Transverse polarization of  $\Lambda^0$  hyperons in quasi-real photoproduction: Quark Recombination Model

I. Alikhanov

Saint Petersburg State University, Russia

O. Grebenyuk

Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Russia

XII Workshop on High Energy Spin Physics

Dubna, September 3-7, 2007

# OUTLINE

- $\checkmark$  Introduction
- $\checkmark$  Experimental Field
- $\checkmark$  Quark Scattering Model (QSM)
- $\sqrt{}$  Quark Recombination Model (QRM)
- $\checkmark$  Calculations and Results
- $\checkmark$  Conclusion

## INTRODUCTION

 $\checkmark$  Among the hyperons,  $\Lambda^0$  fills a special place due to the spin-flavor structure of its wave function within the SU(6) symmetry

$$|\Lambda\rangle_{\frac{1}{2}} = |ud\rangle_0 |s\rangle_{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

 $\checkmark$  In unpolarized reactions  $ab \rightarrow \Lambda X$ , the direction of the polarization is defined by

$$\mathbf{n} \propto [\mathbf{p}_a imes \mathbf{p}_\Lambda].$$

 $\checkmark$  The polarization sign

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{n} &< 0 \qquad pp \to \Lambda X, \\ \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{n} &> 0 \qquad K^- p \to \Lambda X. \end{aligned}$$

## EXPERIMENTAL FIELD

The recent HERMES results [arXiv:0704.3133] are qualitatively similar to the polarization in  $K^-p$ .

 $\sqrt{}$  the positive sign has been observed in both the reactions.  $\sqrt{}$  similarity in the  $p_T$  dependence.

In the current fragmentation region ( $x_F > 0$ ), the  $\Lambda$  kinematic is mostly determined by the strange quark.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL FIELD**



We consider the  $x_F > 0$  region only.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL FIELD**



We consider the  $x_F > 0$  region only.

QUARK SCATTERING MODEL

An explanation based on the quark scattering in the color field given by J. Szwed [Phys. Lett., B105(1981)403] has been applied to  $K^-p$  by J.M. Gago, R. Vilela Mendes

and P. Vaz [Phys. Lett., B183(1987)357].



## **QSM** for the $\Lambda$ photoproduction

Since the polarization at HERMES is available versus  $\zeta$  and  $p_T$ , the QSM has been rewritten in terms of the variables

$$\zeta_{i(f)} = \frac{E_{i(f)} + p_{zi(f)}}{E_b + p_{zb}},$$

$$P\left(\frac{\zeta_f}{\zeta_i}, p_T\right) = -\frac{2C\alpha_s V}{1 + V^2 \cos^2 \theta/2} \frac{\sin^3 \theta/2 \ln(\sin \theta/2)}{\cos \theta/2},$$

$$V = V\left(\frac{\zeta_f}{\zeta_i}, p_T\right), \quad \theta = \theta\left(\frac{\zeta_f}{\zeta_i}, p_T\right)$$

**QSM** for the  $\Lambda$  photoproduction

We consider the  $\zeta > 0.25$  region only, which presumably relates to the current fragmentation.

One needs to know the  $\zeta_i$  as well as the  $\zeta_f$  distributions.

$$\zeta_f = \frac{m_s}{m_\Lambda} \zeta, \qquad p_T = \frac{m_s}{m_\Lambda} p_T.$$

$$P_{\zeta} = \int d\zeta_i dp_T \ h(p_T) P\left(\frac{\zeta}{\zeta_i}, p_T\right) f(\zeta_i),$$

$$P_{p_T} = \int d\zeta_i d\zeta \ g(\zeta) P\left(\frac{\zeta}{\zeta_i}, p_T\right) f(\zeta_i).$$

#### CALCULATIONS



 $h(p_T) \propto \exp{(-4.2 p_T^2)},$  [Acta. Phys. Polon., B33(2002)3785].

10

#### CALCULATIONS

$$m_{u,d}=0.3~{\rm GeV}$$
,  $m_s=0.5~{\rm GeV}$ ,  $2C\alpha_s=2.5$ .



н	Decay mode	Fraction (%)
$\Sigma^0$	$\Lambda + \gamma$	22.8
$\Sigma^*$	$\Lambda + \pi$	23.1
Ξ	$\Lambda + \pi$	5.5

## RESULTS



## QUARK RECOMBINATION MODEL

Y. Yamamoto, K. Kubo and H. Toki [Prog. Theor. Phys. 98(1997)95].

The polarization is standardly given by

$$P = \frac{\sum_{M_i} |\langle +1/2 | S | M_i \rangle|^2 - \sum_{M_i} |\langle -1/2 | S | M_i \rangle|^2}{\sum_{M_i} |\langle +1/2 | S | M_i \rangle|^2 + \sum_{M_i} |\langle -1/2 | S | M_i \rangle|^2}.$$

$$|\langle M_f | S | M_i \rangle|^2 = \sum G^{M_f}(r_f) |M(r_f, r_i)|^2 G^{M_i}(r_i).$$

The interaction is assumed to be scalar.

**QRM** for the  $\Lambda$  photoproduction

The photoproduction may be fairly expected to be richer with the subprocesses



## RESULTS



## RESULTS



## CONCLUSION

- $\checkmark$  The reached reproduction should be regarded only as qualitative
- $\sqrt{}$  The calculations are based on the SU(6) symmetry, while it is not exact
- $\checkmark$  We used the PYTHIA programm, which gives rather qualitative than quantitative predictions
- $\checkmark$  We assumed the  $s+(ud)_0$  to take place only
- $\checkmark$  A large difficulty is the parameter  $2Clpha_s$

# Thank You!