Yu. L. Kalinovsky

Laboratory of Information Technologies

The alternative estimation of pion annihilation $\pi\pi \longrightarrow 2\gamma$ in vicinity of the chiral symmetry restoration

Collaborators:

M. K. Volkov, D. Blaschke, A. Radzhabov (BLTPH, JINR)

I. Molodtsova (LIT, JINR)

P. Costa, M. Ruivo, S. Sousa (Coimbra University, Portugal)

 \checkmark Confining separable DSE model

✓ U(3) \otimes U(3) model with the 't Hooft interaction

 \checkmark Conclusions

1 Confining separable Dyson-Schwinger equation model

Mesons can be described as $q\bar{q}$ bound states using the Bethe-Salpeter equation. In the ladder truncation, this equation reads

$$-\lambda(P^2)\Gamma(p,P) = \frac{4}{3} \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} D_{\mu\nu}^{\text{eff}}(p-q)\gamma_{\mu}S(q_+)\Gamma(q,P)S(q_-)\gamma_{\nu} ,$$

where P is the total momentum, $q_{\pm} = q \pm P/2$,

 $D_{\mu\nu}^{\text{eff}}(k)$ an "effective gluon propagator".

The meson mass is identified from $\lambda(P^2 = -M^2) = 1$.

In conjunction with the rainbow truncation for the quark DSE

$$S(p)^{-1} = Z_2 \, i\gamma \cdot p + Z_2 \, m_0 + \frac{4}{3} \int \frac{d^4 q}{(2\pi)^4} \, g^2 D^{\text{eff}}_{\mu\nu}(p-q) \gamma_{\mu} S(q) \gamma_{\nu}$$

this equation forms the basis for the DSE approach to meson physics.

We consider here a simple separable interaction that has a finite range, accommodates quark confinement, and facilitates a decoupling of fermion Matsubara modes.

We base our approach on a confining separable model at T = 0 and defined by $D_{\mu\nu}^{\text{eff}}(p-q) \rightarrow \delta_{\mu\nu} D(p^2, q^2, p \cdot q)$ with

$$D(p^2, q^2, p \cdot q) = D_0 f_0(p^2) f_0(q^2) + D_1 f_1(p^2) (p \cdot q) f_1(q^2)$$

Here a Feynman-like gauge is chosen for phenomenological simplicity. This is a rank-2 interaction with two strength parameters D_0, D_1 , and corresponding form factors $f_i(p^2)$.

The choice for these quantities is constrained by consideration of the resulting solution of the DSE for the quark propagator in the rainbow approximation. For the amplitudes defined by $S(p) = [i\not p A(p^2) + B(p^2) + m_0]^{-1}$ this produces

$$B(p^2) = \frac{16}{3} \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} D(p^2, q^2, p \cdot q) \frac{B(q^2) + m_0}{q^2 A^2(q^2) + [B(q^2) + m_0]^2} ,$$

$$[A(p^2) - 1] p^2 = \frac{8}{3} \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} D(p^2, q^2, p \cdot q) \frac{(p \cdot q)A(q^2)}{q^2 A^2(q^2) + [B(q^2) + m_0]^2} .$$

The solution for $B(p^2)$ is determined only by the D_0 term, and the solution for $A(p^2) - 1$ is determined only by the D_1 term.

$$B(p^2) = b f_0(p^2)$$
, $A(p^2) = 1 + a f_1(p^2)$,

In the present separable model the strength b = B(0), which is generated by solution of DSE and BSE, controls both confinement and dynamical chiral symmetry breaking. The propagator is confining if $m^2(p^2) \neq -p^2$ for real p^2 where the quark mass function is $m(p^2) = (B(p^2) + m_0)/A(p^2)$.

We use the exponential form factors (Model 1).

We also try to consider additional modification for form-factor $f_1(p^2)$. We represent it in the form (Model 2)

$$f_1(p^2) = \frac{N_1}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{p^2 - p_0^2}{\Lambda_1^2}\right)},$$

where N_1 is the normalization constant in order to have $f_1(0) = 1$ and equals

$$N_1 = 1 + \exp\left(-\frac{p_0^2}{\Lambda_1^2}\right).$$

	Experiment	model 1	model 2
- $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^0$	$(0.236{ m GeV})^3$	0.209	0.217
m_0	5 - $10~{\rm MeV}$	6.5	6.3
$m(p^2 = 0)$	$\sim 0.350 { m ~GeV}$	0.451	0.415
M_{π}	$0.1385~{\rm GeV}$	0.140	0.140
f_{π}	$0.093~{\rm GeV}$	0.92	0.92
M_{σ}	$400\text{-}1200~\mathrm{MeV}$	722	667
$\Gamma_{\sigma \to \pi\pi}$	$600\text{-}1000~\mathrm{MeV}$	418	345
Parameters			
a		0.622	0.554
Λ_0 , GeV		0.725	0.780
b, GeV		0.725	0.639
Λ_1 , GeV		1.7	1.69
p_0 , GeV			0.886



Figure 1: Momentum dependence of quark mass function M(p) for the model 1 (doted lines) and the model 2 (solid lines) in comparison with lattice data. The value of current mass is taken 55 MeV.

The extension of the separable model studies to the finite temperature case, $T \neq 0$, is systematically accomplished by transcription of the Euclidean quark 4 - momentum via $q \rightarrow q_n = (\omega_n, \vec{q})$, where $\omega_n = (2n + 1)\pi T$ are the discrete Matsubara frequencies. The effective $\bar{q}q$ interaction will automatically decrease with increasing T without the introduction of an explicit T-dependence which would require new parameters. We investigate the resulting behavior of the π and σ meson modes and decays in the presence of deconfinement and chiral restoration.

The result of the DSE solution for the dressed quark propagator now becomes

$$S^{-1}(p_n, T) = i\vec{\gamma} \cdot \vec{p} A(p_n^2, T) + i\gamma_4\omega_n C(p_n^2, T) + B(p_n^2, T) + m_0 ,$$

where $p_n^2 = \omega_n^2 + \vec{p}^2$ and there are now three amplitudes due to the loss of O(4) symmetry. The solutions have the form $B = b(T)f_0(p_n^2)$, $A = 1 + a(T)f_1(p_n^2)$, and $C = 1 + c(T)f_1(p_n^2)$ and the DSE becomes a set of three non-linear equations for b(T), a(T) and c(T). The explicit form is

$$\begin{split} a(T) &= \frac{8D_1}{9}T\sum_n \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} f_1(p_n^2) \, \vec{p}^2 \left[1 + a(T)f_1(p_n^2)\right] d^{-1}(p_n^2, T) , \\ c(T) &= \frac{8D_1}{3}T\sum_n \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} f_1(p_n^2) \, \omega_n^2 \left[1 + c(T)f_1(p_n^2)\right] d^{-1}(p_n^2, T) , \\ b(T) &= \frac{16D_0}{3}T\sum_n \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} f_0(p_n^2) \left[m_0 + b(T)f_0(p_n^2)\right] d^{-1}(p_n^2, T) , \end{split}$$

where $d(p_n^2, T)$ is given by

$$d(p_n^2, T) = \vec{p}^2 A^2(p_n^2, T) + \omega_n^2 C^2(p_n^2, T) + [m_0 + B(p_n^2, T)]^2.$$

Note that, at finite temperature, the strength b(T) for the quark mass function will decrease with T so that this model can be expected to have a deconfinement transition at or before the chiral restoration transition associated with $b(T) \rightarrow 0$.



Figure 2: T-dependence of coefficients b_0 , b.



Figure 3: T-dependence of coefficients a, c.



Figure 4: T-dependence of the π covariants .



Figure 5: T-dependence of the π , σ masses.

2 $U(3) \otimes U(3)$ model with the 't Hooft interaction

We use the three-flavor Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model, including the determinantal 't Hooft interaction that breaks the $U_A(1)$ symmetry, that has the following Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{q} (i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - \hat{m}) q + \frac{1}{2} g_{S} \sum_{a=0}^{8} [(\bar{q} \lambda^{a} q)^{2} + (\bar{q} i \gamma_{5} \lambda^{a} q)^{2}] + g_{D} \{ \det[\bar{q} (1 + \gamma_{5}) q] + \det[\bar{q} (1 - \gamma_{5}) q] \}.$$

Case I: the anomaly coefficient g_D is constant for all range of temperatures or densities.

Case II: the anomaly coefficient g_D is a dropping function of temperature or density. The temperature dependence of g_D is extracted by making use of the lattice results for the topological susceptibility.

Case III: the anomaly coefficient has the form of a decreasing exponential $(g_D(T) = g_D(0)\exp[-(T/T_0)^2])$. This phenomenological pattern of restoration of the axial symmetry was proposed by Kunihiro in the framework of the present model. Here we consider a dependence of the anomalous coupling constant on density also inspired on the finite temperature scenario.

We also consider a simplistic scenario without $U_A(1)$ anomaly $(\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{D}} = \mathbf{0})$







tomporature (a) b) and c)) and as functions of the density (d) c) and f))