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## INTRODUCTION

$\checkmark$ Among the hyperons, $\Lambda^{0}$ fills a special place due to the spin-flavor structure of its wave function within the $\operatorname{SU}(6)$ symmetry

$$
|\Lambda\rangle_{\frac{1}{2}}=|u d\rangle_{\circ}|s\rangle_{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

$\sqrt{ }$ In unpolarized reactions $a b \rightarrow \Lambda X$, the direction of the polarization is defined by

$$
\mathbf{n} \propto\left[\mathbf{p}_{a} \times \mathbf{p}_{\Lambda}\right]
$$

$\checkmark$ The polarization sign

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{n}<0 & p p \rightarrow \Lambda X \\
\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{n}>0 & K^{-} p \rightarrow \Lambda X
\end{array}
$$

## Experimental field

The recent HERMES results [arxv:0704.3133] are qualitatively similar to the polarization in $K^{-} p$.
$\checkmark$ the positive sign has been observed in both the reactions.
$\checkmark$ similarity in the $p_{T}$ dependence.

In the current fragmentation region ( $x_{F}>0$ ), the $\Lambda$ kinematic is mostly determined by the strange quark.

## EXPERIMENTAL FIELD



We consider the $x_{F}>0$ region only.
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## Quark Scattering Model

An explanation based on the quark scattering in the color field given by J. Szwed [phys. Lett. в105(1981)200] has been applied to $K^{-} p$ by J.M. Gago, R. Vilela Mendes and P. Vaz [Phys, Lett, в188(1987)357].

$$
P \sim \operatorname{Im}\left(\overline{z^{\prime}} \times \overline{g^{\prime}}\right)
$$

## QSM FOR THE $\Lambda$ PHOTOPRODUCTION

Since the polarization at HERMES is available versus $\zeta$ and $p_{T}$, the QSM has been rewritten in terms of the variables

$$
\begin{gathered}
\zeta_{i(f)}=\frac{E_{i(f)}+p_{z i(f)}}{E_{b}+p_{z b}}, \\
P\left(\frac{\zeta_{f}}{\zeta_{i}}, p_{T}\right)=-\frac{2 C \alpha_{s} V}{1+V^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta / 2} \frac{\sin ^{3} \theta / 2 \ln (\sin \theta / 2)}{\cos \theta / 2}, \\
V=V\left(\frac{\zeta_{f}}{\zeta_{i}}, p_{T}\right), \quad \theta=\theta\left(\frac{\zeta_{f}}{\zeta_{i}}, p_{T}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## QSM FOR THE $\Lambda$ PHOTOPRODUCTION

We consider the $\zeta>0.25$ region only, which presumably relates to the current fragmentation.

One needs to know the $\zeta_{i}$ as well as the $\zeta_{f}$ distributions.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\zeta_{f}=\frac{m_{s}}{m_{\Lambda}} \zeta, \quad p_{T}=\frac{m_{s}}{m_{\Lambda}} p_{T} . \\
P_{\zeta}=\int d \zeta_{i} d p_{T} h\left(p_{T}\right) P\left(\frac{\zeta}{\zeta_{i}}, p_{T}\right) f\left(\zeta_{i}\right), \\
P_{p_{T}}=\int d \zeta_{i} d \zeta g(\zeta) P\left(\frac{\zeta}{\zeta_{i}}, p_{T}\right) f\left(\zeta_{i}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Calculations




$$
h\left(p_{T}\right) \propto \exp \left(-4.2 p_{T}^{2}\right), \quad[\text { Acta. Phys. Polon., B33(2002)3785] }
$$

## Calculations

$$
m_{u, d}=0.3 \mathrm{GeV}, \quad m_{s}=0.5 \mathrm{GeV}, \quad 2 C \alpha_{s}=2.5
$$



| H | Decay mode | Fraction (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Sigma^{0}$ | $\Lambda+\gamma$ | 22.8 |
| $\Sigma^{*}$ | $\Lambda+\pi$ | 23.1 |
| $\Xi$ | $\Lambda+\pi$ | 5.5 |

## Results




## Quark Recombination Model

Y. Yamamoto, K. Kubo and H. Toki [prog. Theor. Phys. 98(1997)95].

The polarization is standardly given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
P=\frac{\left.\left.\sum_{M_{i}}|\langle+1 / 2| S| M_{i}\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2}-\sum_{M_{i}}|\langle-1 / 2| S| M_{i}\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2}}{\left.\left.\sum_{M_{i}}|\langle+1 / 2| S| M_{i}\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2}+\sum_{M_{i}}|\langle-1 / 2| S| M_{i}\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2}} . \\
\left.\left|\left\langle M_{f}\right| S\right| M_{i}\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2}=\sum G^{M_{f}}\left(r_{f}\right)\left|M\left(r_{f}, r_{i}\right)\right|^{2} G^{M_{i}}\left(r_{i}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The interaction is assumed to be scalar.

## QRM FOR THE $\Lambda$ PHOTOPRODUCTION

The photoproduction may be fairly expected to be richer with the subprocesses


## Results
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## CONCLUSION

$\checkmark$ The reached reproduction should be regarded only as qualitative
$\checkmark$ The calculations are based on the $\operatorname{SU}(6)$ symmetry, while it is not exact
$\checkmark$ We used the PYTHIA programm, which gives rather qualitative than quantitative predictions
$\checkmark$ We assumed the $s+(u d)_{0}$ to take place only
$\sqrt{ }$ A large difficulty is the parameter $2 C \alpha_{s}$

## Thank You!

