Finite Size Correction to the ddµ and dtµ Molecules

Yasushi Kino Department of Chemistry, Tohoku University,

kino@mail.tains.tohoku.ac.jp



4-body calculation (1-st order , 2-nd order purterbation) Harston, Hara, Kino, Shimamura, Sato, Kamimura **0.50 meV :** $E^{(1)}+E^{(2)}=18.253-17.752 = 0.50$

1.46 meV : $F^{(1)}+F^{(2)}=11.577-10.113 = 1.46$

In the previous calculation,
1-st order ≈ 2-nd order
Purpose of this work :
to make full 4-body calculation
and see the higher-oder contribution







Subsystem: 0.01 meV accuracy @ dtµ, ddµ, H

dtµ: Korobov, J. Phys. B 37, 2331, 2004



The complex coordinate rotation method is absolutely necessary

Finite Size Correction Full 4-body calculation $\Delta E^{\text{FS}} = E^{dt\mu e} - \varepsilon_{1s}^{(dt\mu)-e} - \varepsilon_{11}^{dt\mu} - \varepsilon_{1s}^{t\mu}$

previous

4-body calculation (1-st order , 2-nd order purterbation) Harston, Hara, Kino, Shimamura, Sato, Kamimura $dt\mu$ 0.50 meV : $E^{(1)} + E^{(2)} = 18.253 - 17.752 = 0.50$

 $\begin{array}{cccc} dd\mu & 1.46 \ meV : \ E^{(1)} + E^{(2)} = 11.577 - 10.113 = \\ 1.46 \\ This work \\ dt\mu & 2.31 \ meV \end{array}$

ddµ 1.70 meV

reasonable

size $(dt\mu) > size (dd\mu)$

Concluding remark

 we, for the first time, calculate the full four-body dtmu-e system and obtained the finite size correction, and found that the previous second-order purterbation calculation was not a good approximation.

2) The four-body systems are not true bound states but resonance states. Use of the complex coordinate rotation method is absolutely necessary since the accuracy of the calculation without complex coordinate rotation is worse than the required accuracy.

3) These consideration will be necessary in the six-body calculation of the correction.









Finite size correction $\Delta E^{\text{FS}} = E^{dt\mu e} - \varepsilon_{11}^{dt\mu} - \varepsilon_{1s}^{(dt\mu)-e}$				
Harston, Hara, Kino, Shimamura, Kamimura	0.50 ($E^{(1)}+E^{(2)}=18.253-17.752$) 1.46 ($E^{(1)}+E^{(2)}=11.577-10.113$)	(dtµ) (ddµ)		
This Work	0.25	(dtµ		
	2.31	(dtµ		
	0.30	(ddµ		
	<u>1.70</u>	(dd		

Summary

- Energy levels and widths of 4-body resonance states *dtµe*, *ddµe* are calculated with the use of the Gaussian expansion method and the complex coordinate rotation method.
- The results significantly differ from the literature values calculated by the 2nd order perturebation).
 - dtµe Resonance fection portant

Auger decay rate $2.5 \times 10^{12} \text{ s}^{-1}$ $dd\mu eFull-finite size correction:$ $\mathcal{E}_{11}(dd\mu) - 1964.83 \text{ meV}$ Theory (2nd perturbation)-1963.83 meVTheory (this work: scaling)-1962.6(3)meV Experiment

Contribution	on from boun	d st	ate	s and <i>pseud</i>	o states of dt	u molecle dt µ	(J=1 , v=0)
$\Psi^{dt\mu e}_{jvJM}$	$=\sum_{\Lambda}\sum_{\lambda w}C$	λw [4	$\mathbf{P}^{dt\mu}_{\lambda w}\otimes f^{dt\mu}_{jv,\Lambda}$	$\int_{JM} e^{-e}(\mathbf{\rho}) \Big]_{JM}$	$\left \left\langle \Phi^{dt\mu}_{\nu\lambda} ight $	$\Psi^{dt\mu e} \Big\rangle \Big ^2$
	V	λ	Λ	<i>dtµ</i> (10)	<i>dtµ</i> (11)	<i>ddµ</i> (10)	<i>ddµ</i> (11)
	0 (-200 eV)	1	0	0.999999567 7		0.999999833 3	
$\underline{E_{vJ}}$	1 (-1 eV)	1	0		0.9996957732		0.999898709 6
\equiv	<i>E</i> >0	1	0		0.0000769941		0.000003204 8
	0 (-300 eV)	0	1	0.00000244 9			
	1 (-30 eV)	0	1		0.0000252470		
	<i>E</i> >0	0	1	0.000000010 9	0.0000791740	0.00000037 7	0.000040001 8
	0 (-100 eV)	2	1	0.00000053 0	0.000007447		
	<i>E</i> >0	2	1	0.00000038 5	0.0001218511	0.000000086 8	0.000057945 2
	0 (–200 eV)	1	2				
	$\frac{1}{1}$ ($\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	1	2		0 000000302		0 00000017



分光実験値と高精度で一致(反陽子の質量を10 ppb (10⁻⁸)の精度で決定)





Relativistic and other corrections (meV)

	ddµ	dtµ			
Vacuum polarization	8.720	16.617			
Electromagnetic structure	-1.675	13.183			
Relativistic shift	1.650	0.853			
Full finite size	1.46	0_50			
Nuclear polarization	0.0	-1.7			
Total shift	10.16	29.4			
Non-relativistic energy	-1974.985	-660.336			
Total energy	-1964.83	-630.9			
Full finite size (six-body) 0.0456 a.u.					

Finite size correction				
$\Delta E^{\rm FS} = E^{dt\mu e} - \varepsilon_{1s}^{(dt\mu)-e} - \varepsilon_{11}^{dt\mu} - \varepsilon_{1s}^{t\mu}$				
Authors	ΔE^{FS} (meV)	System		
Menshikov	8	(<i>dtµ</i>) ₁₁ e		
Bakalov	1.2	(<i>dtµ</i>) ₁₁ e		
Scriniz, Szalewicz	$0.2_{-0.1}^{+0.2}, 0.54$	(<i>dtµ</i>) ₁₁ e		
Harston, Kamimura, Shimamura	0.58, 0.50	(<i>dtµ</i>) ₁₁ e		
Harston, Hara, Kino, Shimamura, Sato, Kamimura	90.40 ($E^{(1)}+E^{(2)}=18.253-17.850$) 1.45 ($E^{(1)}+E^{(2)}=11.577-10.12$)	(dtµ) ₁₁ e (ddµ) ₁₁ e		

Finite size correction					
$\Delta E^{\rm FS} = E^{dt\mu e} - \varepsilon_{11}^{dt\mu} - \varepsilon_{1s}^{(dt\mu)-e}$					
Authors	ΔE^{FS} (meV)	System			
Menshikov	8	(<i>dtµ</i>)11 <i>e</i>			
Bakalov	1.2	(<i>dtµ</i>)11 <i>e</i>			
Scriniz, Szalewicz	$0.2_{-0.1}^{+0.2}, 0.54$	(<i>dtµ</i>)11 <i>e</i>			
Harston, Kamimura, Shimamura	0.58, 0.50	(<i>dtµ</i>)11 <i>e</i>			
Harston, Hara, Kino, Shimamura, Kamimura	0.50 ($E^{(1)}+E^{(2)}=18.253-17.752$) 1.46 ($\Gamma^{(1)}+\Gamma^{(2)}=14.577-40.412$)	(dtµ)11e (ddµ)11e			
This Work	(E***E**= 11.577=10.115) ? ?	(<i>dtµ</i>) ₁₁ e (<i>ddµ</i>) ₁₁ e			

Finite size correction

Harston, Hara, Kino, Shimamura, Sato, Kamimura

0.40 meV $E^{(1)}+E^{(2)}=18.253-17.752 = 0.50$ **1.45 meV** $E^{(1)}+E^{(2)}=11.577-10.113 = 1.46$



