}\left(k x^{\prime}\right) J_{\kappa}\left(k x^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& \quad \times \frac{2}{\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} d p p \sinh \pi p \exp \left[-\frac{\mathrm{i} \hbar T}{2 M R^{2}}\left(p^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right)\right] K_{\mathrm{i} p}\left(\tilde{k} y^{\prime}\right) K_{\mathrm{i} p}\left(\tilde{k} y^{\prime \prime}\right) . \tag{4.131}
\end{align*}
$$

The $I_{\nu}(z)$ and $J_{\nu}(z)$ are (modified) Bessel functions [12, pp.951]. In the path integral for the horicyclic system we simply do the $x$-path integration (a radial path integral [24, 50]) and we find that the remaining $y$-path integral looks exactly as for the free motion with just the separation parameter $k$ shifted by $M^{2} R^{2} \omega^{2} / \hbar^{2}$. A path integral like this has been already discussed in, e.g. [19] and references therein, which is not repeated here, and the solutions (4.130,4.131) for the Green's function and the spectral expansion follow immediately.

### 4.4.3 Elliptic-Parabolic and -Hyperbolic Coordinates.

In the following two path integral representations we first state the solutions, and second give a short description how these solutions can be obtained. In elliptic-parabolic coordinates we have an explicit solution only for $|\kappa|=\frac{1}{2}$, and we obtain for that case ( $k_{\varrho}=M R \omega / \hbar$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& K^{\left(V_{4}\right)}\left(\mathrm{u}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{u}^{\prime} ; T\right)=\frac{1}{R^{2}} \int_{a\left(t^{\prime}\right)=a^{\prime}}^{a\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=a^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} a(t) \int_{\vartheta\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\vartheta^{\prime}}^{\vartheta\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\vartheta^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \vartheta(t) \frac{\cosh ^{2} a-\cos ^{2} \vartheta}{\cosh ^{2} a \cos ^{2} \vartheta} \\
& \quad \times \exp \left\{\frac { \mathrm { i } } { \hbar } \int _ { t ^ { \prime } } ^ { t ^ { \prime \prime } } \left[\frac{M}{2} R^{2} \frac{\cosh ^{2} a-\cos ^{2} \vartheta}{\cosh ^{2} a \cos ^{2} \vartheta}\left(\dot{a}^{2}+\dot{\vartheta}^{2}\right)-\frac{\omega^{2}}{R^{2}} \cosh ^{2} a \cos ^{2} \vartheta\right.\right. \\
&  \tag{4.132}\\
& \left.\left.\quad-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}}\left(\kappa^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\right) \cot ^{2} \vartheta \operatorname{coth}^{2} a\right] d t\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{R^{2}} \sqrt{\cos \vartheta^{\prime} \cos \vartheta^{\prime \prime}} \sum_{\epsilon, \epsilon^{\prime}= \pm 1} \int_{0}^{\infty} d p \sinh \pi p \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d k k \sinh \pi k}{\left(\cosh ^{2} \pi k+\sinh \pi p\right)^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hbar T\left(p^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right) / 2 M R^{2}}  \tag{4.133}\\
& \quad \times S_{\mathrm{i} p-1 / 2}^{\mathrm{i} k(1)}\left(\epsilon \tanh a^{\prime \prime} ; \mathrm{i} k_{e}\right) S_{\mathrm{i} p-1 / 2}^{\mathrm{ik}(1) * *}\left(\epsilon \tanh a^{\prime} ; \mathrm{i} k_{e}\right) \mathrm{ps}_{\mathrm{i} k-1 / 2}^{\mathrm{ip} p}\left(\epsilon^{\prime} \sin \vartheta^{\prime \prime} ;-k_{\varrho}^{2}\right) \mathrm{ps}_{\mathrm{i} k-1 / 2}^{\mathrm{ip} *}\left(\epsilon^{\prime} \sin \vartheta^{\prime} ;-k_{\varrho}^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The $\operatorname{ps}_{\nu}^{\mu}(z)$ and $S_{\nu}^{\mu(1)}(z)$ are spheroidal functions [44, pp.236,pp.289]. In hyperbolic-parabolic coordinates we have an exact solution only for $|\kappa|=1 / 2$ and we obtain in this case $\left(k_{\varrho}=\right.$ $M R \omega / \hbar)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& K^{\left(V_{4}\right)}\left(\mathrm{u}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{u}^{\prime} ; T\right)=\frac{1}{R^{2}} \int_{b\left(t^{\prime}\right)=b^{\prime}}^{b\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=b^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} b(t) \int_{\vartheta\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\vartheta^{\prime}}^{\vartheta\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\vartheta^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \vartheta(t) \frac{\sinh ^{2} b+\sin ^{2} \vartheta}{\sinh ^{2} b \sin ^{2} \vartheta} \\
& \times \exp \left\{\frac { \mathrm { i } } { \hbar } \int _ { t ^ { \prime } } ^ { t ^ { \prime \prime } } \left[\frac{M}{2} R^{2} \frac{\sinh ^{2} b+\sin ^{2} \vartheta}{\sinh ^{2} b \sin ^{2} \vartheta}\left(\dot{b}^{2}+\dot{\theta}^{2}\right)-\frac{\omega^{2}}{R^{2}} \sinh ^{2} b \sin ^{2} \vartheta\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}}\left(\kappa^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\right) \tan ^{2} \vartheta \tanh ^{2} b\right] d t\right\}  \tag{4.134}\\
& =\frac{1}{R^{2}} \sqrt{\sinh b^{\prime} \sinh b^{\prime \prime} \sin \vartheta^{\prime} \sin \vartheta^{\prime \prime}} \\
& \times \sum_{\epsilon= \pm 1} \int_{0}^{\infty} d p \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d k k \sinh \pi k}{\cosh ^{2} \pi k+\sinh ^{2} \pi p} \frac{1}{\cosh \pi(p-k)} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hbar T\left(p^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right) / 2 M R^{2}} \\
& \times S_{\mathrm{i} k-1 / 2}^{\mathrm{i} p(1)}\left(\cosh b^{\prime \prime} ; \mathrm{i} k_{\varrho}\right) S_{\mathrm{i} k-1 / 2}^{\mathrm{ipp}(1) *}\left(\cosh b^{\prime} ; \mathrm{ik}_{\varrho}\right) \mathrm{ps}_{\mathrm{i} k-1 / 2}^{\mathrm{ip}}\left(\epsilon \cos \vartheta^{\prime \prime} ;-k_{\varrho}^{2}\right) \mathrm{ps}_{\mathrm{i} k-1 / 2}^{\mathrm{ip} p}\left(\epsilon \cos \vartheta^{\prime} ;-k_{\varrho}^{2}\right) . \tag{4.135}
\end{align*}
$$

The path integral representation in these two coordinate systems for $V_{4}$ are similar to the path integral representations of the free motion on $\Lambda^{(3)}$ in elliptic-parabolic and hyperbolicparabolic coordinates, respectively. Let us sketch the solution of the former. Performing a time transformation yields a path integral which looks like the path integral in flat space in the oblate spheroidal coordinate systems, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& K^{\left(V_{4}\right)}\left(a^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime}, \vartheta^{\prime \prime}, \vartheta^{\prime} ; T\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d E}{2 \pi \hbar} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E T / \hbar} \int_{0}^{\infty} d s^{\prime \prime} \int_{a(0)=a^{\prime}}^{a\left(s^{\prime \prime}\right)=a^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} a(s) \int_{\vartheta(0)=\vartheta^{\prime}}^{\vartheta\left(s^{\prime \prime}\right)=\vartheta^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \vartheta(s) \\
& \times \exp \left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{s^{\prime \prime}}\right. {\left[\frac{M}{2}\left(\left(\dot{a}^{2}+\dot{\vartheta}^{2}\right)-\omega^{2}\left(\cosh ^{2} a-\cos ^{2} \vartheta\right)\right)\right.} \\
&\left.\left.-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M}\left(\frac{\kappa^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\sinh ^{2} a}-\frac{\lambda^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\cosh ^{2} a}+\frac{\kappa^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\sin ^{2} \vartheta}+\frac{\lambda^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\cos ^{2} \vartheta}\right)\right] d s\right\}, \tag{4.136}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda=\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-2 M R^{2} E / \hbar^{2}}$. This path integral could be solved provided we knew the solution of the path integral representation in prolate spheroidal coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. However, this is not the case, and therefore we are restricted to the case $|\kappa|=1 / 2$ which is solvable using the result of the free motion on $\Lambda^{(3)}$ in elliptic-parabolic coordinates. Because $\lambda$ is for $E>\hbar^{2} / 8 M R^{2}$ purely imaginary we cannot apply the oblate spheroidal path integral identity of [19] in a simple way. We must find a proper analytic continuation, and we construct this analytic continuation heuristically. Since the ( $a, \vartheta$ )-path integration in (4.133) corresponds for $\omega=0$ to the path integral on $\Lambda^{(2)}$ in elliptic-parabolic coordinates we look for those spheroidal wave-functions $[19,44]$ which have for the parameter $\omega=0$ the limit of the wave-functions of this system and we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ps}_{\nu}^{\mu}(x ; 0)=P_{\nu}^{\mu}(x), \quad(|x| \leq 1), \quad S_{\nu}^{\mu(1)}(z ; 0)=\mathcal{P}_{\nu}^{\mu}(z), \quad|z| \geq 1 \tag{4.137}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting everything together yields (4.133). The case of hyperbolic-parabolic system (4.135) is done in an analogous way.

### 4.4.4 Semi-Circular-Parabolic Coordinates.

In semi-circular parabolic coordinates the potential separates only for $|\kappa|=1 / 2$ and we obtain $(q=M \omega / \hbar)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& K^{\left(V_{4}\right)}\left(\mathrm{u}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{u}^{\prime} ; T\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{R^{2}} \int_{\xi\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\xi^{\prime}}^{\xi\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\xi^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \xi(t) \int_{\eta\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\eta^{\prime}}^{\eta\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\eta^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \eta(t) \frac{\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}}{\xi^{2} \eta^{2}} \exp \left\{\frac{\mathrm{i} M}{2 \hbar} \int_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime \prime}}\left[R^{2} \frac{\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}}{\xi^{2} \eta^{2}}\left(\dot{\xi}^{2}+\dot{\eta}^{2}\right)-\frac{\omega^{2}}{R^{2}} \xi^{2} \eta^{2}\right] d t\right\} \\
& =\sum_{ \pm} \frac{1}{\pi^{2} q^{2} R^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} d p p(\sinh \pi p)^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d k k\left|\Gamma\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1 \pm k^{2} / 2 q+\mathrm{i} p\right)\right]\right|^{4} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hbar T\left(p^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right) / 2 M R^{2}}  \tag{4.138}\\
& \quad \times W_{ \pm k^{2} / 4 q, \mathrm{i} k / 2}\left(q{\xi^{\prime \prime}}^{2}\right) W_{ \pm k^{2} / 4 q, \mathrm{i} k / 2}\left(q{\xi^{\prime}}^{2}\right) W_{ \pm k^{2} / 4 q, \mathrm{i} p / 2}\left(q \eta^{\prime \prime 2}\right) W_{ \pm k^{2} / 4 q, \mathrm{i} p / 2}\left(q \eta^{2}\right) \tag{4.139}
\end{align*}
$$

This path integral is solved in the following way: After a time-transformation we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& K^{\left(V_{4}\right)}\left(\xi^{\prime \prime}, \xi^{\prime \prime}, \eta^{\prime \prime}, \eta^{\prime} ; T\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d E}{2 \pi \hbar} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E T / \hbar} \int_{0}^{\infty} d s^{\prime \prime} \int_{\xi(0)=\xi^{\prime}}^{\xi\left(s^{\prime \prime}\right)=\xi^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \xi(s) \int_{\eta(0)=\eta^{\prime}}^{\eta\left(s^{\prime \prime}\right)=\eta^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \eta(s) \\
& \quad \times \exp \left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{s^{\prime \prime}}\left[\frac{M}{2}\left(\left(\dot{\xi}^{2}+\dot{\eta}^{2}\right)-\omega^{2}\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)\right)-\hbar^{2} \frac{\lambda^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{2 M}\left(\frac{1}{\xi^{2}}+\frac{1}{\eta^{2}}\right)\right] d s\right\}  \tag{4.140}\\
& =\sqrt{\xi^{\prime} \xi^{\prime \prime}} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d E}{2 \pi \hbar} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E T / \hbar} \int_{0}^{\infty} d s^{\prime \prime} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d E^{\prime}}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime} / \hbar} \\
& \quad \times \frac{M \omega}{\mathrm{i} \hbar \sin \omega s^{\prime \prime}} \exp \left[-\frac{M \omega}{2 \mathrm{i} \hbar}\left(\xi^{\prime 2}+\xi^{\prime \prime 2}\right) \cot \omega s^{\prime \prime}\right] I_{\lambda}\left(\frac{M \omega \xi^{\prime} \xi^{\prime \prime}}{\mathrm{i} \hbar \sin \omega s^{\prime \prime}}\right) \\
& \quad \times \frac{\Gamma\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\lambda-E^{\prime} / \hbar \omega\right)\right]}{\hbar \omega \Gamma(1+\lambda)} W_{E^{\prime} / 2 \hbar \omega, \lambda / 2}\left(\frac{M \omega}{\hbar} \eta_{>}^{2}\right) M_{E^{\prime} / 2 \hbar \omega, \lambda / 2}\left(\frac{M \omega}{\hbar} \eta_{<}^{2}\right)+(\xi \leftrightarrow \eta) \tag{4.141}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda^{2}=\frac{1}{4}-2 M R^{2} E / \hbar^{2}$; we must take into account a term with $\xi$ and $\eta$ interchanged. One uses the path integral solution of the radial harmonic oscillator [50], where for the $\xi$-dependent part we expand the propagator by means of

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\lambda}(z)=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{\pi^{2} M R^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d p p \sinh \pi p}{\hbar^{2}\left(p^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right) / 2 M R^{2}-E} K_{\mathrm{i} p}(z) \tag{4.142}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the integral representation [12, p.729]

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\chi, \frac{\mu}{2}}(a) W_{\chi, \frac{\mu}{2}}(b)=\frac{2 \sqrt{a b} t}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+\mu}{2}-\chi\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-\mu}{2}-\chi\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{a+b}{2} \cosh v} K_{\mu}(\sqrt{a b} \sinh v)\left(\operatorname{coth} \frac{v}{2}\right)^{2 \chi} d v \tag{4.143}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the $\eta$-dependent part one uses the Green function for the radial harmonic oscillator (c.f. [24] for the functional measure formulation)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{\infty} d T \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} E T / \hbar} \int_{r\left(t^{\prime}\right)=r^{\prime}}^{r\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=r^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} r(t) \mu_{\lambda}\left[r^{2}\right] \exp \left[\frac{\mathrm{i} M}{2 \hbar} \int_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime \prime}}\left(\dot{r}^{2}-\omega^{2} r^{2}\right) d t\right] \\
& \quad=\frac{\Gamma\left[\frac{1}{2}(1+\lambda-E / \hbar \omega)\right]}{\hbar \omega \sqrt{r^{\prime} r^{\prime \prime}} \Gamma(1+\lambda)} W_{E / 2 \hbar \omega, \lambda / 2}\left(\frac{M \omega}{\hbar} r_{>}^{2}\right) M_{E / 2 \hbar \omega, \lambda / 2}\left(\frac{M \omega}{\hbar} r_{<}^{2}\right) \tag{4.144}
\end{align*}
$$

and the relation [12, p.1062]

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\lambda, \mu}(z)=\frac{\Gamma(-2 \mu)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-\mu-\lambda\right)} M_{\lambda, \mu}(z)+\frac{\Gamma(2 \mu)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+\mu-\lambda\right)} M_{\lambda,-\mu}(z) \tag{4.145}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we leave (4.141) as it stands we obtain the Green's function $G^{\left(V_{4}\right)}\left(\xi^{\prime \prime}, \xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime \prime}, \eta^{\prime} ; E\right)$, together with the prescription $E^{\prime}=-\hbar^{2} k^{2} / 2 M$. The final result (4.139) is then obtained by combining on the one hand side where $E^{\prime}=-k^{2} \hbar^{2} / 2 M$ and reinserting $R$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{M \omega}{\hbar^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} d s^{\prime \prime} \frac{d s^{\prime \prime}}{\sin \omega s^{\prime \prime}} \exp \left[-\mathrm{i} \frac{E^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime}}{\hbar}-\frac{M \omega}{2 \mathrm{i} \hbar}\left(\xi^{\prime 2}+\xi^{\prime \prime 2}\right) \cot \omega s^{\prime \prime}\right] I_{\lambda}\left(\frac{M \omega \xi^{\prime} \xi^{\prime \prime}}{\mathrm{i} \hbar \sin \omega s^{\prime \prime}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi^{2} q} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d p p \sinh \pi p}{p^{2} \hbar^{2} / 2 M-E}\left|\Gamma\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\mathrm{i} p-\frac{k^{2}}{2 q}\right)\right]\right|^{2} W_{-k^{2} / 4 q, \mathrm{i} p / 2}\left(q \xi^{\prime 2}\right) W_{-k^{2} / 4 q, \mathrm{i} p / 2}\left(q \xi^{\prime \prime}\right) \tag{4.146}
\end{align*}
$$

and on the other $(q=M R \omega / \hbar)$

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{k^{2} / 4 q, \mathrm{i} p / 2}\left(q \eta^{\prime 2}\right)\left[\frac{\Gamma\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\mathrm{i} p-k^{2} / 2 q\right)\right.}{\hbar \omega \Gamma(1+\mathrm{i} p)}\right. & M_{k^{2} / 4 q, \mathrm{i} p / 2}\left(q \eta^{\prime 2}\right) \\
& \left.-\frac{\Gamma\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\mathrm{i} p-k^{2} / 2 q\right)\right.}{\hbar \omega \Gamma(1-\mathrm{i} p)} M_{k^{2} / 4 q,-\mathrm{i} p / 2}\left(q \eta^{\prime \prime 2}\right)\right] \\
= & \frac{\mathrm{i} M}{\pi \hbar^{2} q} \sinh \pi p\left|\Gamma\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\mathrm{i} p-\frac{k^{2}}{2 q}\right)\right]\right|^{2} W_{-k^{2} / 4 q, \mathrm{i} p / 2}\left(q \eta^{\prime 2}\right) W_{-k^{2} / 4 q, \mathrm{i} p / 2}\left(q{\eta^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime 2}\right) \tag{4.147}
\end{align*}
$$

### 4.5 The Potential $V_{5}$.

We consider the potential $V_{5}$ in its two separating coordinate systems

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Equidistant }\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} \in \mathbb{R}\right): \\
& V_{5}(\mathrm{u})=\alpha R \frac{u_{2}}{\sqrt{u_{0}^{2}-u_{1}^{2}}}=\alpha R \tanh \tau_{1} \tag{4.148}
\end{align*}
$$

Semi-Circular-Parabolic $(\xi, \eta>0)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\alpha R \frac{\xi^{2} \eta^{2}}{\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\xi^{2}}-\frac{1}{\eta^{2}}\right) \tag{4.149}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constants of motion for the potential $V_{5}$ are the following

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
I_{1}^{\left(V_{5}\right)} & =\frac{1}{2 M R^{2}}\left(K_{1}^{2}+K_{2}^{2}-L_{3}^{2}\right)+V_{5}(\mathrm{u})  \tag{4.150}\\
I_{2}^{\left(V_{5}\right)} & =\frac{1}{2 M}\left(\left\{K_{1}, K_{2}\right\}-\left\{K_{2}, L_{3}\right\}\right)+\frac{2 \alpha R}{\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}} \\
I_{3}^{\left(V_{5}\right)} & =K_{2}^{2}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

We have the following two path integral representations

$$
K^{\left(V_{5}\right)}\left(u^{\prime \prime}, u^{\prime} ; T\right)
$$

Equidistant:

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{R^{2}} \int_{\tau_{1}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\tau_{1}^{\prime}}^{\tau_{1}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\tau_{1}^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \tau_{1}(t) \cosh \tau_{1} \int_{\tau_{2}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\tau_{2}^{\prime}}^{\tau_{2}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\tau_{2}^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \tau_{2}(t) \\
&  \tag{4.151}\\
& \quad \times \exp \left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \int_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime \prime}}\left[\frac{M}{2} R^{2}\left(\dot{\tau}_{1}^{2}+\cosh ^{2} \tau_{1} \dot{\tau}_{2}^{2}\right)-\alpha R \tanh \tau_{1}-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{8 M R^{2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{\cosh ^{2} \tau_{1}}\right)\right] d t\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

## Semi-Circular-Parabolic:

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{R^{2}} \int_{\xi\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\xi^{\prime}}^{\xi\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\xi^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \xi(t) \int_{\eta\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\eta^{\prime}}^{\eta\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\eta^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \eta(t) \frac{\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}}{\xi^{2} \eta^{2}} \\
& \quad \times \exp \left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \int_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime \prime}}\left[\frac{M}{2} R^{2} \frac{\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}}{\xi^{2} \eta^{2}}\left(\dot{\xi}^{2}+\dot{\eta}^{2}\right)-\alpha R \frac{\eta^{2}-\xi^{2}}{\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}}\right] d t\right\} . \tag{4.152}
\end{align*}
$$

### 4.5.1 Equidistant Coordinates.

After separating off the $\tau_{2}$-path integration we obtain a pure scattering Rosen-Morse potential, a path integral problem which has been solved in [13, 39]. Therefore we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& K^{\left(V_{5}\right)}\left(\mathrm{u}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{u}^{\prime} ; T\right)=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hbar T / 8 M R^{2}}}{R}\left(\cosh \tau_{1}^{\prime} \cosh \tau_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d k}{2 \pi} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k\left(\tau_{2}^{\prime \prime}-\tau_{2}^{\prime}\right)} \\
& \quad \times \int_{\tau_{1}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\tau_{1}^{\prime}}^{\tau_{1}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\tau_{1}^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \tau_{1}(t) \exp \left[\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \int_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime \prime}}\left[\frac{M}{2} R^{2} \dot{\tau}_{1}^{2}-\alpha R \tanh \tau_{1}-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}} \frac{k^{2}+\frac{1}{4}}{\cosh ^{2} \tau_{1}}\right) d t\right]  \tag{4.153}\\
& =\left(\cosh \tau_{1}^{\prime} \cosh \tau_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d k}{2 \pi} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k\left(\tau_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}-\tau_{2}^{\prime}\right)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d E}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E T / \hbar} \\
& \quad \times \frac{M}{\hbar^{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(m_{1}-L_{k}\right) \Gamma\left(L_{k}+m_{1}+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(m_{1}+m_{2}+1\right) \Gamma\left(m_{1}-m_{2}+1\right)} \\
& \quad \times\left(\frac{1-\tanh \tau_{1}^{\prime}}{2} \cdot \frac{1-\tanh \tau_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{2}\right)^{\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right) / 2}\left(\frac{1+\tanh \tau_{1}^{\prime}}{2} \cdot \frac{1+\tanh \tau_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{2}\right)^{\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) / 2} \\
& \quad \times{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-L_{k}+m_{1}, L_{k}+m_{1}+1 ; m_{1}+m_{2}+1 ; \frac{1+\tanh \tau_{1,>}}{2}\right) \\
& \quad \times{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-L_{k}+m_{1}, L_{k}+m_{1}+1 ; m_{1}-m_{2}+1 ; \frac{1-\tanh \tau_{1,<}}{2}\right)  \tag{4.154}\\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}} d k \int_{0}^{\infty} d p \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{p} T / \hbar} \Psi_{p k}^{\left(V_{5}\right)}\left(\tau_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \tau_{2}^{\prime \prime} ; R\right) \Psi_{p k}^{\left(V_{5}\right) *}\left(\tau_{1}^{\prime}, \tau_{2}^{\prime} ; R\right) \cdot \tag{4.155}
\end{align*}
$$

Here denote $L_{k}=-2 \mathrm{i} k-\frac{1}{2}, m_{1,2}=\sqrt{m / 2}\left(\sqrt{-\alpha R-E-E_{0}} \pm \sqrt{\alpha R-E-E_{0}}\right) / \hbar, E_{0}=$ $\hbar^{2} / 8 M R^{2}$, and (4.154) is the Green's function corresponding to the path integral (4.151). The wave-functions and the energy-spectrum of the continuous states are (where $\pm$ distinguishes between incoming and outgoing scattering states, respectively)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{p k}^{\left(V_{5}\right)}\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} ; R\right)=\left(2 \pi \cosh \tau_{1}\right)^{-1 / 2} S_{p}^{( \pm)}\left(\tau_{1} ; R\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \tau_{2}},  \tag{4.156}\\
& E_{p}=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M}\left(p^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right)-\alpha R,  \tag{4.157}\\
& S_{p}^{( \pm)}\left(\tau_{1} ; R\right)= \\
& \quad \frac{1}{R \Gamma\left(1+m_{1} \pm m_{2}\right)} \frac{\sqrt{M \sinh \left(\pi\left|m_{1} \pm m_{2}\right|\right) / 2}}{\hbar\left|\sin \pi\left(m_{1}+L_{k}\right)\right|} \\
& \quad \times\left(\frac{1+\tanh \tau_{1}}{2}\right)^{\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) / 2}\left(\frac{1-\tanh \tau_{1}}{2}\right)^{\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right) / 2}  \tag{4.158}\\
& \quad \times{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(m_{1}+L_{k}+1, m_{1}-L_{k} ; 1+m_{1} \pm m_{2} ; \frac{1 \pm \tanh \tau_{1}}{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

### 4.5.2 Semi-Circular-Parabolic Coordinates.

In the semi-circular-parabolic system we obtain after a time-transformation ( $\lambda_{1,2}=1 / 4-$ $\left.2 M\left(E R^{2} \pm \alpha R\right) / \hbar^{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& K^{\left(V_{s}\right)}\left(\mathrm{u}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{u}^{\prime} ; T\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d E}{2 \pi \hbar} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E T / \hbar} \int_{0}^{\infty} d s^{\prime \prime} \int_{\xi(0)=\xi^{\prime}}^{\xi\left(s^{\prime \prime}\right)=\xi^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \xi(s) \int_{\eta(0)=\eta^{\prime}}^{\eta\left(s^{\prime \prime}\right)=\eta^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{D} \eta(s) \\
& \quad \times \exp \left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{s^{\prime \prime}}\left[\frac{M}{2}\left(\left(\dot{\xi}^{2}+\dot{\eta}^{2}\right)-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}}\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\xi^{2}}-\frac{\lambda_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{\eta^{2}}\right)\right] d s\right\}\right. \\
& =\frac{M^{2}}{\mathrm{i} \hbar^{3}} \sqrt{\xi^{\prime} \xi^{\prime \prime} \eta^{\prime} \eta^{\prime \prime}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d E}{2 \pi \hbar} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E T / \hbar} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d s^{\prime \prime}}{s^{\prime \prime}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d E^{\prime}}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime} / \hbar} \\
& \quad \times I_{\lambda_{1}}\left(\sqrt{-2 M E^{\prime}} \frac{\xi_{<}}{\hbar}\right) K_{\lambda_{1}}\left(\sqrt{-2 M E^{\prime}} \frac{\xi_{>}}{\hbar}\right) \exp \left[-\frac{M}{2 \mathrm{i} \hbar s^{\prime \prime}}\left(\eta^{\prime 2}+\eta^{\prime \prime 2}\right)\right] I_{\lambda_{2}}\left(\frac{M \eta^{\prime} \eta^{\prime \prime}}{\mathrm{i} \hbar s^{\prime \prime}}\right) . \tag{4.159}
\end{align*}
$$

The corresponding wave-functions are obtained in a similar way as in [19] for the free motion on $\Lambda^{(2)}$ in semi-circular-parabolic coordinates by analyzing the Green's function (4.159) on the cut, which finally yields ( $\tilde{p}_{1,2}=-\mathrm{i} \sqrt{p^{2} \pm 2 M R \alpha / \hbar^{2}}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& K^{\left(V_{5}\right)}\left(\mathrm{u}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{u}^{\prime} ; T\right)=\frac{\sqrt{\xi^{\prime} \xi^{\prime \prime} \eta^{\prime} \eta^{\prime \prime}}}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} k d k \int_{0}^{\infty} d p p \sinh ^{2} \pi p \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{p} T / \hbar} \\
& \quad \times\left[H_{-\mathrm{i} \tilde{p}_{2}}^{(1)}\left(k \eta^{\prime}\right) H_{\mathrm{i} \tilde{p}_{2}}^{(1)}\left(k \eta^{\prime \prime}\right) K_{\mathrm{i} \tilde{p}_{1}}\left(k \xi^{\prime}\right) K_{\mathrm{i} \tilde{p}_{1}}\left(k \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)+K_{\mathrm{i} \tilde{p}_{2}}\left(k \eta^{\prime}\right) K_{\mathrm{i} \tilde{p}_{2}}\left(k \eta^{\prime \prime}\right) H_{-\mathrm{i} \tilde{p}_{1}}^{(1)}\left(k \xi^{\prime}\right) H_{\mathrm{i} \tilde{p}_{1}}^{(1)}\left(k \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)\right], \tag{4.160}
\end{align*}
$$

with $E_{p}$ as in (4.157), and the even and odd wave-functions can be read off from the spectralexpansion. The $H_{\nu}^{(1)}(z)$ are Hankel functions [12, p.952].

## 5 Summary and Discussion.

In this paper we have performed an investigation about Smorodinsky-Winternitz potentials on the two-dimensional hyperboloid. We have found that the two most important potentials, the oscillator and the Coulomb potential, admit separation of variables in four coordinate systems. Each problem is exactly solvable in two coordinate systems, the oscillator in spherical and equidistant coordinates, the Coulomb problem in spherical and elliptic parabolic coordinates. We have also stated the corresponding Green's functions.

These particular features are not too surprising. In the flat space limit the spherical system yields two-dimensional polar coordinates, and both problems in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ are separable in this coordinate system. The equidistant system yields in the flat space limit cartesian coordinates, and the oscillator in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is separable in cartesian coordinates. The elliptic-parabolic system yields parabolic coordinates (as the semi-hyperbolic system) and the Coulomb problem in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is separable in parabolic coordinates. The elliptic system on $\Lambda^{(2)}$ gives the elliptic system in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, the oscillator is separable in this coordinate system, but does not admit an analytic solution in terms of usually known higher transcendental functions. Actually, the solution of the harmonic oscillator in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ can be given in terms of Ince polynomials [35]. The hyperbolic system on $\Lambda^{(2)}$ also yields the cartesian system.

Furthermore, the elliptic II system on $\Lambda^{(2)}$ gives the elliptic II coordinate system in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, and the Coulomb problem in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is separable in this coordinate system. However, in both cases no analytic solution is known.

We have seen that the situation concerning separation of variables of these two potentials in the corresponding coordinate system is very similar in flat space [6, 9, 20], on the sphere [21],
and on the hyperboloid. The most significant difference being that on the sphere there are less, and on the hyperboloid more possibilities.

We have also stated explicitly the relevant Green's functions of the potentials. This includes the simple and general Higgs oscillator, the Coulomb potential, and for $V_{3}, V_{4}$ and $V_{5}$ in several coordinate system representations. In particular, from the spectral expansions in horicyclic coordinates, one can show with the integral representations [42, pp.732,819]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{P}_{\nu-1 / 2}\left(\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}}{2 a b}\right)=\frac{4 \sqrt{a b}}{\pi^{2}} \cos \nu \pi \int_{0}^{\infty} d k K_{\nu}(a k) K_{\nu}(b k) \cos c k,  \tag{5.1}\\
& \mathcal{Q}_{\nu-1 / 2}\left(\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}}{2 a b}\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} d p^{\prime} \frac{p^{\prime} \tanh \pi p^{\prime}}{\nu^{2}+p^{\prime 2}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{i} p^{\prime}-1 / 2}\left(\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}}{2 a b}\right), \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

that the Green's function for the free motion on the two-dimensional hyperboloid has the form $[16,23]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(\mathrm{u}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{u}^{\prime} ; E\right)=\frac{m}{\pi \hbar^{2}} \mathcal{Q}_{-1 / 2-\mathrm{i} \sqrt{2 M R^{2} E / \hbar^{2}-1 / 4}}\left(\frac{\left(x^{\prime \prime}-x^{\prime}\right)^{2}+y^{\prime 2}+y^{\prime \prime 2}}{2 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}}\right) . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Green's function is a function of the invariant distance $d\left(\mathrm{u}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{u}^{\prime}\right)$ on $\Lambda^{(2)}$ only, i.e., $G\left(\mathrm{u}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{u}^{\prime} ; E\right)$ $=G\left(\cosh d\left(\mathrm{u}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{u}^{\prime}\right) ; E\right)$. A similar consideration can be made for the corresponding path integral representations of the free motion on $\Lambda^{(2)}$ in spherical [16, 18, 25] and semi-circular parabolic coordinates [19].

Let us add some remarks concerning potentials which are separable in the semi-hyperbolic coordinate system. We consider the potential ( $\mu_{1,2}>0$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{6}(\mathrm{u}) & =\kappa u_{0} u_{1}+\frac{M}{2} \omega^{2}\left(4 \frac{u_{0}^{2} u_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}}+u_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \frac{k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{u_{2}^{2}}  \tag{5.4}\\
& =\frac{R^{2}}{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}\left[\frac{\kappa}{2}\left(\mu_{1}^{2}-\mu_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{M}{2} \omega^{2}\left(\mu_{1}^{3}+\mu_{2}^{3}\right)+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}}\left(k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{1}}+\frac{1}{\mu_{2}}\right)\right] . \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The specific features of the potential characterize it as a Holt potential plus a linear term, i.e., with an electric field [20, 30]. From the flat space case [20] we know that a potential like this is separable in cartesian and parabolic coordinates. On the hyperboloid (5.5) is separable in the semi-hyperbolic coordinate system (3.68). The semi-hyperbolic system has two flat-space limits, the cartesian and the parabolic coordinate system, however, on the hyperboloid they correspond to two realizations of the same system.

The only potential which is separable in the equidistant and semi-hyperbolic system is

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{4}^{(\omega=0)}(u) \equiv V_{7}(u)=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \frac{k_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{u_{2}^{2}}, \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it turns out to be separable in eight coordinate systems, and is almost trivial. It can be exactly solved in six coordinate systems, but the difference in comparison to the free motion on $\Lambda^{(2)}$ is insignificant, and we omit these solutions.

Another potential which is separable in the semi-hyperbolic system has the form ( $\mu_{1,2}>0$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{8}(\mathrm{u}) & =-\frac{\alpha}{R}\left(\frac{u_{0}}{\sqrt{u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}}}-1\right)+\frac{\beta_{1} \sqrt{\sqrt{u_{0}^{2} u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2} R^{2}}+u_{0} u_{1}}+\beta_{2} \sqrt{\sqrt{u_{0}^{2} u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2} R^{2}}-u_{0} u_{1}}}{2 R \sqrt{u_{0}^{2} u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2} R^{2}}}  \tag{5.7}\\
& =-\frac{\alpha}{R}\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}+\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}}{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}-1\right)+\frac{1}{R} \frac{\beta_{1} \sqrt{\mu_{1}}+\beta_{2} \sqrt{\mu_{2}}}{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}} . \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

We mention this potential because in the flat space limit it yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{4}(x, y)=-\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}}+\frac{\beta_{1} \sqrt{\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}+x}+\beta_{2} \sqrt{\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}-x}}{2 \sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is separable in mutually orthogonal parabolic coordinate systems. Such a notion on the hyperboloid does not make sense. Two of such systems can be transformed into each other by a rotation, and hence they are equivalent. In the flat space limit, however, they yield two mutually parabolic systems, as it must be. Therefore our findings of potentials on the two-dimensional hyperboloid which are separable in more than one coordinate system can be stated as follows:

1. We have found the generalized oscillator and Coulomb systems which are each separable in four coordinate systems.
2. We have found a Holt potential version on the hyperboloid, which is separable in horicyclic and semi-circular parabolic coordinates. However, both coordinate system lead in the flat space limit to the cartesian system.
3. The two other super-integrable potentials known from $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ could be formulated in terms of coordinates on the hyperboloid and are each separable only in the semi-hyperbolic system. They yield the proper flat space limit, where the semi-hyperbolic system gives parabolic coordinates, and the missing separating coordinate systems emerge in this process.
4. We have found the simple potential $V_{4}(u)$ which is separable in four, respectively five (depending on the parameters) coordinate systems. The flat space limit of this potential is trivial, i.e., $V_{4} \propto 1 / y^{2}(R \rightarrow \infty)$, which is separable in all four coordinate systems in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, let alone that the pure $1 / u_{2}^{2}$-potential only alters the corresponding radial quantum numbers in its eight separating coordinate system in comparison to the free motion.
5. We have found the potential $V_{5}$ which is separable in horicyclic and semi-circular-parabolic coordinates. Its flat space limit is the linear potential, i.e., $V_{5} \rightarrow \alpha x(R \rightarrow \infty)$, which is separable in cartesian and parabolic coordinates.
6. The potentials $(5.5,5.7)$ are the proper generalizations of the Holt potential and the modified Coulomb potential (5.9) of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, where both potentials are superintegrable, i.e., separable in cartesian and parabolic, respectively mutually parabolic coordinate systems. However, on the hyperboloid $\Lambda^{(2)}$ they are only separable and the corresponding coordinate systems are not distinguishable from each other. They are only distinguishable in the flat space limit $R \rightarrow \infty$.
7. We cannot say for sure if we really have found all possible superintegrable potentials on the hyperboloid. For a systematic search one must solve differential equations which emerge from the general form of a potential separable in a particular coordinate system, and changing variables. Because there are nine coordinate systems on the hyperboloid which separate the Schrödinger equation, there are $8!=40320$ of such differential equations. This is not tractable, and one has to look for alternative procedures, for instance physical arguments. In this respect, we have found the relevant potentials which matters from a physical point of view, and which are the analogues of the flat space limit $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. This can be summarized in the following small table, where the enumeration of the potentials in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is according to [20], and the enumeration of the potentials on $S^{(2)}$ according to [21].

Table 3: Correspondence of Superintegrable Potentials in Two Dimensions

| $V_{\Lambda^{(2)}}(\mathrm{u})$ | \#Systems | $V_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}(\mathbf{x})$ | \#Systems | $V_{S^{(2)}}(\mathbf{s})$ | \#Systems |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $V_{1}(\mathrm{u})$ | $4(3)$ | $V_{1}(\mathbf{x})$ | 3 | $V_{1}(\mathbf{s})$ | $2(3)$ |
| $V_{2}(\mathrm{u})$ | $4(3)$ | $V_{3}(\mathbf{x})$ | 3 | $V_{2}(\mathbf{s})$ | $2(3)$ |
| $V_{3}(\mathrm{u})$ | $2(1)$ | $\frac{M}{2} \omega^{2}\left(4 x^{2}+y^{2}\right)-\lambda x$ | 2 | - |  |
| $V_{4}^{(\omega=0)}(\mathrm{u})$ | $8(4)$ | $\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \frac{\kappa^{2}-1 / 4}{x^{2}}$ | 4 | $\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \frac{\kappa^{2}-1 / 4}{s_{1}^{2}}$ | $2(4)$ |
| $V_{5}(\mathrm{u})$ | $2(1)$ | $\alpha x$ | 2 | - |  |
| $V_{6}(\mathrm{u})$ | $1(2)$ | $V_{2}(\mathbf{x})$ | 2 | - |  |
| $V_{8}(\mathrm{u})$ | $1(2)$ | $V_{5}(\mathbf{x})$ | 2 | - |  |

In parenthesis we have indicated the number of limiting coordinate systems for $R \rightarrow \infty$, and constants in this limit are not taken into account. We see that the correspondence for the superintegrable systems on the hyperboloid and in flat space is complete, whereas the correspondence with the sphere is not complete. Note that adding to $V_{3}(u)$ the (constant!) term $\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M R^{2}}\left(\kappa^{2}-1 / 4\right)$ reproduces for $R \rightarrow \infty$ the Holt potential $V_{2}(\mathbf{x})$ !
8. Our discussion lacks a proper treatment of the alternative flat space limit, i.e., the limit of the two-dimensional Minkowski-space, respectively the two-dimensional pseudo-Euclidean space. We do not know anything about superintegrable systems in this space. The free motion has been discussed in [19], and the separation of variables of the Schrödinger equation, respectively the path integral, is possible in ten coordinate systems. It is therefore desirable to construct and study appropriate superintegrable systems, an oscillator and a Coulomb potential in particular, in this space. Studies along these lines will be the subject of a future publication.

In a forthcoming publication we will deal with Smorodinsky-Winternitz potentials on the three-dimensional hyperboloid. This will also include a detailed discussion of the relevant coordinate systems and the constants of motion. Concerning maximally super-integrable potentials like the oscillator and the Coulomb potential the situation is similar as in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and on the sphere, however, there are more coordinate systems which admit separation of variables for these two potentials. This property is due to the fact that on $\Lambda^{(3)}$ there exist 34 coordinate system which admit separation of variables in the Schrödinger, respectively Helmholtz equation [48].

The situation is surprisingly different for minimally super-integrable potentials due to the subgroup structure of $S O(3,1)$, i.e., we have $S O(3,1) \supset S O(2,1), S O(3,1) \supset E(3)$, and $S O(3,1) \supset$ $\operatorname{SO}(3)$. This means that all potentials which are maximally super-integrable in the corresponding subspace are minimally super-integrable on $\Lambda^{(3)}$, and this property increases the number of potentials considerably.

## Acknowledgements

The authors greatfully acknowledge financial support from the Heisenberg-Landau program. C.Grosche would like to thank the members of the Joint Institut for Nuclear Research, Dubna, for their kind hospitality.

## References

[1] A.O.Barut, A.Inomata and G.Junker: Path Integral Treatment of the Hydrogen Atom in a Curved Space of Constant Curvature: II. Hyperbolic Space. J.Phys.A: Math.Gen. 23 (1990) 1179-1190.
[2] M.Böhm and G.Junker: Path Integration Over Compact and Noncompact Rotation Groups. J. Math. Phys. 28 (1987) 1978-1994.
[3] Yu.N.Demkov: Symmetry Group of the Isotropic Oscillator. Sov.Phys.JETP 26 (1954) 757. Ibidem 36 (1959) 63-66.
[4] I.H.Duru: Path Integrals Over SU(2) Manifold and Related Potentials. Phys.Rev. D 30 (1984) 2121-2127.
[5] I.H.Duru and H.Kleinert: Quantum Mechanics of H-Atoms From Path Integrals. Fortschr. Phys. 30 (1982) 401-435. Solution of the Path Integral for the H-Atom. Phys.Lett. B 84 (1979) 185-188.
[6] N.W.Evans: Superintegrability in Classical Mechanics. Phys.Rev. A 41 (1990) 5666-5676.
[7] R.P.Feynman and A.Hibbs: Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals (McGraw Hill, New York, 1965).
[8] W.Fischer, H.Leschke and P.Müller: Path Integration in Quantum Physics by Changing the Drift of the Underlying Diffusion Process: Application to Legendre Processes. Ann.Phys. (N.Y.) 227 (1993) 206-221.
[9] J.Friš, V.Mandrosov, Ya.A.Smorodinsky, M.Uhlir and P.Winternitz: On Higher Symmetries in Quantum Mechanics. Phys.Lett. 16 (1965) 354-356.
J.Friš, Ya.A.Smorodinskiĭ, M.Uhlíř and P.Winternitz: Symmetry Groups in Classical and Quantum Mechanics. Sov.J.Nucl.Phys. 4 (1967) 444-450.
[10] Ya.A.Granovsky, A.S.Zhedanov and I.M.Lutzenko: Quadratic Algebras and Dynamics in Curved Spaces. I. Oscillator. Theor.Math.Phys. 91 (1992) 474-480.
[11] Ya.A.Granovsky, A.S.Zhedanov and I.M.Lutzenko: Quadratic Algebras and Dynamics in Curved Spaces. II. The Kepler Problem. Theor.Math.Phys. 91 (1992) 604-612.
[12] I.S.Gradsteyn and I.M.Ryzhik: Table of Integrals, Series, and Products (Academic Press, New York, 1980).
[13] C.Grosche: Path Integral Solution of a Class of Potentials Related to the Pöschl-Teller Potential. J.Phys. A: Math. Gen. 22 (1989) 5073-5087.
[14] C.Grosche: Path Integration on the Hyperbolic Plane with a Magnetic Field. Ann.Phys. (N.Y.) 201 (1990) 258-284.
[15] C.Grosche: The Path Integral for the Kepler Problem on the Pseudosphere. Ann.Phys. (N.Y.) 204 (1990) 208-222.
[16] C.Grosche: The Path Integral on the Poincaré Disc, the Poincaré Upper Half-Plane and on the Hyperbolic Strip. Fortschr. Phys. 38 (1990) 531-569.
[17] C.Grosche: Separation of Variables in Path Integrals and Path Integral Solution of Two Potentials on the Poincaré Upper Half-Plane. J.Phys.A: Math.Gen. 23 (1990) 4885-4901.
[18] C.Grosche: Path Integration on Hyperbolic Spaces. J.Phys.A: Math.Gen. 25 (1992) 4211-4244.
[19] C.Grosche: Path Integrals, Hyperbolic Spaces, and Selberg Trace Formulæ. DESY Report, DESY 95-021, February 1995 (Habilitationsschrift), 228pp., to be published by World Scientific.
[20] C.Grosche, G.S.Pogosyan and A.N.Sissakian: Path Integral Discussion for Smorodinsky-Winternitz Potentials: I. Two- and Three-Dimensional Euclidean Space. Fortschr. Phys. 43 (1995) 453-521.
[21] C.Grosche, G.S.Pogosyan and A.N.Sissakian: Path Integral Discussion for Smorodinsky-Winternitz Potentials: II. The Two- and Three-Dimensional Sphere. Fortschr.Phys. 43 (1995) 523-563.
[22] C.Grosche, G.S.Pogosyan and A.N.Sissakian: Path Integral Discussion for Smorodinsky-Winternitz Potentials: IV. The Three-Dimensional Hyperboloid. DESY Report, in preparation.
[23] C.Grosche and F.Steiner: The Path Integral on the Poincaré Upper Half-Plane and for Liouville Quantum Mechanics. Phys.Lett. A 123 (1987) 319-328.
[24] C.Grosche and F.Steiner: Path Integrals on Curved Manifolds. Zeitschr.Phys. C 36 (1987) 699-714.
[25] C.Grosche and F.Steiner: The Path Integral on the Pseudosphere. Ann.Phys.(N.Y.) 182 (1988) 120-156.
[26] C.Grosche and F.Steiner: How to Solve Path Integrals in Quantum Mechanics. J.Math.Phys. $\mathbf{3 6}$ (1995) 2354-2385.
[27] C.Grosche and F.Steiner: Table of Feynman Path Integrals. To appear in: Springer Tracts in Modern Physics (1996).
[28] J.Hietarinta: Direct Methods for the Search of the Second Invariant. Phys.Rep. 147 (1987) 87-154.
[29] P.W.Higgs: Dynamical Symmetries in a Spherical Geometry. J.Phys.A: Math.Gen. 12 (1979) 309323.
[30] C.R.Holt: Construction of New Integrable Hamiltonians in Two Degrees of Freedom. J.Math.Phys. 23 (1982) 1037-1046.
[31] M.Ikeda and N.Katayama: On Generalization of Betrand's Theorem to Spaces of Constant Curvature. Tensor 38 (1982) 37-48.
[32] A.Inomata, H.Kuratsuji and C.C.Gerry: Path Integrals and Coherent States of SU(2) and SU(1,1) (World Scientific, Singapore, 1992).
[33] E.G.Kalnins: Separation of Variables for Riemannian Spaces of Constant Curvature (Longman Scientific $\&$ Technical, Essex, 1986).
[34] E.G.Kalnins and W.Miller, Jr.: Lie Theory and Separation of Variables. 4. The Groups SO(2,1) and SO(3). J.Math.Phys. 15 (1974) 1263-1274.
[35] E.G.Kalnins and W.Miller, Jr.: Lie Theory and Separation of Variables. 7. The Harmonic Oscillator in Elliptic Coordinates and Ince Polynomials. J.Math.Phys. 16 (1975) 1512-517.
[36] E.G.Kalnins and W.Miller, Jr.: Lie Theory and the Wave Equation in Space-Time. 4. The KleinGordon Equation and the Poincaré Group. J.Math.Phys. 19 (1978) 1233-1246.
[37] N.Katayama: A Note on a Quantum-Mechanical Harmonic Oscillator in a Space of Constant Curvature. Nuovo Cimento B 107 (1992) 763-768.
[38] H.Kleinert: Path Integrals in Quantum Mechanics, Statistics, and Polymer Physics (World Scientific, Singapore, 1990).
[39] H.Kleinert and I.Mustapic: Summing the Spectral Representations of Pöschl-Teller and Rosen-Morse Fixed-Energy Amplitudes. J.Math.Phys. 33 (1992) 643-662.
[40] Yu.A.Kurochkin and V.S.Otchik: Analogue of the Runge-Lenz Vector and Energy Spectrum in the Kepler Problem on the Three-Dimensional Sphere. DAN BSSR 23 (1979) 987-990, (in russian).
[41] H.I.Leemon: Dynamical Symmetries in a Spherical Geometry. J.Phys.A: Math.Gen. A 12 (1979) 489-501.
[42] W.Magnus, F.Oberhettinger and R.Soni: Formulas and Theorems for the Special Functions of Mathematical Physics (Springer, Berlin, 1966).
[43] A.A.Makarov, J.A.Smorodinsky, Kh.Valiev and P.Winternitz: A Systematic Search for Nonrelativistic Systems with Dynamical Symmetries. Nuovo Cimento A 52 (1967) 1061-1084.
[44] J.Meixner and F.W.Schäfke: Mathieusche Funktionen und Sphäroidfunktionen (Springer, Berlin, 1954).
[45] F.Moon and D.Spencer: Theorems on Separability in Riemannian n-Space. Proc.Amer.Math.Soc. 3 (1952) 635-642.
[46] P.M.Morse and H.Feshbach: Methods of Theoretical Physics (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953).
[47] Y.Nishino: On Quadratic First Integrals in the 'Central Potential' Problem for the Configuration Space of Constant Curvature. Math.Japonica 17 (1972) 59-67.
[48] M.N.Olevskiĭ: Triorthogonal Systems in Spaces of Constant Curvature in which the Equation $\Delta_{2} u+$ $\lambda u=0$ Allows the Complete Separation of Variables. Math.Sb. 27 (1950) 379-426, (in russian).
[49] V.S.Otchik and V.M.Red'kov: Quantum Mechanical Kepler Problem in Space with Constant Curvature. Minsk Preprint No.298, 1983, 47pp., unpublished, (in russian).
A.A.Bogush, V.S.Otchik and V.M.Red'kov: Separation of Variables in the Schrödinger Equation and Normalized State Functions for the Kepler Problem in the Three-Dimensional Spaces of Constant Curvature. Vesti Akad.Nauk.BSSR 3 (1983) 56-62, (in russian).
A.A.Bogush, Yu.A.Kurochkin and V.S.Otchik: On the Quantum-Mechanical Kepler Problem in Three-Dimensional Lobachevskii Space. DAN BSSR 24 (1980) 19-22, (in russian).
[50] D.Peak and A.Inomata: Summation Over Feynman Histories in Polar Coordinates. J.Math.Phys. 10 (1969) 1422-1428.
[51] G.S.Pogosyan, A.N.Sissakian and S.I.Vinitsky: Interbasis "Sphere-Cylinder" Expansions for the Oscillator in the Three-Dimensional Space of Constant Curvature. In: "Frontiers of Fundamental Physics", 429-436, eds.: M.Barone and F.Selleri (Plenum Publishing, New York, 1994).
[52] L.S.Schulman: Techniques and Applications of Path Integration (John Wiley®́Sons, New York, 1981).
[53] Ya.A.Smorodinsky and I.I.Tugov: On Complete Sets of Observables. Sov.Phys.JETP 23 (1966) 434-437.
[54] S.I.Vinitsky, L.G.Mardoyan, G.S.Pogosyan, A.N.Sissakian and T.A.Strizh: A Hydrogen Atom in the Curved Space. Expansion over Free Solutions on the Three-Dimensional Sphere. Phys.At.Nucl. 56 (1993) 321-327.
[55] P.Winternitz, I.Lukač and Ya.A.Smorodinskiĭ: Quantum Numbers in the Little Group of the Poincaré Group. Sov.J.Nucl.Phys. 7 (1968) 139-145.
[56] P.Winternitz, Ya.A.Smorodinskiĭ and M.Uhlir and I.Fris: Symmetry Groups in Classical and Quantum Mechanics. Sov.J.Nucl.Phys. 4 (1967) 444-450.

