phys. stat. sol. (b) 120, K219 (1983)

Subject classification: 14.1

Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute of Nuclear Research, Dubna<sup>1</sup>)

The Influence of the Electron-Phonon Interaction on the

Electroconductivity of Disordered Metallic Alloys

By

V. CHRISTOPH 2) and A.L. KUZEMSKII

The aim of the present note is to develop a theory of the electroconductivity in disordered transition metal alloys with a proper microscopic treatment of the electron-phonon interaction (cf. /1 to 3/).

For a given configuration of atoms the total Hamiltonian of the electronion system in the substitutionally disordered alloy is written in the form

$$H = \sum_{i} \varepsilon_{i} a_{i}^{\dagger} a_{i} + \sum_{ij} t_{ij} a_{i}^{\dagger} a_{j} + \sum_{\vec{q}} \omega_{\vec{q}} b_{\vec{q}}^{\dagger} b_{\vec{q}}^{\dagger} + H_{ep}, \tag{1}$$

where the electron-phonon interaction term in (1) is taken as

$$H_{ep} = \sum_{\vec{q}} \sum_{ij} \lambda_{\vec{q}}(j-i) \exp(i\vec{q}\vec{R}_{i}) (b_{\vec{q}} + b_{-\vec{q}}^{\dagger}) a_{i}^{\dagger} a_{j}. \qquad (2)$$

The electrical conductivity will be calculated starting with the Kubo expression for the dc conductivity:

$$\sigma = - \ll \hat{\mathbf{J}} \mid \hat{\mathbf{P}} \gg_{\hat{\mathbf{I}} \eta} \qquad (\eta \to 0^+) , \qquad (3)$$

where  $\vec{P} = e^{\sum_{i} \vec{R}_{i} a_{i}^{\dagger} a_{i}}$  and  $\vec{R}_{i}$  is the position vector.  $\frac{m}{e} \vec{J} = \frac{m}{e} \vec{P}$  is the operator of the total momentum of the electrons. It has the form

$$\vec{J} = -ie \sum_{ij} (\vec{R}_i - \vec{R}_j) t_{ij} a_i^+ a_j;$$
 (4)

In the following we restrict ourselves to a diagonal disorder, i.e.

$$\mathbf{t_{ij}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\vec{k}} \varepsilon_{\vec{k}} \exp \left[ i \ \vec{k} (\vec{R}_i - \vec{R}_j) \right]. \tag{5}$$

<sup>1)</sup> P.O.B. 79, 101000 Moscow, USSR.

<sup>2)</sup> Permanent address: Wissenschaftsbereich Physik, Hochschule für Verkehrswesen "Friedrich List", PSF 103, DDR-8072 Dresden, GDR.

physica status solidi (h) 120

We are interested in the Green function  $G_{ij,lm} = \langle a_i^T a_j | a_l^+ a_m \rangle_{i,l}$  that can be calculated by the equation of motion method. Using the Hamiltonian (1) we find by a differentiation with respect to the l.h.s.

$$\sum_{nr} \langle \langle a_{s}^{+} a_{n} \rangle \delta_{rt} - \langle a_{r}^{+} a_{t} \rangle \delta_{sn} \rangle G_{nr, lm} = \sum_{ij} \langle \langle a_{i}^{+} a_{m} \rangle \delta_{lj} - \langle a_{l}^{+} a_{j} \rangle \delta_{mi} \rangle G_{st, ji}^{0} + \sum_{ijn} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \left[ \lambda_{\mathbf{q}} (j - n) \exp(i\mathbf{q}\mathbf{R}_{j}) \times \langle \langle a_{i}^{+} a_{n} \rangle \langle b_{\mathbf{q}}^{+} + b_{-\mathbf{q}}^{+} \rangle) \right] a_{l}^{+} a_{m} \gg_{i \mathbf{q}} - \lambda_{\mathbf{q}} (n - i) \exp(i\mathbf{q}\mathbf{R}_{n}) \times \langle \langle a_{n}^{+} a_{n} \rangle \langle b_{\mathbf{q}}^{+} + b_{-\mathbf{q}}^{+} \rangle) a_{l}^{+} a_{m} \gg_{i \mathbf{q}} G_{st, ji}^{0},$$

$$(6)$$

where the zeroth-order Green functions  $G_{ij,\ lm}^{0}$  describing the potential scattering are defined by

$$\sum_{nr} H_{ij, nr} G_{nr, lm}^{0} = \langle a_{i}^{\dagger} a_{m} \rangle \delta_{lj} - \langle a_{l}^{\dagger} a_{j} \rangle \delta_{mi} , \qquad (7a)$$

$$\sum_{nr} H_{rn, lm} G_{ij, nr}^{0} = \langle a_i^{\dagger} a_m \rangle \delta_{lj} - \langle a_l^{\dagger} a_j \rangle \delta_{mi} , \qquad (7b)$$

with

$$H_{ij, rn} = (i \eta - \varepsilon_n + \varepsilon_r) \delta_{ni} \delta_{rj} - t_{jr} \delta_{ni} + t_{ni} \delta_{rj}.$$
 (8)

The r.h.s. higher order Green functions can be calculated in a similar way. Using (7b) and decoupling for a weak electron-phonon scattering as and  $\langle a_n^+ a_r b_{-\overline{q}}^+ | a_1^+ a_m^- \rangle$  where  $v_{\overline{q}} = \left[ \exp (\beta \omega_{\overline{q}}) - 1 \right]^{-1}$ . In (6) and (7) the Green functions G and G as well as the mean values

<a href="tais">a tais</a>, which can be expressed by one-particle Green functions depend on the atomic configuration. For the configuration averaging we use the simplest approximation

$$\overline{G} \cdot \overline{G} \sim \overline{G} \cdot \overline{G}$$
 (9)

Short Note

i.e. in all products the configurational-dependent quantities will be averaged separately. Taking into account (7), the averaged zeroth-order Green functions Goij, lm are given by the well-known CPA solutions for two-particle Green functions in disordered metallic alloys /4/

$$G_{ij, lm}^{o} = \frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{\vec{k_{1}}\vec{k_{2}}} \exp(i\vec{k_{1}} (\vec{R}_{m} - \vec{R}_{n})) \exp(i\vec{k_{2}} (\vec{R}_{j} - \vec{R}_{l})) F_{2}(\vec{k_{1}}, \vec{k_{2}}) ,$$
(9)

where  $F_2(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2)$  is given by

$$F_{2}(\vec{k}_{1}, \vec{k}_{2}) \approx i(\epsilon_{\vec{k}_{2}} - \epsilon_{\vec{k}_{1}}) \int (d\omega f^{\dagger}(\omega)) \left[ \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \frac{1}{\omega - \Sigma(\omega) - \epsilon_{\vec{k}_{1}}} \right\} \right]^{2}$$
for  $|\epsilon_{\vec{k}_{1}} - \epsilon_{\vec{k}_{2}}| \ll |\Sigma(\epsilon_{\vec{k}_{1}})|$  (10)

 $F_{2}(\vec{k}_{1}, \vec{k}_{2}) \approx \frac{f(\varepsilon_{\vec{k}_{1}}) - f(\varepsilon_{\vec{k}_{2}})}{\varepsilon_{\vec{k}_{1}} - \varepsilon_{\vec{k}_{2}}} \text{ for } |\varepsilon_{\vec{k}_{1}} - \varepsilon_{\vec{k}_{2}}| \gg |\Sigma(\varepsilon_{\vec{k}_{1}})|.$ (11)

Here  $\sum$  ( $\omega$ ) denotes the coherent potential and f( $\omega$ ) is the Fermi distribution function. The configurational averaged terms  $\langle a_s^+ a_n^- \rangle$  are given by

$$\langle \overline{a_s^+ a_n} \rangle = \sum_{\vec{k}} \exp(i\vec{k}(\vec{R}_n - \vec{R}_s)) F_1(\vec{k}) ,$$
 (12)

$$F_{1}(\vec{k}) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int d\omega f(\omega) \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \frac{1}{\omega - \Sigma(\omega) \varepsilon_{\vec{k}}} \right\} . \tag{13}$$

After the configurational averaging equation (6) can be solved by Fourier transformation and we find

$$\begin{split} &G(\vec{k}_{1}\vec{k}_{2};\ \vec{k}_{3}\vec{k}_{4}\ )\equiv G(\vec{k}_{1},\vec{k}_{2})=F_{2}(\vec{k}_{1},\vec{k}_{2})\ \delta(\vec{k}_{4},\vec{k}_{1})\ \delta(\vec{k}_{3},\vec{k}_{2})-\frac{F_{2}(\vec{k}_{1}\ ,\ \vec{k}_{2})}{F_{1}(\vec{k}_{1})-F_{1}(\vec{k}_{2})}\times\\ &\times\sum_{\vec{q}}\left[\lambda(\vec{q},\vec{k}_{2}-\vec{q})\lambda(-\vec{q},\vec{k}_{2})(F_{1}(\vec{k}_{2}-\vec{q})-1-\nu_{\vec{q}})G(\vec{k}_{1}\ ,\vec{k}_{2})-\lambda(\vec{q},\vec{k}_{2}-\vec{q})\lambda(-\vec{q},\vec{k}_{1})\times\\ &\times(\vec{F}_{1}(\vec{k}_{1})+\nu_{\vec{q}})G(\vec{k}_{1}-\vec{q},\vec{k}_{2}-\vec{q})\ \Big/\left[F_{1}(\vec{k}_{1})-F_{1}(\vec{k}_{2}-\vec{q})-\omega_{\vec{q}}F_{2}(\vec{k}_{1}\ ,\vec{k}_{2}-\vec{q})\right]F_{2}^{-1}(\vec{k}_{1}\ ,\vec{k}_{2}-\vec{q})+\\ \end{split}$$

Short Note K223

$$\sigma = -\frac{e^2}{3(2\pi)^3} \int d\vec{k} \left( \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \vec{k}} \right)^2 f_k^{\dagger} \tau , \qquad (19)$$

where

$$\tau^{-1} = \Sigma + \tau \tag{20}$$

in correspondence with the Matthiessen, Nordheim, and Bloch-Grüneisen rules.

For a strongly disordered alloy the electron-phonon interaction can be considered as a small perturbation and the Green functions  $G(\vec{k}, \vec{k}^{\,\dagger})$  on the r.h.s. of (14) can be replaced by CPA Green functions  $F(\vec{k}, \vec{k}^{\,\dagger})$ . For simplicity, on the r.h.s. of (14) we take into consideration only terms proportional to the Bose distribution function giving the main contribution to the temperature dependence of the conductivity.

Then for small temperatures the conductivity becomes

$$\sigma = \sigma_{CPA} + \Delta \sigma(T) , \qquad (21)$$

where

$$\sigma_{\text{CPA}} = \frac{e^2}{\Omega} \sum_{\vec{k}} \left( \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \vec{k}} \right)^2 \left[ \text{Im} \left\{ \frac{1}{\epsilon_f - \Sigma \left( \epsilon_f \right) - \epsilon_{\vec{k}} - \vec{q}} \right\} \right]^2$$
(22)

is the well-known CPA result for the conductivity and

$$\Delta \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{T}) = \frac{2e^{2}\lambda^{2}}{\Omega} \sum_{\vec{k}} \left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \vec{k}}\right)^{2} \sum_{\vec{q}} q \nu_{\vec{q}} \left[ \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{f} - \Sigma(\varepsilon_{f}) - \varepsilon_{\vec{k} - \vec{q}}} \right\} \right]^{2} - \left[ \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{f} - \Sigma(\varepsilon_{f}) - \varepsilon_{\vec{k}}} \right\} \right]^{2}$$

$$(23)$$

Introducing the effective mass of the electrons with  $\epsilon_{\vec{k}} \approx \epsilon_f$  the temperature-dependent correction to the conductivity reads

$$\Delta\sigma(T) = \frac{2e^2 \lambda^2}{\Omega} \frac{1}{m^{*2}} \sum_{\vec{k}} \sum_{\vec{q}} q^3 \nu_{\vec{q}} \left[ Im \left\{ \frac{1}{\epsilon_f - \Sigma(\epsilon_f) - \epsilon_{\vec{k}}} \right\} \right]^4. (24)$$

△♂(T) is positive definite and increases with temperature, hence, in strongly disordered alloys where the electron-phonon scattering is weak as com-

$$+\lambda(\vec{q},\vec{k}_{1})\lambda(-\vec{q},\vec{k}_{2}-\vec{q})(1+\nu_{\vec{q}}-F_{1}(\vec{k}_{2}))G(\vec{k}_{1}-\vec{q},\vec{k}_{2}-\vec{q})-\lambda(\vec{q},\vec{k}_{1})\lambda(-\vec{q},\vec{k}_{1}-\vec{q})(F_{1}(\vec{k}_{1}-\vec{q})+\nu_{\vec{q}})\times$$

$$\times G(\vec{k}_{1},\vec{k}_{2}) / \left[F_{1}(\vec{k}_{1}-\vec{q})-F_{1}(\vec{k}_{2})-\omega_{\vec{q}}F_{2}(\vec{k}_{1}-\vec{q},\vec{k}_{2})\right]F_{2}^{-1}(\vec{k}_{1}-\vec{q},\vec{k}_{2}) -$$

$$- 2 \text{ terms with } \omega_{\vec{q}} \rightarrow -\omega_{\vec{q}} \text{ and } \nu_{\vec{q}} \rightarrow (-1-\nu_{\vec{q}}) , \qquad (14)$$

where  $\lambda(\vec{q}, \vec{k}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\vec{k}} \exp\left(i\vec{k}(\vec{R}_i - \vec{R}_j)\right) \lambda_{\vec{q}}(i-j)$ . Equation (14) is an integral equation for the Green function  $G(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2)$  to be determined. In the weak-scattering limit the CPA Green function (10) is given by (cf. /4/)

$$F_{2}(\vec{k}_{1},\vec{k}_{2}) = i(\epsilon_{\vec{k}_{2}} - \epsilon_{\vec{k}_{1}}) f_{\vec{k}_{1}}^{!} \frac{1}{\Sigma(\epsilon_{\vec{k}_{1}})} \text{ for } |\epsilon_{\vec{k}_{2}} - \epsilon_{\vec{k}_{1}}| \ll |\Sigma(\epsilon_{\vec{k}_{1}})|, (15)$$

where  $f_{\vec{k}} = df/d\epsilon_{\vec{k}}$ . Corresponding to (15) the following solution ansatz for the Green function  $G(k, k+k^{-1})$  can be used

$$G(\vec{k}, \vec{k} + \vec{k}') = i \left( \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \vec{k}} \cdot \vec{k}' \right) f_{\vec{k}} \frac{1}{\sum (\varepsilon_{\vec{k}}) + \chi(\varepsilon_{\vec{k}})} , \qquad (16)$$

where  $\gamma$  describes the contribution of the electron-phonon scattering to the coherent potential. Taking into account that in the weakscattering limit  $|\Sigma| \ll \omega_q$ , the terms  $F_2(\vec{k}, \vec{k}-\vec{q})$  in the r.h.s. denominators of (14) can be replaced by (11) we find

$$\gamma = \beta \frac{\Omega}{2 \pi N} \frac{\lambda^2 m^*}{2(2 m^* \epsilon_f)^{3/2}} \int q^4 dq \omega_{\tilde{q}} \nu_{\tilde{q}} (1 + \nu_{\tilde{q}})$$
 (17)

corresponding to the Bloch-Grüneisen law. For a binary alloy with concentrations of the constituents  $c_A$ ,  $c_B$  and the corresponding atomic energies  $\epsilon_A$ ,  $\epsilon_B$ , in the weak-scattering limit the coherent potential is given by /3/:

$$\sum = c_A c_B (\epsilon_A - \epsilon_B)^2 N_O(\epsilon_f), \qquad (18)$$

where  $N_{0}(\epsilon_{f})$  is the density of states at the Fermi energy. Then the conductivity becomes

pared with the disorder scattering the temperature coefficient of the resistivity is negative /5/. It should be mentioned, however, that the concrete temperature dependence of the correction term (24) cannot be taken too seriously because in the derivation of (24) the influence of the disorder on the lattice vibrations has been neglected.

## References

- /1/ D. MARKOWITZ, Phys. Rev. B 15, 3617 (1977).
- /2/ R. HARRIS, M. SHALMON, and M. ZUCKERMANN, Phys. Rev. B 18 5906 (1978).
- /3/ A.B. CHEN, G. WEISZ, and A. SHER, Phys. Rev. 88, 2897 (1972).
- /4/ B. VELICKY, Phys. Rev. 184, 614 (1969).
- /5/ J.H. MOOIJ, phys. stat. sol. (a) 17, 521 (1973).

(Received September 13, 1983)