$$\sum_{k} \left[i \eta \, \delta_{ik} - i \sum_{l} (\hat{A}_{l} | A_{l}) (\chi^{-1})_{lk} + i \sum_{l} \pi_{il} (\chi^{-1})_{lk} \right] \langle A_{k} ; A_{j} \rangle = i \chi_{ij}$$
(2)

where

$$\chi_{ij} = (A_i \mid A_j) \qquad \overline{\sum} \chi_{ik} (\chi^{-1})_{kj} = \delta_{ij}$$
 (3)

$$(A_{i} | A_{j}) = \int_{0}^{\beta} d\pi \operatorname{Tr} \{ g A_{i}(-i\pi) A_{j} \} \qquad g = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\beta H}$$
 (4)

$$\Pi_{i,j} = \langle \mathring{A}_i \widetilde{Q} | Q \mathring{A}_j \rangle Q \qquad \mathring{A}_i = i [H, A_i] . \qquad (5)$$

The operator $\tilde{Q} = 1 - \tilde{P}$ is a projection operator with $\widetilde{P} = \sum_{i,j} |A_i| (\chi^{-1})_{i,j} (A_j | and <...>^Q denotes that in the time$ evalution of this correlation function L = i [H...] is to be replaced by Q L Q . By solving the set of equations (2) the correlation functions $\langle A_i \rangle$; $A_i >$ which we started from are replaced by the correlation functions (5), and with a proper choice of the relevant observables these correlation functions can be calculated in a fairly simple approximation /1/. It is, however, difficult to go beyond this first approximation and, in particular, to take into account the projection operators (cf. /2/). Furthermore this method is restricted to the calculation of transport coefficients where the exact linear response expressions are known; a generalization to thermal transport coefficients is not trivial. In this paper a general formalism for the calculation of transport coefficients is given which includes the approaches mentioned above and which

Lecture given at the

12-th Annual International Symposium on
Electronic Structure of Metals and Alloys,
Gaußig, 1982, German Democratic Republic

Calculation of transport coefficients in solids by generalized kinetic equations

By
V. Christoph and A.L. Kuzemsky
Joint Institute of Nuclear Research, Dubna

1. Introduction

Now as before there is a great interest in the calculation of transport coefficients in solids in order to explain the experimental results as well as to get information on the microscopic structure of the solids. There exist a lot of theoretical methods for the calculation of transport coefficients as a rule having a fairly restricted range of validity and applicability. The most popular and successful approach starts from the linearized Boltzmann equation which can be derived assuming weak scattering processes. On the other hand transport coefficients can be calculated by means of exact quantummechanical linear response expressions as the Kubo formula for the electrical conductivity. These exact correlation function expressions can be directly evaluated only in a few special cases without using sophisticated manybody techniques or fairly bad approximations. One of the most suitable methods is the Mori projection operator technique where the linear response correlation function can be transformed into other correlation functions more convenient for a practical calculation. As an example we consider the d.c. electrical conductivity

$$\delta = \frac{e^2}{3m^2\Omega} \langle \vec{P}; \vec{P} \rangle = \frac{e^2}{3m^2\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{-\eta t} \int_{0}^{\beta} d\eta \, Tr \{ g \vec{P}(t-i\eta)\vec{P} \}; \, \eta \to 0+ (1)$$

170

can be adapted to the problem investigated.

2. Quasi - equilibrium operator approach

For simplicity of notation we restrict our consideration here on the influence of a stationary external electrical field. In the linear response theory the density matrix of the system becomes

$$S_{LR} = \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt' e^{\eta(t'-t)} \int_{0}^{\beta} d\eta g \vec{P}(t'-t-i\eta), \eta \rightarrow 0^{+}$$
 (6)

where the time dependence in P(t) is given by the total Hamiltonian of the system without the interaction term with the electrical field. From another point of view we can say that there is a reaction of the system on the external field which can be described by relevant observables such as shift of the Fermi body or a redistribution of the single particle occupation numbers etc. Hence, for small external fields the system can be described in a fairly good approximation by the quasi-equilibrium density matrix

$$g_{q} = \frac{1}{Z_{q}} e^{-\beta(H - \sum d_{i}A_{i})}$$
(7)

where the A_i are the observables relevant for the reaction of the system and the \mathcal{L}_i are parameters proportional to the external field. Of course, the density matrix (7) is not a solution of the Liouville equation, but an exact can be found easily starting from (7) as an initial condition:

$$S_s = S_q - i \int_0^\infty dt' e^{\eta(t'-t)} e^{iH_s(t'-t)} S_q e^{-iH_s(t'-t)}$$
(8)

where $H_s = H - e \vec{E} \cdot \vec{\xi} \cdot \vec{r}_i$. In order to determine the parameters \mathcal{A}_i we demand that the mean values of the relevant observables A_i are equal in the quasi-equilibrium state g_q and in the real state g_s , i.e.

$$Tr\left\{g_{s} A_{i}\right\} = Tr\left\{g_{a} A_{i}\right\}. \tag{9}$$

This condition is equivalent to the stationarity condition

$$\frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ g_s A_i \right\} = 0 . \tag{10}$$

For a sufficiently complete set of operators A_i the conditic (9) ensures that g_q describes the system with a sufficient accuracy. Linearizing (9) with respect to the parameters \mathcal{L}_i and the external field E one obtains the set of equations

$$\sum \omega_{i} \left[\frac{1}{1} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ g \left[A_{i}, A_{i} \right] \right\} + \langle A_{i}; A_{i} \rangle \right] = \frac{e \vec{E}}{m} \left[(\vec{P} | A_{i}) + \langle \vec{P}; A_{i} \rangle \right]. \tag{11}$$

The set of equations (11) can be shown to be equivalent to (2), where in the higher orders of interaction the equations (11) are more convenient to handle because the time dependences are given here in an explicit form without any projection. With the parameters ∞ , the current density is given by

$$\vec{j} = \frac{e}{m\Omega} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ g_{q} \vec{P} \right\} = \frac{e}{m\Omega} \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} (A_{i} | \vec{P})$$
 (12)

Supposing that the total momentum P of the electrons can be built up by the operators $\mathbf{A_i}$ ist can be shown easily, that the equations (11) and (12) include the Kubo expression for the conductivity. In order to solve the system of equations (11) a generalized variational principle can be formulated, but the reduction of the number of parameters $\mathbf{A_i}$ by a variational ansatz corresponds to a new restricted choice of the relevant obserable $\mathbf{A_i}$.

3. Weak scattering limit

The applicability of the equations (11), (12) to for a given problem strongly depends on the choice of the operators A. The first condition to be fulfilled is that the mean values of the occupation numbers of all quasiparticles in volved in the transport process should be time independent, i.e.

$$\frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ g_{\mathbf{S}}(\omega_{\mathbf{i}}) \ n_{k} \right\} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ g_{\mathbf{S}}(\omega_{\mathbf{i}}) \ n_{k} \right\} = \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ g_{\mathbf{q}}(\omega_{\mathbf{i}}) \ n_{k} \right\}. \tag{13}$$

Of course, this condition is fulfilled trivially for $A_i \rightarrow n_k$, but in this case the equations (11) cannot be solved in practice. In the most cases, however, there can be found a reduced set of operators sufficiently complete to describe the reaction of the system on the external field. It can be shown that under certain conditions the scattering process can be described by on one relaxation time only and then the set of relevant operators reduces to the total momentum of the electrons describing a homogenous shift of the Fermi body in the Bloch space. These conditions are fulfilled for a spherical Fermi body at temperatures small in comparison tothe degeneration temperature and for an isotropic scattering mechanism where the scatterers remain in the thermal equilibrium. In this simple case (10) and (11) reduce to the so-called resistivity formula /3/. For non-spherical Fermi bodies the set of relevant observables has to be extended in order to take into account not only its shift in the k-space but also its deformation. In general the Fermi surface $E(\vec{k}) = \mathcal{E}_f$ transforms under the influence of the electrical field into $E(k) = \varepsilon_f$ where

$$\widetilde{E}(\vec{k}) = E(\vec{k}) + m \vec{v}_1 \frac{\partial E}{\partial \vec{k}} + m \sum_{i=2}^{n} \vec{v}_i \Phi^{i}(\vec{k}) \frac{\partial E}{\partial \vec{k}} + \dots$$
 (14)

The last term in (14) describes the deformation of the Fermi body where the polynomials $\Phi^{i}(\vec{k})$ have to be chosen in correspondence with the symmetry of the crystal /4/. Corresponding to (14) the relevant operators are given by

$$A_{i} \rightarrow m \sum_{k \in a_{k}} \overline{\Phi}^{i}(\vec{k}) \frac{\partial E}{\partial k} a_{k \in a_{k}}^{+} a_{k \in a_{k}} \text{ with } \underline{\Phi}^{1} = 1.$$
 (15)

In the weak scattering limit the correlation functions in (11) can be calculated straightforwardly replacing in the operator $A_i(t) = \exp(iHt) \ A_i \exp(-iHt)$ the total Hamiltonian of the system by the Hamiltonian of the free quasiparticles. The results obtained in this approximation coincide with variational solutions of the linearized Boltzmann equation where, however, the choice of the relevant observables is more evident than the variational ansatz for the solution of the Boltzmann equation.

As an example we consider a tight-binding electron system and take into consideration electron-electron and electron-phonon interactions. The Hamiltonian of the system is in a standard notation

$$H = H_0 + H_D + H_{ee} + H_{ep}$$
 (16)

where

$$H_{e} = \sum_{\vec{k}} E(\vec{k}) a_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{k\sigma} = \sum_{\Delta \vec{k}, \vec{k}} t(\Delta \vec{R}) e^{i\vec{k}\Delta \vec{R}} a_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{k\sigma}$$
(17)

$$H_{ee} = \frac{U}{N} \sum_{\vec{k}_1 \vec{k}_2} \sum_{\vec{k}_3 \vec{k}_4} \sum_{\vec{G}} a_{k_1 \uparrow}^{\dagger} a_{k_2 \uparrow} a_{k_3 \downarrow}^{\dagger} a_{k_4 \downarrow} \delta(\vec{k}_1 - \vec{k}_2 + \vec{k}_3 - \vec{k}_4 + \vec{G})$$
 (18)

$$H_{ep} = \sum_{\vec{k}\vec{k}_{1}} \sum_{\vec{q}} \sum_{\vec{q}} \sum_{\vec{q}} g_{kk_{1}}^{\dagger} a_{k_{1}}^{\dagger} a_{k_{1}} a_$$

$$H_{p} = \sum_{\vec{q}\nu} \omega (\vec{q}\nu) (b_{q\nu}^{+} b_{q\nu} + \frac{1}{2}).$$
 (20)

For tight-binding electrons the matrix element $g_{kk_4}^{\gamma}$ of the electron-phonon coupling is given in /5/. Using the set of relevant operators (15) and restricting it to only one deformation term (i.e. $\Phi^{i}(\vec{k}) \rightarrow 0$ for i ≥ 3) we obtain in the weak scattering limit for the temperature dependence of the resistivity

$$R = \frac{E}{J} = \frac{(a_1T^2 + b_1T^5)(a_2T^2 + b_2T^5) - (a_{12}T^2 + b_{12}T^5)^2}{c(a_1T^2 + b_1T^5) + d(a_2T^2 + b_2T^5) - \sqrt{cd}(a_{12}T^2 + b_{12}T^5)} (21)$$

where the coefficients a_{ν} ,b_{\nu} ,c,d are integrals depending on the parameters of the model.

4. Selfconsistent calculation of transport coefficients

By (11) and (12) an exact expression for the electrical resistivity of a quantummechanical system is given where in some problems the correlation functions in (11) are more convenient to be calculated than the current-current-correlation function in the Kubo expression (1) for the conductivity of it is not significant whether the Kubo formula or the formalism given by (11) and (12) are used as a starting point for a calculation using standard many body technique. On the other hand, we can use these two approaches being in a certain sense inverse to each other to find a calculation scheme for transport coefficients adapted to the problem considered. For this end we start from the condition

$$Tr\{g_{LR} B_i\} = Tr\{g_s B_i\}$$
 (22)

where the density matrices are given by (6) and (8). For operators ${\rm B_i}$ which can be represented by linear combinations of the relevant observables ${\rm A_i}$ (9) the equation (22) is fulfilled exactly where for other operators the equations (22) seems to be plausible if the relevant observables have been chosen properly. The conditions (22) make it possible to determine a set of parameters which can be used in approximate expressions for the correlation functions. In simple cases the conditions (22) even allow to calculate the correlation functions in (11) without resorting to another technique. As

an example we consider the one-band Hubbard model

$$H = H_e + H_{ee} \tag{23}$$

in the limit $|t|/U \approx 1$. We know that in this limit the band splits into two sub-bands separated by the correlation energy U. In order to take into account the band-split we have to project the one-electron operators onto the sub-bands and for a spherical uncorrelated band the relevant operators are

$$\vec{P}^{\kappa\beta} = m \sum_{k\sigma} \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial k} n_{k\sigma}^{\kappa\beta}$$
 (24)

where
$$n_{k\sigma}^{\alpha\beta} = N^{-1} \sum_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} e^{i\vec{k}(\vec{R}_{i} - \vec{R}_{j})} a_{i\sigma}^{+} n_{i-\sigma}^{\alpha\beta} a_{j\sigma}^{\beta} n_{j-\sigma}^{\beta}$$
(25)

with the projection operators

$$n_{i-\sigma}^{d} = \begin{cases} n_{i-\sigma} & \text{for } d = \pm \\ 1 - n_{i-\sigma} & \text{for } d = \pm \end{cases}$$
 (26)

 $\vec{P}^{\star, \star}$ is the operator of the total momentum of the electrons in the sub-band α , and $\vec{P}^{\star, \star}$ ($\chi \neq \beta$) describes kinematical transitions between the sub-bands. It can be shown that correlation functions $\langle \vec{P}^{\star, \star} \vec{P}^{\star, \star} \rangle$ and $\langle \vec{P}^{\star, \star} \vec{P}^{\star, \star} \rangle$ vanish for $\chi \neq \beta$ in the limit $|t|/U \ll 1$. De coupling higher order correlation functions in |t|/U (cf. /6/) and taking into account nearest neighbour hopping terms only the correlation functions $\langle \vec{P}^{\star, \star} \vec{P}^{\star, \star} \rangle$ ($\chi = \pm$) can be calculated directly by (22) where $\vec{P}^{\star, \star} \vec{P}^{\star, \star} \rangle$. The conductivity becomes

$$G = A T^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(n_{n}^{2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(n_{n}^{2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(n_{n}^{2} - n_{n}^{2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$
(27)

where 2
$$A = \frac{e^2}{3 m^2 \Omega k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2z}} \frac{1}{|t|} \sum_{\vec{k}} \left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \vec{k}}\right)^2$$
(28)

$$\langle A \rangle = \text{Tr} \{ g A \}$$
 $g = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta H}$. (29)

z is the number of nearest neighbours and t the nearest neighbour hopping element. With the well-known expressions for the mean values $< n_{\sigma}^{+} n_{-\sigma}^{+} >$ we find the conductivity in dependence on the electron number:

$$0 \le n < 1$$

$$6 = A T^{-1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{n(1-n)}{|1-n|/2}$$

$$n = 1$$

$$6 = \frac{A}{\sqrt{2}} T^{-1} \exp(-\frac{U}{2kT})$$

$$2 \ge n > 1$$

$$6 = A T^{-1} \sqrt{2} \frac{(1 - \frac{n}{2})(n-1)}{|n|}$$

$$(30)$$

Finally it should be mentioned that the method given above can be generalized straight-forwardly to the calculation of thermal and thermoelectric transport coefficients. For this end thermal and concentration gradients have to be introduced into the quasi-equilibrium density matrix.

References:

- Forster, D., Hydrodynamic Fluctuations, Broken Symmetry and Correlation Functions W.A. Benjamin, Mass., 1975
- 2. Geprobusios 70, A., Tut 49 (1981) 219
- 3. Röpke, G and Christoph V., J. Phys. C 8 (1975) 3615
- 4. Karan 70. M, frepol T.H. 3K7 T & 66 (1974) 1374 Pinski F.J. Phys. Rev. B21 (1980) 4380
- 5. Barisic S., Labbe J. and Friedel J. Phys. Rev. Letters
 25 (1970) 919
- 6. Christoph V., Phys. Letters, to be published

J. M. In second.